

On the Making of Science

Bullwinkle J. Moose*

September 13, 2015

Abstract

This paper does stuff

*Ph.D. Candidate, Moose Institute for Toetapping.

1 Introduction

This paper examines important scientific questions. It does so in a super rigorous and presents results so well-identified that they cant grab a bite without being spotted. We address all the important concerns with our results and can say with absolute certainty that we have created the last word on this topic. In this paragraph we want to say just a little more about what a big deal the paper is. This paper advances all of science by about 25 years. In fact, we have produced 200% more science than anyone else writing on this topic.

2 Literature

Walter (2009); Fearon and Laitin (2003) both show that something effects civil wars. However, others have also shown things (e.g. Collier, Hoeffler and Söderbom, 2004). In particular, Walter’s (2009) findings are very interesting, and her Table 1 is really awesome (Walter, 2009, 78).

3 Results

Table 1: Table of stuff		
Input 1	Input 2	Sum
5	10	15
2	9	11
heres a remark.		

Table 1 shows just all the cool science we do. Figure 1 graphs some other stuff.

3.1 Interpretation

More detail

That figure sure was a pretty.¹

References

- Collier, Paul, Anke Hoeffler and Møas Söderbom. 2004. “On the Duration of Civil War.” *Journal of Peace Research* 253(41):253–272.
- Fearon, James D. and David D. Laitin. 2003. “Ethnicity, insurgency, and civil war.” *American Political Science Review* 97(1):75–90.
- Walter, Barbara F. 2009. *Reputation and civil war: why separatist conflicts are so violent*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

¹The prettiness of the figure was rated as 7.9 on the princess beauty scale.

Competing Effect of Audience Costs on Peace




Figure 1: Some plots