

---

# Week 2 Report

---

Li Zhengyuan  
Tsinghua University  
zhengyua17@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn

## Abstract

Notes for SVM and kernel method.

## 1 SVM

### 1.1 Optimization Goal

- Given a training set  $S = \{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_N, y_N)\}$ , and  $x_i \in X = \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$
- To learn a function  $g(x)$ , and make the decision function  $f(x) = \text{sgn}(g(x))$  can classify new input  $x$

### 1.2 Definitions

- Given  $x_i, y_i$
- functional margin:  $\hat{\gamma}^{(i)} = y^{(i)}(w^T x + b)$
- geometric margin (can be seen as normalized):  $\hat{\gamma}^{(i)} = y^{(i)}(\frac{w}{\|w\|}^T x + \frac{b}{\|w\|})$
- We define margin for a training set as:  
$$\gamma = \min \gamma^i$$

### 1.3 Transformation

- Given  $x_i, y_i$
- functional margin:  $\hat{\gamma}^{(i)} = y^{(i)}(w^T x + b)$
- geometric margin (can be seen as normalized):  $\hat{\gamma}^{(i)} = y^{(i)}(\frac{w}{\|w\|}^T x + \frac{b}{\|w\|})$
- We define margin for a training set as:  
$$\gamma = \min \gamma^i$$

### 1.4 Optimization Problem

Our problem is:

- $\min_{\gamma, w, b} \quad \gamma$
- s.t.  $y^{(i)}(w^T x^{(i)} + b) \geq \gamma$

It can be transformed into:

- $\min_{w, b} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2$
- s.t.  $y^{(i)}(w^T x^{(i)} + b) \geq 1$

## 1.5 None Linearly Separable Case

We allow “error”  $\xi_i$  in classification Then the optimization goal become:

- $\min_{w,b} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + C \sum_{n=1}^m \xi_n$
- s.t.  $y^{(i)}(w^T x^{(i)} + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i$
- $\xi_i \geq 0$

we can form the Lagrangian:

$$L(w, b, \xi, \alpha, r) = 1/2 w^T w + C \sum_{n=1}^m \alpha_i [y^i (x^T w + b) - 1 + \xi_i] - \sum_{n=1}^m r_i \xi_i \quad (1)$$

$\alpha_i$  's and  $r_i$  's are greater than 0.

By setting the derivatives to 0 to satisfy the KKT condition, we obtain the following dual form

- $\max_{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i - 1/2 \sum_{i,j=1}^m y^i y^j \alpha_i \alpha_j < x^i, x^j >$
- s.t.  $\alpha_i \geq 0$
- $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i y_i = 0$

We should notice that by introducing KKT condition, this form is almost equivalent to the prime problem.

BTW, by setting the derivatives with respect to w, we find that w is a linear combination of  $\alpha_i$ . (The Representer Theorem)

## 1.6 How to solve SVM?

Repeat until convergence

- {
- 1. select some pair  $a_i$  and  $a_j$  to update next.
- 2. reoptimize  $L(a)$  with respect to  $a_i$  and  $a_j$ , while holding all the other  $a$ .
- }

## 2 Kernel Method

### 2.1 What is kernel?

If we have an feature map  $\phi$ , then we define kernel corresponding to  $\phi$  as:

$$k(x, x') = \langle \phi(x), \phi(x') \rangle \quad (2)$$

### 2.2 Why kernel is right?

As we can see at the end of last section, the item relevant to  $x$  is an inner product. So, if we want to transform the  $x$  with some  $\phi$ , to use the kernel function corresponding to  $\phi$  is an equivalent.

In short, kernel method is an alternative choice besides feature map.

### 2.3 Why kernel?

- Overall, we can see that sometimes feature mapping is infeasible or it requires too much computing resource. That's why we use kernel. We should notice that a simple kernel function can be derived from many feature mapping functions. (e.g  $k(x, x') = (1 + \langle x, x' \rangle)^M$  corresponds to a feature map with all monomials up to degree M.)
- Kernel evaluation can be fast.  
Let's assume that  $\phi(x) = \text{all monomials up to degree } M$ , its dimension is  $O(d^2)$ . Now we try to calculate  $K(x, x')$ .

- explicit computation:  $O(d^2)$
- implicit computation:  $O(d)$
- Kernel functions can allow access to infinite-dimensional feature spaces(e.g. RBF kernel).

## 2.4 notes

- Kernel method is off-line.
- To make a prediction, we need to touch all the training inputs, but we avoid the complexity of features. So that is a trade-off.
- There are Kernel Ridge Regression, Kernel Logistic Regression and others.