**Pig farm monitoring & data traceability**

**Section 1 Summary**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Use Case Summary | | | |
| Use Case ID: | IND-005 | Use Case Type: | Vertical |
| Submission Date: | October 11, 2018 | Is Use Case supporting SDGs | Yes |
| Use Case Title: | Pig farm monitoring & data traceability | Domain: | Agriculture |
| Status of Case | Pilot | Sub-Domain | Food traceability |
| Contact information of person submitting/  managing the use-case | Full Name: Hui Ding  Job Title: Co-Founder, COO  E-mail address: hui.ding@chaincomp.net  Telephone number: 86-18311280681  Web site: www.chaincomp.net | | |
| Proposing Organization | Chaincomp Technologies Co., Ltd., China  Shenqiao Technologies Co., Ltd., Henan, China | | |
| Short Description | Blockchain-based trusted data storage and dissemination among stakeholders in the meat industry, combined with IoT-based effective and complete pig farming monitoring and data collection enables efficient data sharing and promote food safety and quality. | | |
| Long description | Currently, small to medium size pig farms (around 500 pigs per farm), which provide over 50% of total pigs (693.82 million in 2018) in China, cannot provide trusted data collection and traceability and gives chances to food safety hazards that happened in recent years. Our use case provides Blockchain-based IoT solution to pig farms and realizes: 1) IoT-based effective and complete pig farm monitoring and data collection; 2) Blockchain-based data storage and dissemination. The system can automatically record the environmental, physiological and feeding data and enables efficient and trusted data storage and sharing among stakeholders.  After deployment of such system, a number of benefits can be achieved, such as 1) government inspector can access tamper-proof data to evaluate the farms and the quality of the meat; 2) consumers will be able to access the details of his/her purchase and be assured of food safety and quality; 3) furthermore, it enables lower cost of business operation: farms, feed/drug sellers, insurance providers can share information via DLT to perform transactions in lower cost. | | |
| SDG in Focus (when applicable) | 9 – Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure  9-1 Develop quality, reliable, sustainable and resilient infrastructure, including regional and transborder infrastructure, to support economic development and human well-being, with a focus on affordable and equitable access for all | | |
| Value Transfer: | NA | Number of Users: | Thousands+ |
| Types of Users: | farm owner, feed/veterinary drugs seller, insurance provider, logistics, government inspector, meat distributor, retailer, etc. | | |
| Stakeholders | farm owner, feed/veterinary drugs seller, insurance provider, government inspector, meat distributor, meat retailer, consumer, etc. | | |
| Data: | Massive amount of data is collected via sensors and devices every day from every animal in the farm, which makes it inefficient to store on DLT. In our system, such data are encrypted and stored in distributed file system, only the hash of a data unit is stored in DLT. The data unit is decided by data types and sampling frequency, e.g. the feeding data and environmental data in 24 hours. | | |
| Identification: | Each pig, feeding device, sensor, farm site is uniquely identified and related data are collected and recorded. Anonymity is not required. | | |
| Predicted Outcomes: | * Safe and high-quality meat production; * Efficient pig farming business by sharing animal data with feed/drug sellers, insurance providers, meat distributors and retailers. | | |

|  |
| --- |
| Overview of the Business Problem or Opportunity |
| Chinese people consume 55.9 million ton of pig meat in 2018, which constitutes nearly half of the pig meat consumption of the world [1]. However, current large-scale pig farming industry cannot provide trusted data collection and traceability in different stages of the process including farming, inspection, transportation, distribution to consumer. Hence, the safety and quality of pig meat is one of the most important unresolved food issues in China.  Business Problem:   * Safe and high-quality meat product is highly demanded; * Efficient pig farming business by sharing pig data with feed/drug sellers, insurance providers.   Opportunities:   * IoT-based effective and complete pig farming monitoring and data collection can automatically record the environmental, physiological and feeding data; * Blockchain-based trusted data storage and dissemination among stakeholders; * Data close to pigs has great value in ensuring food safety and preventing fraud in logistics and sales process. Lack of such data will result in the lack of the most important source data for farm-oriented monitoring. |
| Why Distributed Ledger Technology? |
| * The distributed ledger technology will enable trusted data storage and dissemination among untrusted stakeholders and reduce the chance of data manipulation.   + Inspector can access tamper-proof data to evaluate the farm and the quality of the pig meat;   + Consumers will be able to access the details of his purchase be assured of food safety and quality.   + Lower cost meat feeding business operation: farms, feed/drug sellers, insurance providers can share information via DLT to perform transactions in lower cost. |

**Section 2 Current process**

|  |
| --- |
| Current Solutions |
| Current system monitors the feeding, living environment of pigs.   * Feeding system usually includes RFID ear tags for pigs and RFID reader on feeding devices. The reader identifies a pig by its tag and determines whether one pig has eaten to avoid excess feeding. * Temperature, humidity and light data in the breeding house are collected by sensors to monitor the living environment of the pigs so as to avoid diseases.   However, current system doesn’t obtain detailed information such as specific types of feed intake and physiological data of pigs. Furthermore, the authenticity of information is not trustworthy because sensing data is collected in the farm’s own network and directly uploads to the its own private cloud. Data can be manipulated by certain party during the collection and storage process, making it difficult for government inspector and consumers to obtain the real information. |

| Existing Flow (as-is) | | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Step | User Actions | System Actions |
| 1. | Collect environmental data | Sensors collect environmental information of pig houses. |
| 2. | Record feeding data | System checks RFID tags of pigs and prompt if they are fed repeatedly for the farmers. Record feeding information input by farmers, and store them in local storage temporarily. |
| 3 | Upload collected data | Sensors send collected environmental data and feeding data, and send stored records to private cloud servers respectively, and store them in the cloud. |
| 4 | Query information | System requests access permissions to the cloud, and then query for the required information when authorized. |

| Process scheme (as-is) |
| --- |
| 1  1 |

| Data and information (as-is) | | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | Type | Description |
| **1** | Identification | It includes the identity information of the pig, specifically, the RFID of the pig, is implemented on ear tags. |
| **2** | Environmental data | It includes the environmental status data of pig houses, such as temperature, humidity, and lighting. |
| **3** | Feeding data | It includes the pig feeding records, such as feeding amount, time, feeding frequencies and so on. |

| Participants and their roles (as-is) | | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Actor | Type/Role | Description |
| **1** | Pig farm manager | Deploy sensors in pig farm; tag pigs; construct data collecting systems; collect and manage data in private clouds; authorize access to the cloud; employ pig farmers. |
| **2** | Pig farmers | Feed pigs; record feeding information. |
| **3** | Inspectors | Allocate RFID ear tags, access and analyze feeding data as well as environmental data to inspect farming processes. |

|  |
| --- |
| Other Notes |
|  |

**Section 3 Expected process**

| Expected Flow (to-be) | | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Step | User Actions | System Actions |
| 1. | Sensing data using breeding IoT devices and sensors | Collect environmental, feeding and pig’s physiological information through sensors and RFID reader in the breeding house, including pig identity, temperature, humidity, ammonia gas, hydrogen sulphide gas, light, feeding status, body mass and etc. |
| 2. | Sign and transmit data from IoT devices to edge gateway | Data collected by IoT devices are signed by the devices and then transmitted to edge gateway. |
| 3 | Edge gateway verifies and uploads the data to distributed ledger | Edge gateway verifies the authenticity of the data and encrypts data using its private key and then uploads the encrypted data to distributed ledger. |
| 4 | Inspector requests and inspects data | Government inspector may request and inspect certain data to check the safety and feeding conditions of all pigs in their jurisdiction. Inspector decrypts the data using public keys of the encryption gateway. |
| 5 | Consumer requests and checks the data of the pig they purchased | Consumers can request and check the data of the pig they purchased. These consumers include downstream slaughterhouses, food companies, restaurants and meat consumers. |
| 6 | Feed and drug companies share/purchases specific data through smart contracts | Feed and drug companies can share/purchase specific data through smart contracts. |
| 7 | The insurance company requests and checks the data | The insurance company can request and check the data of a pig farm to determine the insurance pricing. |

| Process scheme (to-be) |
| --- |
|  |

| Participants and their roles | | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Actor | Type/Role | Description |
| **1** | Pig farm | Feed pigs; deploy environmental sensors, RFID readers, and breeding IoT devices; collect breeding data and then upload the collected data to distributed ledger; deploy edge gateways and data collecting systems; authorize data users to access the uploaded data. |
| **2** | Consumer | Include downstream slaughterhouses, food companies, restaurants and meat consumers. They purchase pig products or meat products. They can traceback the breeding data corresponding to the products they purchased. |
| **3** | Insurance company | Request and analyze the breeding data of a pig farm; determine the insurance price for that farm. |
| **4** | Feed/drug seller | Purchase specific breeding data from pig farms through smart contracts; adjust their production plans through analyzing the acquired data. |
| **5** | Inspector | Request and inspect breeding data of pig farms to regulate their breeding processes. |

| Data and information | | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | Type | Description |
| **1** | Identification | It includes the identity information of pigs, specifically, the RFID of a pig, can be implemented on ear tags. |
| **2** | Environmental information | It includes the environmental status data of pig farms, such as temperature, humidity, ammonia gas, hydrogen sulphide gas, light and etc. |
| **3** | Feeding information | It includes the pig feeding records, such as feeding amount, feeding time, feeding frequencies and so on. |
| **4** | Pig’s physiological information | It includes body mass of pigs, especially the weights varieties after each feeding. |

|  |
| --- |
| Security and privacy |
| 1. Sensors and feeding devices sign the data they generated using their private keys. 2. Original data are encrypted using owner’s private keys. Corresponding public keys are provided by the farms to inspectors for data decryption. 3. Data are stored in a distributed file system. |

|  |
| --- |
| Main Success Scenario |
| - Safe meat production: Record production-side data through the temper-proof nature of the distributed ledger, which covers the daily status of the pig and accurately reflects their health, thus making meat safety completely transparent to inspectors and downstream consumers.  - High quality meat production: Due to the temper-proof nature of distributed ledger, a farm can prove that it spends more time feeding a healthier pigs, which can give its products a high premium.  - Efficient pig farming and insurance by sharing pig data with feed, drug and insurance companies can be achieved by distributed ledger and smart contract. |

|  |
| --- |
| Conditions (pre- or post-) |
| *NA* |

|  |
| --- |
| Performance needs |
| 1. Performance requirements for sensing data collection and uploading at edge gateway:      The edge gateway will obtain the sensing data from multiple subordinate IoT devices. In our system, each environmental sensor generates 20 bytes data per second, and one feeding device and physiologically sensing module produce approximately 30 bytes data per second. A medium-sized pig house requires approximately two environmental sensing devices and ten feeding devices, so that an edge gateway which covers only one pig house requires about 340 bytes per second.    2. Performance requirements for TPS of DLT system:      The demand for TPS is directly related to the amount of data. Regardless of storing data directly in the chain or in a distributed file system, some data need to be updated in the distributed ledger, so certain TPS is required for data submission and synchronization. A medium-sized pig farm in China usually holds 2000-5000 pigs. If one data unit is generated and stored for each pig, and the data is submitted every 24 hours, then a medium farm’s demands is 0.02-0.06 TPS. When the data uploading frequency increases and the number of farms increases, such demands also increase. For example, 10000 pig farms require 200-600 TPS when they upload data daily.    3. Performance requirements for distributed data storing:  The edge gateway uploads data to a distributed ledger node for data packaging periodically. Data can be selected to store in a distributed file system, such as IPFS, rather than all data on ledge; so that the on-chain-data can only be the hash identifier to the data stored in IPFS. This reduces the space requirements for storage on the chain, but distributed storage needs to achieve a certain efficiency to meet the performance requirements of consumer when accessing. |

|  |
| --- |
| Legal considerations |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| Risks |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| Special Requirements |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| External References and Miscellaneous |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| Other Notes |
| [1] <https://gain.fas.usda.gov/Recent%20GAIN%20Publications/Livestock%20and%20Products%20Semi-annual_Beijing_China%20-%20Peoples%20Republic%20of_3-12-2019.pdf> |
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