



Gayle Garbolino-Mojica
County Superintendent of Schools

Board of Education

November 2, 2023

Sergey Terebkov
Area 1

Andrew Tagg
Area 2

David Patterson, Ed.D.
Area 3

R. Jan Pinney
Area 4

Kelli Gnile
Area 5

Renee Regacho-Anaclerio, Ed.D.
Area 6

Lynn Oliver
Area 7

Paul Keefer, Chair
Sacramento County Committee on School District Organization
P.O. Box 269003
Sacramento, CA 95826-9003

Re: Resolution 2023-01 Proposed Transfer of Territory from Center Joint Unified School District to Roseville City School District and Roseville Joint Union High School District


Dear Chair Keefer :

Pursuant to Education Code, section 35524, enclosed please find Resolution 2023-01 adopted by the Placer County Committee on School District Organization during its meeting on October 30, 2023. Please note, the Committee also considered motions to tentatively approve or disapprove the petition to transfer territory, but neither motion received an affirmative vote of a majority of Committee members.

Additionally, the Committee was informed by Center Joint Unified School District's legal representative that an analysis had been completed on the statutory criteria in Education Code 35753 and that the analysis would be presented to the Sacramento County Committee on School District Organization. Please note that the analysis was not provided to the Placer County Committee and the action taken by the Committee did not include consideration of this analysis.

We look forward to receiving the findings and tentative conclusions of the Sacramento County Committee on School District Organization following its upcoming meeting.

Yours in education,



Gayle Garbolino-Mojica
County Superintendent of Schools

GGM/kc

Enclosures

cc: **Members, Placer County Committee on School District Organization**
David W. Gordon, Sacramento County Superintendent of Schools

Superintendent's Cabinet

Phillip J. Williams
Deputy Superintendent

Martin Fregoso
Associate Superintendent
Business Services

Susan Connolly
Assistant Superintendent
Student Services

Jennifer Hicks
Assistant Superintendent
Educational Services

Colleen Slattery, Ed.D.
Assistant Superintendent
Human Resources

Troy Tickle
Assistant Superintendent
SELPA

Michelle Eklund
Chief Communications Officer
Superintendent's Office

**Before the County Committee on School District Organization
County of Placer, State of California**

FINDINGS AND TENTATIVE CONCLUSION

RESOLUTION NO. 2023-01

IN THE MATTER OF:

**PROPOSED TRANSFER OF TERRITORY FROM
CENTER JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
TO ROSEVILLE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT AND
ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

WHEREAS, in December 2022, the Placer County Superintendent of Schools and the Sacramento County Superintendent of Schools received a Petition for Transfer of Territory (“Petition”) from Melissa Campbell (“Chief Petitioner”); and

WHEREAS, the Petition proposes to transfer the territory known as “Aspire Solaire,” bounded by Daylight Drive, Solaire Drive, Santucci Boulevard, and Pleasant Grove Boulevard and containing 213 parcels of residential property from the Center Joint Unified School District (“CJUSD”) to the Roseville City School District (“RCSD”) and Roseville Joint Union High School District (“RJUHSD”); and

WHEREAS, in January 2023, the Placer County Superintendent of Schools notified the Chief Petitioner that the Petition was legally sufficient under the Education Code, and the Sacramento County Superintendent of Schools concurred in this conclusion; and

WHEREAS, on February 8, 2023, the Placer County Committee on School District Organization (“Placer County Committee”) held a hearing on the Petition within the boundaries of RCSD and RJUHSD; and

WHEREAS, on February 25, 2023, the Sacramento County Committee on School District Organization (“Sacramento County Committee”) held a hearing on the Petition within the boundaries of CJUSD; and

WHEREAS, on August 7, 2023, the Placer County Committee determined that transfer of territory pursuant to the Petition, if approved, would be exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (“CEQA”); and the Sacramento County Committee concurred in this conclusion on August 15, 2023; and

WHEREAS, a county committee may approve a legally sufficient petition to transfer territory between two or more school districts after public hearing on the matter and if such petition substantially meets the criteria set forth in the Education Code, section 35753; and

WHEREAS, the Placer County Superintendent of Schools and Sacramento County Superintendent of Schools engaged Government Financial Services Joint Powers Authority to prepare a report on the application of the statutory criteria set forth in the Education Code, section 35753 to the Petition (“Report”); and

WHEREAS, the members of the Placer County Committee have considered all verbal and documentary information submitted during the public hearings and have reviewed the Petition, Report, and all other documents filed with the Placer County Committee related to the Petition; and

WHEREAS, the members of the Placer County Committee have considered all written and oral comments submitted in support of and in opposition to the Petition; and

WHEREAS, CJUSD has provided written notice to the Placer County Committee that it is in opposition to the Petition; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Education Code, section 35520 where a petition seeks to transfer territory between school districts located in more than one county, prior to any decision on the petition, the county committees on school district organization in each county must make and transmit findings and a tentative conclusion to the county committee on school district organization in the other county.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Placer County Committee on School District Organization hereby resolves, determines, and finds the following:

SECTION 1. The recitals set forth above are true and correct.

SECTION 2. As to each of the statutory criteria found in Education Code, section 35753, the Placer County Committee finds as follows with regard to the Petition:

Criterion #1:

The reorganized districts will be adequate in terms of number of pupils enrolled.

This criterion is substantially met.

AYES: Tagg, Vineyard, Armitage, Peck, Saathoff, Gross, Chambers III
NOES: _____
ABSTAIN: _____
ABSENT: Schuetz, Ward, Sierra-Sammons

Criterion #2:

The school districts are each organized on the basis of a substantial community identity.

This criterion is substantially met.

AYES: Tagg, Vineyard, Armitage, Peck, Saathoff, Gross, Chambers III

NOES: _____

ABSTAIN: _____

ABSENT: Schuetz, Ward, Sierra-Sammons

Criterion #3:

The proposal will result in an equitable division of property and facilities of the original district or districts.

This criterion is substantially met.

AYES: Tagg, Vineyard, Armitage, Peck, Saathoff, Gross, Chambers III

NOES: _____

ABSTAIN: _____

ABSENT: Schuetz, Ward, Sierra-Sammons

Criterion #4:

The reorganization of the school districts will preserve each affected district's ability to educate pupils in an integrated environment and will not promote racial or ethnic discrimination or segregation.

This criterion is substantially met.

AYES: Tagg, Vineyard, Armitage, Peck, Saathoff, Gross, Chambers III

NOES: _____

ABSTAIN: _____

ABSENT: Schuetz, Ward, Sierra-Sammons

Criterion #5:

Any increase in costs to the state as a result of the proposed reorganization will be insignificant and otherwise incidental to the reorganization.

This criterion is substantially met.

AYES: Tagg, Vineyard, Armitage, Peck, Saathoff, Gross, Chambers III

NOES: _____

ABSTAIN: _____

ABSENT: Schuetz, Ward, Sierra-Sammons

Criterion #6:

The proposed reorganization will continue to promote sound education performance and will not significantly disrupt the educational programs in the affected districts.

This criterion is substantially met.

AYES: Tagg, Vineyard, Armitage, Peck, Saathoff, Gross, Chambers III
NOES: Gross
ABSTAIN: _____
ABSENT: Schuetz, Ward, Sierra-Sammons

Criterion #7:

Any increase in school facilities costs as a result of the proposed reorganization will be insignificant and otherwise incidental to the reorganization.

This criterion is substantially met.

AYES: Tagg, Vineyard, Armitage, Peck, Saathoff, Gross, Chambers III
NOES: _____
ABSTAIN: _____
ABSENT: Schuetz, Ward, Sierra-Sammons

Criterion #8:

The proposed reorganization is primarily designed for purposes other than to significantly increase property values.

This criterion is substantially met.

AYES: Tagg, Vineyard, Armitage, Peck, Saathoff, Gross, Chambers III
NOES: _____
ABSTAIN: _____
ABSENT: Schuetz, Ward, Sierra-Sammons

Criterion #9:

The proposed reorganization will continue to promote sound fiscal management and not cause a substantial negative effect on the fiscal status of the affected district.

This criterion is substantially met.

AYES: Tagg, Vineyard, Armitage, Peck, Saathoff, Gross, Chambers III
NOES: _____
ABSTAIN: _____
ABSENT: Schuetz, Ward, Sierra-Sammons

SECTION 3. The Placer County Committee tentatively concludes the Petition substantially meets the criteria found in Education Code, section 35753.

AYES: Tagg, Vineyard, Armitage, Peck, Saathoff, Gross, Chambers III

NOES: _____

ABSTAIN: _____

ABSENT: Schuetz, Ward, Sierra-Sammons

SECTION 4. The Placer County Committee hereby directs the Placer County Superintendent of Schools to transfer these findings and tentative conclusion to the Sacramento County Committee.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Placer County Committee on School District Organization on this 30th day of October 2023, by the following vote:


AYES: Tagg, Vineyard, Armitage, Peck, Saathoff, Gross, Chambers III

NOES: _____

ABSTAIN: _____

ABSENT: Schuetz, Ward, Sierra-Sammons

BY: 
Glenn Vineyard, Chair

ATTEST: 
By: Gayle Garbolino-Mojica,
Placer County Superintendent of Schools

