

# Computational Text Analysis for Legal Practice

(Class 2)

Charles Crabtree & Kevin L. Cope

January 19, 2022

[github.com/cdcrabtree/uva-2022](https://github.com/cdcrabtree/uva-2022)

# Class outline

1 Data management with texts.

2 Understanding your text data.

# Class outline

- 1 Data management with texts.
- 2 Understanding your text data.
  - Word clouds.

# Class outline

- 1 Data management with texts.
- 2 Understanding your text data.
  - Word clouds.
  - Discriminating terms.

# Class outline

1 Data management with texts.

2 Understanding your text data.

- Word clouds.
- Discriminating terms.
- Word frequencies and associations.

# Class outline

1 Data management with texts.

2 Understanding your text data.

- Word clouds.
- Discriminating terms.
- Word frequencies and associations.
- DTMs.

# Class outline

1 Data management with texts.

2 Understanding your text data.

- Word clouds.
- Discriminating terms.
- Word frequencies and associations.
- DTMs.

# Class outline

- 1 Data management with texts.
- 2 Understanding your text data.
  - 3 Word clouds.
  - 4 Discriminating terms.
  - 5 Word frequencies and associations.
  - 6 DTMs.



## Discriminating words

- **Goal:** Find words that distinguish one group of texts from another group of texts.
  - Comparing Documents That Are Responsive/Non-Responsive to a Discovery Request  $\rightsquigarrow$  Contain Phrases About a Given Event (e.g., a Termination).

## Discriminating words

- **Goal:** Find words that distinguish one group of texts from another group of texts.
  - Comparing Documents That Are Responsive/Non-Responsive to a Discovery Request  $\rightsquigarrow$  **Contain Phrases About a Given Event (e.g., a Termination).**
  - Comparing Rep., Dem. speeches  $\rightsquigarrow$  **Partisan** language.

## Discriminating words

- **Goal:** Find words that distinguish one group of texts from another group of texts.
  - Comparing Documents That Are Responsive/Non-Responsive to a Discovery Request  $\rightsquigarrow$  **Contain Phrases About a Given Event (e.g., a Termination).**
  - Comparing Rep., Dem. speeches  $\rightsquigarrow$  **Partisan** language.
  - Comparing Judicial Opinions Between Judges/Courts  $\rightsquigarrow$  **Different Style/Substantive Focus.**

## Discriminating words

- **Goal:** Find words that distinguish one group of texts from another group of texts.
  - Comparing Documents That Are Responsive/Non-Responsive to a Discovery Request ~→ **Contain Phrases About a Given Event (e.g., a Termination)**.
  - Comparing Rep., Dem. speeches ~→ **Partisan** language.
  - Comparing Judicial Opinions Between Judges/Courts ~→ **Different Style/Substantive Focus**.
  - Comparing Contracts From Different Jurisdictions/Over Time ~→ **Different Terms (e.g., liquidated damages)**.
- **Reasons:**

## Discriminating words

- **Goal:** Find words that distinguish one group of texts from another group of texts.
  - Comparing Documents That Are Responsive/Non-Responsive to a Discovery Request ~→ **Contain Phrases About a Given Event (e.g., a Termination).**
  - Comparing Rep., Dem. speeches ~→ **Partisan** language.
  - Comparing Judicial Opinions Between Judges/Courts ~→ **Different Style/Substantive Focus.**
  - Comparing Contracts From Different Jurisdictions/Over Time ~→ **Different Terms (e.g., liquidated damages).**
- **Reasons:**
  - 1 Interesting in their own right.

## Discriminating words

- **Goal:** Find words that distinguish one group of texts from another group of texts.
  - Comparing Documents That Are Responsive/Non-Responsive to a Discovery Request  $\rightsquigarrow$  **Contain Phrases About a Given Event (e.g., a Termination).**
  - Comparing Rep., Dem. speeches  $\rightsquigarrow$  **Partisan** language.
  - Comparing Judicial Opinions Between Judges/Courts  $\rightsquigarrow$  **Different Style/Substantive Focus.**
  - Comparing Contracts From Different Jurisdictions/Over Time  $\rightsquigarrow$  **Different Terms (e.g., liquidated damages).**
- **Reasons:**
  - 1 Interesting in their own right.
  - 2 Create custom dictionaries for a classification task.

## Discriminating words

- **Goal:** Find words that distinguish one group of texts from another group of texts.
  - Comparing Documents That Are Responsive/Non-Responsive to a Discovery Request  $\rightsquigarrow$  **Contain Phrases About a Given Event (e.g., a Termination).**
  - Comparing Rep., Dem. speeches  $\rightsquigarrow$  **Partisan** language.
  - Comparing Judicial Opinions Between Judges/Courts  $\rightsquigarrow$  **Different Style/Substantive Focus.**
  - Comparing Contracts From Different Jurisdictions/Over Time  $\rightsquigarrow$  **Different Terms (e.g., liquidated damages).**
- **Reasons:**
  - 1 Interesting in their own right.
  - 2 Create custom dictionaries for a classification task.
  - 3 Feature selection: inclusion of features in some subsequent analysis.

## Discriminating words

- **Goal:** Find words that distinguish one group of texts from another group of texts.
  - Comparing Documents That Are Responsive/Non-Responsive to a Discovery Request  $\rightsquigarrow$  **Contain Phrases About a Given Event (e.g., a Termination).**
  - Comparing Rep., Dem. speeches  $\rightsquigarrow$  **Partisan** language.
  - Comparing Judicial Opinions Between Judges/Courts  $\rightsquigarrow$  **Different Style/Substantive Focus.**
  - Comparing Contracts From Different Jurisdictions/Over Time  $\rightsquigarrow$  **Different Terms (e.g., liquidated damages).**
- **Reasons:**
  - 1 Interesting in their own right.
  - 2 Create custom dictionaries for a classification task.
  - 3 Feature selection: inclusion of features in some subsequent analysis.
- **Method:** Distinctive / Discriminating / Separating word



## Discriminating words

- **Goal:** Find words that distinguish one group of texts from another group of texts.
  - Comparing Documents That Are Responsive/Non-Responsive to a Discovery Request  $\rightsquigarrow$  **Contain Phrases About a Given Event (e.g., a Termination).**
  - Comparing Rep., Dem. speeches  $\rightsquigarrow$  **Partisan** language.
  - Comparing Judicial Opinions Between Judges/Courts  $\rightsquigarrow$  **Different Style/Substantive Focus.**
  - Comparing Contracts From Different Jurisdictions/Over Time  $\rightsquigarrow$  **Different Terms (e.g., liquidated damages).**
- **Reasons:**
  - 1 Interesting in their own right.
  - 2 Create custom dictionaries for a classification task.
  - 3 Feature selection: inclusion of features in some subsequent analysis.
- **Method:** Distinctive / Discriminating / Separating word

## What does 'distinctive' means?

- **Goal:** find words (or features) distinctive to each corpus.
- Requires a decision about what 'distinctive' means.

## What does 'distinctive' means?

- **Goal:** find words (or features) distinctive to each corpus.
- Requires a decision about what 'distinctive' means.
- There are a variety of definitions that we might use.

## What does 'distinctive' means?

- **Goal:** find words (or features) distinctive to each corpus.
- Requires a decision about what 'distinctive' means.
- There are a variety of definitions that we might use.

## Option 1: Unique usage

- Distinctive = exclusive.
- If Trump uses the word 'bigly' and Obama never does, we should count 'bigly' as distinctive.

## Option 1: Unique usage

- Distinctive = exclusive.
- If Trump uses the word 'bigly' and Obama never does, we should count 'bigly' as distinctive.
- These words tend not to be terribly interesting or informative.

## Option 1: Unique usage

- Distinctive = exclusive.
- If Trump uses the word 'bigly' and Obama never does, we should count 'bigly' as distinctive.
- These words tend not to be terribly interesting or informative.
- More likely to capture differences in linguistic style than content.

## Option 1: Unique usage

- Distinctive = exclusive.
- If Trump uses the word 'bigly' and Obama never does, we should count 'bigly' as distinctive.
- These words tend not to be terribly interesting or informative.
- More likely to capture differences in linguistic style than content.



## Option 2: Difference in frequencies

- Distinctive = difference in frequency.
- Compare the number of times each author, Trump or Obama, uses a word.

## Option 2: Difference in frequencies

- Distinctive = difference in frequency.
- Compare the number of times each author, Trump or Obama, uses a word.
- Find the largest absolute difference.

## Option 2: Difference in frequencies

- Distinctive = difference in frequency.
- Compare the number of times each author, Trump or Obama, uses a word.
- Find the largest absolute difference.
- Doesn't take into account difference in total words.

## Option 2: Difference in frequencies

- Distinctive = difference in frequency.
- Compare the number of times each author, Trump or Obama, uses a word.
- Find the largest absolute difference.
- Doesn't take into account difference in total words.

## Option 3: Difference in averages

- Distinctive = difference in rates.
- Compare the average rate each author uses a word.

## Option 3: Difference in averages

- Distinctive = difference in rates.
- Compare the average rate each author uses a word.

### Option 3: Difference in averages

- Normalize DTM from counts to proportions.
- For each word  $p$  in an arbitrary corpus  $c$ :

$$\mu_p = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N p_i}{T}$$

where  $p_i$  is the number of times a  $p$  appears in document  $i$ ,  $N$  is the total number of documents in  $c$  and  $T$  is the total number of words in  $c$ .

- Take the difference between one author's proportion of a word and another's proportion of the same word.

$$\theta_p = \mu_{p,Trump} - \mu_{p,Obama}$$

- Find words with highest absolute difference.

## Differences in averages: Problems

- Favors more frequent words.
- Word 1: 30/1000 (Trump); 25/1000 (Obama)  $\rightsquigarrow$  Score: 5/1000.



## Differences in averages: Problems

- Favors more frequent words.
- Word 1: 30/1000 (Trump); 25/1000 (Obama)  $\rightsquigarrow$  Score: 5/1000.
- Word 2: 5/1000 (Trump); 1/1000 (Obama)  $\rightsquigarrow$  Score: 4.9/1000.

## Differences in averages: Problems

- Favors more frequent words.
- Word 1: 30/1000 (Trump); 25/1000 (Obama)  $\rightsquigarrow$  Score: 5/1000.
- Word 2: 5/1000 (Trump); 1/1000 (Obama)  $\rightsquigarrow$  Score: 4.9/1000.
- Ignores cases when one author uses a word frequently and a another author barely uses it.

## Differences in averages: Problems

- Favors more frequent words.
- Word 1: 30/1000 (Trump); 25/1000 (Obama)  $\rightsquigarrow$  Score: 5/1000.
- Word 2: 5/1000 (Trump); 1/1000 (Obama)  $\rightsquigarrow$  Score: 4.9/1000.
- Ignores cases when one author uses a word frequently and a another author barely uses it.
- More generally: Differences in rates of frequent words  $>$  Differences in rates of rare words.

## Differences in averages: Problems

- Favors more frequent words.
- Word 1: 30/1000 (Trump); 25/1000 (Obama)  $\rightsquigarrow$  Score: 5/1000.
- Word 2: 5/1000 (Trump); 1/1000 (Obama)  $\rightsquigarrow$  Score: 4.9/1000.
- Ignores cases when one author uses a word frequently and a another author barely uses it.
- More generally: Differences in rates of frequent words  $>$  Differences in rates of rare words.
- Adjustment: Divide the difference in authors' average rates by the average rate across all authors.

## Differences in averages: Problems

- Favors more frequent words.
- Word 1: 30/1000 (Trump); 25/1000 (Obama)  $\rightsquigarrow$  Score: 5/1000.
- Word 2: 5/1000 (Trump); 1/1000 (Obama)  $\rightsquigarrow$  Score: 4.9/1000.
- Ignores cases when one author uses a word frequently and a another author barely uses it.
- More generally: Differences in rates of frequent words  $>$  Differences in rates of rare words.
- Adjustment: Divide the difference in authors' average rates by the average rate across all authors.

## Other options

- Other metrics for 'distinctiveness':
  - Standardized mean difference (account for variability).

## Other options

- Other metrics for 'distinctiveness':
  - Standardized mean difference (account for variability).
  - Standard Log Odds (used in Monroe, Colaresi, and Quinn, 2009).

## Other options

- Other metrics for 'distinctiveness':
  - Standardized mean difference (account for variability).
  - Standard Log Odds (used in Monroe, Colaresi, and Quinn, 2009).
  - Many more!



## Other options

- Other metrics for 'distinctiveness':
  - Standardized mean difference (account for variability).
  - Standard Log Odds (used in Monroe, Colaresi, and Quinn, 2009).
  - Many more!
- How do we choose?

## Other options

- Other metrics for 'distinctiveness':
  - Standardized mean difference (account for variability).
  - Standard Log Odds (used in Monroe, Colaresi, and Quinn, 2009).
  - Many more!
- How do we choose?
  - Depends on context, goal.

## Other options

- Other metrics for ‘distinctiveness’:
  - Standardized mean difference (account for variability).
  - Standard Log Odds (used in Monroe, Colaresi, and Quinn, 2009).
  - Many more!
- How do we choose?
  - Depends on context, goal.
  - Classification  $\rightsquigarrow$  accuracy, precision, recall.

## Other options

- Other metrics for ‘distinctiveness’:
  - Standardized mean difference (account for variability).
  - Standard Log Odds (used in Monroe, Colaresi, and Quinn, 2009).
  - Many more!
- How do we choose?
  - Depends on context, goal.
  - Classification  $\rightsquigarrow$  accuracy, precision, recall.
  - Qualitative inference  $\rightsquigarrow$  face validity, convergence, etc.

## Other options

- Other metrics for ‘distinctiveness’:
  - Standardized mean difference (account for variability).
  - Standard Log Odds (used in Monroe, Colaresi, and Quinn, 2009).
  - Many more!
- How do we choose?
  - Depends on context, goal.
  - Classification  $\rightsquigarrow$  accuracy, precision, recall.
  - Qualitative inference  $\rightsquigarrow$  face validity, convergence, etc.
  - More on this later (at the end of slides).

## Other options

- Other metrics for ‘distinctiveness’:
  - Standardized mean difference (account for variability).
  - Standard Log Odds (used in Monroe, Colaresi, and Quinn, 2009).
  - Many more!
- How do we choose?
  - Depends on context, goal.
  - Classification  $\rightsquigarrow$  accuracy, precision, recall.
  - Qualitative inference  $\rightsquigarrow$  face validity, convergence, etc.
  - More on this later (at the end of slides).

## Why do we care?

- Qualitative inference comparing 2 groups.
- Create custom dictionaries for classification task.

## Why do we care?

- Qualitative inference comparing 2 groups.
- Create custom dictionaries for classification task.



# Stylometry: Who Wrote Disputed Federalist Papers?

- Federalist Papers:

- 1 Canonical texts in study of American politics.

# Stylometry: Who Wrote Disputed Federalist Papers?

- Federalist Papers:

- 1 Canonical texts in study of American politics.
- 2 Designed to persuade citizens of New York to adopt constitution.

# Stylometry: Who Wrote Disputed Federalist Papers?

- Federalist Papers:
  - 1 Canonical texts in study of American politics.
  - 2 Designed to persuade citizens of New York to adopt constitution.
  - 3 77 essays, published from 1787-1799 in newspapers, published *anonymously* under the name Publius.
- Who wrote the Federalist papers? (Hostler and Wallace, 1963)

# Stylometry: Who Wrote Disputed Federalist Papers?

- Federalist Papers:
  - 1 Canonical texts in study of American politics.
  - 2 Designed to persuade citizens of New York to adopt constitution.
  - 3 77 essays, published from 1787-1799 in newspapers, published **anonymously** under the name Publius.
- Who wrote the Federalist papers? (Hostler and Wallace, 1963)
  - Jay: wrote 5 essays.
  - Hamilton: wrote 43 papers.
  - Madison: wrote 12 papers.
  - **Disputed (Hamilton or Madison?):** Essays 49-58, 62, and 63.

# Stylometry: Who Wrote Disputed Federalist Papers?

- Federalist Papers:
  - 1 Canonical texts in study of American politics.
  - 2 Designed to persuade citizens of New York to adopt constitution.
  - 3 77 essays, published from 1787-1799 in newspapers, published **anonymously** under the name Publius.
- Who wrote the Federalist papers? (Hostler and Wallace, 1963)
  - Jay: wrote 5 essays.
  - Hamilton: wrote 43 papers.
  - Madison: wrote 12 papers.
  - **Disputed (Hamilton or Madison?):** Essays 49-58, 62, and 63.

# Stylometry: Who Wrote Disputed Federalist Papers?

- **Tasks:** Identify authors of disputed papers.
- **Method:** Classify papers as Hamilton or Madison using dictionary methods.
- **Training data**  $\rightsquigarrow$  Hamilton, Madison are known to have authored.
- **Test data**  $\rightsquigarrow$  disputed (i.e. unlabeled) papers.
- **Preprocessing:**
  - Hamilton/Madison discuss similar themes.
  - Differ on the extent they use **stop words**.
  - Focus analysis on the stop words.

## Word Weights: Standardized Mean Difference

- For each word  $p$ , construct weight  $\theta_p$ ,  
 $\mu_{p,Hamilton} = \text{Rate}(p)$  in subcorpus of Hamilton docs  
 $\mu_{p,Madison} = \text{Rate}(p)$  in subcorpus of Madison docs  
 $\sigma_{p,Hamilton}^2 = \text{Var}(p)$  in subcorpus of Hamilton docs  
 $\sigma_{p,Madison}^2 = \text{Var}(p)$  in subcorpus of Madison docs
- We can then generate weight  $\theta_p$  as

$$\theta_p = \frac{\mu_{p,Hamilton} - \mu_{p,Madison}}{\sigma_{p,Hamilton}^2 + \sigma_{p,Madison}^2}$$

## Trimming the dictionary

- Trimming weights: Focus on discriminating words (very simple regularization).
- Cut off: For all  $\theta_p < 0.025$  set  $\theta_p = 0$ .



## Trimming the dictionary

- Trimming weights: Focus on discriminating words (very simple regularization).
- Cut off: For all  $\theta_p < 0.025$  set  $\theta_p = 0$ .

## Classification for determining authorship

- For each disputed document  $i$ , compute discrimination statistic.

$$Y_i = \sum_{p=1}^P \theta_p X_{ip}$$

- $Y_i \rightsquigarrow$  classification (linear discriminator)
  - Above midpoint in training set  $\rightsquigarrow$  Hamilton text.
  - Below midpoint in training set  $\rightsquigarrow$  Madison text.
- **Findings:** Madison is the author of the disputed federalist papers.

# Evaluation for Dictionary Methods & Distinctive Words

- How do we choose between different 'distinctive word' metrics?
- How do we choose between dictionaries?

# Evaluation for Dictionary Methods & Distinctive Words

- How do we choose between different 'distinctive word' metrics?
- How do we choose between dictionaries?
- How do we evaluate our findings?

# Evaluation for Dictionary Methods & Distinctive Words

- How do we choose between different 'distinctive word' metrics?
- How do we choose between dictionaries?
- How do we evaluate our findings?
- Three evaluation strategies:

# Evaluation for Dictionary Methods & Distinctive Words

- How do we choose between different 'distinctive word' metrics?
- How do we choose between dictionaries?
- How do we evaluate our findings?
- Three evaluation strategies:
  - Face validity (do these results make sense?)
  - Convergence (do different metrics lead to the same result?)
  - 'Gold Standard' (do our results align with human coding?)

# Evaluation for Dictionary Methods & Distinctive Words

- How do we choose between different 'distinctive word' metrics?
- How do we choose between dictionaries?
- How do we evaluate our findings?
- Three evaluation strategies:
  - Face validity (do these results make sense?)
  - Convergence (do different metrics lead to the same result?)
  - 'Gold Standard' (do our results align with human coding?)

# Application

- Suppose you received a request for document production in a dogbite case:
  - “Any and all documents in your possession regarding the care and keeping of the subject dog.”





# Application

- Suppose you received a request for document production in a dogbite case:
  - “Any and all documents in your possession regarding the care and keeping of the subject dog.”
- “What terms would you imagine .”



# Application

- Suppose you received a request for document production in a dogbite case:
  - “Any and all documents in your possession regarding the care and keeping of the subject dog.”
- “What terms would you imagine .”



# Let's Program

Launch RStudio!

`kcope@law.virginia.edu | crabtree@dartmouth.edu`