



Milestone 2: Evidence Collection & Critical Appraisal

Feedback for Conrad Dillman

Final Score: 36.0/80 (45.0%) - F

Completion (40% = 32 pts)	24.0/32
---------------------------	----------------

Quality (45% = 36 pts)	0.0/36
------------------------	---------------

Presentation (15% = 12 pts)	12.0/12
-----------------------------	----------------

Overall Assessment

About Milestone 2: This milestone assessed your evidence collection across four evidence types: scientific literature, practitioner expertise, organizational data, and stakeholder input. The goal was to demonstrate your ability to ACQUIRE evidence from multiple sources and APPRAISE it using the frameworks from Modules 5-11.

Your Evidence Portfolio: Your repository still contains the placeholder content from the template rather than your own evidence. The template files are just scaffolding—you can modify them, replace the content entirely, or organize your evidence however works best, as long as you document your ACQUIRE and APPRAISE work for each evidence type. To prepare for Milestone 3

(AGGREGATE), you'll need your own research, data, and analysis in place.



Detailed Feedback by Evidence Type



Scientific Evidence

Files: `evidence-scientific-methods.txt` , `evidence-scientific-sources.txt` ,
`evidence-scientific-appraisal.txt`

Purpose: Scientific evidence provides generalizable findings from peer-reviewed research. Your search strategy, source documentation, and critical appraisal demonstrate your ability to find and evaluate empirical research relevant to your problem.



Content Mapping for Milestone 3

- **What's Needed:** Replace the placeholder content with your own scientific evidence—your literature search results, article summaries, and critical appraisal of the studies you found.



Practitioner Evidence

Files: `evidence-practitioner-methods.txt` , `evidence-practitioner-sources.txt` , `evidence-practitioner-appraisal.txt`

Purpose: Practitioner evidence captures professional expertise, industry wisdom, and real-world implementation insights. Your

documentation shows how you identified expert sources and evaluated their expertise validity.

📍 Content Mapping for Milestone 3

- **What's Needed:** Replace the placeholder content with your own practitioner evidence—the expert sources you identified and your assessment of their expertise validity.

📊 Organizational Evidence

Files: `evidence-organizational-methods.txt`, `evidence-organizational-sources.txt`, `evidence-organizational-appraisal.txt`

Purpose: Organizational evidence uses data from professional repositories and internal sources to understand your specific context. Your logic model development, data sourcing, and quality assessment demonstrate analytical rigor.

📍 Content Mapping for Milestone 3

- **What's Needed:** Replace the placeholder content with your own organizational data—the specific data sources relevant to your problem and your D→I→E analysis.

👥 Stakeholder Evidence

Files: `evidence-stakeholder-methods.txt`, `evidence-stakeholder-sources.txt`, `evidence-stakeholder-appraisal.txt`

Purpose: Stakeholder evidence captures the perspectives, preferences, and concerns of those affected by your problem. Your mapping, data collection approach, and representativeness assessment show how you're incorporating human voices.

📍 Content Mapping for Milestone 3

- **What's Needed:** Replace the placeholder content with your own stakeholder evidence—your stakeholder mapping and the perspectives you gathered.

🔗 Looking Ahead: From Evidence to Action

In **Milestone 3 (AGGREGATE & APPLY)**, you'll synthesize these four evidence streams to generate recommendations. As you prepare, consider: Where does your evidence **converge?** (Strong foundation) Where does it **conflict?** (Requires judgment) What **gaps** remain? (Limitations to acknowledge)

Files Reviewed: 12 content files across 4 evidence types

Graded by: Dr. Peterson | **Date:** December 01, 2025