**Identification of studies via other methods**

**Identification of studies via databases and registers**

Records identified from:

Websites (n = 1)

Records removed *before screening*:

Duplicate records removed (n = 0)

Records marked as ineligible by automation tools (n = 0)

Records removed for other reasons (n = 0)

Records identified from:

Databases (n = 315)

Registers (n = 0)

**Identification**

Records screened

(n = 315)

Records excluded

(n = 279)

Reports not retrieved

(n = 0)

Reports sought for retrieval

(n = 1)

Reports sought for retrieval

(n = 35)

Reports not retrieved

(n = 0)

**Screening**

Reports assessed for eligibility

(n = 1)

Reports excluded:

None

Reports assessed for eligibility

(n = 35)

Reports excluded:

No age effect reported (n = 21)

Sample is too young (n = 2)

Wrong construct (n = 1)

Wrong measure (n = 8)

Studies included in review

(n = 4)

Reports of included studies

(n = 4)

**Included**

\*Consider, if feasible to do so, reporting the number of records identified from each database or register searched (rather than the total number across all databases/registers).

\*\*If automation tools were used, indicate how many records were excluded by a human and how many were excluded by automation tools.
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