

# Implementing Tensor Calc functions in Diderot: Composition

Charisee Chiw

March 28, 2018

**Shorthand** *Diderot.Dev* = <https://github.com/cchiw/Diderot-Dev>  
*Exs* = <https://github.com/cchiw/latte/>  
*Doc* = *Exs*/writeup/paper.pdf  
*dissertation* = Chiw's dissertation

## 1 Overview

Functionality: **Field Composition**

Syntax: “compose” and “o”

$\text{field}\#k(d_1)[\alpha] \times \text{field}\#k(d_0)[d_1] \rightarrow \text{field}\#k(d_1)[\alpha]$

Branch: *Diderot.Dev*

Text: EIN IR design, rewriting rules, and resolved bugs listed in *Doc*

Issues: none

Examples: Check out programs in *Exs*/composition

Notes:

- *function name syntax* can use “compose” (*Path/B\*/observ.diderot*)
- *unicode syntax* can use “o” (*Path/X1/observ.diderot, Path/X2/t.diderot*)
- *chains composition operator* can apply composition operator to other operators and to itself (*Path/X2/\*.diderot*)
- *tested with DATm* command: `python3 cte.py 1 36`
- *solved bugs* Copies of the programs with solved bugs are in *Path/B\**. You can recreate a solved bug with DATm command “`python3 cte.py 4 36 17 10 13`”

## 2 Design and Implementation

```
field#k(d0)[σ] F0;  
field#k(d1)[d0] F1;  
field#k(d1)[σ] H = F0 o F1;  
tensor[d1] pos;  
tensor[σ] out = H(pos);
```

**Representation** We represent the field composition operator with the generic EIN operator.

$$\xRightarrow[\text{init}]{} H = \lambda(F, G) \left\langle F_\alpha \circ [\langle G_\beta \rangle_{\hat{\beta}}] \right\rangle_{\hat{\alpha}} (F0, F1) \quad \text{where } \hat{\alpha} = \sigma \text{ and } \hat{\beta} = [d0]$$

The field terms *F* and *G* represent fields in the composition. *F* and *G* have separate index spaces. *F* is bound by  $\alpha$  and *G* is bound by  $\beta$ .

**Normalization** The probe of a composition  $(e_1 \circ [\langle e_2 \rangle_{\hat{\beta}}])(x)$  is rewritten depending on the structure of the outer term  $e_1$ . When the outer term is a constant the result does not depend on the composition operation.

$$(c \circ e_c)(x) \xrightarrow[\text{rule}]{} c$$

Similarly, when the outer term is a non-field:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\delta_\alpha \circ e_c)(x) & \xrightarrow[\text{rule}]{} \delta_\alpha \\ (Z_\alpha \circ e_c)(x) & \xrightarrow[\text{rule}]{} Z_\alpha \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ll} (\mathcal{E}_\alpha \circ e_c)(x) & \xrightarrow[\text{rule}]{} \mathcal{E}_\alpha \\ (\text{lift}_d(e) \circ e_c)(x) & \xrightarrow[\text{rule}]{} e \end{array}$$

The probe operator is pushed past arithmetic operators:

$$\begin{aligned} (\odot_1 e \circ e_c)(x) &\xrightarrow{rule} \odot_1 (e \circ e_c)(x) \\ (\sum_{\hat{\alpha}} e \circ e_c)(x) &\xrightarrow{rule} \sum_{\hat{\alpha}} (e \circ e_c)(x) \end{aligned}$$

The probe is distributed:

$$\begin{aligned} ((e_a - e_b) \circ e_c)(x) &\xrightarrow{rule} (e_a \circ e_c)(x) - (e_b \circ e_c)(x) \\ ((e_a * e_b * e_s) \circ e_c)(x) &\xrightarrow{rule} (e_a \circ e_c)(x) * (e_b \circ e_c)(x) * (e_s \circ e_c)(x) \end{aligned}$$

The derivative of a field composition is applied by using the chain rule.

$$\nabla(F \circ G) \xrightarrow{direct-style} (\nabla F \circ G) \bullet (\nabla G)$$

The derivative of a field composition of two fields is represented in the EIN IR as

$$\nabla_j(F_\alpha \circ [\langle G_{i\beta} \rangle_{i\beta}]) \xrightarrow{rule} \sum_{\hat{k}} ((\nabla_k F_\alpha \circ [\langle G_{i\beta} \rangle_{i\beta}]) * (\nabla_j G_{k\beta}))$$

Generally we use the rewrite rule to apply the rewrite between two EIN expressions:

$$\nabla_j(e_1 \circ [\langle e_2 \rangle_{i\beta}]) \xrightarrow{rule} \sum_{\hat{k}} ((\nabla_k e_1 \circ [\langle e_2 \rangle_{i\beta}]) * (\nabla_j e_{2[i/k]}))$$

Flatten composition operator

$$\begin{aligned} (a \circ [\langle b \rangle_{\hat{m}}]) \circ e_c &\xrightarrow{rule} a \circ [\langle b \rangle_{\hat{m}}, e_c] \\ a \circ [\langle b \circ e_c \rangle_{\hat{m}}] &\xrightarrow{rule} a \circ [\langle b \rangle_{\hat{m}}, e_c] \end{aligned}$$

**Split** After being normalized the probed composition operator is split into several probes.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{out} = \lambda F, G, x \left\langle F_\alpha \circ [\langle G_\beta \rangle_{\hat{\beta}}](x) \right\rangle_\alpha (F0, F1, x) &\xrightarrow{split} \begin{aligned} t_0 &= \lambda G, x \langle G_\beta(x) \rangle_{\hat{\beta}}(F1, x) \\ \text{out} &= \lambda F, x \langle F_\alpha(x) \rangle_\alpha(F0, t_0) \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$

### 3 Documented (solved) bugs

**Comp-B1** Mistake in index scope when using substitution.

```
field#k(2)[2,2] F0;
field#k(2)[2] F1;
field#k(2)[2] F2;
field#k(2)[2,2] G = (F0 \circ F1) \bullet F2;
```

There was a mistake in the substitution method. The scope of the composition indices were handled incorrectly. The following is the expected and observed representation of the computation in the EIN IR.

Expected:  $e = \sum_{\hat{j}} A_{ij} \circ [\langle B_i \rangle_{\hat{\beta}}] * C_j$   
Observed:  $e = \sum_{\hat{k}} A_{ik} \circ [\langle B_i \rangle_{\hat{\beta}}] * C_j$   
in  $\lambda(A, B, C) \langle e \rangle_{\hat{\beta}}(F0, F1, F2)$ .

DATm Command: python3 cte.py 4 36 17 10 13

**Comp-B2** Missing cases in split method.

Probes of a composition are handled differently before reconstruction.

$\sum F(x)$  and  $\sum(\text{Comp}(F, G, -))(x)$ .

Missing case in method leads to a compile time error. Additionally  $(\text{Comp}(\text{Comp } -))$  label:-

**Comp-B3** Differentiate a composition

The jacobian of a field composition:

```
field#k(d1)[d] F0;
field#k(d)[d1] F1;
field#k(d1)[d,d1] G = \nabla \otimes (F0 \circ F1);
```

is represented as  $\langle \nabla_j (\text{Comp}(A_i, B_i, i)) \rangle_{ij}$

In accordance with the chain rule (  $(f \circ g)' = (f' \circ g) \cdot g'$  ) the rewriting system multiplies the inner derivative ( $g'$ ) with a new composition operation ( $f' \circ g$ ). In practice, the implementation does a point-wise multiplication when it should do an inner product.

Expected:  $\sum_{\hat{k}} (\nabla_k A_i \circ [\langle B_i \rangle_{\hat{\beta}}] * \nabla_j G_k)$

Observed:  $\nabla_j A_{\beta} \circ [\langle B_i \rangle_i] * (\nabla_j G_i)$

in  $\lambda(A, B) \langle e \rangle_{ij} (F0, F1)$ .