

Implementation of an Enterprise Service Bus with OpenShift

Ing. Thomas Herzog B.Sc



MASTERARBEIT

eingereicht am
Fachhochschul-Masterstudiengang

Software Engineering

in Hagenberg

im Juni 2018

© Copyright 2018 Ing. Thomas Herzog B.Sc

All Rights Reserved

Declaration

I hereby declare and confirm that this thesis is entirely the result of my own original work. Where other sources of information have been used, they have been indicated as such and properly acknowledged. I further declare that this or similar work has not been submitted for credit elsewhere.

Hagenberg, June 1, 2018

Ing. Thomas Herzog B.Sc

Contents

Declaration	iii
Abstract	vii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Motivation	1
1.2 Objectives	2
2 Infrastructure as Code	3
2.1 The Need for Infrastructure as Code	3
2.2 Principles of Infrastructure as Code	5
2.2.1 Infrastructures are Reproducible	5
2.2.2 Infrastructures are Disposable	5
2.2.3 Infrastructures are Consistent	6
2.2.4 Actions are Repeatable	6
3 Containerization with Docker	8
3.1 The need for Containerization	8
3.2 Docker	9
3.2.1 Docker Engine	9
3.2.2 Docker Architecture	11
3.2.3 Docker Machine	11
3.3 Virtualization vs. Containerization	12
3.3.1 Virtual Machines	12
3.3.2 Linux Container	13
4 Container as a Service with Kubernetes	15
4.1 The need for Container as a Service	15
4.2 Kubernetes	16
4.2.1 Kubernetes Objects	16
4.2.2 Kubernetes Master	18
4.2.3 Kubernetes Worker	19
5 Platform as a Service with Openshift	20
5.1 The need for Platform as a Service	21
5.2 Openshift	21

5.2.1	Openshift Master	22
5.2.2	Openshift Project	22
6	Enterprise Service Bus	26
6.1	The need for an Enterprise Service Bus	26
6.2	Architecture	27
6.3	ESB with EAP	28
6.4	ESB with Openshift	29
6.5	Integration example	29
7	Design ESB in Openshift	32
7.1	Microservice Architecture	32
7.2	Microservice Aspects	33
7.2.1	Security	34
7.2.2	Configuration	34
7.2.3	Tracing	34
7.2.4	Logging	35
7.2.5	Metrics	35
7.2.6	Fault Tolerance	35
7.2.7	API Management	35
7.3	Openshift Architecture	36
7.4	Openshift Requirements	37
8	Implementation ESB in Openshift	38
8.1	Microservice Technologies	39
8.1.1	JBoss Fuse Integration Services 2.0	39
8.1.2	Wildfly Swarm	39
8.1.3	Fabric8	39
8.2	Security	40
8.2.1	Service	40
8.2.2	Openshift	41
8.3	Configuration	42
8.3.1	Service	42
8.3.2	Openshift	44
8.4	Tracing	44
8.4.1	Service	44
8.4.2	Openshift	45
8.5	Logging	45
8.5.1	Service	45
8.5.2	Openshift	48
8.6	Fault Tolerance	49
8.6.1	Service	49
8.6.2	Openshift	49
8.7	REST API-Management	50
8.7.1	Service	50
8.7.2	Client	51
8.7.3	Openshift	54

8.8	Openshift Project	54
8.8.1	Scripts	55
8.8.2	Templates	55
9	Discussion ESB in Openshift	57
9.1	Managing Multiple Environments	58
9.2	Managing Service Security	60
9.3	Managing Multiple Service Versions	62
9.4	Managing Migration of Public API	64
9.5	Managing Adapters and Message Translator as Services	66
9.6	Further Work	67
	References	68
	Literature	68
	Online sources	69

Abstract

An Enterprise Service Bus (ESB) is a crucial part of an enterprise, which connects the enterprise to its partners, customers and other branches. The appearance of containerization, cloud services and the microservice architecture have provided new possibilities for implementing and running an ESB. But, an ESB is commonly used by large conservative enterprises, which don't adapt new technologies fast, and wait until a new technology has proven itself. Especially the cloud is something the industry denied to use for a long time, because of the fact, that the infrastructure and data are managed and maintained by external service providers.

These days, we live in the so called cloud age, where global enterprises like Red Hat or Amazon provide cloud services such as Platform as a Service (PaaS), which are offered with a on demand pricing model, and which can scale with the business. Enterprises start to consider to move their ESB installations to the cloud to profit from the cloud service provided features, such as flexible costs and scalability. Moving an ESB to the cloud, will be a long term process for an enterprise, because the established processes for development, running and managing the ESB will have to change.

This master thesis has the goal to give the reader an overview of the cloud related concepts and technologies such as Infrastructure as Code (IaC) or Docker, which are the base for cloud services such as PaaS solutions like Openshift. The implemented prototype, available at <https://github.com/cchet-thesis-msc/prototype>, shows how an ESB could be implemented with Openshift.

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Motivation

Large enterprises work with several independent applications, where each application covers an aspect of a business of the enterprise. In general, these applications are from different vendors, implemented in different programming languages and with their own life cycle management. To provide a business value to the enterprise, these applications are connected via a network and they contribute to a business workflow. The applications have to interexchange data, which is commonly represented in different data formats and versions. This leads to a highly heterogeneous network of applications, which is hard to maintain.

The major challenge of an IT department is the integration of independent applications into the enterprise application environment. The concept of Enterprise Application Integration (EAI) provides patterns, which help to define a process for the integration of applications into a heterogeneous enterprise application environment. One of these patterns is the Enterprise Service Bus (ESB), which is widely used in the industry [HW08].

Often, the term ESB application is used to refer to an ESB, which integrates internal and external hosted applications. But an ESB is a software architectural model, rather than an application. The term could have been established by the usage of middleware such as JBoss Fuse, which provides tooling to integrate applications into an ESB. JBoss Fuse is based on the JBoss Enterprise Application Platform (JBoss EAP), where the applications are integrated in a existing runtime environment[Red18c].

With the appearance of cloud solutions, such as Platform as a Service (PaaS), it is now possible to move an ESB from a dedicated environment to a cloud environment, whereby each integration service has its own runtime environment, rather than joining an existing runtime environment. The concept of Integration Platform as a Service (IPaaS) is built on top of PaaS, and enhances a common PaaS solution with the integration features required by EAI[DG15; Liu+15a].

1.2 Objectives

This thesis aims to implement an ESB on Openshift PaaS. Commonly, an ESB is implemented with the help of middleware such as JBoss Fuse, which is based on the JBoss Enterprise-Application-Platform (JBoss EAP). The concepts of PaaS and IPaaS are in general new to the industry, which commonly hosts their integration services in their own data centers, due to the lack of trust for cloud solutions and knowledge about the new approaches such as the microservice architecture[Red18d].

Before implementing an ESB in a PaaS solution such as Openshift, it is necessary to understand the new concepts such as Infrastructure as Code (IaC) or containerization with Docker, which are covered in the following chapters. The microservice architecture and cloud services such as PaaS are becoming more important for the software industry, because of the features they provide. For instance, Red Hat is currently moving its ESB middleware JBoss Fuse to the cloud, whereby JBoss Fuse will fully rely on Openshift, and the integration services will have to be implemented as microservices. This has a huge impact on Red Hats customers, who are used to JBoss Fuse on top of JBoss EAP.

This thesis was commissioned by the company Gepardec IT Services GmbH, a company which is working in the area of Java Enterprise and Cloud Development. The migration from a monolithic ESB to a microservice structured ESB, which is hosted in a PaaS environment, is a major concern for them. The migration from a monolithic ESB to a microservice structured ESB will be a major challenge for their customers, because microservice architecture and cloud solutions are mostly new to them.

Over the past years a huge technology dept has been produced by the industry, due to the monolithic architecture of their applications and little refactoring work on their application sources. It will be hard for them to reduce the produced technology dept, which they will have to, to keep competitive. Gepardec sees a lot of potential for their business and their customers in this new approach of implementing and hosting an ESB.

The term “monolithic ESB” refers to an ESB implementation, where all integration services are part of a single application, and therefore are part of the application life-cycle, instead of having an own life-cycle per integration service. An integration service is a service, which integrates two or more other service with each other, by handling aspects like data transformation, routing or security between the integrated services.

Chapter 2

Infrastructure as Code

Infrastructure as Code (IaC) is a concept to automate system creation and change management with techniques from software development. Systems are defined in a Domain Specific Language (DSL), which gets interpreted by a tool, which creates an instance of the system or applies changes to it. IaC defines predefined, repeatable routines for managing systems [Kie16]. IaC descriptions are called templates, cookbooks, recipes or playbooks, depending on the tool. In the further course, the IaC definitions will be called templates. The DSL allows to define resources of a system such as network, storage and routing descriptively in a template. The DSL abstracts the developer from system specific settings and provides a way to define the system with as little configuration as possible. The term system is used as a general description. In the context of IaC, a system can be anything which can be described via a DSL.

2.1 The Need for Infrastructure as Code

In the so called iron age, the IT systems were bound the physical hardware and the setup of such a system and its change management were a long term, complex and error prone process. These days, we call such systems legacy systems. In the cloud age, the IT systems are decoupled from the physical hardware and in the case of PaaS they are even decoupled from the operating system [Kie16]. The IT systems are decoupled from the physical hardware and operating system, due to the fact, that cloud providers cannot allow their customer to tamper with the underlying system and hardware. In general, the hardware resources provided by a cloud provider are shared by multiple customers.

With IaC it is possible to work with so called Dynamic Infrastructure Platforms, which provide computing resources, where the developers are completely abstracted from the underlying system. Dynamic infrastructure platforms have the characteristic to be programmable, are available on-demand and provide self service mechanisms, therefore we need IaC to work with such infrastructures [Kie16]. Systems deployed on a dynamic infrastructure platform are flexible, consistent, automated and reproducible.

Enterprises which stuck to legacy systems face the problem that technology nimble competitors can work with their infrastructures more efficiently, and therefore can demand lower prices from their customers. This is due to the IaC principles discussed in Section 2.2 on the following page. Over a short period of time, enterprises will have to move to IaC and away from their legacy systems to stay competitive. The transition process could be challenging for an enterprise, because they lose control over the physical hardware and maybe also over the operating system. Maintaining legacy systems has the effect that someone is close to the system and almost everything is done manually. IaC has the goal to automate almost everything, which requires trust for the cloud providers, who provide the computing resources and the tooling, which provides the automation. A well known problem, which enterprise will face, is the so called Automation Fear Spiral, which is shown in Figure 2.1.




Figure 2.1: Automation Fear Spiral

Because of no trust for the automation, changes are applied manually to the systems and outside the defined automation process. If the system is reproduced, definitions may be missing in the templates, which leads to an inconsistent system. Therefore, enterprises have to break this spiral to fully profit from IaC [Kie16].

When enterprises have moved their legacy systems to IaC, they can not only manage their systems faster, they also can profit from the principles of IaC as discussed in Section 2.2 on the following page. With IaC, systems are less complicated to manage, changes can be applied without fear, and the systems can easily be moved between environments. This provides the enterprises with more space to maneuver, systems can become more complex but still easy to manage, the systems can be defined and

created faster which could lower costs.

2.2 Principles of Infrastructure as Code

The principles of IaC solve the problems of systems of the iron age. In the iron age the creation and maintenance of systems were a long, complicated and error prone process which consumed a lot of resources and time. With the decoupling of the physical hardware from the system, the creation and maintenance of the system has become simple, due to the IaC DSL and tooling.

2.2.1 Infrastructures are Reproducible

With IaC, systems are easy reproducible. It is possible to reproduce the whole infrastructure or parts of it effortlessly. Effortless means, that no tweaks have to be made to the templates or during the reproduction process and there is no need for a long term decision process about what has to be reproduced and how to reproduce it. To be able to reproduce system effortlessly is powerful, because it can be done automatically, consistently and with less risk of failures [Kie16]. The reproducibility of a system is based on reusable templates which provide the possibility to define parameters, which are set for the different environments as shown in Figure 2.2.




Figure 2.2: Schema of a parametrized infrastructure deployment

2.2.2 Infrastructures are Disposable

Another benefit of IaC is that systems are disposable. Disposable means, that systems can be easily destroyed and recreated. Changes made to the templates of a system does not have to be applied on an existing system, but can be applied by destroying and recreating the system. An requirement for a disposable system is, that it is understood that systems will always change. Other systems relying on a disposable system need to address that the system could change at any time. Systems

must not fail because a disposable system disappears and reappears again because of a redeployment [Kie16].

2.2.3 Infrastructures are Consistent

Systems managed with IaC are consistent, because they are defined via a template and all instances are an instance of the template, with the little configuration differences defined by parameters. As long as the system changes are managed by IaC, the system will stay consistent, and the automation process can be trusted.

In Listing 1 an example for an IaC template is shown, which defines a Docker Compose service infrastructure for hosting a Wildfly server instance [Doc18d; Red17]. This system can consistently be reproduced on any environment supporting Docker, Docker Compose and providing values for the defined parameters.

```
version: "2.1"
services:
  wildfly:
    container_name: wildfly
    image: wildfly:latest
    ports:
      - "${EXPOSED_PORT}:8080"
    environment:
      - "POSTGRES_DB_URL=${POSTGRES_DB_URL}"
      - "POSTGRES_DB_NAME=${POSTGRES_DB_NAME}"
      - "POSTGRES_USER=${POSTGRES_USER}"
      - "POSTGRES_PASSWORD=${POSTGRES_PASSWORD}"
```

Listing 1: Example for an IaC template for Docker Compose

2.2.4 Actions are Repeatable

Building reproducible systems, means that any action applied to the system should be repeatable. Without repeatability, the automation cannot be trusted and systems wouldn't be reproducible. An instance of a system in another environment should be equal to any other system instance, except for the configurations defined by parameters. If this is not the case, then a system is not reproducible, because it will have become inconsistent [Kie16].

IaC is a concept which makes it very easy to deal with systems in the cloud age. Enterprises can make use of IaC to move their legacy systems to the cloud, where they can profit from the principles of IaC. Nevertheless, before an enterprise can profit from IaC, it has to apply clear structures to their development process, as well as sticking to the principles of consistency and repeatability. For experienced administrators, who are used to maintain systems manually, it could sometimes be hard to understand why they are not supposed to perform any actions on the system manually anymore, nevertheless that a manual change could be performed faster.

Being capable to reproduce a system at any time with no effort, or applying changes on an existing system in a predefined and consistent manner, makes enterprises very flexible and fast. Enterprises will not have to fear future changes in requirements and technologies of their systems anymore.

Chapter 3

Containerization with Docker

Docker is a tool for creating, provisioning and interacting with Linux Containers (LXC) [Doc18f; Lin18a]. LXC are a lightweight version of virtualization, which does not have the resource impact of a full virtualization such as Operating System (OS) virtualization. The differences of LXC and a Virtual Machine (VM) are covered in Section 3.3. Docker has become very popular over the past years, due to the fact, that it made it possible to easily work with LXC. Docker relies strongly on the principles of IaC which has been discussed in Chapter 2. When using Docker, Linux Containers are often referred to as Docker Containers.

Containerization is a key factor when hosting applications in the cloud, because the applications are normally packaged in images and run as containers on the cloud platform. Containerization provides features for a fast, effortless and consistent way of running applications in the cloud, which is discussed in the following Section 3.1.

3.1 The need for Containerization

Containerization is a key factor for cloud platforms such as PaaS, where each application runs in its own isolated environment, called a container. A container is an instance of an image, which represents the initial state of an application. A VM represents a full blown OS, where the OS provides a kernel, which is emulated on the host OS by the Hypervisor. A Hypervisor is a software which can create, run and manage VMs. A container uses the kernel provided by the host OS and therefore there is no need for an emulation. A container does not represent a full blown OS, but still provides features normally provided by an OS such a networking and storage [Sch14].

Containers are faster to create, to deploy and easier to manage compared to VMs. Nevertheless, cloud platforms use virtualization for managing their infrastructure, where the containers run on the provisioned VMs. The usage of containers compared to the usage of VMs can reduce costs for hosting applications. Enterprises can profit from hosting their applications of containers in several ways. Applications hosted in containers need lees resources than applications hosted in VMs, because

there is no virtualized OS and no need for kernel emulation. The creation, deployment and startup of containers are faster, because only the isolated process needs to be started and not a full blown OS. Docker is well supported by Integrated Development Environments (IDEs), which provide support for creating Docker Image definitions (Dockerfiles) and provisioning of Docker Containers on a local or remote environment [Doc18b].

When enterprises have applied IaC to their infrastructure, then the next logical step is to integrate their applications into IaC as well. Applications hosted in containers profit from the IaC principles immutability, reproducibility, repeatability and consistency. Therefore, Docker strongly relies on IaC and provides tooling for automating creation and provisioning of Docker Containers, which is used by PaaS platforms such as Openshift. With Docker, developers define the hosting environment for their applications and not system administrators anymore. Nevertheless, developers can profit from the deep Linux knowledge of system administrators, to define the Docker Images efficiently, to keep them small and secure. The following Section 3.2 will give an overview of the Docker technology, its architecture and artifacts.

3.2 Docker

This section covers Docker, which is the most popular tool to work with LXC. Docker is open source but also provides an enterprise support. The core part of the Docker technology is the Docker Engine, which is discussed in Section 3.2.1. The Docker Engine is the part of the Docker technology that actually runs the containers. The Docker Images are managed in a so called Docker Registry, which is a repository for Docker Images. The most popular Docker Registry is Docker Hub, which is a free service, where anyone can provides Docker Images [Doc18c].

3.2.1 Docker Engine

Figure 3.1 illustrates the Docker Engine architecture hosted on a Linux OS. The Docker Engine is build by layers, where each layer communicates with the layer beneath.




Figure 3.1: Docker Engine architecture

The Docker Engine was initially designed for LXC exclusively but has been ported to Windows. Docker Images and Containers created for Windows OS are not supported on a Linux OS and visa versa. The Docker Images and Containers for a Windows OS differ from those for a Linux OS, but the principles of Docker Images and Docker Containers are the same.

Docker Daemon

The Docker Daemon represents the background process, which creates, runs and manages the Docker Containers on the Docker Host, similar to a VM Hypervisor. The Docker Daemon strongly depends on the kernel of the host OS, therefore incompatibilities could cause the Docker Daemon to fail functioning. The communication with the Docker Daemon is performed via a REST-API, because the Docker Engine is designed as a server client architecture.

REST-API

The REST-API can be exposed via a Unix socket or a network interface, depending on the configuration of the Docker Daemon. If the REST-API is exposed via a network interface, then it is recommended to secure the connection with client certificate authentication. If the Docker Engine and the Docker Client are located on the same host, then commonly the REST-API is exposed via a Unix socket and does not need any special security.

Docker Command Line interface

The Docker Engine provides a Docker Command Line Interface (CLI) for interacting with the Docker Daemon via a Linux shell. The Docker CLI itself communicates with the Docker Daemon via the exposed REST-API. This is the most common way to interact with a Docker Daemon. The Docker CLI provides commands for creating Docker Images and Containers and for provisioning the Docker Containers on the Docker Host.

Docker Images

Docker Images are defined via Dockerfiles, which contain instructions how to build the Docker Image. A Docker Image consists of layers, where each layer represents a state of the file system, produced by a Dockerfile instruction. Each layer is immutable and any change on the file system produces a new layer. Docker Images are hierarchical and can inherit from another Docker Image, which is then called base image. Docker Images support only single inheritance and the base image is defined via the *FROM* instruction as the first instruction in the Dockerfile. Docker Image names have the structure *[namespace]/[name]:[version]* e.g. *library/openjdk:8-alpine*.

Docker Containers

A Docker Container is an instance of a Docker Image, where a new layer is appended, which contains all changes made on the file system by the running process within

the Docker Container. When the Docker Container is deleted, then the appended layer gets deleted as well and all made changes on the file system are lost. A Docker Container keeps running as long as the contained foreground process is running. Without a foreground process the Docker Container stops immediately after it was started. The process running in the Docker Container is isolated from other processes, as well is the file system, the process has access to.

3.2.2 Docker Architecture

The Figure 3.2 illustrates the Docker architecture, which is a client server architecture. The design as a client server architecture is the reason why the communication to the Docker Daemon is performed via the provided REST-API. The Docker Client communicates with the Docker Daemon via the Docker CLI, where the Docker Client can be located on a remote host or on the Docker Host. The Docker Host hosts the Docker Engine, which exposes the REST-API the Docker Client connects to. The Docker Engine managed the Docker Images and Containers located on the Docker Host. The Docker Engine can pull Docker Images from a remote Docker Registry, if a registry has been registered.




Figure 3.2: Docker Architecture

3.2.3 Docker Machine

Docker Machine is a tool for managing local or remote Docker Hosts [Doc18a]. With Docker Machine an administrator can manage multiple Docker Hosts from a main server, without the need to connect to the Docker Host via secure shell (SSH). The Docker Machine CLI provides all commands necessary for managing Docker Hosts. Docker Engine provisions Docker Containers on a Docker Host and Docker Machine provisions Docker Hosts, in particular Docker Engines installed on docker Hosts. With Docker Machines a network of Docker Hosts can be managed, which is used by cloud platforms such as Openshift to manage Docker Engines on the nodes within the Openshift cluster.

3.3 Virtualization vs. Containerization

Before LXC the industry made heavy use of operating system (OS) virtualization to isolate their environments and applications. A VM is managed by a Hypervisor, which is software, which can create, run and manage VMs. The VM provides resources such as network and storage for the application, which is managed by the virtualized OS. Nevertheless, an VM represents a full blown OS, which itself has a resource need which adds to the resource needs of the hosted application. LXC on the other hand are a kernel technology, which provides resources such as network and storage to the application as well, but without the need of virtualized OS.

3.3.1 Virtual Machines

A Virtual Machine is an instance of a Virtual Machine Image (VMI), which is managed by a Virtual Machine Monitor (VMM), which is also referred to as the Hypervisor. The actual difference between a VMM and a Hypervisor is where the software is installed on. If the software is directly installed on the Hardware, then the software is called a Hypervisor, if its installed on the Host OS then its called a VMM. The VM abstracts an Guest OS from the Host OS, in particular from the underlying hardware. A VM contained Guest OS is not bound to the underlying hardware, because the Hypervisor performs a kernel emulation, which allows to virtualize any Guest OS on any hardware, if the hypervisor supports it. The following Figure 3.3 illustrates the architecture of a virtualization system.




Figure 3.3: Architecture of virtualized applications

Glauber Costa's started the abstract of his talk at the LinuxCon 2012 with the humorous note *"I once heard that Hypervisors are the living proof of operating system's incompetence"*. With this note he expressed that OS weren't able to provide proper isolation for applications and therefore the industry started to provide an OS instance for each application [Cos12]. This has been overcome with the upcoming of LXC, which provide the proper isolation of applications on the same OS, which made the need for an OS instance for each application obsolete.

3.3.2 Linux Container

The upcoming of LXC has eliminated the shortcoming to not be able to isolate applications properly of the Linux OS, which lead to using OS virtualization to isolate applications. LXC provide the feature of isolating applications running on the same OS, without the need of a kernel and hardware emulation as it is done with OS virtualization. As illustrated in Figure 3.4, the application process, binaries and libraries are bundled into the container and are isolated from other containers. Each container gets a portion o the global resources such as CPU cycles and memory assigned and cannot consume more as it has been assigned to. Without LXC it is possible that one process takes over the system resources and other processes get into state of starvation, which lead to need of OS virtualization.




Figure 3.4: Architecture of containerized applications

The two most important kernel features underlying LXC are *Cgroups* and *Namespaces*. These two kernel features provide the resource control and isolation needed for application isolation and prevention of process starvation.

Cgroups

Cgroups stands for control groups and Cgroups provide the ability to aggregate processes, their child processes and threads within theses processes to groups managed in a tree structure. Each group gets a portion of the global resources such as CPU time, memory, I/O and network assigned, where its guaranteed that a group and its managed processes cannot consume more resources as the group has been assigned to. Each application hosted in a container is assigned to a group, where an application cannot steal resources from another application anymore, because the resource assignments of an group managed by Cgroups prevents this from happening [Cor14; Heo15; Men18].

Namespaces

Cgroups manage how many resources can be used by processes in a group and

namespaces manage the view of the system to processes. A container is managed in a namespace and therefore it has a limited view of the system such as networks and Process IDs (PIDs), depending on the configuration of the namespace the container is part of. Namespaces are a fundamental concept of LXC, and namespaces provide the isolation of a container [Cor14; Lin18b].

Docker has made the usage of LXC simple, but it is very hard to maintain a large set of Docker Containers (>100) via the Docker CLI, or to implement and maintain a cluster of Docker Hosts with Docker Machine. To much would have to be scripted manually, which would fast become very hard to maintain. Additionally, Docker does not provide any workflow for deployment and scaling of Docker Containers, and also does not ensure that a desired state of the containers is met. For a local development or a small set of containers the Docker CLI, Docker Compose and Docker Machine are suitable, but when it comes to large dynamic infrastructures with a large set of Docker Containers to maintain, then container orchestration platforms like Kubernetes, which is discussed in Chapter 4 on the next page, will have to be used [Doc18e; Kub18d].

Chapter 4

Container as a Service with Kubernetes

Container as a Service (CaaS) is a term introduced by cloud providers, which provide a cloud based on demand container environment. But CaaS is more than just an on demand container environment like Docker, it provides orchestration and monitoring tooling for containers, and additionally CaaS is considered to be a model for IT organizations and developers how they can ship and run their applications anywhere. There are multiple CaaS providers on the market, but the most popular CaaS providers are Azure Container Service, Amazon Elastic Container Service for Kubernetes (Amazon EKS) and Google Kubernetes Engine, where they bring in their own flavor of CaaS but all of them use Kubernetes beneath [Ama18a; Goo18b; Kub18d; Mic18b].

Kubernetes is a container orchestration platform for automating deployments, scaling and operation of containers across a Kubernetes Cluster of Kubernetes Worker-Nodes. Kubernetes has been invented by Google and is open source since 2015 and managed by the Cloud Native Computing Foundation, where the Cloud Native Computing Foundation is under the umbrella of the Linux Foundation. Kubernetes has become the most popular container orchestration platform on the market and is used by many CaaS and PaaS providers [Clo18a].

4.1 The need for Container as a Service

Enterprises and developers are facing the need to dynamically apply to workloads and to roll out new version of their services fast. For applying dynamically to workloads a dynamic infrastructure is necessary to scale services up if the workload increases and to scale services down when the workload decreases, which is non trivial to be handled manually. Rolling out new versions requires a well defined workflow which specifies the roll out behavior, which also is non trivial to handle. For such uses cases a container orchestration platform like Kubernetes can be used, which provides workflows for roll out and support for scaling containers along with many other features. Kubernetes makes it possible to effortlessly manage complex service infrastructures, service scaling and the roll out of services. Thus, complex service infrastructures become simple to implement and manage.

Kubernetes uses IaC, which has been discussed in Chapter 2 on page 3, and therefore provides all of the principles of IaC as discussed in Section 2.2 on page 5. Kubernetes provides a DSL, which allows to specify the desired state of the Kubernetes Cluster such as running containers, container replicas and provided container resources such as RAM, CPU and network. Kubernetes automatically ensures that the state of the Kubernetes Cluster meets its specification. Thus, the developers have only the need to specify the desired state of their Kubernetes Cluster. Kubernetes provides enterprises an infrastructure for their services, which is effortlessly to specify and maintain, because of the automation tooling provided by Kubernetes. This makes it easy to modify the infrastructure at any time, which allows enterprises to apply fast to new requirements.

4.2 Kubernetes

Kubernetes is a platform to orchestrate containers in a cluster, where the Kubernetes Cluster-Nodes can be placed in the cloud or on a dedicated servers. Kubernetes is designed as a client server architecture and a master slave architecture. One node in the Kubernetes Cluster acts as the Kubernetes Master, which is discussed in Section 4.2.2 on page 18, and the other nodes in the Kubernetes Cluster act as the Kubernetes Workers, which are discussed in Section 4.2.3 on page 19. The Figure 4.1 illustrates the architecture of a Kubernetes Cluster.




Figure 4.1: Architecture of a Kubernetes Cluster

4.2.1 Kubernetes Objects

Kubernetes Objects are persistent objects in the Kubernetes System, and the Kubernetes Objects describe the state of the Kubernetes Cluster. The Kubernetes Cluster

ensures that the state of the cluster meets the state specified by the Kubernetes Objects. The developers don't have to manually perform actions in the Kubernetes Cluster, they just have to modify the specification of the state of the Kubernetes Cluster and the Kubernetes Clusters itself will ensure that the new state is applied on the Kubernetes Cluster. The following sections will describe some of the common used Kubernetes Objects. The overview of all Kubernetes Objects is covered by the Kubernetes API reference documentation [Kub18a].

Pod

A Pod is a group of one or more containers which are managed together. A Pod specification contains the specification for each container in the group. All of the containers of a Pod are always scheduled on the same Kubernetes Worker and will be deployed, started and stopped as a single unit. In a pre-container world all of the applications represented by the containers would have been hosted on the same physical machine. A Pod allows to bundle containers together which are acting as a single service, for instance a web application container with a caching container [Kub18c].

Service

A service is an abstraction which defines a set of Pods and policies how to access them. The connection to the Pod via the service abstraction is handled by the Kubernetes Proxy. The service abstraction is necessary because a Pod can be hosted on any Kubernetes Worker within the Kubernetes Cluster, and the Pod will therefore get a random IP assigned which makes it impossible to address the Pod directly. If multiple replicas of a Pod are running, then the service will connect to a Pod of the replica set, depending on the chosen algorithm [Kub18e].

Secret

A secret is an abstraction to manage sensitive data, which is consumed by containers. A secret holds sensitive data and hides it behind a name. The secret can be referenced by a container specification by its name. A referenced secret will be injected into the container either as an environment variable or a file. Developers reference secrets in the specifications by their name, and only the referencing containers can access the sensitive data the secret holds.

ConfigMap

A configuration map is similar to a secret, but is intended to hold non sensitive data. A configuration map is meant to hold configurations such as logging configuration, which is consumed by containers. Configuration map also hide the data behind a name which can be referenced by a specification, and can they can be injected into containers the same way as secrets are. Configurations can be replaced during the container is up and and will be re-injected when the container restarts.

4.2.2 Kubernetes Master

The Kubernetes Master is the master node in the Kubernetes Cluster. It is responsible for managing the Kubernetes Worker-Nodes and containers running on those nodes. The Kubernetes Master exposes a REST-API via the clients can interact with the cluster. The node hosting the Kubernetes Master should be exclusively for the Kubernetes Master. The following sections briefly introduce the Kubernetes Master-Components, which are responsible for managing the Kubernetes Cluster [Kub18b].

Kubernetes CLI (kubectl)

Kubectl is the CLI of Kubernetes, which provides an interface to manage the Kubernetes Cluster and to manage Pods running on the Kubernetes Worker-Nodes. Kubectl is similar to the Docker CLI, but does not support direct interacting with Docker. Kubectl interacts with the Kubernetes Cluster via a REST-API exposed by the Kubernetes Master API-Server. Kubectl can be used from any client machine which can connect to the cluster without any special setup.

Distributed Key-Value Store (etcd)

Etcd is a distributed key-value store and provides a reliable way for sharing data within a cluster. It is the key component for the communication between the Kubernetes Master and the Kubernetes Worker-Nodes. The Kubernetes Master provides configuration for the Kubernetes Nodes and retrieves state information from the Kubernetes Worker-Nodes [Cor18].

Kubernetes API-Server (kube-apiserver)

The Kubernetes API-Server exposes the interface for interacting with the Kubernetes Cluster and is located on the Kubernetes Master. It represents the frontend of the Kubernetes Cluster and provides all necessary API to manage the cluster and the Pods running on it.

Kubernetes Scheduler (kube-scheduler)

The Kubernetes Scheduler watches the Kubernetes Cluster for newly created Pods and assigns the Pods to a Kubernetes Worker-Node. The Kubernetes Scheduler decides which Kubernetes Worker-Node is suitable for the Pod. Multiple factors are taken into account for scheduling decisions such as individual specifications, resource requirements, available resources and hardware/policy/software constraints.

Kubernetes Controller Manager (kube-controller-manager)

The Kubernetes Controller Manager is responsible for the managing of the different controllers. A Kubernetes Controller is running in a loop and ensures that the state of the system is valid, depending on the controller type. For instance, the replication controller ensures the correct number of Pods for each replication controller object

within the Kubernetes Cluster. Kubernetes provides a set of controllers such as a replication controller, node controller, endpoint controller and service account controller.

4.2.3 Kubernetes Worker

The Kubernetes Worker is a node within the Kubernetes Cluster which acts as the slave node which hosts the Pods and is managed by the Kubernetes Master. The Kubernetes Worker can be a VM or a physical machine depending on the Kubernetes Cluster setup. It contains the Kubernetes Runtime-Environment and Docker. The following sections briefly introduce the Kubernetes Worker-Components, which are responsible for running the Pods on the Kubernetes Worker-Node [Kub18b].

Kubernetes Agent (kubelet)

The Kubernetes Agent is a process running on the Kubernetes Worker-Nodes which interacts with the Kubernetes Master via the Kubernetes API-Server. The Kubernetes Agent ensures that the containers are running in a Pod as specified by the provided Pod specifications. The Pod specifications can be provided by a file in a specific directory (gets periodically checked), or via the Kubernetes API-Server.

Kubernetes Network-Proxy (kube-proxy)

The Kubernetes Network-Proxy manages the networks defined by the specifications and reflects the services which are bound to a Pod. It can perform simple TCP and UDP forwarding and can be connected to multiple backends. Any communication of a Pod to another Pod or to the Internet is handled by the Kubernetes Network-Proxy.

Container Runtime

The container runtime is the software responsible for running the containers on the Kubernetes Worker. Kubernetes supports multiple container runtimes, but usually its Docker which has been discussed in Chapter 3 on page 8.

Kubernetes provides all features to implement a dynamic scalable service infrastructure such as workflows for rolling out services, replica management, secret and configuration management, which enterprises can profit from. Secrets are protected from being accessed by the developer and configurations can be applied without building a new service artifact. Kubernetes enhances Docker with orchestration tooling necessary to run large scale dynamic containerized service infrastructures. Nevertheless, sometimes even Kubernetes is not suitable enough for some use cases, which can be overcome with PaaS platforms like Openshift, which is discussed in the following Chapter 5 on the next page.

Chapter 5

Platform as a Service with Openshift

Platform as a Service (PaaS) is a cloud service which provides an on demand platform for building, deploying and running containerized applications in the cloud. PaaS can be seen as an enhancement of CaaS, which has been discussed in the former Chapter 4 on page 15. A PaaS platform does not only provide a container runtime for running containers in the cloud but also tooling for building, deploying and monitoring of containerized applications as well as security mechanisms for securing those applications. There are multiple PaaS providers on the market but the most popular PaaS providers are RedHat Openshift Online, Microsoft Azure Cloud Services, Google App Engine and AWS Elastic Beanstalk. They all bring in their own flavor of PaaS but they all provide similar features necessary by an PaaS platform [Ama18b; Goo18a; Mic18a; Ope18a].

PaaS providers usually provide templates for the major programming languages and application servers, and integration to other cloud services as well. External cloud services of the same vendor are usually better supported than cloud services of other vendors. This is normal, because cloud providers want the developers to use their service over the services of the competition. What all PaaS providers have in common is the consumption based pricing model, where only the consumed physical resources have to be paid for.

IPaaS can be seen as an enhancement of PaaS which is suitable for implementing an ESB which is discussed in Chapter 6 on page 26. IPaaS enhances an ordinary PaaS platform by providing tooling for integrating external service effortlessly, via a low/no code platform, where services can be integrated via an UI, rather than by implementing source code. RedHat JBoss Fuse 7 is an example for an IPaaS platform which will replace JBoss Fuse 6.x in the near future [Liu+15a; Liu+15b; Red18a].

Openshift Origin is an open source PaaS platform, which has been released in April 2012 and is the upstream project for Openshift. Before Openshift 3 (Jun 2013), Openshift used its own container runtime and orchestration tooling, which since Openshift 3 have been replaced by Docker and Kubernetes, because of its popularity and general availability. Openshift is the only major PaaS platform of the formerly

noted ones which can be self hosted or hosted by a local provider. The other formerly noted PaaS providers such as Microsoft Azure are only available as a cloud service hosted in the vendors data centers [Ope18b].

5.1 The need for Platform as a Service

As mentioned in Section 4.2.3 on page 19, there are some use cases where Kubernetes or in particular CaaS is not suitable anymore. CaaS is suitable if its used by developers, but not for persons without any deep knowledge of Docker and Kubernetes. This is where PaaS platforms come into place, which provide a web console and a template mechanism, which can be used by non-developers. Developers specify templates for the provided services which contains all technical parts of a service infrastructure and non-developers provide values for the exposed parameters which are non-technical, and the PaaS platform instantiates the template and deploys the service infrastructure automatically.

Enterprises can profit from PaaS platforms by defining templates for services they provide for their departments, partners or customers, who can create an instance of a provided service on demand, and destroy it if not needed anymore. PaaS platforms provide a self service console, where services can be created, managed and destroyed effortlessly without the need to understand the underlying technology. The self service console could be implemented by enterprises for their specific use cases, where the self service console interacts with PaaS platform via its exposed API.

PaaS platforms like Openshift usually provide an integration in a Continuous Integration / Continuous Deployment (CI/CD) workflow, which allows to automatically build and deploy new service releases in the PaaS platform automatically via web hooks. Therefore, the PaaS platforms are integrated in the whole software life cycle. This decreases the effort of the developers to interact with the cloud platform and provide additional automation.

5.2 Openshift

Openshift is a open source PaaS platform, which uses Docker and Kubernetes for the Docker Container orchestration. Openshift is designed as a client server architecture and a master slave architecture, the same way as a Kubernetes Cluster, which has been discussed in Section 4.2 on page 16. An Openshift Cluster can contain multiple Kubernetes Clusters which are managed by a Openshift Master-Node, which is discussed in Section 5.2.1 on the following page, which manages the Kubernetes Master-Nodes. Openshift provides Openshift Projects, which are discussed in Section 5.2.2 on the next page, which place all defined resources in a Kubernetes namespace, and which are isolated form each other. The following Figure 5.1 on the following page illustrates the architecture of an Openshift Cluster [Ope14; Ope18c].




Figure 5.1: Architecture of a OpenShift Cluster

5.2.1 OpenShift Master

The OpenShift Master-Node manages the Kubernetes Master-Nodes of the Kubernetes Clusters the OpenShift Cluster contains. The OpenShift Master exposes a REST-API via the clients can interact with the OpenShift Cluster. Therefore that OpenShift is placed on top of Kubernetes, the OpenShift Master-Node acts similar as a Kubernetes-Master-Node, which has been discussed in Section 4.2.2 on page 18. Additionally OpenShift provides features Kubernetes does not, such as a role and group based security model for isolating the Kubernetes Namespaces via OpenShift Projects and controllers for managing the additional OpenShift Objects. The following Section 5.2.2 discusses OpenShift Projects, which are the main feature provided by OpenShift.

5.2.2 OpenShift Project

An OpenShift Project represents a Kubernetes namespace, where all resources of an OpenShift Project are located. An OpenShift Project provides the isolation and security Kubernetes Namespaces do not provide. The Figure 5.2 on the next page illustrates the OpenShift Project-Architecture, its contained Objects and their dependency to each other. The bold marked objects within the Project representing

the Openshift Objects which are provided by Openshift.




Figure 5.2: Architecture of a Openshift Project

Openshift Objects are persistent objects in the Openshift System, and the Openshift Objects describe the state of the Openshift Cluster. This behavior has been inherited from the underlying Kubernetes System as discussed in Section 4.2.1 on page 16. The following sections briefly introduce the new Objects provided by Openshift.

BuildConfig

A Build Configuration specifies the way how a Docker Image is built on the Openshift platform. The built Docker Image is pushed into the Openshift internal Docker Registry. Openshift Build Configurations support the following listed strategies:

- The *Source-to-Image (S2I)* strategy is the build strategy which builds a Docker Image from source code.
- The *Docker* strategy is the build strategy which builds a Docker Image from a Dockerfile.

- The *Custom* strategy is the build strategy which build a Docker Image with a custom implemented build mechanism.
- The *Pipeline* strategy is the build strategy which performs a Jenkins pipeline build on a Jenkins build server.

The necessary resources for the particular build strategy are provided via a git repository, and a Build Configuration can be triggered by an external service such as Github via a web hook [Ope18d].

ImageStream

An Image Stream and its Image Stream-Tags are an abstraction of the actual used Docker Image and an Image Stream uses the same naming convention as Docker Tags (E.g *myproject/app:1.0*), where

- *myproject* represents the Image Stream namespace,
- *app* represents the Image Stream name and
- *1.0* represents the Image Stream-Tag.

An Image Stream-Tag references the actual Docker Image by its tag. Once the Docker Image has been imported, it will not be automatically pulled again unless the Image Stream-Tag has the name *latest* which causes Openshift to always to pull the referenced Docker Image.

A Docker Image can be updated in a Docker Registry, which would break the consistency principle, because it wouldn't be the same Docker Image as used before the update. An Image Stream or in particular the Image stream-Tag prevents this, by referencing the actual Docker Image instance instead of only referencing the Docker Image by its tag. This approach makes the Docker Image immutable within a Open-shift Project, unless the latest version is explicitly defined.

DeployConfig

A Deployment Configuration specifies how a deployment of an Pod has to be performed. A Deployment Configuration allows to specify the Kubernetes life cycle hooks pre-hook or post-hook, which are used to configure the deployed Pod before its process has started (pre-hook) or after its process has started and is ready (post-hook). Deployment Configurations support the following listed deployment strategies:

- The *Rolling* strategy is the deployment strategy which waits for the new deployment to be ready before the old deployment gets removed.
- The *Recreate* strategy is the deployment strategy which removes the old deployment when the new deployment gets started.
- The *Custom* strategy is the deployment strategy which performs the deployment by a custom implementation.

Route

A Route exposes a Service with a host name to an external network (mostly the Internet), so that it can be reached by its host name from clients located outside of the Openshift Cluster. The Route is deployed on a Openshift Router, which performs the routing between the external network and the connected Service. A Route can be secured with TLS, where the certificates of the Openshift Cluster can be used or the certificate can directly be defined in the Route definition.

Chapter 6

Enterprise Service Bus

An Enterprise Service Bus (ESB) is a architectural pattern which describes a distributed computing architecture, where distributed services are interacting with each other via the ESB. The ESB pattern is part of the Service Oriented Architecture Patterns (SOA).

An ESB in the industry is mostly be taken as a third party middleware, which provides features for implementing integrations with the Enterprise Integration Patterns (EI). Enterprises use third party middleware like JBoss Fuse for implementing integration services, which integrate external and internal services. JBoss Fuse is based on the Enterprise Application Platform (EAP), and bundles common frameworks used for integrations such as Camel, and is responsible for orchestration and mediation of the services.

The integration of internal as well as external services has become more important over time, especially with the upcoming of cloud solutions which provide PaaS. Common ESB middleware on the market usually define the ESB as a single application, which contains all integration services. But the need for flexibility and shorter response times drives enterprises to split up their teams and integrations. This leads to separated services, which are managed as microservices, which have their own life cycle. De-coupling of teams leads to de-coupling of services, where the services need to provide a well defined and managed public API [HW08; Red05; The15].

6.1 The need for an Enterprise Service Bus

Enterprises need an ESB to provide integrations between internal and external services or both, where the integration is meant to provide a business value for the enterprise. An integration of an external service could enhance the reach of a customer, who now could be able to consume external partner services via the enterprise provided infrastructure or product. In the digital age, it is normal to consume a digital service like Netflix, which runs a streaming service. Thus, integrations an enterprise has to provide and maintain will become more over time.

6.2 Architecture

Figure 6.1 illustrates the conceptional architecture of an ESB, which orchestrates and mediates integration services. A service can act either a producer service, which gets accessed by clients, or can act as a consumer service, which acts as the client for a producer service. The ESB is responsible for orchestration, mediation, security, transformation, routing and service discovery, whereby these aspects are covered by a ESB middleware provided frameworks and libraries. Additionally, an ESB middleware provides libraries which help to implement Service Components under consideration of the SOA and EI Patterns [HLA05; Mas18].




Figure 6.1: Architecture of an ESB




Figure 6.2: Architecture of a bi-directional enterprise integration

Figure 6.2 on the preceding page illustrates a bi-directional integration of services between two partner enterprises, where each integrated service is allowed to be consumed by the partner’s customers, but only if the services is accessed via the partner’s infrastructure. The ESB of the enterprises integrate the partner’s provided service into their service domain, which can be accessed by their customers. For instance, an IP-TV provider can be integrated by an Internet Service-Provider (ISP), to provide Internet TV to their customers.

6.3 ESB with EAP

An ESB is an architectural pattern for a distributed system, and has been implemented in software to provide an integration platform to developers, so that they can implemented integration services. Before the upcoming of the cloud, ESB implementations used existing platforms such as EAP, OSGI or Karaf for the service orchestration and mediation. In case of EAP, the platform provides all libraries and frameworks developers need for implementing an integration service. Mostly, the integration services are managed within a single application, which represents the ESB. This is a monolithic approach of organizing integration services, but has the advantage that the management of the services is easier, because the source code is not separated, and therefore the implementations of all services needs to be consistent at compile time. Figure 6.3 illustrates the monolithic organization of the integration services within a single ESB application, which is hosted on EAP.




Figure 6.3: ESB running on EAP

With the upcoming of cloud platforms such as PaaS, the cloud can now take over the mediation, orchestration and security aspects of an ESB. The integration services can be completely separated and de-coupled from each other, designed as microservices with their life cycle which can be managed by the cloud platform. Additionally, the integration service are hosted in a clustered environment, which allows them to be distributed among multiple nodes, which increases fail over security. The new term for this kind of ESB is IPaaS, whereby the ESB is represented by an PaaS platform such as Openshift, which provides all tooling for implementing integration services [Liu+15a; Liu+15b].

6.4 ESB with Openshift

With the upcoming and general availability of cloud platforms like PaaS, it was possible to move an ESB into the cloud, whereby the cloud platform takes over some aspects of the ESB middleware such as mediation and orchestration. A main problem of existing ESB implementations is the fact, that all integration services are managed within a single application, which represents the ESB. If the ESB is an cloud platform, the integration services have to be implemented as microservices, which forces developers to separate their integration services into separate code bases and to provide a proper designed and managed public API for their services. Figure 6.4 illustrates the integration services of an ESB application, which is running on Openshift.




Figure 6.4: ESB application architecture with Microservices

As discussed in the introduction of this Chapter, enterprises need to separate their integrations and teams, to be faster and more responsive to business changes. Therefore, the microservice architecture, which is necessary when the ESB is represented by a cloud platform, can help enterprises to separate their teams and integration services.

6.5 Integration example

This Section will discuss a integration and how it would have been designed as part of a monolithic ESB application. The integration discussed in this chapter is the base for the prototype of this thesis, which is specified in Chapter 7 on page 32. Figure 6.5 on the following page illustrates the integration, contained services and involved service domains. The integration service integrates an external database with an internal application, which is consumed by a public client. How the database is allowed to be accessed, is implemented in the integration service, which is the only

service allowed to communicate with the external located database.




Figure 6.5: Integration Service-Domains

Figure 6.6 illustrates the design of the integration in a monolithic ESB application with the use of Service Component Architecture (SCA). A service within the ESB application is represented by a Service Component, which exposes consumable services (*Service*) and is connected to other services or external systems (*Reference*).




Figure 6.6: Integration Services with SCA

ESB middleware, such as JBoss Fuse, provides frameworks and libraries, which implement the SCA patterns and provide a lightweight way of implementing Service Components. The Service Component orchestration, mediation is done by the ESB middleware. Additionally, the ESB middleware provides bindings for commonly used technologies such as REST or SAOP, for Services and References. Thus, the devel-

operators don't have to setup for instance a REST-Server or REST-Client anymore, but only need to provide the service contract and connection settings [Lop08; Ric15].

The next Chapter 7 on the following page will specify the prototype, which will implement the integration, which was discussed in this Section. The integration will be implemented with the microservice architecture, whereby Openshift will act as the ESB, where the services are running on. The service structure will be the same as illustrated in Figure 6.6 on the previous page, whereby the Service Components will become microservices, which bring in their own runtime environment and libraries. Openshift will take over the orchestration, mediation and security aspects of an ESB middleware.

Chapter 7

Design ESB in Openshift

In this chapter, the ESB integration as discussed in Section 6.5 on page 29, will be designed as microservices, which can run on a Openshift Cluster. A Openshift Project will act as the ESB, which will provide the orchestration, mediation, configurations and secrets for the integration services. The concrete functionality of the services is considered not to be important. The goal is to redesign the Service Components of Figure 6.6 on page 30 as microservices, and to design the Openshift Project, which will host the integration services.

As discussed in Chapter 6 on page 26, an ESB is a distributed computing architecture, where distributed services act as a consumer or producer. These integration services provide a business value for an enterprise in form of an integration of an internal or external service. There are multiple providers of ESB middleware like JBoss Fuse, which provide tooling for implementing Service Components running on an ESB. The prototype will illustrate that SOA Service Components can be implemented as microservices, where features provided by the ESB middleware such as mediation and bindings will have to be replaced by other implementations.

7.1 Microservice Architecture

Figure 7.1 on the next page illustrates the microservice architecture of the integration prototype, based on the architecture of Figure 6.6 on page 30. Conceptually, the transformation of a Service Component to a microservice is easy, because a Service Component and a microservice act very similar. Compared to a Service Component of a ESB middleware, a microservice is completely separated from the other services, brings in its own dependencies and runs in its own runtime environment. From the implementation point of view, the microservice needs to provide an runtime environment, which formerly was provided by the ESB middleware. Therefore, that the microservices are completely separated, the access of other services is not mediated as usual anymore, because the communication is now performed via standard protocols like HTTP(S). In a monolithic ESB application running on EAP, every Service Component accessed via a Reference uses the actual service instance within the same runtime environment. With microservices, running in their own runtime

environment, it is not possible to access the service instance directly anymore. Only communication via standard protocols such as HTTP(S) is supported.




Figure 7.1: Microservice Architecture

7.2 Microservice Aspects

In this section the necessary aspects of microservices are discussed, which will ensure that the integration services are properly implemented and can effortlessly be managed. Especially the monitoring of the integration services becomes very important when moving from a conventional monolithic ESB application, like an application running on JBoss Fuse, to microservice based integration services. Integration services running as microservices on Openshift, are running in their own runtime environment and therefore cannot share any runtime resources, which are available in a monolithic application.

Figure 7.2 on the next page illustrates the hierarchy of the microservice aspects, which are discussed in the following sections. The in the following discussed microservice aspects shall ensure that the microservices are

- secure,
- configurable for multiple environments,
- observable by developers and operators,
- resilient to failures
- and measurable.

Microservice Aspects
API Management
Fault Tolerance
Metrics
Logging
Tracing
Configuration
Security

Figure 7.2: Service Requirements

7.2.1 Security

Distributed microservices need to be secured properly from unauthorized access, therefore the microservices will be secured with OAuth. OAuth is a token based authentication scheme, which has become popular over past few years. There are several open source implementations for interacting with a authentication service via the OAuth scheme. The Integration Service must authenticate its client the Application Service against an central authentication service, whereby the Application Service will retrieve the access tokens from the central authentication service [oau18].

7.2.2 Configuration

The MicroProfile specifications provide the MicroProfile-Config specification, which provides an API to inject configuration parameters into objects. The injected configuration parameters are loaded from so called configuration sources. A configuration source can either be Java System-Properties, Environment Variables, Properties Files, YAML Files or custom implementations for instance to retrieve configurations from a database. The microservices must be implemented in a way to be configurable for different stages such as DEV (development), TEST (testing) and PROD (productive environment), whereby the services are only allowed to use configuration parameters via injection [Ecl18a].

7.2.3 Tracing

The MicroProfile specifications provides the OpenTracing specification, which provides an API for tracing an application on a method level or across service boundaries. Distributed Tracing allows to comprehend service or method call chains of distributed services. There is open source tooling available to analyze the collected

tracing data provided by the distributed services. The services must collect reasonable tracing information and send this data to a central tracing service [Clo18b].

7.2.4 Logging

Distributed Logging allows to comprehend logs across service boundaries within a service call chain, where the logs of all involved services have to be marked with the same transaction id. There is open source tooling available to analyze the collected logs. The services must provide all of their logging to a central service, whereby the logs are marked with a transaction id, which is provided by the OpenTracing API. Optionally, the services are allowed to add additional markers, which can help developers and operators to analyze problems or to group service logs.

7.2.5 Metrics

The MicroProfile specifications provide the specification MicroProfile-Metrics, which provides an API to define and manage metrics. Metrics allow to comprehend the state of a microservice such as resource consumption, REST-API calls or Failure counts. Metrics along with distributed tracing and distributed logging, provide the necessary data, operators need to analyze failures in services, which occurred in service call chains. The services must provide and publish metrics, which can be made available to a central metric service.

7.2.6 Fault Tolerance

The MicroProfile specifications provide the specification MicroProfile-Fault-Tolerance, which provides an API to define fault tolerance behavior such as retries, timeouts and error fall-backs. The fault tolerance of a service means, that if a depending service is not accessible at the time, a service must not fail immediately after the first try, but the service should retry to call the depending service for several times, and fail when all retries have failed. Such a behavior ensures that short timed communication errors, redeployments or overloads do not immediately cause a service to fail. The services must provide proper fault tolerance configuration and fall-back behavior to be able to recover from such errors in a proper manner. [Ecl18b].

7.2.7 API Management

The API management of a public API such as REST-API and REST-Models ensure that the clients, using a public API, are not broken by changes made on that API. There are several opinions on how API management can be done. A public API has to be stable per design, and needs to evolve and provide backward compatibility in a way, so that clients have enough time to catch up with the changes. Swagger has become very popular for documenting REST-API, where the documentation can be used to generate clients, provide documentation for developers and to test the public API. The services must be capable of migrating their public API in a way that the clients are not broken by made changes and need to publish the Swagger definitions of their public REST-API. [Par18; Sma18].

7.3 Openshift Architecture

Figure 7.3 illustrates the design of the Openshift Project, which will host the integration services. As discussed in Section 5.2 on page 21, Openshift isolates the namespaces, by bringing in the concept of an Openshift Project. Services hosted in an Openshift Project which are not exposed via an Openshift Route, are implicitly protected from external access from the Internet or services hosted in other Openshift Projects. The Openshift Project will contain the Application Service, the Database Service and the Integration Service. The Application Service has access to the Internet and will be accessed by the Client from the Internet via its public address. The Integration Service and the Database Service are not exposed to the Internet and can only be accessed within the Openshift Project by their service names.




Figure 7.3: Openshift Project architecture

The orchestration and mediation of the services are managed by Openshift, whereby the services communicate with each other via their service names, which ensures that the communication stays within the Openshift Project. If multiple service instances are present, then Openshift handles the request assignment via Round Robin. Openshift will provide the configurations and secrets referenced by the integration service templates to the services as configured.

7.4 Openshift Requirements

The implementation of the Openshift resources such as templates and scripts must be implemented under consideration of the principles of IaC, which has been discussed in Section 2.2 on page 5. Keeping to the principles of IaC will ensure, that the Openshift Project can effortlessly be managed.

The Openshift Project will host the integration services and manage their configuration and secrets via Openshift ConfigMaps and Openshift Secrets, which have been discussed in Section 4.2.1 on page 16. The configurations and secrets are managed outside the microservice code bases and are provided by the hosting Openshift Project for a specific stage the Openshift Project represents. The configurations and secrets are supposed to be managed by operators and are only made available to the services during runtime and cannot be accessed by developers.

The integration services shall manage their integration into Openshift, by providing the necessary templates, whereby configurations and secrets are referenced by their predefined names and keys. The integration service must expose all necessary configuration parameters, which allow to configure the integration service for a specific stage a Openshift Project represents.

For demonstration purpose the Openshift Project hosting the integration services, shall host a tracing service and a log aggregation service as well. This is due to the fact, that in shared environments only HTTP(S) protocol is allowed, but tracing and logging data is usually send via UDP protocol.

The next Chapter 8 on the following page will discuss the implementation of the in this chapter designed prototype.

Chapter 8

Implementation ESB in Openshift

This chapter will discuss the implemented prototype, which has been designed in Chapter 7 on page 32. The implemented prototype uses lots of Java Enterprise Frameworks and Java Enterprise-Platform specifications, which are beyond the scope of this thesis, therefore a focus will be set on the implementations of the aspects discussed in Section 7.2 on page 33. It is assumed, that the reader is familiar with Java Enterprise Development, Java Enterprise Frameworks, Maven and the microservices architecture. The implemented prototype is available on Github ¹. The repository contains a *README.adoc* file, which describes how to setup the prototype on a Windows Host.

The integration services are implemented as microservices, with their own life cycle, and run as standalone applications in Docker Containers on the Openshift Cluster. The integration services communicate via REST with each other, whereby each service provides a proper managed public API. The code bases of the integration services are managed separately, which completely de-couples the integration services from each other.

As the prototype illustrates, the ESB is represented by an Openshift Project on an Openshift Cluster, whereby the Openshift Cluster acts as the platform for the hosted integration services, and the Openshift Project represents the ESB application. The integration services will be hosted in an Openshift Project, whereby the Openshift Project provides features as discussed in Section 5.2.2 on page 22 for managing the life cycle of the hosted integration services. The implemented resources for managing the Openshift Project are discussed in Section 8.8 on page 54.

The following Section 8.1 on the next page will briefly introduce the used technologies and frameworks for implementing the integration services with the microservice architecture.

¹<https://github.com/cchet-thesis-msc/prototype>

8.1 Microservice Technologies

The following sections will give a brief introduction about the most important technologies and frameworks, used to implement the integration services as microservices. Each implemented integration service is setup the same way, because the concrete purpose of the service does not matter, when the microservices have to be integrated into a distributed service network. All of the following technologies and frameworks provide all necessary API and implementations for implementing a microservice, which is hosted on a Openshift Cluster.

8.1.1 JBoss Fuse Integration Services 2.0

JBoss Fuse Integration Services 2.0 is a set of tooling for developing integration services running on a Openshift Cluster. It provides Openshift integrations for different frameworks such as Spring Boot, Karaf or Camel. The services are started via an Java-Agent such as Prometheus or Jolokia, which are used to monitor the service during runtime. Additionally, a Maven Plugin is provided, which allows to interact with the Openshift Cluster during a Maven build, whereby the integration service life cycle on an Openshift Cluster can be managed via Maven Goals. JBoss Fuse Integration Services 2.0 allows developers to interact with a Openshift Cluster in a way like developers did before with an application server like Wildfly [Huß18; Pro18].

8.1.2 Wildfly Swarm

Wildfly Swarm is the Java Enterprise answer to Spring Boot, and is a framework, which allows to package an application into an Uber-JAR. An Uber-JAR is a packaged standalone application, which can be started with the command `java -jar`. During the packaging, only those components of an application server are packaged, which are referenced and needed by the application. The application can then be started via `java -jar app.jar`, whereby the application server is bootstrapped programmatically. The Uber-Jar is a repackaged Java Web-Archive, which could be hosted in any application server environment, which provides all of the referenced dependencies, which are added during the repackaging [Red18e].

During the implementation of the prototype Wildfly Swarm has been renamed to Thorntail.io², whereby the namespace has been renamed from *org.wildfly.swarm* to *io.thorntail* as well.

8.1.3 Fabric8

Fabric8 is an integrated development platform for developing applications on Kubernetes. Fabric8 provides the Maven Plugin for the JBoss Fuse Integration Services 2.0, and focuses on building Docker Images, managing Kubernetes or Openshift resources and deploying Java applications on Kubernetes or Openshift Clusters [Red18b].

²<https://thorntail.io/>

The following sections will discuss the implementations of the microservice aspects as discussed in Section 7.2 on page 33.

8.2 Security

The integration services are secured with OAuth, and authenticate their clients via Keycloak. Keycloak is used as the authentication service, and is a very popular open source identity and authentication application. Wildfly Swarm provides an integration into Keycloak via the Keycloak Adapter, which needs to be added as a dependency to the Maven *pom.xml*, and to be configured what resources to protect.

8.2.1 Service

This section will discuss the implementation of the security in the service implementations. Listing 2 shows the dependency, which brings in the Keycloak Adapter. The Keycloak Adapter integrates itself into the Java Web-Security mechanisms, and can therefore be configured with Java Web-Security security constraints.

```
<dependency>
  <groupId>org.wildfly.swarm</groupId>
  <artifactId>keycloak</artifactId>
</dependency>
```

Listing 2: Wildfly Swarm Keycloak-Adapter dependency in *pom.xml*

Listing 3 shows an excerpt of the Wildfly Swarm configuration file *project-stages.yml*, which configures the security constraints for the REST Endpoints.

```
swarm:
  deployment:
    ${project.artifactId}.war:
      web:
        login-config:
          auth-method: "KEYCLOAK"
        security-constraints:
          - url-pattern: "/rest-api/*"
            roles: "[client]"
```

Listing 3: Security configuration in *project-stages.yml*

The following two listings are excerpts of the *deployment.yml* Openshift Template, which is managed in the integration service code base. Listing 4 on the next page shows the specification of the secret injection into a Docker Volume. The secrets are injected as files, whereby the file name represents the secret key and the file content represents the secret value. Therefore, that the secrets are managed externally, the developers need to provide the secret name for the service deployment configuration. In this case an expression is used, which can be replaced by Maven Properties,

whereby the Maven Properties can be provided in the *pom.xml* or provided/over-written by Java Options during the Maven Build process.

```
template:
  spec:
    volumes:
      - name: "app-config"
        secret:
          secretName: "${oc.secret-service-app}"
```

Listing 4: Configuration of the secret injection in deployment.yml

Listing 5 show the specification of the mount of the Docker Volume, which provides the secrets. The mount path is also represented by a Maven Property, because this path is also used in the *project-stages.yml* file, where it points to the integration service configuration source for the productive stage. The secrets consumed by the services are used the same way as non-sensitive configurations, which are discussed in Section 8.3 on the next page.

```
containers:
  - name: "${project.artifactId}"
    volumeMounts:
      - name: "app-config"
        mountPath: "${oc.secret-service-app.dir}"
```

Listing 5: Configuration volume mount in deployment.yml

8.2.2 Openshift

This section will discuss the Openshift implementation, whereby the implementation is represented by a shell script, which manages the integration service Openshift Secrets. The secrets are managed outside the code bases of the integration services, and are supposed to be maintained by operators.

Listing 6 on the following page shows the Openshift CLI-Commands, which are used to create the Openshift Secrets. The first command creates an Openshift Secret from literal values, which provides the configurations for the integration service. The second command creates an Openshift Secret from a file, whereby the filename is the secret key and the file content is the secret value. The secret file is used by the Keycloak Adapter to validate the client OAuth tokens.


```
oc create secret generic "${SECRET_SERVICE}" \
  --from-literal="service.db.base-url=${SERVICE_BASE_URL}"
  --from-literal="keycloak.token-url=${SERVICE_AUTH_URL}"
  --from-literal="keycloak.client.id=${SERVICE_CLIENT_ID}"
  --from-literal="keycloak.client.secret=${SERVICE_CLIENT_SECRET}"

oc create secret generic "${SECRET_SERVICE_KEYCLOAK}"
  --from-file="./keycloak.json"
```

Listing 6: Openshift CLI command for creating the secret

This section discussed the implementations, which are necessary to secure integration services hosted on an Openshift Cluster via OAuth with Keycloak. No source code is necessary, only configuration. The following Section 8.3 will discuss the configuration of the integration services, which can be applied to the security as well, because secrets in Openshift are used in the service implementations the same way as configuration parameters.

8.3 Configuration

The integration services use the MicroProfile Config specification to be configurable for multiple stages by being able to consume configuration parameters from different configuration sources via injection. Developers are bound to the configuration/secret name, keys and their value type. Developers are not bound to the configuration/secret source, which allows to provide configurations/secrets from different sources and for different stages.

8.3.1 Service

This section will discuss the implementation of the configuration definition and usage. Listing 7 shows the dependency, which brings in the MicroProfile Config specification, to enable injectable configurations.

```
<dependency>
  <groupId>org.wildfly.swarm</groupId>
  <artifactId>microprofile-config</artifactId>
</dependency>
```

Listing 7: Wildfly Swarm MicroProfile-Config dependency in pom.xml

Listing 8 on the next page shows the definition of the configuration source in the *project-stages.yml* for the development stage, whereby the configuration parameter values are provided hard coded.

```

project:
  stage: "dev"
swarm:
  microprofile:
    config:
      config-sources:
        app.secrets:
          properties:
            service.db.base-url: "http://localhost:8080/rest-api"
            keycloak.token-url: "http://localhost:9080/auth/token"
            keycloak.client.id: "client"
            keycloak.client.secret: "client-secret"

```

Listing 8: Hard coded configuration for development stage

Listing 9 shows the definition of the configuration source in the *project-stages.yml* for the production stage, whereby the configuration parameter values are loaded via a directory. The directory location is represented by an Maven Property, because its used in multiple configuration files, as already discussed in Section 8.2.1 on page 40. The MicroProfile Config specification will load files in this directory by using the filename as the key and the file content as the value.

```

project:
  stage: "prod"
swarm:
  microprofile:
    config:
      config-sources:
        app.secrets:
          dir: "${oc.secret-service-app.dir}"

```

Listing 9: External configuration for production stage

Listing 10 shows the injection of the Keycloak Secrets into a CDI Bean, whereby the source of the configuration is unknown. The injected configuration properties are retrieved from a Openshift Secret, but are used in the source code the same way as configurations.

```

@Inject
@ConfigProperty(name = "keycloak.token-url")
private String keycloakTokenUrl;
@Inject
@ConfigProperty(name = "keycloak.client.id")
private String keycloakClientId;
@Inject
@ConfigProperty(name = "keycloak.client.secret")
private String keycloakClientSecret;

```

Listing 10: Injection of Keycloak configuration parameters

8.3.2 Openshift

The Openshift implementation has already been covered by Section 8.2.2 on page 41, because all of the configurations are managed as Openshift Secrets, because they contain sensitive data.

8.4 Tracing

The integration services use the MicroProfile OpenTracing specification to provide tracing data to a central tracing service. Jaeger³ is used as the tracing service, which collects all tracing data and provides a GUI for analyzing the collected traces.

8.4.1 Service

This section will discuss the implementation of the service tracing. Listing 11 shows the dependency, which brings in the MicroProfile OpenTracing specification to enable tracing.

```
<dependency>
  <groupId>org.wildfly.swarm</groupId>
  <artifactId>opentracing</artifactId>
</dependency>
```

Listing 11: Wildfly Swarm MicroProfile-OpenTracing dependency in pom.xml

Listing 12 shows the configuration in the *project-stages.yml* for the integration into the Jaeger tracing service, whereby the configuration parameters are provided by Maven Properties, environment variables and literals. The configuration properties are created as System Properties by Wildfly Swarm, whereby expressions like *\${env.JAEGER_PORT}* are resolved during startup.

```
JAEGER_SERVICE_NAME: "${project.build.finalName}"
JAEGER_AGENT_HOST: "${env.JAEGER_HOST}"
JAEGER_AGENT_PORT: "${env.JAEGER_PORT}"
JAEGER_REPORTER_LOG_SPANS: "true"
JAEGER_REPORTER_FLUSH_INTERVAL: "1000"
JAEGER_SAMPLER_TYPE: "const"
JAEGER_SAMPLER_PARAM: "1"
```

Listing 12: Configuration for integration into Jaeger in project-stages.yml

Listing 13 on the following page shows a class which is annotated with `@Traced` on class level, which enables tracing for all methods within this class. The annotation `@Traced` enables an interceptor, which implements the tracing logic.

A trace is a set of so called spans, whereby a span represents one call in a call

³<https://www.jaegertracing.io/>

chain and contains meta-data of the call such as call duration. The interceptor creates a new span for each call and appends the created span to an existing parent span, or the created span is the parent span.

```
@Traced
public class ReportServiceImpl implements ReportService {
    ...
}
```

Listing 13: Enable tracing for a class

8.4.2 Openshift

The communication between the integration services and tracing service is done via UDP protocol, and therefore Openshift does not need any special configuration. Openshift does not interfere with outgoing connections, only incoming.

8.5 Logging

The integration services provide logging to a central log aggregation service. Graylog⁴ is used as the log aggregation service, which collects all logging data and provides a GUI for analyzing the aggregated logs.

8.5.1 Service

This section will discuss the implementation of the service logging. Listing 14 shows the dependencies, which bring in the logging implementations. SLF4J⁵ has been chosen as the logging facade, whereby an integration into Wildfly Swarm used JBoss Logging is provided by SLF4J.

```
<dependency>
  <groupId>org.wildfly.swarm</groupId>
  <artifactId>logging</artifactId>
</dependency>
<dependency>
  <groupId>org.jboss.slf4j</groupId>
  <artifactId>slf4j-jboss-logging</artifactId>
  <version>${slf4j.jboss-logging.version}</version>
</dependency>
```

Listing 14: Wildfly Swarm logging dependencies in pom.xml

The following listings are part of the *project-stages.yml* configuration file and configure logging for different stages. Listing 15 on the following page shows the configuration of the logging format, which uses Mapped Diagnostic-Context (MDC)

⁴<https://www.graylog.org/>

⁵<https://www.slf4j.org/>

parameters to mark a log entry with the transaction id. The configured formatter is used for all stages, because it has been defined globally.

```
swarm:
  logging:
    pattern-formatters:
      DEFAULT_LOG_PATTERN:
        pattern: "%d{yyyy-MM-dd HH:mm:ss,SSS} %-5p (%t) [%C{2}] \
transaction.id=%X{transaction.id} - %m%n"
```

Listing 15: Logging format configuration in project-stages.yml

Listing 16 shows the logging configuration for the development stage.

```
swarm:
  logging:
    root-logger:
      level: "DEBUG"
      handlers:
        - "CONSOLE"
```

Listing 16: Logging configuration for development stage in project-stages.yml

Listing 17 on the following page shows the configuration in the *project-stages.yml* for the logging of the production stage, where the service is contributing its logs to a central log aggregation service. A Syslog Logging-Handler is configured, which sends the logs to the log aggregation service via the Syslog⁶ protocol. The configuration where to send the logs, is provided via System Properties, which are created during startup out of environment variables, which are set with values of an Openshift Secret.

Listing 18 on the next page shows the implementation of the interface *ContainerRequestFilter*, provided by the JAX-RS specification, which is used to capture the trace transaction id on a REST Endpoint. The implementation is depending on the Uber MicroProfile-OpenTracing implementation, because the specification itself does not provide an accessor for the transaction id yet. The captured transaction is set into MDC, where the formatter defined in Listing 15 references the set MDC parameter.

⁶<https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5424>

```

GRAYLOG_HOST: "${env.GRAYLOG_HOST}"
GRAYLOG_PORT: "${env.GRAYLOG_PORT}"
GRAYLOG_PROT: "${env.GRAYLOG_PROT}"

swarm:
  logging:
    custom-handlers:
      SYSLOGGER:
        named-formatter: "DEFAULT_LOG_PATTERN"
        attribute-class: "org.jboss.logmanager.handlers.SyslogHandler"
        module: "org.jboss.logmanager"
        properties:
          serverHostname: "${GRAYLOG_HOST}"
          port: "${GRAYLOG_PORT}"
          protocol: "${GRAYLOG_PROT}"
          hostname: "${project.build.finalName}"
    root-logger:
      level: "WARN"
      handlers:
        - "SYSLOGGER"

```

Listing 17: Configuration of the logging for production stage

```

@Provider
public static class MDCContainerRequestFilter
    implements ContainerRequestFilter {

    @Inject
    private Instance<Scope> scopeInstance;

    @Override
    public void filter(ContainerRequestContext requestContext)
        throws IOException {
        // Uber specific format 'aaa:ffff:0:1'
        final String tracingId = scopeInstance.get().span()
            .context().toString()
            .split(":")[0];
        MDC.put("transaction.id", tracingId);
    }
}

```

Listing 18: Capture of tracing id on REST Endpoint

Listing 19 on the next page shows the CDI Producer method, which provides the logger instances for injection points. The logger is produced for the Dependent Scope, which means that the life cycle of the logger is managed by the object, which gets the logger injected.

```

@ApplicationScoped
public class LoggerConfiguration {

    @Produces
    @Default
    @Dependent
    Logger createLogger(final InjectionPoint ip) {
        if (ip.getBean() != null) {
            return LoggerFactory.getLogger(ip.getBean()
                                           .getBeanClass());
        } else if (ip.getMember() != null) {
            return LoggerFactory.getLogger(ip.getMember()
                                           .getDeclaringClass());
        } else {
            return LoggerFactory.getLogger("default");
        }
    }
}

```

Listing 19: CDI Producer for dependent scoped logger instances

Listing 20 shows a class using an injected logger to log a info message. As this examples illustrates, the user code has no knowledge about a log aggregation back-end or about a transaction id.

```

@ApplicationScoped
public class ReportServiceImpl implements ReportService {

    @Inject
    private Logger log;

    @Override
    public ReportModel generateReportForCustomer(Long id) {
        log.info("Generating report for customer id");
        ...
    }
}

```

Listing 20: Logger usage

8.5.2 Openshift

The integration services send their logs to a central log aggregation service via the UDP protocol, and therefore there are no special settings for Openshift necessary. Nevertheless, logs send to the console are collected by Openshift and can be analyzed in the Openshift Web-Console.

8.6 Fault Tolerance

The integration services use the MicroProfile FaultTolerance specification to define fault tolerance behavior on methods. Hystrix⁷ is a popular framework for failure handling in applications, was the inspiration for the MicroProfile FaultTolerance specification, and is used as the back-end for the Wildfly Swarm provided dependency.

8.6.1 Service

The integration services use the MicroProfile Fault-Tolerance specification to define the service fault tolerance behavior, which defines the service resilience. Listing 21 shows the dependency, which brings in the fault tolerance implementations.

```
<dependency>
  <groupId>org.wildfly.swarm</groupId>
  <artifactId>microprofile-fault-tolerance</artifactId>
</dependency>
```

Listing 21: Wildfly Swarm MicroProfile-FaultTolerance dependency in pom.xml

Listing 22 shows the CDI Producer method for producing the Keycloak token, which defines fault behavior for this method. The special use of the method as a CDI Producer method does not affect the fault tolerance logic. Each time when the producer method is called a token request is send to Keycloak, to retrieve an access token. The invocation is retried 5 times with a 100 millisecond delay, and each invocation is timed out after 5 seconds.

```
@Produces    // CDI Producer
@OAuthToken // CDI Qualifier
@Dependent  // CDI Scope
// Retries 5 times with 100ms delay on given exceptions
@Retry(delay = 100L, maxRetries = 5, retryOn = {
    TokenResponseException.class})
// Timeout invocation after 5 seconds
@Timeout(value = 5L, unit = ChronoUnit.SECONDS)
String obtainOAuthToken() throws IOException {
    return tokenRequest.execute().getAccessToken();
}
```

Listing 22: Fault tolerance definition on CDI Producer method

8.6.2 Openshift

The fault tolerance behavior as discussed in Section 8.6.1 only affects the service itself and not Openshift. But, Openshift provides a kind of fault tolerance, for instance by restarting crashed Pods.

⁷<https://github.com/Netflix/Hystrix/wiki/How-it-Works>

8.7 REST API-Management

The integration services use Swagger⁸ to provide documentation for their REST API. Swagger provides an intermediate format, which can be used by tooling for testing and client generation. The REST API represents the public view of the integration service, which is implemented in a way, so that it is de-coupled from the Service Logic, and supports several ways to perform API migrations.

The following sections will discuss the implementation of the REST API-Management on the service side and the REST API usage on the client side. Both use Swagger, whereby the service provides Swagger Documentation and the client uses the Swagger Documentation to generate REST Clients.

8.7.1 Service

This section will discuss the management and implementation of the service REST API. Listing 23 shows the integration service dependencies, which bring in the

- the Java BeanValidation implementation,
- the JAX-RS Server implementation,
- the JAX-RS Server Java-BeanValidation integration,
- and the Swagger implementations.

```
<dependency>
  <groupId>org.wildfly.swarm</groupId>
  <artifactId>bean-validation</artifactId>
</dependency>
<dependency>
  <groupId>org.wildfly.swarm</groupId>
  <artifactId>jaxrs</artifactId>
</dependency>
<dependency>
  <groupId>org.wildfly.swarm</groupId>
  <artifactId>jaxrs-validator</artifactId>
</dependency>
<dependency>
  <groupId>org.wildfly.swarm</groupId>
  <artifactId>swagger</artifactId>
</dependency>
```

Listing 23: Wildfly Swarm JAX-RS/Swagger dependencies in pom.xml

Listing 24 on the next page shows the *swarm.swagger.conf* configuration file, which configures Swagger for the documented integration service. During startup, Swagger will scan the configured packages for interfaces and classes, which provide documentation in form of Swagger Annotations. The scanned documentations are written

⁸<https://swagger.io/>

to a file names *swagger.json*, which contains the Swagger Documentation of the integration service REST API.

```
packages: "com.gepardec.esb.prototype.services.app.configuration,
          com.gepardec.esb.prototype.services.app.rest"
root: "/rest-api"
version: "1"
title: "${project.artifactId}"
```

Listing 24: Swagger configuration in swarm.swagger.conf

Listing 25 shows an interface, which specifies an REST Endpoint via JAX-RS Annotations, and provides documentation via Swagger Annotations. Additionally, Java BeanValidation-Annotations are used to define constraints for the input arguments of the REST Operations, so that validation is applied on all incoming requests. The JAX-RS, Java BeanValidation and Swagger Annotations are scanned and applied to the generated Swagger Documentation.

```
@Path("/report")
@Api(value = "ReportRestService",
     description = "The api for generating reports for customers")
public interface ReportRestService {

    @Path("/generate")
    @GET
    @Produces(MediaType.APPLICATION_JSON)
    @ApiOperation(value = "Generate report for the given customer id",
                  response = ReportModelDto.class)
    ReportModelDto generate(@QueryParam("id") @NotNull @Min(0) Long id);
}
```

Listing 25: JAX-RS interface with Swagger Annotations

8.7.2 Client

This section will discuss the implementation of the client, which uses the *swagger.json* file for generating a REST Client for the given swagger Documentation. The following listings will show the configuration of the Maven Plugins, which are used generate a REST Client out of a Swagger Documentation during a Maven Build.

Listing 26 on the next page shows the configuration of the Maven Helper-Plugin in the client *pom.xml* , which is used to add the generated REST Client sources for the compilation. The source directory points to the directory, where the generated REST Client sources are located.

```

<plugin>
  <groupId>org.codehaus.mojo</groupId>
  <artifactId>build-helper-maven-plugin</artifactId>
  <version>${build-helper-maven-plugin.version}</version>
  <executions>
    <execution>
      <id>add-source</id>
      <phase>generate-sources</phase>
      <goals><goal>add-source</goal></goals>
      <configuration>
        <sources>
          <source>${generatedClient}</source>
        </sources>
      </configuration>
    </execution>
  </executions>
</plugin>

```

Listing 26: Maven Helper-Plugin configuration in pom.xml

Listing 27 shows the configuration of the Maven Clean-Plugin in the client *pom.xml*, which is used to clean the unwanted generated sources and resources. The Swagger Maven-Plugin generates a standalone REST Client, which cannot be turned off, but only the plain generated models and interfaces are wanted, without any back-end.

```

<plugin>
  <groupId>org.apache.maven.plugins</groupId>
  <artifactId>maven-clean-plugin</artifactId>
  <version>${maven-clean-plugin.version}</version>
  <executions>
    <execution>
      <id>clean-swagger-sources</id>
      <phase>generate-sources</phase>
      <goals><goal>clean</goal></goals>
      <configuration>
        <!-- Only plain models and JAX-RS interfaces wanted -->
        <directory>${generatedClientDir}/src/main/java</directory>
      </configuration>
    </execution>
  </executions>
</plugin>

```

Listing 27: Maven Clean-Plugin configuration in pom.xml

Listing 28 on the next page shows the Swagger Maven-Plugin configuration in the client *pom.xml*, which is used to generate the REST Client during Maven Build. Custom Swagger Code-Generator templates are used, due to the fact that there is no Swagger Code-Generator, which only generates plain JAX-RS interfaces.

```

<plugin>
  <groupId>io.swagger</groupId>
  <artifactId>swagger-codegen-maven-plugin</artifactId>
  <version>${swagger-maven-plugin.version}</version>
  <executions>
    <execution>
      <id>generate-swagger-integration-database</id>
      <goals><goal>generate</goal></goals>
      <configuration>
        <language>jaxrs-cxf</language>
        <inputSpec>${apiDir}/app.json</inputSpec>
        <output>${generatedClientDir}</output>
        <apiPackage>${apiPackage}</apiPackage>
        <modelPackage>${modelPackage}</modelPackage>
        <templateDirectory>${templateDir}</templateDirectory>
        <generateSupportingFiles>false</generateSupportingFiles>
        <addCompileSourceRoot>false</addCompileSourceRoot>
        <modelNamePrefix>App</modelNamePrefix>
        <configOptions>
          <sourceFolder>/src</sourceFolder>
          <dateLibrary>java8</dateLibrary>
        </configOptions>
      </configuration>
    </execution>
  </executions>
</plugin>

```

Listing 28: Swagger Maven-Plugin configuration in pom.xml

Listing 29 shows the generated JAX-RS interface, which was generated out of the the Swagger Documentation, which was provided by the generated *swagger.json* file. The generated JAX-RS interface is very similar to the original JAX-RS interface, but could contain differences, for instance, when a REST Operation parameter of type string was documented as value type number.

```

@Path("/")
public interface ReportRestServiceApi {

    @GET
    @Path("/report/generate")
    @Produces({ "application/json" })
    AppReportModel generate(@QueryParam("id") @NotNull @Min(0) Long id);
}

```

Listing 29: Maven Clean-Plugin configuration in pom.xml

Listing 30 on the next page shows how to build an REST-Client for the generated JAX-RS interfaces, whereby developers work with the generated API, and the logic for handling the request and response is provided by RESTEasy⁹. Changes made to

⁹<https://resteasy.github.io/>

the REST API or its Swagger Documentation will cause compile errors, therefore the usage of the REST Client is type safe. The MicroProfile OpenTracing specification provides a JAX-RS Client-Filter, which integrates the REST Client request into the configured tracing back-end. This integration ensures that calls to another integration service, made via an REST Client, are part of an existing transaction or are the start of a new transaction.

```
Client client;
ReportRestServiceApi api;
ResteasyClientBuilder builder = new ResteasyClientBuilder();

// Configure and build client
client = builder.connectionCheckoutTimeout(2, TimeUnit.SECONDS)
               .establishConnectionTimeout(2, TimeUnit.SECONDS)
               .socketTimeout(2, TimeUnit.SECONDS)
               .connectionTTL(2, TimeUnit.SECONDS)
               .connectionPoolSize(200)
               // Appends Tracing feature for jaxrs client
               .register(ClientTracingFeature.class)
               // Sets OAuth token on Authentication header
               .register(AppendOAuthFilter.class)
               .build();

// Create proxy for JAX-RS interface
api = ProxyBuilder.builder(ReportRestServiceApi.class,
                        client.target(url))
                  // If rest operation consumes all types,
                  // then use 'text/plain'
                  .defaultConsumes(MediaType.TEXT_PLAIN)
                  .build();
```

Listing 30: Example of building a type safe REST Client

In the prototype, the built REST Clients are injectable into CDI Beans, whereby the Rest Clients are managed by a custom proxy to apply proper fault tolerance behavior to the REST Client method calls.

8.7.3 Openshift

The REST API-Management and migration does not affect Openshift, because the services REST API is either accessible only within the Openshift Project network, or is exposed via a single Openshift Route. Openshift does not provide any mechanisms for redirecting requests to other services based on path conditions, as for instance Nginx is capable to do so.

8.8 Openshift Project

This section will discuss the implementation of the Openshift Project, which represents the ESB. The implementations are represented by scripts, configurations

and secrets, which ensure that the Openshift Project is properly setup and provides all resources consumed by the services, such as Openshift Volumes, Openshift ConfigMaps and Openshift Secrets. The Openshift resources, which are consumed by the hosted integration services, are managed by one script per integration service.

The scripts, configurations and secrets are managed by operators, which ensure that the Openshift Projects are properly setup and provide all Openshift resources for the hosted integration services for a specific stage, the Openshift Project represents.

8.8.1 Scripts

This section will discuss the implemented scripts for managing the Openshift resources consumed by the services. Listing 31 shows an excerpt of an implemented script, which manages Openshift Secrets created from files for a service. The Openshift Secrets could also have been created from Openshift Templates, whereby the secrets are either hard-coded in the Openshift Templates or are provided via Openshift Template-Parameters.

```
# For creating configmaps
# oc create configmap == oc create secret generic
function createSecrets() {
    oc create secret generic ${SECRET_SERVIVE} \
        --from-env-file=./config.properties

    oc create secret generic ${SECRET_SERVIVE_KEYCLOAK} \
        --from-file=./keycloak.json
}

# For deleting configmaps
# oc delete configmap/config_name == oc delete secret/secret_name
function deleteSecrets() {
    oc delete secret/${SECRET_SERVIVE}
    oc delete secret/${SECRET_SERVIVE_KEYCLOAK}
}
```

Listing 31: Shell functions for managing Openshift Secrets via a CLI

The scripts are a convenient way for managing Openshift Secrets, and are more flexible than template based Openshift Secret-Management. With Openshift Templates, there would also be the need for scripts, which can create/modify/delete via Openshift Template created resources. The scripts are separated from the actual secrets or configurations, and can therefore be used for all stages, whereby each stage provides their own secrets and configurations.

8.8.2 Templates

There is no need for additional Openshift Templates, when it comes to the integration services, because Fuse Integration Services 2.0 and the Fabric8 Maven-Plugin provide all necessary resources and functionality to manage the integration services

via their own code bases. The code bases manage Openshift Templates such as the *deployment.yml*, which defines the environment for a integration service, by specifying

- the injection of configurations and secrets,
- the health checks,
- the resource limits,
- the roll-out behavior,
- and the accessible ports.

The Fabric8 Maven-Plugin manages only the particular integration service resources, by applying proper labels and accessing the resource by the applied labels.

The following Chapter 9 on the next page will evaluate and analyze the implemented prototype, if the prototype fulfills the specification of Chapter 7 on page 32 and if the prototype is a valid representation for an ESB in Openshift.

Chapter 9

Discussion ESB in Openshift

This chapter will discuss implemented prototype of Chapter 8 on page 38, and will discuss some important tasks such as

- managing multiple environments,
- managing service security,
- managing multiple service versions,
- managing public API migration,
- and managing Adapters and Message Translators as services,

which are very important, when running an ESB on Openshift. Whenever possible, Openshift will be compared to JBoss EAP, which is used as the platform for ESB middleware as discussed in Section 6.3 on page 28.

The prototype implementation represents an ESB running on Openshift, but is actually nothing more than an application represented by several microservices, running on a PaaS platform. Instead of managing a monolithic ESB application as discussed in Section 6.3 on page 28, the ESB application is split into independent microservices, which are managed completely separately, as discussed in Section 6.4 on page 29.

When splitting any monolithic application into independent microservices, then the sum of resources needed by all microservices of the application, will be higher as resources needed by a monolithic application. With microservices, more resources are needed, due to the fact, that each microservice brings in its own libraries and runtime environment, whereby the monolithic application runs in one runtime environment, such as JBoss EAP, where all libraries are shared. Then more heterogeneous libraries are used by the microservices, then more resources they will need to run. This a trade off, which needs to be considered when designing microservices for an ESB or any other application represented by microservices, or when splitting up a monolithic application to a microservice architecture.

Resource	Monolith	1 Micros.	10 Micros.	20 Micros.
<i>CPU/Cores</i>	2	1	10	20
<i>RAM/GB</i>	3	0.5	5	10

Table 9.1: Resource comparison of monolith and microservices

Table 9.1 is not a real world example, but shows the trend of the resource need of the whole application, when a monolithic application is split up into microservices. When the application is split up into too many microservices, than the needed resources increases drastically.

The following sections will discuss the tasks introduced in the beginning of this chapter, and will show that the task can be applied to implemented prototype as well.

9.1 Managing Multiple Environments

An ESB is commonly hosted on multiple environments, whereby at least one productive and one testing environment should be present. These environments where commonly a VM, which provides the runtime environment for the ESB. As the prototype shows, the environment is now represented by an Openshift Project, which can be reproduced easily via scripts as discussed in Section 8.8 on page 54.

The services hosted on the ESB are using Fuse integration Service 2.0 and its provided tooling, which ensure that the services are properly encapsulated in a container and properly managed in Openshift. Therefore, the service developers provide the necessary Openshift Templates, which has the effect, that the operators have no interaction with the service artifacts and runtime environments anymore. Operator have only to manage

- the Openshift Project, which hosts the services,
- the Openshift ConfigMaps, which hold the service non-sensitive configuration,
- the Openshift Secrets, which hold the sensitive service configuration,
- and scripts for utility such as backup/restore of service data or instance scaling.

Listing 9 on page 43 shows how developers reference Openshift Objects such as Openshift ConfigMaps and Openshift Secrets, which are managed by operators. Figure 9.1 on the following page illustrates the management and provisioning of multiple environments for an ESB, whereby the hosting environment is represented by an Openshift Project. The *Management Server* pulls the scripts and Openshift Templates from a *VCS Server* and the configurations from a *Configuration Server*, and uses them to provision new Openshift Projects or manage existing ones.

The scripts and Openshift Templates are separated from the configurations, which are actually providing the data for the scripts and Openshift Template-Parameters.

With such an approach, the infrastructure becomes reproducible, versioned, and therefore consistent, and disposable. These characteristics are also principles of IaC, which have been discussed in Chapter 2 on page 3.




Figure 9.1: Management and provisioning of multiple environments

The interaction of the *Management Server*, *VCS Server* and *Configuration Server* as illustrated in Figure 9.1, is similar to the Figure 2.2 on page 5, which illustrated how a system can be reproduced with parametrized templates and an IaC tool. The Openshift CLI provides functionality to manage Openshift Objects, which is what needs to be done when providing an environment in form of an Openshift Project, therefore the Openshift CLI acts as an IaC tool.

Table 9.2 on the following page illustrates what mechanisms Openshift and JBoss EAP contain to provide the listed infrastructure features. As illustrated in Table 9.2 on the next page, Openshift provides *Networking* and *Isolation* features, which are provided to JBoss EAP by its hosting environment, such as a VM. Except of the *Networking* and *Isolation* feature, JBoss EAP supports all other features either natively or by supporting a third party framework. Nevertheless, Openshift combines all features in one platform, and makes them easy manageable via Openshift Templates and the Openshift CLI.

Openshift runs Docker Containers, and therefore the programming language, the service was implemented with, does not matter, because the Docker Container provides the runtime environment for the application. Also services hosted on PaaS platforms communicate via standard Protocols such as Http, which are commonly

supported by almost any programming language. JBoss EAP on the contrary, runs only Java applications.

Feature	Openshift	JBoss EAP
<i>Staging</i>	Openshift Project	Server Instance
<i>Management</i>	Openshift CLI Openshift Web-Console Openshift REST-API	JBoss CLI JBoss Web-Console
<i>Networking</i>	Openshift Project Openshift Service Openshift Route Openshift Router	None (external)
<i>Isolation</i>	Openshift Project	None (external)
<i>Configuration/Secrets</i>	Openshift ConfigMaps Openshift Secrets	Java System Properties Environment variables Password Vault
<i>Service Distribution</i>	Openshift Worker-Node	Single JVM Karaf OSGI
<i>Service Roll-out</i>	Recreate Rolling	Framework dependent, normally recreate

Table 9.2: Infrastructure feature comparison

The next section will discuss the service security within an Openshift Project, which can be managed as discussed in this section. Additionally to the by the Openshift design provided security, the *Management Server* of Figure 9.1 on the preceding page could also manage custom security configurations, which can be managed via the Openshift CLI as well.

9.2 Managing Service Security

With a common ESB middleware, the services are protected by running within a single runtime environment or by security features provided by an supported third party framework like Karaf. In an Openshift Project, the services are implicitly protected by being isolated in a Kubernetes Namespace as discussed in Section 5.2.2 on page 22, which can not be accessed by other Openshift Projects without additional configuration.




Figure 9.2: Service security in an Openshift Project

Figure 9.2 illustrates an example, similar to the implemented prototype, where two Openshift Projects host the same services and where the Pod Networks of the two Openshift Projects are joined. The illustrated configured joined Pod Networks allow services hosted in project *PROJ_PROD_2* to access services hosted in project *PROJ_PROD_1*. The default is, that all Openshift Projects are isolated. This kind of configuration is performed by Openshift Cluster-Administrators, and cannot be performed by developers.

Additionally to the isolation of the services within the Openshift Project, the *Integration Services* are secured via OAuth2, whereby the resource access is controlled by a central *Single-Sign-On Server (SSO Server)*. On the one hand the services are isolated within a Kubernetes Namespace, and on the other hand, additional security such as resource access control can be provided by the service itself as discussed in Section 8.2 on page 40. It is not meant to isolate services from each other within an Openshift Project, because an Openshift Project or a Kubernetes Namespace should contain a set of service, which do not have to be isolated from each other.

Table 9.3 illustrates what mechanism Openshift and JBoss EAP contain to provide the listed security features. As illustrated in Table 9.3, Openshift does not provide any support for access control on the service level, which is normal for an PaaS platform such as Openshift. The services running in Docker Containers on an Openshift Cluster have to implement access control or have to use third party frameworks such as Wildfly Swarm, which provide access control features as discussed in Section 8.2 on page 40. JBoss EAP on the other hand is a Java Application-Server, which provides support for resource access or user control for several common providers.

Feature	Openshift	JBoss EAP
<i>Network Isolation</i>	Openshift Project	None (VM)
<i>HTTPS</i>	Openshift Router Openshift Route	Reverse Proxy Endpoint Configuration
<i>Access Control</i>	None (external)	Endpoint Configuration Internal User-Database External User-Database
<i>Single-Sign-On</i>	None (external)	Endpoint Configuration Several SSO providers

Table 9.3: Security feature comparison

Openshift does not provide access control features to secure service resources, but provides security features such as user/group/role management, project permission management, Pod Network management, or quota management for Openshift Objects such as Replication Controllers. The security is applied to the services in an ambient way, whereby the services for instance don't have to support HTTPS anymore, because within an Pod Network there is no need for additional security and exposed services have an Openshift Route, which acts as the reverse proxy for the service, which handles security. All Openshift security configurations outside the scope of an Openshift Project can only be performed by Openshift Cluster-Administrators.

9.3 Managing Multiple Service Versions

Sometimes it is necessary to run multiple versions of a service, for instance if a new version is released or if a consumer is not capable of migrating to the new version but provides a significant business value for the enterprise. The Openshift Platform provides mechanisms to run multiple versions of a service in several ways. Figure 9.3 on the next page illustrates some scenarios for running multiple service versions on Openshift, whereby the illustrated scenarios of *PROJ_1* and *PROJ_2* are possible, because the old service version is N-1 compatible. N-1 compatibility means, that the service in the old version is capable of reading data written by the service in the new version.




Figure 9.3: Running multiple service versions on Openshift

PROJ_1 of Figure 9.3 illustrates an A/B Release, which is used to run the old and new version of a service in parallel, whereby a portion of the service consumers get access to the new version, while the rest of the service consumers are still using the old version. A/B Releases are used to validate the new version in the productive environment, before fully releasing it to all consumers. In this scenario, the requests are load balanced with the defined weightings, to either the new or old service version.

PROJ_2 of Figure 9.3 illustrates a Blue/Green Release, which is used to run the old and the new version of a service in parallel, whereby the Openshift Route switches between the old and new service version. In this scenario, all service consumer access the same service version, which is currently accessible by the Openshift Route.

PROJ_3 of Figure 9.3 illustrates two service versions running completely separated in parallel, whereby both versions are accessible via their own Openshift Route. This scenario is not a release scenario, and should be used if multiple service versions have

to be provided outside of the scope of a release.

Table 9.4 illustrates what mechanism Openshift and JBoss EAP contain to provide the listed release features. Multiple service versions on Openshift are represented by separate Openshift Service objects, whereby with JBoss EAP, multiple service versions are either represented by separate JBoss EAP instances or deployment contexts on a single JBoss EAP instance.

Feature	Openshift	JBoss EAP
<i>Multiple Service Versions</i>	Openshift Service	Multiple EAP instances Multiple deployment contexts
<i>Weighted Routing</i>	Openshift Route	None (external)
<i>Version Switch</i>	Openshift Route	None (external)

Table 9.4: Release feature comparison

JBoss EAP is an application platform for Java applications, which provides an runtime environment for applications but no networking features as shown in Table 9.2 on page 60, and therefore no routing feature. JBoss EAP can be configured to act as a reverse proxy, but cannot act as the reverse proxy for applications hosted on the same JBoss EAP instance.

As Figure 9.3 on the previous page illustrates, the implemented prototype can run multiple versions of the hosted services, and supports the implementation of release models such as A/B or Blue/Green Releases. nt release models.

9.4 Managing Migration of Public API

This section will discuss the management of services public API, which is crucial when it comes to distributed services. Changes made on the public API of a service can break the functionality of an application the service is part of, or break the functionality of an external application, which depends on this service. The public API is the API the service exposes, which could only be consumed by internal consumers, but has to be managed the same way as the API would be exposed to external consumers. There are several ways to migrate a public API, whereby some of them will be discussed in this section.

Services running on Openshift are completely separated from each and have their own life-cycle, therefore they have to provide a public API, which can be consumed by other services. The public API has to be designed properly in the first place, as well as the management of its migrations. At least, the last both versions will have to be supported, to give the developers of the consuming services enough time to apply to the migrations of the public API. Commonly a service has two versions, on the one hand the service release version and on the other hand the service API version,

which is allowed to remain the same over multiple service releases. The following sections will discuss the different ways to version the public API of a service.

Query Parameter-Versioning

Multiple versions of a public API can be managed via a Query-Parameter, whereby the Query-Parameter defines the version to use of a single API Operation. The URL `http://localhost/api/users?version=2` illustrates how to access a specific version of an API Operation via a HTTP Query-Parameter. The following Table 9.5 shows the Pros and Cons of API Versioning with HTTP Query-Parameters.

Pros	Cons
Single resource address	Data and control parameters are mixed
Supported by all browsers	Version as data parameter not possible
Easy to understand	No declarative mapping with JAX-RS
Easy to implement	

Table 9.5: Pros and Cons of HTTP Query-Parameter Versioning

HTTP Header Versioning

Multiple version of a public API can be managed via HTTP Headers in the following listed ways:

- New HTTP Header
e.g. `Version: 2`
- Additional field in the Accept-Header
e.g. `Accept: application/json; version=2`
- Enhanced Media-Type
e.g. `Accept: application/vnd.app.model.v1+json;q=0.9`

The approach of HTTP Header Versioning brings in more flexibility, but also makes the API Versioning more complex for developers to implement and harder to understand for consumers. The following Table 9.6 shows the Pros and Cons of API Versioning with HTTP Headers.

Pros	Cons
Single resource address	Header handling needed
No mix of data and control parameters	Harder to implement/understand
Declarative mapping with JAX-RS	No enhanced Media-Type in HTML
Easy to implement	More difficult to test

Table 9.6: Pros and Cons of HTTP Header Versioning

Path Versioning

The easiest way to manage multiple versions of a public API is Path Versioning, whereby the whole API is versioned, instead of single API Operations. The URL `http://localhost/api/v2/users` illustrates how to access a specific version of an API Operation via a Path version. The Path Versioning is the most used approach to version a public API, because of its simplicity to realize. The following Table 9.7 shows the Pros and Cons of API Versioning with Path versions.

Pros	Cons
Easy to implement	Multiple resource addresses
Easy to understand	Version actually not part of resource
No mix of data and control parameters	Latest version unknown

Table 9.7: Pros and Cons of Path Versioning

The prototype uses Swagger for documenting the services public API, as discussed in Section 8.7 on page 50, whereby Swagger is used to generate the Swagger Specification, but the API migrations will have to be done as discussed in the former sections. On advantage of a Swagger generated client, is that developers work with a generated class or interface, and therefore developers work with a typed client, which will cause compile errors on breaking API changes. If the API and its used models would be implemented by hand, then developers will have to manually keep the implemented public API in sync with the currently used version.

9.5 Managing Adapters and Message Translator as Services

Adapters and Transformers are part of the Enterprise Integration Patterns, whereby the Adapter is used couple an application to a message bus, and the Transformer is used to transform message from the application supported format to the message bus supported format and visa versa. The Adapter and Message Translator can be either located at the external service or can be located in the messaging system, the external service connects to.

Commonly, a message bus system is implemented to use one form of communication, such as REST. If external services need to access the message bus, but don't support the message bus protocol, then Adapters and Message Translators are needed, to connect the external service to the message bus.

Figure 9.4 on the following page illustrates how the prototype could be integrated with external applications via an Adapter and Message Translator. If the Adapter and Message Translator are located at the external service, then the Adapter and Message Translator have to be provided in the programming languages, the external services use. If the Adapter and Message Translator are located in the Openshift

Project, then they can be implemented in the programming language of choice.




Figure 9.4: External Services connected via Adapter

The Adapter and Message Translator have to be implemented as a standalone application (microservice), which for instance can be realized in Java with the Camel framework, which provides an API for implementing Enterprise integration Patterns, and is capable of running as a standalone application.

9.6 Further Work

References

Literature

- [Cor14] Intel Corporation. *Linux* Containers Streamline Virtualization and Complement Hypervisor-Based Virtual Machines*. Intel Corporation, 2014 (cit. on pp. 13, 14).
- [DG15] T. Devi and R. Ganesan. *Platform-as-a-Service (PaaS): Model and Security Issues*. School of Computing Science and Engineering, VIT University, 2015 (cit. on p. 1).
- [HLA05] Colombe Herault, Philippe Lalanda, and Equipe Adele. “Mediation and Enterprise Service Bus A position paper” (2005) (cit. on p. 27).
- [HW08] Gregor Hohpe and Bobby Woolf. *Enterprise Integration Patterns*. 11th ed. Boston, MA: Pearson Education, Inc., 2008 (cit. on pp. 1, 26).
- [Kie16] Kief Morris. *Infrastructure as Code: Managing Servers in the Cloud*. 1005 Gravenstein Highway North, Sebastopol, CA 95472: O’Reilly Media, Inc., 2016 (cit. on pp. 3–6).
- [Liu+15a] Lawrence Liu et al. *Integration Platform as a Service: The next generation of ESB, Part 1*. IBM, 2015 (cit. on pp. 1, 20, 28).
- [Liu+15b] Lawrence Liu et al. *Integration Platform as a Service: The next generation of ESB, Part 2*. IBM, 2015 (cit. on pp. 20, 28).
- [Lop08] Lopez-Sanz, Marcos and Acuna, Cesar J. and Cuesta, Carlos E. and Marcos, Esperanza. “Modelling of Service-Oriented Architectures with UML” (2008) (cit. on p. 31).
- [Mas18] Masternak, Tomasz and Psiuk, Marek and Radziszowski, Dominik and Szydd, Tomasz and Szymacha, Robert and Zieliski, Krzysztof and Zmuda, Daniel. “ESB-Modern SOA Infrastructure” (June 2018) (cit. on p. 27).
- [Red05] Red Hat Inc. “Digital Invocation Through Agile Integration” (2005) (cit. on p. 26).
- [Ric15] Richards, Mark. *Microservices vs. Service-Oriented Architecture*. 1005 Gravenstein Highway North, Sebastopol, CA 95472: O’Reilly Media, Inc., 2015 (cit. on p. 31).

- [Sch14] Mathijs Jeroen Scheepers. *Virtualization and Containerization of Application Infrastructure: A Comparison*. University of Twente, 2014 (cit. on p. 8).

Online sources

- [Ama18a] Amazon Web Services (AWS). *Amazon EKS*. 2018. URL: <https://aws.amazon.com/eks/> (visited on 03/31/2018) (cit. on p. 15).
- [Ama18b] Amazon Web Services (AWS). *AWS Elastic Beans Talk*. 2018. URL: <https://aws.amazon.com/elasticbeanstalk/> (visited on 04/12/2018) (cit. on p. 20).
- [Clo18a] Cloud Native Computing Foundation. *Sustaining and Integrating Open Source Technologies*. 2018. URL: <https://www.cncf.io/> (visited on 03/31/2018) (cit. on p. 15).
- [Clo18b] Cloud Native Computing Foundation. *Vendor-neutral APIs and instrumentation for distributed tracing*. 2018. URL: <http://opentracing.io/> (visited on 06/05/2018) (cit. on p. 35).
- [Cor18] CoreOS. *etcd*. 2018. URL: <https://coreos.com/etcd/docs/latest/> (visited on 04/01/2018) (cit. on p. 18).
- [Cos12] Costa, Glauber. *Resource Isolation: The Failure of Operating Systems and How We Can Fix It*. 2012. URL: <https://linuxconeuropa2012.sched.com/event/bf1a2818e908e3a534164b52d5b85bf1> (visited on 03/30/2018) (cit. on p. 12).
- [Doc18a] Docker Inc. *Docker Machine Overview*. 2018. URL: <https://docs.docker.com/machine/overview/> (visited on 03/17/2018) (cit. on p. 11).
- [Doc18b] Docker Inc. *Dockerfile Reference*. 2018. URL: <https://docs.docker.com/engine/reference/builder/> (visited on 03/09/2018) (cit. on p. 9).
- [Doc18c] Docker Inc. *Dockerfile Registry*. 2018. URL: <https://hub.docker.com/> (visited on 03/09/2018) (cit. on p. 9).
- [Doc18d] Docker Inc. *Overview of Docker Compose*. 2018. URL: <https://docs.docker.com/compose/overview/> (visited on 02/23/2018) (cit. on p. 6).
- [Doc18e] Docker Inc. *Swarm mode overview*. 2018. URL: <https://docs.docker.com/engine/swarm/> (visited on 03/30/2018) (cit. on p. 14).
- [Doc18f] Docker Inc. *What is Docker*. 2018. URL: <https://www.docker.com/what-docker> (visited on 02/23/2018) (cit. on p. 8).
- [Ecl18a] Eclipse Foundation. *Configuration for MicroProfile*. 2018. URL: <https://github.com/eclipse/microprofile-config> (visited on 06/05/2018) (cit. on p. 34).
- [Ecl18b] Eclipse Foundation. *Fault Tolerance*. 2018. URL: <https://github.com/eclipse/microprofile-fault-tolerance> (visited on 06/05/2018) (cit. on p. 35).

- [Goo18a] Google Cloud. *Google App Engine*. 2018. URL: <https://cloud.google.com/appengine/> (visited on 04/12/2018) (cit. on p. 20).
- [Goo18b] Google Cloud. *Kubernetes Engine*. 2018. URL: <https://cloud.google.com/kubernetes-engine/> (visited on 03/31/2018) (cit. on p. 15).
- [Heo15] Heo, Tejun. *CGROUPS*. 2015. URL: <https://www.kernel.org/doc/Documentation/cgroup-v2.txt> (visited on 03/30/2018) (cit. on p. 13).
- [Huß18] Huß, Roland. *Jolokia JMX on Capsaicin*. 2018. URL: <https://jolokia.org/> (visited on 06/11/2018) (cit. on p. 39).
- [Kub18a] Kubernetes. *API Overview*. 2018. URL: <https://kubernetes.io/docs/reference/generated/kubernetes-api/v1.10/> (visited on 04/01/2018) (cit. on p. 17).
- [Kub18b] Kubernetes. *Kubernetes Components*. 2018. URL: <https://kubernetes.io/docs/concepts/overview/components/> (visited on 04/01/2018) (cit. on pp. 18, 19).
- [Kub18c] Kubernetes. *Pods*. 2018. URL: <https://kubernetes.io/docs/concepts/workloads/pods/pod/> (visited on 04/01/2018) (cit. on p. 17).
- [Kub18d] Kubernetes. *Production-Grade Container Orchestration*. 2018. URL: <https://kubernetes.io/> (visited on 03/30/2018) (cit. on pp. 14, 15).
- [Kub18e] Kubernetes. *Services*. 2018. URL: <https://kubernetes.io/docs/concepts/services-networking/service/> (visited on 04/01/2018) (cit. on p. 17).
- [Lin18a] Linux Containers. *Linux Containers*. 2018. URL: <https://linuxcontainers.org/> (visited on 03/29/2018) (cit. on p. 8).
- [Lin18b] Linux Foundation. *namespaces (7)*. 2018. URL: <http://man7.org/linux/man-pages/man7/namespaces.7.html> (visited on 03/30/2018) (cit. on p. 14).
- [Men18] Menage, Paul and Lameter, Christoph. *CGROUPS*. 2018. URL: <https://www.kernel.org/doc/Documentation/cgroup-v1/cgroups.txt> (visited on 03/30/2018) (cit. on p. 13).
- [Mic18a] Microsoft Azure. *Azure Cloud Services*. 2018. URL: <https://azure.microsoft.com/en-us/services/cloud-services/> (visited on 04/12/2018) (cit. on p. 20).
- [Mic18b] Microsoft Azure. *Azure Container Service (AKS)*. 2018. URL: <https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/azure/aks/> (visited on 03/31/2018) (cit. on p. 15).
- [oau18] oauth.net. *An open protocol to allow secure authorization in a simple and standard method from web, mobile and desktop applications*. 2018. URL: <https://oauth.net/> (visited on 06/08/2018) (cit. on p. 34).
- [Ope14] Openshift. *OpenShift V3 Deep Dive Tutorial | The Next Generation of PaaS - Archived*. 2014. URL: <https://blog.openshift.com/openshift-v3-deep-dive-docker-kubernetes/> (visited on 04/05/2018) (cit. on p. 21).

- [Ope18a] Openshift. *App Development with OpenShift Online*. 2018. URL: <https://www.openshift.com/get-started/index.html> (visited on 04/12/2018) (cit. on p. 20).
- [Ope18b] Openshift. *OpenShift Application Platform*. 2018. URL: <https://github.com/openshift/origin> (visited on 04/12/2018) (cit. on p. 21).
- [Ope18c] Openshift. *Overview*. 2018. URL: https://docs.openshift.com/container-platform/3.5/architecture/core_concepts/ (visited on 04/07/2018) (cit. on p. 21).
- [Ope18d] Openshift. *Source-To-Image (S2I)*. 2018. URL: <https://github.com/openshift/source-to-image> (visited on 04/07/2018) (cit. on p. 24).
- [Par18] Paraschiv, Eugen. *Versioning a REST API*. 2018. URL: <http://www.baldung.com/rest-versioning> (visited on 07/02/2018) (cit. on p. 35).
- [Pro18] Prometheus. *From metrics to insight*. 2018. URL: <https://prometheus.io/> (visited on 06/11/2018) (cit. on p. 39).
- [Red17] Red Hat Inc. *What is Wildfly?* 2017. URL: <http://wildfly.org/about/> (visited on 02/23/2018) (cit. on p. 6).
- [Red18a] Red Hat Inc. *Get Started with Red Hat JBoss Fuse 7 Tech Preview 3 Today!* 2018. URL: <https://developers.redhat.com/blog/2018/02/27/red-hat-jboss-fuse-7-tech-preview/> (visited on 04/12/2018) (cit. on p. 20).
- [Red18b] Red Hat Inc. *Integrated Development Platform*. 2018. URL: <http://fabric8.io/> (visited on 07/02/2018) (cit. on p. 39).
- [Red18c] Red Hat Inc. *Red Hat JBoss Fuse*. 2018. URL: <https://developers.redhat.com/products/fuse/overview/> (visited on 02/22/2018) (cit. on p. 1).
- [Red18d] Red Hat Inc. *Red Hat OpenShift Container Platform*. 2018. URL: <https://www.openshift.com/container-platform/features.html> (visited on 02/22/2018) (cit. on p. 2).
- [Red18e] Red Hat Inc. *Rightsize your Services*. 2018. URL: <http://wildfly-swarm.io/> (visited on 07/02/2018) (cit. on p. 39).
- [Sma18] SmartBear Software. *Swagger*. 2018. URL: <https://swagger.io/> (visited on 06/05/2018) (cit. on p. 35).
- [The15] The Apache Software Foundation. *Apache Camel*. 2015. URL: <http://camel.apache.org/> (visited on 06/30/2018) (cit. on p. 26).