Bibliometric Analysis of Resting State Literature

Matthew K. Doherty¹, Ayesha Anwar¹, Sam Barberie¹, Caitlin Hinz¹, Michelle Kaplan¹, Anna Rachlin¹, Michael P. Milham^{1,2}, Stephen M. LaConte^{3,4} and R. Cameron Craddock⁴



1 Child Mind Institute, New York, New York, 2 Nathan Kline Institute for Psychiatric Research, Orangeburg, New York 3 School of Biomedical Engineering and Sciences, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, Virginia 4 Virginia Tech Carilion Research Institute, Roanoke, Virginia

Introduction

1-10

CHILD MIND

INSTITUTE

- ► Researchers are increasingly facing the challenges of searching, sorting, and digesting rapidly growing literatures within and across scientific disciplines.
- ► The Child Mind Institute (CMI) Librarian¹ initiative was created to address the growing need for comprehensive, hand-vetted reference libraries, tagged with descriptive labels capable of facilitating the rapid sorting and review of evolving literatures of interest.
- ▶ Mining of resting state (RS) literature is thus enabled by the CMI Librarian database. We performed a quantitative analysis of publication patterns within the resting state literature (a bibliometric analysis) to gain insight into
 - trends of publication rates,
 - prolific working groups of authors,
 - experimental methods and areas of focus, and
 - ▶ highest impact publications.

Publication Rates

- ► PubMed² queries were used to find growth of publication volume for resting state and all of fMRI.
- ▶ Piecewise exponential functions model growth of fMRI domain as well as its RS subdomain.

Working Group Analysis

- ► Developed greedy algorithm using Dice coefficient-based heuristics to identify working groups WG.
 - \triangleright initialize WG to \emptyset
 - \triangleright for each author s in order of nonincreasing |pubs(s)|,
 - \triangleright set a = s
 - N da
 - □ add a to A
 - \triangleright find new author a with $\arg\max_{a\notin A}(|\operatorname{pubs}(a)\cap\operatorname{pubs}(A)|)$
 - \triangleright loop until |pubs(a)| < 10 or |pubs(a)|/|pubs(A)| < 0.3
 - \triangleright if $\sum |pubs(A_i)| >= 50$ and $\sum (I(|A \cap WG_i|/|WG_i| > 0.2)) = 0$
 - \triangleright add A to WG


Word Frequency Analysis

- \triangleright Performed on n-grams from neuroimaging methods, cognitive ontology^{3,4}, and the PubBrain lexicon⁵.
- ► Term frequency (tf) was computed as the ratio of occurrences of each term to the number of words in the corpus.
- ▶ Inverse document frequency (idf) was computed as $-\log(|documents|)$.
- ▶ Intuitively, high tf means the term is popular across the corpus, while high tf*idf means the term is popular in a small subset of the corpus.
- ► tf was plotted against tf*idf for the terms with the highest product of the two.

Citation Analysis

- ► Generated directed graph of citations directly from binary PDF publication files.
 - ▶ Performed fuzzy search for every possible publication title in each file using Python difflib.
 - ▶ Resulted in graph of 1,196 publications (nodes) and 17,183 citations (edges).
- ightharpoonup Found publications with highest pagerank (impact estimate) using NetworkX⁶ implementation.
- ► Found mean and standard error of pageranks using jackknife procedure.

Results






Figure 1: Growth rates of fMRI and RSN volume

Figure 2: Top journals by resting state publication count

- ► Growth of RS literature is piecewise exponential with 33% growth prior to 2005 and 47% after.
- ► In comparison, growth of fMRI literature was 29% before 2005 and 18% after.
- ➤ 27% of RS literature was published in Neuroimage and HBM.
- ▶ 26% of RS publications are open access, compared to 22% in all of fMRI.
- ▶ 23 authors in 4 working groups together cover 17.5% of resting state publications.
- ▶ Mean papers by authors in these groups: 21.9. Overall mean: 0.31.
- \blacktriangleright Mean papers in common between pairs of authors in same/different working groups: 10.7/0.11.
- ► Working group authors (number publications by author in corpus):
 - ▶ Milham, M P (41) and Kelly, A M (36) and Castellanos, F X (37) and Biswal, B (36)
- ▶ Jiang, T Z (35) and Li, Kun-Cheng (27) and Yu, Chunshui (19) and Tian, Li-Xia (18) and Zhou, Yuan (15) and Liu, Yong (15)
- Description Descr
- Schlaggar, Bradley L (19) and Petersen, Steven E (16) and Cohen, Alexander L (13) and Fair, Damien (13) and Dosenbach, Nico U F (10) and Miezin, Fran M (10)

Results (continued)




Figure 3: tf and tf*idf top terms

- ► The most frequent imaging modality is fMRI, which is the corpus domain.
- ▶ The most investigated cognitive domains are memory and activation.
- ▶ The PFC, PCC, and insula are the most discussed brain regions.




Figure 4: Top resting state publications by pagerank

- ► The first few publications established the groundwork of the default and resting state networks.
- ► The top 10 publications are cited by 66% of the corpus.
- ► The top 1% of publications account for 10% of the total pagerank.
- ► After these publications, page rank falls off more slowly, with the next 10% of publications accounting for 40% of the total pagerank.




Figure 5 : Top pageranked publications in complete graph

Conclusions

- ▶ Bibliometric analysis lends valuable insight into the current state of the field, demonstrating its strength, areas of focus, and future potential.
- ► The growth of RS literature is currently faster than fMRI, the most common imaging modality in RS research.
- ► Analysis of open access showed that it is not universal in resting state or fMRI, but has a strong foothold.
- ► A few prolific working groups together cover 17.5% of RS publications, yet individually have little overlap.
- ► The analysis identified a focus on PFC, involved with executive function, as well as the PCC, which is a central node of the DMN. Activation was the most discussed cognitive domain, reflecting a current research trend.
- ➤ A handful of seminal publications provide much of the groundwork for the field. Relatively consistent pagerank among the remaining publications suggests that the field continues to grow in new and interesting directions.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Child Mind Institute Endeavor Scientist program.