

Investigating the Social World

Week 5: Comparative and International Analysis



Welcome back!

200
1823-2023 

Part IV



Comparative and international analysis



"The image visually divides into two halves, each showcasing the unique characteristics of different cultures or countries, connected by a bridge of books, reports, and digital data streams. This bridge symbolizes the exchange of knowledge and research methods across nations. The central area, where researchers are seen collaborating, highlights the integrative nature of this field, emphasizing teamwork and the sharing of insights across borders."

- ▶ Why comparative and intl analysis
- ▶ Move from case studies to social science (Bates 2007; Pepinsky 2019)
- ▶ Practical guides for generalization
- ▶ Conclusion: Investigating the social world as a vocation

Frances Rosenbluth (Yale): Women, Work, and Power




Why comparative and intl analysis matters

- ▶ Prevent selection bias (Geddes 1990; Collier and Mahoney 1996)
- ▶ Avoid the pitfalls of "essentialism" (Fuchs 2001; Phillips 2010)

The cases you choose affect the answers you get


- ▶ The cases you choose can be partial and prevents useful generalization
 - The cases you choose may only represent one or a small number of similar cases in the domain/universe
 - Without identifying the domain/universe/population, it is very difficult to ascertain the nature of your cases (typical/representation OR deviant/outlier/niche) and their analytical value (Gerring 2006)
- ▶ Selecting cases on dependent variable is very likely to produce faulty inference as we jump into the conclusion that
 - any characteristic shared by the selected cases is the cause
 - the observed pattern can be extended to cases not included in the study

Labor repression and economic growth, 1970-81 (Geddes 2003)



When we only consider East Asian countries, labor repression seems to induce economic growth

Labor repression and economic growth, 1970-81 (Geddes 2003)



When we consider all countries, however, there exists no clear statistical association

Be aware of the “pitfalls” of essentialism (Phillips 2010)

- ▶ Essentialism can take at least the following four different forms in social research (Phillips 2010)
 - **Ignoring individual agency and micro-level variation:** Attribution of certain characteristics to all observations subsumed within a particular category
 - **Naturalizing things that are socially and historically constructed:** Attribution to the category by itself
 - **Sustaining stereotype and prejudice:** Invocation of a collectivity, presuming a homogenized and unified group
 - **Impeding innovation by suffocating contestation:** Sanctioning deviations from the presumed collective category uncritically

Be aware of the “pitfalls” of essentialism (Phillips 2010)


- ▶ Essentialism can take at least the following four different forms in social research (Phillips 2010)
 - **Ignoring individual agency and micro-level variation:** Attribution of certain characteristics to all observations subsumed within a particular category
 - **Naturalizing things that are socially and historically constructed:** Attribution to the category by itself
 - **Sustaining stereotype and prejudice:** Invocation of a collectivity, presuming a homogenized and unified group
 - **Impeding innovation by suffocating contestation:** Sanctioning deviations from the presumed collective category uncritically
- ▶ **Discussion:** Can you think of any examples in daily life or research you have read? Is attribution to categories always a bad idea? How can we get around these potential pitfalls?

Scope condition is key for comparative and intl analysis



"The specification and empirical assessment of **scope conditions** are essential to building comparative political theory—theory that not only posits a causal relationship but precisely identifies – and empirically validates – **the conditions or contexts under which the theory holds** (*Comparative Political Studies*, 2016)

From case studies to social science: Three forms/stages of “comprehension”



- ▶ Apprehension
- ▶ Explanation
- ▶ Conviction

From case studies to social science: Three forms/stages of "comprehension"

► Apprehension

- "Immersion" (often via ethnography and participant observation) as the key foundation of learning and understanding to inform judgment and sharpen intuition
- The experiences in the field often provides a "bullshit meter" (or more commonly as we put, "plausibility check")
- The first step "comes in the field itself" before "the researcher begins to separate from the field" and moves towards "explanation"

► Explanation

► Conviction

From case studies to social science: Three forms/stages of "comprehension"

- ▶ Apprehension
- ▶ Explanation
 - The movement towards "explanation" involves the "recoding" of the observations and encounters in the field
 - Explanation establishes **the** lines of logic to link causes and consequences; the **thick** description provides the underpinnings for the exercise of abstraction and theory-building
 - While **interpretation** aims to provide "a" meaning of the descriptions, **explanation** seeks to provide a logical link that render the outcome of interest necessary or inevitable
- ▶ Conviction

From case studies to social science: Three forms/stages of "comprehension"

- ▶ Apprehension
- ▶ Explanation
- ▶ Conviction
 - A stage to accord credence or find **confirmation** to our account
 - Seeking "conviction" so as to yield outcomes consistent with the data and, in particular, **data other than that from which it was first derived**
 - Moving out the sample to large-N analysis as the final road to conviction

Economic behaviors of coffee growers in East Africa and Colombia (Bates 2007)

- ▶ Use the field to search for potentially testable and generalizable insight (through ethnography)
 - The behavior of the coffee growers represented a choice by rational actor under varying institutional environment
 - Be cautious about the temptation of using culture to explain the consequences
- ▶ Scope through the initial cases for plausibility check and theory building (through archival research and interviews)
- ▶ Pave the road to conviction with small-N and large-N evidence
 - Test the proposed logic in comparable new cases in Latin America, especially Colombia
 - Test the proposed logic with large-N (multivariate) regression (all African countries between 1970-95)

Solidary groups and accountable local public goods provision in rural China (Tsai 2007)

- ▶ Two months of **preliminary research** in seven provinces, followed by
 - eight months of **in-depth fieldwork** in Fujian
 - detailed **case studies** on selected provinces, which reflect different levels of economic development, as well as regional differences in terrain, institutional history and social organization between north and South China
- ▶ Designed **surveys** to cover 300+ villages, carefully sampled from the selected provinces with assistance from local official partners and/or research assistants (mostly students)

Fighting with race: complex solidarities & constrained sameness

Amit Singh 

Psychosocial Studies, Birkbeck, London, UK

ABSTRACT

Drawing upon ethnographic fieldwork conducted at an East London Kickboxing/Muay Thai gym, this paper explores how fighters at Origins Combat Gym seek to reject race as a discursive category in favour of constructing each other as the same, bonded by years of intimately training alongside one another. Drawing upon Bourdieu, I conceptualise a racial habitus to argue that such processes are constrained; my field-site is not a racial utopia, even if it does allow for new possibilities. Nonetheless, my interlocutors' attempts to reject the logic of ethnic absolutism through forging complex localised solidarities offers hope in anti-immigrant times.

Fighting with race: complex solidarities & constrained sameness

Amit Singh 

Psychosocial Studies, Birkbeck, London, UK

ABSTRACT

Drawing upon ethnographic fieldwork conducted at an East London Kickboxing/Muay Thai gym, this paper explores how fighters at Origins Combat Gym seek to reject race as a discursive category in favour of constructing each other as the same, bonded by years of intimately training alongside one another. Drawing upon Bourdieu, I conceptualise a racial habitus to argue that such processes are constrained; my field-site is not a racial utopia, even if it does allow for new possibilities. Nonetheless, my interlocutors' attempts to reject the logic of ethnic absolutism through forging complex localised solidarities offers hope in anti-immigrant times.

Can Singh's theoretical insights be applied to other cases? What cases? How do we make sure the new cases are comparable to Singh's choice in the article?

Exploring and extending Singh's scope condition (by a former ISW student)

- ▶ "It could be argued the **destabilisation of racialised identities within an ethnically diverse locality such as the gym may bear relevance in similar convivial sporting localities, in super-diverse, Global North cities**, with similar political conditions to London."

Exploring and extending Singh's scope condition (by a former ISW student)

- ▶ "It could be argued the **destabilisation of racialised identities within an ethnically diverse locality such as the gym may bear relevance in similar convivial sporting localities, in super-diverse, Global North cities**, with similar political conditions to London."
- ▶ "An alternative case to increase the scope condition of similar research to other contexts might be supplementary use of **quantitative methods, such as questionnaires measuring gym members' racial or gender identity salience in the gym compared to other locations, and statistical analysis of differences to serve as a replicable tool in different contexts.**"

Exploring and extending Singh's scope condition (by a former ISW student)

- ▶ "It could be argued the **destabilisation of racialised identities within an ethnically diverse locality such as the gym may bear relevance in similar convivial sporting localities, in super-diverse, Global North cities**, with similar political conditions to London."
- ▶ "An alternative case to increase the scope condition of similar research to other contexts might be supplementary use of **quantitative methods, such as questionnaires measuring gym members' racial or gender identity salience in the gym compared to other locations, and statistical analysis of differences to serve as a replicable tool in different contexts.**"
- ▶ "However, **this would signify an entirely different study**. Generalisations about this article's findings would require de-contextualisation, which is antithetical to the context-specific, complex qualitative methodological design and constructivist approach of Singh's research."

Some practical suggestions for generalization

- ▶ A researcher can be driven by the general question rather than the case
- ▶ If possible, think outside the box by formulating the research questions within and outside the given case (i.e., de-contextualization)
- ▶ Define the **scope condition** carefully and explicitly – that is, what are the comparable cases out there and why? Where does the case of interest come from?
- ▶ Be careful with any cultural explanations as they may create some issues (e.g., reverse causality; circularity and tautology; loss of agency)
- ▶ Do not force generalization when it is not the main objective of your research; be transparent and do not mislead yourself and your audiences



ANNUAL
REVIEWS

Annual Review of Sociology

Theorizing in Sociological Research: A New Perspective, a New Departure?

Richard Swedberg

Department of Sociology, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853;
email: rs328@cornell.edu

"Abstractions are used in all the sciences, and the capacity to make abstractions is a very useful tool when you theorize. It is also often helpful to go between different levels of abstraction, such as high, medium, and low. An abstraction is created in three steps: **You single out some phenomenon of interest; you cut it out and isolate it from its surroundings; and you remove various parts from it, so it will come out more clearly.**"



Conclusion: Investigating the social world as a vocation

- ▶ ISW is a special module, reflecting on the production of "valid" knowledge about the social world
 - Know what you do and how to explain the objectives of your scholarly inquiry;
 - Know how to tell the differences between different objectives and engage other researchers' work constructively and effectively
- ▶ Social research involves a series of careful thinking about epistemology, theory-building, and methodology (hence theory-empirics alignment)
 - **Reveal nuances of the real world:** constructivism; theory as approach; interpretation; qualitative; inductive/abductive
 - **Search for the "ultimate" truth:** positivism; theory as paradigm; explanation; quantitative; deductive
- ▶ Exceptions always exist and boundaries are constantly being contested and redefined

Discussion: “Solidary Groups, Informal Accountability, and Local Public Goods Provision” (Tsai 2007)

- ▶ What is the main research question? What is the scope condition?
- ▶ Can you summarize her main theoretical argument? Can/should culture serve as the alternative explanation?
- ▶ Are the findings significant and/or valid? Can you come up with another research project to extend this line of inquiry?

Assessment I: The methodological review


- ▶ You are going to examine a published peer-reviewed article (we have provided 9 articles for you to choose from)
- ▶ You are going to answer **three** questions and write up to **500 words** for each question
- ▶ No outside/additional research is needed; you will not need any citations; you will not need to quote anything in your response
- ▶ **It is not a test** – there is no rubric, and feel free to surprise us, but you will be evaluated based on **the quality of your responses**
- ▶ You can come to my office hours to discuss your questions and/or your preliminary responses with me; the goal is not to give you a mark before the deadline but to clear any conceptual confusion
- ▶ The assignment is due **12pm (midday) on 29 March 2024**; attach your review with the cover sheet and name your file with your student ID number (e.g., 12345678.docx OR 12345678.pdf)

- ▶ What is the main research question of this article? State the research question in your own words and discuss the methods used by the author(s). What is the underlying epistemological stance(s)? Explain.
- ▶ In your own words, provide the key theoretical claim(s) of this article. Identify and discuss the nature of these claims, as well as how the author(s) aligns theory with empirics.
- ▶ Does this paper make any original and/or significant contributions? Discuss. Also, can/should the authors extend their research to a different case? If yes, what is the scope condition? What would be a possible case choice using this condition?

Useful tips and reminders

- ▶ Read the instructions carefully and follow them
- ▶ Make sure to fully develop your arguments and explanations – for instance, do not simply lay down a statement or proposition without any substantiation
- ▶ Use the concepts we have discussed and the information provided in the article to answer the questions
- ▶ Be careful with the use of any adjectives or adverbs (e.g., unique; comprehensive)

- ▶ **Writing Style and Clarity:** This theme received the most emphasis. Comments focused on the need for succinctness, clarity, and appropriate academic writing style, indicating that improving clarity and conciseness in writing was a frequent suggestion
- ▶ **Structure and Coherence:** Many submissions have issues with the overall structure and logical flow of the responses. The feedback suggests a need for better organization and coherence in presenting arguments and analysis
- ▶ **Analytical Depth and Application of Concepts:** This theme points to the need for deeper analytical engagement with the material and a better application of theoretical concepts in the analysis. It suggests that responses often lacked depth or did not adequately apply class concepts to the articles being reviewed




David D. Laitin (Stanford)

- ▶ *Why Muslim Integration Fails in Christian-Heritage Societies* (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 2016) with Claire Adida and Marie-Anne Valfort
- ▶ *Identity in Formation: the Russian-speaking Populations in the Near Abroad* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007)
- ▶ *Nations, States and Violence* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1998)
- ▶ *Language Repertoires and State Construction in Africa* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1992)

LACHLAN MCNAMEE

SETTLING FOR LESS



WHY STATES COLONIZE
AND WHY THEY STOP



200
1823-2023 

CONTENTS

Acknowledgments ix

1	Introduction	1
2	A Theory of Settler Colonialism	28
3	Hit the Road, Jakarta: Indonesia's Colonization of West Papua	59
4	White Australia or White Elephant? Australia's Failed Colonization of Papua New Guinea and the Northern Territory	80
5	Best Friends Make the Worst Enemies: Demographic Engineering during the Sino-Soviet Split (with Anna Zhang)	99
6	Belt and Road to Nowhere: China's Ongoing Struggle to Colonize Xinjiang	115
7	Settler Colonialism around the World in the Late Twentieth Century	135
8	Conclusion: Decolonization, the Highest Stage of Capitalism	151


Appendices 165

Notes 179

Bibliography 201

Index 225





<https://www.scopeconditionspodcast.com/>

