MY410 Week 5 Seminar

Chao-Yo Cheng

What we have done so far

- ► How to formulate research question(s).
- ► How theory and data (both quantitative and qualitative) speak to each other.
- ► How to ensure rigor in research: Question-theory-empirics alignment and bias management (etc).

Aims for this week

- Develop your ideas for your topic, literature and research question for your formative assignment (and eventually your summative assignments perhaps) through discussion and feedback from your peers.
- ▶ Develop your skills in articulating a good literature review and research question by providing feedback on your peers' plans.
- Develop your skills in identifying and articulating methodological critiques (identifying limitations) of different research designs.

The formative assignment

- ▶ Main task: Building a research question from a literature review.
- Format: 1 page (max 500 words), incorporating:
 - References for 3 related, empirical articles
 - 1 paragraph introducing the topic
 - 1 paragraph outlining and critiquing the research designs, setting the background for:
 - A research question
- ▶ Due: 4pm Thursday 4 November 2021 (MT week 6)
- ► Full instructions on Moodle (https://moodle.lse.ac.uk/)

Feedback will be provided based on

- ▶ The scope of the topic is it too broad, or just about right?
- ► The selection of articles are they appropriate for the annotated bibliography?
- Your preliminary assessment/critique of the pieces and their research designs
- Your research question
- General style, prose

From articles to question(s)

As you scope the literature, you may notice that a particular research design may have one or more of the following elements:

- Tensions or contradictions between the papers;
- Extension to new context (e.g., different region, time period);
- Different theoretical perspective;
- Different methodological approach (e.g., build on more inductive work to propose some hypothesis-testing deductive approach);
- Something left unexplored (e.g., critical element not engaged with, need to consider other conditions);
- Something left untested.

Peer feedback activity

- ▶ Phase 1: Discuss your drafts in pairs
 - Exchange your written plans with your neighbor(s).
 - Read over your peers' plans, note questions/ constructive feedback.
 - Verbally explain your plan to your peer; peer asks questions and gives feedback.
 - Switch!
- Phase 2: Present in groups
 - Join up into groups.
 - Building on the feedback you've received, present your plan.
 - Select a plan for plenary discussion.
- ▶ Phase 3: Plenary discussion discuss the topic, the research question, the most useful feedback, and remaining uncertainties, etc.

Peer feedback activity

- ► The topic
 - Is it clear what common topic is being addressed?
 - Is there a specific focus or is the topic very broad or vaguely defined?
- Research design(s)
 - Are the research designs identified?
 - Are limitations of the approach appropriately identified?
 - Is there methodological diversity or not? (and what are the implications?)
- Research question(s)
 - Does the question identify a specific setting/case/location/group?
 - Does the question speak to a social science concern?
 - Is the question sufficiently clear to be feasible and tractable?