

# SE 3XA3: Test Plan Mario Level X

210, Group 210  
Edward Liu, liuz150  
Ahmad Gharib, ghariba  
Connor Czarnuch, czarnucc

April 7, 2020

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>General Information</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Purpose . . . . .	1
1.2	Scope . . . . .	1
1.3	Acronyms, Abbreviations, and Symbols . . . . .	1
1.4	Overview of Document . . . . .	1
<b>2</b>	<b>Plan</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Software Description . . . . .	2
2.2	Test Team . . . . .	2
2.3	Automated Testing Approach . . . . .	2
2.4	Testing Tools . . . . .	2
2.5	Testing Schedule . . . . .	2
<b>3</b>	<b>System Test Description</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1	Parallel Testing . . . . .	3
3.2	Tests for Functional Requirements . . . . .	3
3.2.1	Menu . . . . .	3
3.2.2	Level Player . . . . .	5
3.2.3	Level Editor . . . . .	6
3.3	Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements . . . . .	8
3.3.1	Usability . . . . .	8
3.3.2	Performance . . . . .	10
3.4	Traceability Between Test Cases and Requirements . . . . .	11
<b>4</b>	<b>Tests for Proof of Concept</b>	<b>11</b>
4.1	State-Changing Testing . . . . .	11
4.2	Movement Testing . . . . .	13
4.3	Sprite/Texture Testing . . . . .	14
<b>5</b>	<b>Comparison to Existing Implementation</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Unit Testing Plan</b>	<b>15</b>
6.1	Unit testing of internal functions . . . . .	15
6.2	Unit testing of output files . . . . .	15

<b>7</b>	<b>Appendix</b>	<b>16</b>
7.1	Symbolic Parameters . . . . .	16
7.2	Usability Survey Questions? . . . . .	16

## List of Tables

1	<b>Revision History</b> . . . . .	ii
2	<b>Table of Abbreviations</b> . . . . .	1
3	<b>Table of Definitions</b> . . . . .	1

## List of Figures

Table 1: **Revision History**

Date	Version	Notes
Feb. 28, 2020	0.0	Initial revision of this document
Apr. 6, 2020	1.0	Final revision of this document, implementing feedback

# 1 General Information

## 1.1 Purpose

The purpose for this test plan is to ensure that the software for the application was implemented correctly and behaves as expected.

## 1.2 Scope

The test plan will be used as a guideline of how the software should be tested. This includes what sections of the code will be tested, the benchmarks for successful test results, as well as what testing tools will be used.

## 1.3 Acronyms, Abbreviations, and Symbols

Table 2: **Table of Abbreviations**

Abbreviation	Definition
POC	Proof of Concept
UI	User Interface
JSON	Javascript Object Notation

Table 3: **Table of Definitions**

Term	Definition
unittest	Standard test library for python
Map	The playable game area
Level	An instance of a map

## 1.4 Overview of Document

This document will consist of test cases based off the requirements derived from the requirements specification, test schedule, and unit test plans.

## 2 Plan

### 2.1 Software Description

Mario-Level-X is a re-implementation of Mario-Level-1 that separates the level data rendering from the game functionalities. By rendering the level data from an external source, we can provide a UI for players to edit and save their levels.

### 2.2 Test Team

The members responsible for testing are Edward Liu, Ahmad Gharib, and Connor Czarnuch.

### 2.3 Automated Testing Approach

To implement automated unit testing, we will write tests using the unit-test python library for our modules, and create scripts that runs every test case. We will run tests after each major commit to ensure that the software remains correct.

### 2.4 Testing Tools

Testing tools we will use is the unit-test standard library for python.

### 2.5 Testing Schedule

Member	Task	Date
Ahmad	Menu	03/02/2020
Connor	Level Editor	03/15/2020
Edward	Level Player	03/20/2020

## 3 System Test Description

### 3.1 Parallel Testing

Listed below in the next section are various system tests to be implemented in order to ensure that our system is robust and meets both our functional and non-functional requirements. We incorporate the usage of unit tests, and also will perform tests concurrently in the form of parallel testing. There are three distinct sections that can be tested at the same time (Menu, Level Player, and Level Editor). Each of the group members can test one of these sections, and report the results in order to save time testing.

### 3.2 Tests for Functional Requirements

#### 3.2.1 Menu

1. FR-M-1

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Main Menu Screen

Input: User presses enter on ~~“1-player game”~~ “Play” menu option

Output: Game loads into the game-screen and is displayed on screen

How test will be performed: The game will be run and the ~~“1-player game”~~ “Play” option is selected to ensure that it works properly (user loads into the game).

2. FR-M-2

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Main Menu Screen

Input: User presses enter on ~~“Edit Levels”~~ “Levels” menu option

Output: Game loads into the level select UI.

How test will be performed: Tester will launch game, select ~~“Edit Levels”~~ “Levels”, and should be redirected to the level select.

3. FR-M-3

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Main Menu Screen

Input: User presses on the level to edit in the level select screen.

Output: The selected level loads.

How test will be performed: Tester will launch game, select ~~“Edit Levels”~~ “Levels”, and should be redirected to the level that is selected.

#### 4. FR-M-4

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Main Menu Screen

Input: Arrow keys from the keyboard.

Output: The arrow keys will change the item that is selected in the main menu.

How test will be performed: Tester will log into the main menu of the game and check to see if the arrow keys cause the cursor to change item on the main menu and in the level select.

#### 5. FR-M-5 Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Main Menu Screen

Input: Select play game menu option, then quickly pressing escape on the keyboard.

Output: The game will jump back to the main menu without generating an exception, even if it is loading levels while escape is pressed.

How test will be performed: Tester will log into the main menu of the game and attempt to load into a level, then proceed to press escape to see if an error is generated, or successfully jumps back to the main menu.

#### 6. FR-M-6 Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Main Menu Screen and Subscreens

Input: While in the main menu, input keys are not mapped to software inputs.

Output: The game should not perform unexpectedly if an unknown key or key combination is pressed.

How test will be performed: Tester will log into the main menu of the game and press each non-mapped key once, and non-OS key combinations once. The tester will do this for the level select as well.

### 3.2.2 Level Player

#### 7. FR-LP-1

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Level Player

Input: Mario goes through the map that is loaded

Output: Each block that is placed on in the JSON file shows up in the correct position on the map.

How test will be performed: Player loads into any level and checks the map and the JSON file to check if they match.

#### 8. FR-LP-2

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Level Player

Input: Mario collides with all blocks properly.

Output: Mario is unable to pass through existing blocks.

How test will be performed: The tester will play the game and check if the block boundaries cause Mario to stop.

#### 9. FR-LP-3

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Level Player

Input: Mario is moving on the screen.

Output: The camera for the level player, scrolls with Mario, and Mario cannot pass the middle of the screen until the end of the map. The camera cannot move backwards.

How test will be performed: Tester will load into any level, and play through the level, making sure the Mario does not move past the middle of the screen until the end of the level. The camera also must not move backwards.



10. FR-LP-4

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Level Player

Input: Mario has just died.

Output: Mario should not respond to movement commands, should not generate any sound attributed to movement commands, and no exception should occur.

How test will be performed: Tester will load into any level, intentionally die, and see if the game will crash when Mario dies and receive movement input. This will confirm the proper output where Mario doesn't respond to movement commands and also will not generate an error when attempting to move him while dead.

11. FR-LP-5

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Level Player

Input: Un-mapped keys and key combinations from the keyboard.

Output: The game should not perform unexpectedly when any un-mapped key/key combination is pressed.

How test will be performed: Tester will load into any level, and proceed to press keys that are un-mapped.

### 3.2.3 Level Editor

12. FR-LE-1

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Level Editor

Input: User clicks on a location in the level editor.

Output: The block is saved in the JSON file when the level editor is exited.

How test will be performed: User will enter the level editor using any level, and will place a block or enemy in the map. They will then exit the level editor and check if the block is saved in the JSON file.

13. FR-LE-2

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Level Editor

Input: User clicks on a location in the level editor.

Output: The block type that appears in the location that is clicked is cycled when that location is clicked again.

How test will be performed: User will enter the level editor using any level, and will select a block by clicking the associated key then click on a location in the map to check if the block is placed properly.

14. FR-LE-3

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Level Editor

Input: Mouse cursor selects the location to place a new block.

Output: The correct location for the block or enemy is placed.

How test will be performed: The tester will enter the level editor and check if the mouse cursor selects the correct location to place the block or enemy.

15. FR-LE-4

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Level Editor

Input: Loading the level editor.

Output: The proper level is loaded from the file and the user is able to edit.

How test will be performed: The tester will load the level from the level select and check if the correct level is loaded by comparing the JSON file to what is displayed.

16. FR-LE-5

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Level Editor

Input: Attempting to die in the level editor.

Output: Mario will not die in the level editor, as he is immune to damage.

How test will be performed: The tester will load the level from the level select and start creating a level. The tester will place a hazard in the form of an enemy and try to die, and won't be able to as Mario is immune when creating a level.

17. FR-LE-6

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Level Editor

Input: Placing enemies onto of each other.

Output: The enemies will bounce off of each other, and not occupy the same space (will not have 100 enemies in one block area).

How test will be performed: The tester will load the level from the level select and create a level by placing several Goombas or Koopas in a single location. The tester will confirm that the enemies will bounce and not take up the same space.

18. FR-LE-7

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Level Editor

Input: Un-mapped keys and key combinations from the keyboard.

Output: The game should not perform unexpectedly when any un-mapped key/key combination is pressed.

How test will be performed: Tester will load into any level, and proceed to press keys that are un-mapped.

### **3.3 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements**

#### **3.3.1 Usability**

1. SS-1

Type: Structural, Static, Manual

Initial State: the game is installed onto the system and have yet to be launched

Input/Condition: user is asked to run the program without assistance

Output/Result: most users are able to successfully launch the program without assistance within 2 minutes

How test will be performed: a test group of students within the Software Engineering program will be asked to attempt to launch our program without prior instructions. The time taken to run our program is recorded and averaged among all participants of the survey. The majority of these students will have the game running within 2 minutes.

## 2. SS-2

Type: Structural, Static, Manual

Initial State: the game is already launched and running on the main menu screen

Input: user is asked to load into the game from the main menu screen

Output: most users are able to successfully traverse from the main menu into game play

How test will be performed: a test group of students within the Software Engineering program will be asked to attempt to get into the play-screen from the main menu. The time taken to run our program is recorded and averaged among all participants of the survey. The majority of these students will successfully leave the main menu into the game and playing within 2 minutes.

## 3. SS-3 Type: Structural, Static, Manual

Initial State: the game is running on any screen that contains text (main menu, in-game, etc)

Input: user is asked to read what's written on screen, to see if it is identifiable as Canadian English

Output: most users are able to successfully read the text on screen

How test will be performed: one of our group members will run the program and cycle through all screens to ensure that the program is being displayed in English.

### 3.3.2 Performance

#### 1. SS-4

Type: Structural, Static, Manual

Initial State: the game is on the menu screen

Input: user is asked to press on a button on the main menu

Output: most users experience immediate feedback that their input has been processed

How test will be performed: a test group of students within the Software Engineering program will be asked to attempt to press on a menu button. The majority of these students will successfully receive feedback that the game processed their input successfully.

#### 2. SS-5

Type: Structural, Static, Manual

Initial State: the game is running on the gameplay screen

Input: user is asked to move the player around with user inputs

Output: most users experience immediate feedback that their input has been processed, when they see the player has moved

How test will be performed: a test group of students within the Software Engineering program will be asked to attempt to move the player around. The majority of these students will successfully receive feedback that the game processed their input successfully.

#### 3. SS-6

Type: Structural, Static, Manual

Initial State: the game is running on any screen (main menu, in-game, etc)

Input: once the program has been launched, it is left idle for an extended period of time

Output: the game will not crash and will continue to run, not closing unexpectedly

How test will be performed: one of our group members will run several instances of the game on different machines, leaving them running and

checking periodically to see if the game is still running. If the game has not crashed in 90 percent of tests within 3 hours of running, then it has passed the test.

#### 4. SS-7

Type: Structural, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: All of Main Menu, Level Editor, and Level player

Input: The frame rate of the game is not visually impacted while playing the game.

Output: The game will function normally and at a normal speed. Not slowing down or having lag.

How test will be performed: The game will be run on each menu, as the only program running on the computer for extended period of time. The tester will make note of any slow downs or lag while the game is running.

### 3.4 Traceability Between Test Cases and Requirements

## 4 Tests for Proof of Concept

### 4.1 State-Changing Testing

All tests that relate to occurrences in the game that should change the game state from menu, to game, to level editor.

#### 1. PoC-S-1

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: In Main Menu.

Input: Load into a level using the level menu option.

Output: Mario is now loaded into the level.

How test will be performed: A tester will launch the game and load into a level to see if the game switches to the level state as expected.

## 2. PoC-S-2

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: In Level Player.

Input: Mario reaches the end of the level and touches the flag pole.

Output: Mario enters the castle, points are calculated, and the game state is sent back to the main menu after a victory screen.

How test will be performed: A tester will create a level, play it out to the end without dying, and observe the output to ensure that Mario can win the game without any issues.

## 3. PoC-S-3

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: In Main Menu.

Input: The X button in the top right corner is pressed to close the game.

Output: The game is closed without causing any system errors or memory leaks.

How test will be performed: A tester will launch the game, wait in the main menu for several minutes, then proceed to close the game via the X in the top right corner with a task manager open in the background. The game should close immediately and the task should disappear from the task manager.

## 4. PoC-S-4

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: In Level Editor.

Input: The X button in the top right corner is pressed to close the game.

Output: The game is closed without causing any system errors or memory leaks.

How test will be performed: A tester will launch the game, wait in the level editor for several minutes, then proceed to close the game via the X in the top right corner with a task manager open in the background. The game should close immediately and the task should disappear from the task manager.

#### 5. PoC-S-5

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: In Level Player.

Input: The X button in the top right corner is pressed to close the game.

Output: The game is closed without causing any system errors or memory leaks.

How test will be performed: A tester will launch the game, wait in the level player for several minutes, then proceed to close the game via the X in the top right corner with a task manager open in the background. The game should close immediately and the task should disappear from the task manager.

## 4.2 Movement Testing

**All tests that relate to occurrences in the game that should change the game state from menu, to game, to level editor.**

#### 1. PoC-M-1

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: In Level Player.

Input: Pressing on arrow keys left and right.

Output: Mario moves left and right, corresponding to which input is actively being pressed down.

How test will be performed: A tester will launch the game and load into a level and press either left or right arrow keys, to see if Mario correctly responds to input.



## 2. PoC-M-2

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: In Level Player.

Input: Pressing on jump key.

Output: Mario jumps into the air.

How test will be performed: A tester will launch the game and load into a level and press the jump key, to see if Mario correctly responds to input.

## 4.3 Sprite/Texture Testing

### PoC-ST-1

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: In Level Player.

Input: A pre-created level designed in the level editor with all the different sprites and textures on display.

Output: The level should generate correctly for the play with no missing sprites, everything displaying as it should.

How test will be performed: A tester will launch the game and load into a pre-created level and observe to see if all the textures and sprites load in correctly. This is to ensure that there are no artifacts when it comes to the sprites.

## 5 Comparison to Existing Implementation

In this document, there exist tests that compare the current Mario-Level-X implementation to the existing implementation. Please refer to:

1. FR-LP-1
2. FR-LP-2
3. PoC-M-1
4. PoC-M-2

## 5. PoC-ST-1

# 6 Unit Testing Plan

We will be using the python unit-test library to do unit testing for this project.

## 6.1 Unit testing of internal functions

To test the internal functions of our project, a good place to focus most of the testing is map creation methods such that they are fully functional for when the program starts reading and writing from map files. This will include testing of the functions that create the ground, pipes, or any other block that appears in the game. These tests will ensure that the methods operate correctly, meaning that a pipe declared to spawn at coordinates (200, 200) correctly spawns at the expected location. All map functions will be tested in a similar manner to ensure accuracy. An easy way to implement these tests is to return the coordinate values of any components added to the map, and compare these to what was written in the code. The goal is to achieve as close to 100 percent accuracy with our implementation, with the exception of any game-library restrictions that are beyond our control.

## 6.2 Unit testing of output files

The output files for our project will be the save files for when users create their own custom maps. In order for the unit testing of output files to begin, the unit testing of internal functions must already have occurred and passed, as our map creation relies on the correctness and accuracy of our internal functions. The testing of output files will be conducted in two steps. One portion of testing is to ensure that a map is successfully saved to a file when the user decides to save their map. The second portion is to test loading the map to see if it successfully loads. There will be a method that tests whether elements that appear on the map are written in the map file, to see if they match. If the element in the game has a corresponding section in the map file, it is confirmed that the reading and writing to file is working as intended. In order for the map test cases to pass, there must be a 100 percent success rate when comparing map elements to the map file outline.

## 7 Appendix

This is where you can place additional information.

### 7.1 Symbolic Parameters

The definition of the test cases will call for `SYMBOLIC_CONSTANTS`. Their values are defined in this section for easy maintenance.

### 7.2 Usability Survey Questions?

The Usability Survey will be used to ensure that the final product meets the original goal intended when modifying the existing implementation. The follow are a few examples of questions we might ask users:

1. Is the gameplay experience sufficiently unique and fun in comparison to the original implementation?
2. On a scale of 1 to 10, how easy is it to load in and play the game without prior instruction of any controls?
3. Does the game visually resemble the original Mario-64 game?
4. Does our implementation improve on the original concept of this project?