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Long-term exposure to permissible concentrations of air pollution linked with increased mortality risk



For immediate release: Thursday, October 7, 2021

Boston, MA – Exposure to low concentrations of [air pollution](https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/news/multitaxo/topic/air-pollution/), even at levels permitted under federal regulations, may be causing tens of thousands of early deaths each year among [elderly people](https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/news/multitaxo/topic/aging/) and other vulnerable groups in the U.S., according to a large national study from Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health.The study was published October 7, 2021, in The Lancet Planetary Health.

“We found that among elderly patients enrolled in Medicare, small increases in long-term exposure to both particle and gaseous air pollutants increased the risk of death, even at levels deemed safe by current regulations,” said lead study author [Mahdieh Danesh Yazdi](https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/profile/mahdieh-danesh-yazdi/), a postdoctoral fellow in Harvard Chan School’s [Department of Environmental Health](https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/environmental-health/). “Our findings suggest that current air pollution limits are not adequate to protect the health of vulnerable groups.”

Previous studies have suggested that people exposed to air pollution concentrations that are lower than those permitted by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency may still have an increased risk of illness and mortality. But most earlier studies did not focus on individuals who were continually exposed to lower concentrations of pollutants during the study period, as the new study does. Researchers also used a robust causal modeling technique and a large dataset for their analysis that gave them enough power to detect links between air pollution and mortality in demographic and socioeconomic subgroups.

The analysis included data on millions of Medicare enrollees from 2000 to 2016. The researchers predicted people’s exposure levels by using satellite-based measurements, land-use data, meteorological data, and chemical-transport models to generate daily air pollution predictions as well as annual averages of exposure levels across the U.S. Participants were assigned exposures based on their residential postal codes. The researchers adjusted for factors such as age, sex, race, education level, and smoking history.

The study looked at the effects of three different types of pollutants, including fine [particulate matter](https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/news/multitaxo/topic/particulate-matter/), or PM2.5—particulates with a diameter of less than 2.5 micrograms per cubic meter of air (μg/m3)—nitrogen dioxide (NO2), and summer ozone (O3). The researchers limited their dataset to individuals who were exposed to air pollution concentrations below the annual maximums recommended by the EPA. For PM2.5, the threshold is 12 μg/m3; for NO2, it’s 53 parts per billion (ppb). There is no regulation regarding long-term exposure for O3, so the researchers chose 50 ppb as an upper exposure limit for the purposes of the study.

All of the studied pollutants increased the mortality risk among the participants. Thousands of deaths could be attributed to even small increases in annual air pollution concentrations, according to the researchers.

Each 1 μg/m3 increase in annual PM2.5 concentrations increased the absolute annual risk of death by 0.073%. Each 1 ppb increase in annual NO2 concentrations increased the annual risk of death by 0.003%, and each 1 ppb increase in summer O3 concentrations increased the annual risk of death by 0.081%. These increases translated to approximately 11,540 deaths attributable to PM2.5, 1,176 deaths attributable to NO2, and 15,115 deaths attributable to O3 per year for each unit increase in pollution concentrations.

Men were at greater risk of death from PM2.5 and O3, and people who identified as Black had a higher risk of death caused by NO2 and O3. The study also found an increased risk of death for people living in lower-income areas, pointing to disparities in the adverse effects of air pollution.

The results suggest that a 2020 EPA decision not to tighten standards for ambient PM2.5 “was unjustified,” the researchers wrote. They listed interventions that could reduce air pollutants, such as stricter controls on industry and fossil-fuel electric-generating units, larger and more efficient catalysts on automobiles, city planning to promote active transport, and improved public transit.

“Our finding that people living in lower income areas are more susceptible to the harmful effects of air pollution means they are suffering a double whammy—more exposure, and greater risk from that exposure,” said [Joel Schwartz](https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/profile/joel-schwartz/), professor of environmental epidemiology and senior author of the study. “The Clean Air Act requires the EPA to protect sensitive populations with an adequate margin of safety. It is time for it to do so.”

Other Harvard Chan School authors of the study included Yan Wang, Qian Di, Weeberb Requia, [Yaguang Wei](https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/profile/yaguang-wei/), Liuhua Shi, Matthew Sabath, [Francesca Dominici](https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/profile/francesca-dominici/), [Brent Coull](https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/profile/brent-coull/), [John Evans](https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/profile/john-s-evans/), and [Petros Koutrakis](https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/profile/petros-koutrakis/).

Funding for the study came from U.S. Environmental Protection Agency grant RD-835872, from National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences P30-ES000002, and Health Effects Institute grant 4953-RFA14-3/16-4.
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*Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health brings together dedicated experts from many disciplines to educate new generations of global health leaders and produce powerful ideas that improve the lives and health of people everywhere. As a community of leading scientists, educators, and students, we work together to take innovative ideas from the laboratory to people’s lives—not only making scientific breakthroughs, but also working to change individual behaviors, public policies, and health care practices. Each year, more than 400 faculty members at Harvard Chan teach 1,000-plus full-time students from around the world and train thousands more through online and executive education courses. Founded in 1913 as the Harvard-MIT School of Health Officers, the School is recognized as America’s oldest professional training program in public health.*