

## Homework 8

*Instructor: Nate Eldredge**Costantino Dufort Moraites***1, 2, 3, 4, 5**

**Problem 1.** Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure supported on the integers (i.e.  $\mu(\mathbb{Z}) = 1$ , so a random variable with distribution  $\mu$  only takes integer variables). Let  $\varphi$  be its characteristic function.

- (a) Show that  $\varphi$  is  $2\pi$ -periodic, i.e.  $\varphi(t + 2\pi) = \varphi(t)$  for all  $t$ .
- (b) Use the previous part to show that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\varphi(t)| dt = \infty$ .
- (c) Prove the following “Fourier inversion formula”: for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have:

$$\mu(\{k\}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-ikt} \varphi(t) dt$$

- (d) Suppose  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots$  are supported on the integers. Show that  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$  weakly if and only if  $\mu_n(\{k\}) \rightarrow \mu(\{k\})$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Solution. (a)** It helps to write it out, namely,  $\varphi(t) = \int e^{itx} \mu(dx)$ . And then  $e^{itx}$  is  $2\pi$  periodic in  $t$  so  $\varphi(t + 2\pi) = \int e^{i(t+2\pi)x} \mu(dx) = \int e^{itx} \mu(dx)$ , by periodicity of  $e$ , so  $\varphi(t)$  is  $2\pi$ -periodic, as desired.

**(b)** By the previous part,  $\int |\varphi(t)| dt = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi(t)| dt$  so showing that  $\int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi(t)| dt > 0$  will suffice to show  $\int |\varphi(t)| dt = \infty$ . Using the fact that  $\mu$  is supported on the integers, we can write:

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi(t)| dt = \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \mu(\{n\}) e^{-itn} \right| dt$$

Further, we may take a compact ball so that  $\mu(B) = 1 - \epsilon$  because  $\mu$  is a measure, and then  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \mu(\{n\}) \geq (1 - \epsilon)\epsilon' > 0$  where  $\epsilon' = \min_{n \in B} \mu(\{n\})$ , so  $\int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi(t)| dt \geq \int_0^{2\pi} (1 - \epsilon)\epsilon' dt > 0$ , which is what we wanted.

**(c)** Too sleepy!

**(d)** If  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$  weakly, then by the Portmanteau theorem, for every  $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\mu(\partial B) = 0$ , we have  $\mu_n(B) \rightarrow \mu(B)$ . For any integer,  $k$ , letting  $B = [k - 1/2, k + 1/2]$ ,  $\partial B = \{k - 1/2, k + 1/2\}$  which has measure zero on  $\mu_n$  for all  $n$ , and so  $\mu_n(B) = \mu_n(\{k\})$  and we must have  $\mu_n(B) \rightarrow \mu(B)$  by the Portmanteau theorem so  $\mu_n(\{k\}) \rightarrow \mu(\{k\})$ , as desired.

In the other direction, an earlier homework problem shows that we can show weak convergence by considering only continuous, compactly supported functions,  $f$ . Then  $\int f d\mu_n = \sum_{k \in B} f(k) \mu_n(\{k\})$  because  $f$  is compactly supported and so there are only finitely many integers in its domain. By assumption  $\mu_n(\{k\}) \rightarrow \mu(\{k\})$  for all  $k$  and there are only finitely many such  $k$  in the sum making up  $\int f d\mu_n$ ,  $\int f d\mu$  so  $\int f d\mu_n \rightarrow \int f d\mu$  and  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$  weakly, as desired.

**Problem 2.** Let  $\mu_n$  be a binomial distribution for  $n$  trials with success probability  $p_n$ . That is,  $\mu(\{k\}) = \binom{n}{k} p_n^k (1 - p_n)^{n-k}$  is the probability of getting  $k$  heads in  $n$  flips of a biased coin that comes up heads with probability  $p_n$ . Suppose that  $np_n \rightarrow \lambda$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Show that  $\mu_n$  converges weakly to the Poisson distribution with rate parameter  $\lambda$ , i.e. the measure  $\mu$  with  $\mu(\{k\}) = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}$ .

*Proof.* We'll start by showing  $\mu_n(\{k\}) \rightarrow \mu(\{k\})$  for all  $k$ . In particular, by definition:

$$\mu_n(\{k\}) = \binom{n}{k} p_n^k (1-p_n)^{n-k} = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!} p_n^k (1-p_n)^{n-k} = \frac{n!}{n^k(n-k)!} \frac{(np_n)^k}{k!} (1-p_n)^n (1-p_n)^{-k}$$

in this case  $k$  is constant, so for large  $n$ ,  $\frac{n!}{n^k(n-k)!} \rightarrow 1$ ,  $\frac{(np_n)^k}{k!} \rightarrow \lambda^k/k!$ ,  $(1-p_n)^n = (1-\frac{np_n}{n})^n \rightarrow e^{-\lambda}$ , and  $(1-p_n)^{-k} \rightarrow 1^{-k} = 1$ . And so we have  $\mu_n(\{k\}) \rightarrow e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}$ , as desired.

To show  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$  weakly, consider  $\int f d\mu_n, \int f d\mu$ . By a previous homework problem it suffices to consider  $f$  continuous and compactly supported, but then, because  $f$  is compactly supported, the domain  $D$ , on which  $f(x) \neq 0$  for  $x \in D$  is compact, hence contains only finitely many natural numbers, say  $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^N$ , thus  $\int f d\mu_n = \sum_{i=1}^N f(a_i) \mu_n(\{a_i\})$  and  $\int f d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^N f(a_i) \mu(\{a_i\})$ . Then above, we have shown that for all  $i$ ,  $f(a_i) \mu_n(\{a_i\}) \rightarrow f(a_i) \mu(\{a_i\})$  and there are only finitely many such  $a_i$ , so  $\int f d\mu_n \rightarrow \int f d\mu$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Problem 3.** (Durrett 3.4.5) Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be iid with mean 0 and variance  $\sigma^2 \in (0, \infty)$ . Let  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ , and let  $Q_n = X_1^2 + \dots + X_n^2$ . Show that  $S_n/\sqrt{Q_n} \rightarrow N(0, 1)$ , weakly.

*Proof.* As in the proof of the CLT we can replace  $X_n$  with  $X_n/\sqrt{\text{var}(X_n)}$  to get a random variable with variance 1, so that  $Q_n = \sum X_i^2 = \sum 1 = n$  and then  $S_n/\sqrt{Q_n} = S_n/\sqrt{n}$ , but we proved that  $S_n/\sqrt{n} \rightarrow N(0, 1)$  as sufficient for establishing the CLT.  $\square$

**Problem 4.** Suppose  $X, Y$  are iid with mean 0 and variance 1. Show that  $X, Y$  are  $N(0, 1)$  iff  $\frac{X+Y}{\sqrt{2}} \stackrel{d}{=} X \stackrel{d}{=} Y$ . Try using chfs for one direction and the central limit theorem for the other.

*Proof.* For the first direction, it suffices to prove that the chfs are equal. By assumption we have  $\phi_X(t) = \phi_Y(t) = e^{-t^2/2}$ . Then,  $\phi_{X+Y/\sqrt{2}} = \phi_X(t/\sqrt{2})\phi_Y(t/\sqrt{2}) = e^{-t^2/2}$ , as desired.

For the reverse direction, we appeal to the central limit theorem. The central limit theorem says that  $(X+Y)/\sqrt{2}$  should be more normally distributed than  $X, Y$ , but we have  $(X+Y)/\sqrt{2} \stackrel{d}{=} X \stackrel{d}{=} Y$ , so the distributions are the same. Intuitively, this says that  $X, Y$  can't get any more normally distributed so we must have  $X, Y$  are  $N(0, 1)$ . Right now, I can't seem to see how to make this precise though. I'm really tired right now. It's been a very hectic week.  $\square$

**Problem 5.** Let  $X_1, \dots$  be iid with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2 \in (0, \infty)$ . Let  $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n}(X_1 + \dots + X_n)$ . Let  $g$  be a function of a single real variable which is differentiable at  $\mu$  with  $g'(\mu) \neq 0$ . Show that:

$$\sqrt{n} \left( \frac{g(\bar{X}_n) - g(\mu)}{\sigma g'(\mu)} \right) \rightarrow N(0, 1)$$

weakly.

*Proof.* We'll use the Central Limit Theorem and Taylor's theorem, but as motivation for using Taylor's theorem, say  $g(x) = ax + b$ , and assume as in proving the CLT  $\mu = 0$  and  $\sigma^2 = 1$ , so now we just want to show  $\sqrt{n}g(\bar{X}_n)/g'(\mu) \rightarrow N(0, 1)$ . By linearity of  $g$  and linearity of expectation gives:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{n}(g(\bar{X}_n) - b)/g'(\mu) &= \\
&= \sqrt{n}(a\bar{X}_n + b - b)/a \\
&= \sqrt{n}\bar{X}_n \\
&= \sqrt{n}/n S_n \\
&= S_n/\sqrt{n}
\end{aligned}$$

Where  $S_n$  is as defined in the statement of the CLT given in class. In particular, the expression we arrived at is  $N(0, 1)$  by the CLT, so we have the desired result. For general functions, we will use Taylor's theorem to get that  $\sqrt{n}(g(\bar{X}_n) - g(\mu))/(\sigma g'(\mu)) \rightarrow S_n/\sqrt{n}$  in probability, thus giving weak convergence. First notice that  $\text{var}(\bar{X}_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , as  $\text{var}(X_i/n) = \frac{1}{n^2}\text{var}(X_i)$  and  $\text{var}(X_i + X_j) = \text{var}(X_i) + \text{var}(X_j)$  and so  $\text{var}(\bar{X}_n) = n\text{var}(X_1)/n^2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Using Taylor's theorem to write:  $g(X_n) = g(\mu) + g'(\mu)(X_n - \mu) + o(\bar{X}_n - \mu)$  and applying the same algebra as above we get:

$$\sqrt{n}(g(\bar{X}_n) - b)/g'(\mu) = S_n/\sqrt{n} + o(\bar{X}_n - \mu)$$

, but for large  $n$   $\text{var}(\bar{X}_n) \rightarrow 0$  and so with high probability  $X \in B_\epsilon(\mu)$  and so  $|\bar{X}_n/\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{n}(g(\bar{X}_n) - b)/g'(\mu)| < \epsilon$ , thus giving convergence in probability, as desired.  $\square$