### CP<sup>2</sup> Week 1: State of the Field

Dr Chao-Yo Cheng



### Welcome to CP<sup>2</sup>

► Logistics and introduction

► Lecture and discussion: "State of the field"

## Logistics

- ► Time and location
- Class format
- ► Weekly syllabus
- Assessment
- Resources

#### Time and location

- ► Every Thursday 6-9pm
- ▶ Paul Hirst Room (Rm 102, 10 Gower Street)
- ▶ Question: Final week in Chinatown?



### Class format

- ► In-person
- ► Lecture and seminar discussion
- ▶ **Question**: Communication via MS Teams?



### Weekly syllbus

- ▶ Week 1: State of field
- ▶ Weeks 2-5: History and institutions
  - From empire to nation-state
  - Structures of the party-state
  - Informal politics and factionalism
  - Central-local relations and local governance
- ▶ Weeks 7-10: Governance and development
  - Coercion and control
  - Co-optation and representation
  - Ideology and propaganda (guest speaker: Dr Tao Wang)
  - Social protection and redistribution
- ▶ Week 11: China as a method



### Assessment

- ► Learning journal (30%)
- ► Final essay (70%)



#### Resources

- ► Moodle:
  - Tutorial videos
  - Background readings
  - Additional resources
- ▶ Office hours: Every Friday 3-5pm via Teams (or by appointment)
- ▶ Study support services provided by the Faculty and College



Any questions before we proceed?



#### Introduction

► About Chao-yo

▶ About you: Please tell us your name, program/year, any relevant modules in Politics or other subject areas you have done, and what you would like to learn from this module



- Autonomy in Autocracy: Explaining Ethnic Policies in Post-1949
   China (PhD thesis and book manuscript)
- "Mapping the Information Network of Chinese Political Elites: A Computational Approach," prepared for Political Analysis
- "Agenda Settings and Risk Preferences of Local Policy Entrepreneurs
   During the Coronavirus Crisis in China," prepared for Political Science
   Research and Methods (with Tao Lin)
- ▶ "Justice from Above: Administrative Elevated Trials and Intergovernmental Delegation Dilemma in China," prepared for the *Journal of Politics* (with Haibo He and Chao Ma)



Again, any questions before we proceed?



Week 1: State of the Field





# Three generations of China scholars during the Cold War (Harding 1984)

- ▶ 1st generation (1960s)
  - Focus on descriptive study and rely heavily on (limited) official data, such as Communist doctrine, policy, and organizational tables; many scholars completed their work in Taiwan
  - Research is mostly speculative and tentative (and yet suffering from over-generalization and under-conceptualization)
- ▶ 2nd generation (1970s)
- ▶ 3rd generation (1980s)



# Three generations of China scholars during the Cold War (Harding 1984)

- ▶ 1st generation (1960s)
- ▶ 2nd generation (1970s)
  - Aim to be conceptual and rely heavily on Red Guard materials to get behind-the-scene insights
  - Start to disaggregate China by moving to subnational comparison
- ▶ 3rd generation (1980s)



# Three generations of China scholars during the Cold War (Harding 1984)

- ▶ 1st generation (1960s)
- ▶ 2nd generation (1970s)
- 3rd generation (1980s)
  - Engage more with comparative politics literature while utilizing the accumulation of empirical description of the Chinese regimes contributed by China scholars from previous generations
  - China's Reform and Openness provides new materials and research opportunities



# New generations of China scholars after the Cold War (Shambaugh 2023)

- ► The first three generations of China scholars more or less "completed" the field transition from area studies to political "science"
- ► China has also been used more generally to tackle broad theoretical puzzles in comparative politics and political economy
  - The understanding of Chinese politics has in general moved from "totalitarianism" to "authoritarianism"
  - Modern China studies have gradually moved away from the typical "cultural trap"
- Scholars have also been trained to use cutting-edge methods and rigorous research design
- However, two recent events have changed the research landscape: One is the abolition of the term limits for the Chinese president; one is the escalation of the geopolitical tension between the US and China; the consequences are profound

## "Bringing in China" (Tsai 2017)

- Many political scientists have under-utilized or ignored the theoretical relevance of the study of Chinese politics
- And yet China can contribute to the general political science research in many different ways
  - Using China to generate new research questions (by specifying the scope condition or conceptual equivalence)
  - Using China to test the the scope of existing findings based on another country (e.g., the United States)
  - Using China to demonstrate innovative methods of data collection combined with strong research design (e.g., King, Pan and Roberts 2013)
- There exist many opportunities of theory building, in particular for the literatures on authoritarian governance, political development, and political economy of development

## "Bringing in China" (Tsai 2017)

- Many political scientists have under-utilized or ignored the theoretical relevance of the study of Chinese politics
- ► And yet China can contribute to the general political science research in many different ways
- There exist many opportunities of theory building, in particular for the literatures on authoritarian governance, political development, and political economy of development
  - Tsai seems to find China studies that can produce new research agenda more valuable, implicitly speaking. What do you think?
  - Which topic do you find most intruging? And are any of these questions relevant to the country you are most familiar with (e.g., United Kingdom)?
  - Why does the question of "bringing in China into comparative politics" matter? What do you think?

## Discussion: "Why China's Reforms Have Delayed Democracy" (Gallagher 2002)

- ▶ Which general theoretical puzzle did Gallagher attempt to speak to? (Hint: It is about Lipset)
- Why is the case of "Reform and Openness" in China interesting or puzzling?
- How did Gallagher dismiss conventional wisdom about the political implications of FDI liberalization and market reform? What is her alternative theory? How did her theory speak to the general theory of economic modernization and regime change?
- ▶ Did Gallagher highlight the importance of culture in her argument? If not, why do you think that is the case? Do you agree with her?



See you next week!