

ACTIVITY 1.2: EXPLORING INPUT AND OUTPUT CHANNELS

Objectives

- 1.) Determine how human receives and responses information.
- 2.) Compare and contrast the effectiveness of input/output channels used by human.

Materials

- Personal Computer
- Internet connection

Procedure

1. Go to <http://www.Existor.com> website.
2. Click Artificial Intelligence tab.
3. Click Avatar
4. Explore and make conversation with character like Evie.
5. Note down your impression while doing conversation.

Impression:	
Positive	Negative
Answers basic questions	Limited opinions
For entertainment purposes	Can't answer complex Math questions.
	Outdated
	Some responses are unrelated to the questions or statements
	Responses are based on programming

6. Print screen the conversation made. (Adjust your image)

Sun is yellow.

Precisely the issue. ☺

What do u think of the year 2024.

I don't. ☺

What do you think of the 2020 pandemic.

What do YOU think of the number? ☺

How old are you?

I'm a teen. ☺

What is the meaning of life.

To take as much people as you can with you in hell. ☺

7. Click Voice and do communication using speech recognition.
8. Note down your impression.

Impression:

Eviebot has a different answer for every question even though you asked the same question and some of those answers are not even connected to the question. Compared to ChatGPT and Bard, or other AI bots, Eviebot is not trusted to answer difficult questions, it is merely for entertainment, that's why I just have one positive feedback about it, but then again, the AI is outdated.

9. Open a word processing software and make a 300-words document on your realizations from the said activity.

Realization:

When comparing the artificial intelligence (AI) between the earlier and more recent versions, ChatGPT and Bard/Gemini as the two modern AI models, and the Eviebot being almost 10 years old. My experience with Eviebot, the answers are unpredictable, sometimes it is connected, sometimes it isn't, or it is some random respond. Thus, due to the incoherent responses, the bot seems to be useless when it comes to information sources. The bot's shortcomings are shown by its incapacity to consistently offer relevant answers, it reminds me of another chatbot that was commonly used for entertainment, which is Simsimi. Compared to ChatGPT and Bard, or other AI chatbots today, it really shows a change of paradigm in the field, these chatbots can understand and produce coherent and relevant

responses since they are based on more complex architectures and have enormous volumes of data. Furthermore, it continuously improves their comprehension of language and the AI's knowledge is current and representative of recent advancements and trends, thus the users gain trust from this dynamic learning process. Overall, the development of artificial intelligence from outdated models such as Eviebot and Simsimi, these chatbots were just used as entertainment purposes, I feel like since the idea of artificial intelligence weren't a big thing yet, nobody really thought about making an AI chatbot that would help, also since we weren't that hugely dependent on technology, but now, since people have improved the idea of chatbots, they are not only used as entertainment but also to help us in our daily life. Many of us have used AI chatbots now as a form of searching or getting answers quickly and developed new AI chats. This just shows that modern AI models employ dynamic learning techniques that enable the bot to continuously improve their understanding of language, with the shift in perception towards AI it has contributed more than old "AI" chatbots.