

1 d) Risks in the propose Architecture
2
3 1. Availability & Deadline
4
5 Risk:
6 - Batch fails or runs past 09:00 SGT deadline.
7 - Single scheduler node failure stops orchestration.
8
9 Mitigation:
10 - Deploy scheduler/runner in HA (redundant nodes, leader election).
11 - Implement checkpointing + resumable jobs.
12 - Synthetic checks at 08:30 SGT and SNMP traps if SLA threatened.
13
14 2. Performance
15
16 Risk:
17 - Daily recompute (5,000 + 10n trades) exceeds 60-minute window.
18 - Portal cannot sustain 50 concurrent users with ≤3s internet latency.
19
20 Mitigation:
21 - Partition calculation by counterparty; parallel processing.
22 - Precompute aggregates for reuse within a run.
23 - Load-test portal with 50+ concurrent sessions; tune DB queries and caching.
24
25 3. Scalability
26
27 Risk:
28 - Growth to ~23k trades after 5 years leads to unacceptable run times.
29 - Adding more counterparties (20k+) causes DB bottlenecks.
30
31 Mitigation:
32 - Design engine to scale horizontally (add compute nodes).
33 - Archive raw inputs in object storage; partition staging DB.
34 - Capacity tests yearly; provision headroom (≥2× projected peak).
35
36 4. Security
37
38 Risk:
39 - Unauthorized access to reports or parameter changes.
40 - Data leakage outside internal bank network.
41
42 Mitigation:
43 - Enforce AuthN/AuthZ via RBAC: org-report role, counterparty role, param-editor roles.
44 - MFA + VPN required.
45 - Encrypt inputs/outputs at rest; TLS for all transport.
46 - Full audit log of parameter changes and report downloads.
47
48 5. Auditability / Traceability
49
50 Risk:
51 - Inability to reproduce old report (missing inputs or param version).
52 - Audit logs incomplete or tampered.

53
54 Mitigation:
55 - Store inputs and params ≥1 year in WORM storage.
56 - Every report stamped with param version + input set hash.
57 - Digitally sign audit logs and artifacts.
58
59 6. Operability & Monitoring
60
61 Risk:
62 - Fatal errors undetected until business users complain.
63 - SNMP traps fail to trigger monitoring.
64
65 Mitigation:
66 - Structured logs with severity levels; central log aggregation.
67 - SNMP trap redundancy; also publish alerts to message bus.
68 - Synthetic probe checks report availability at 08:30 SGT.
69
70 7. Configurability
71
72 Risk:
73 - Parameter changes not triggering recalculation.
74 - Overwrites old results, losing history.
75
76 Mitigation:
77 - Version parameters; parameter change always triggers full recalculation.
78 - Keep prior results tied to old parameter versions.
79 - Restrict param editing to audited roles.
80
81 8. Adaptability (RDS migration in 3 months)
82
83 Risk:
84 - Schema drift between current RDS and new RDS breaks ingestion.
85 - Tight coupling delays migration.
86
87 Mitigation:
88 - Implement anti-corruption layer / adapter for RDS.
89 - Use schema versioning + contract tests.
90 - Feature flag for dual-run and gradual switch-over.
91
92 9. Recoverability
93
94 Risk:
95 - Disk failure or data corruption causes loss of staging or reports.
96 - Disaster recovery not meeting RTO/RPO.
97
98 Mitigation:
99 - Daily cross-region backups; immutable object storage.
100 - Periodic DR drills; RTO ≤ 10h, rerun batch in ≤30 min after transient error.
101
102 10. Usability
103
104 Risk:

```
105 - Users confused by multiple report versions (different param sets).
106 - Complex UI slows adoption.
107
108 Mitigation:
109 - Clear labeling in portal (date, param version, status).
110 - Provide both CSV and XLSX outputs.
111 - Keep portal simple, English-only, role-based menus.
```