**Identification of studies via other methods**

**Identification of studies via databases and registers**

Records identified from:

Cited or included in previous meta-analyses (n = 19)

Papers included in the analysis of other economic preferences (n = 5)

Records removed *before screening*:

Duplicate records removed (n = 0)

Records marked as ineligible by automation tools (n = 0)

Records removed for other reasons (n = 0)

Records identified from:

Databases (n = 2052)

Registers (n = 0)

**Identification**

Records screened

(n = 2052)

Records excluded

(n = 1817)

Reports not retrieved

(n = 0)

Reports sought for retrieval

(n = 24)

Reports sought for retrieval

(n = 235)

Reports not retrieved

(n = 0)

**Screening**

Reports excluded:

Wrong type of publication (n = 13)

Wrong setting (n = 15)

Wrong population (n = 16)

Narrow age range (n = 62)

Wrong construct (n = 10)

Wrong measures (n = 38)

Wrong/not reported age-related outcomes (n = 31)

Missing age information (n = 5)

Reports assessed for eligibility

(n = 24)

Reports excluded:

Wrong measures (n = 7)

Not relevant Title or Abstract (n = 5)

Reports assessed for eligibility

(n = 235)

Reports of included studies (i.e., publications)

(n = 57)

**Included**

\*Consider, if feasible to do so, reporting the number of records identified from each database or register searched (rather than the total number across all databases/registers).

\*\*If automation tools were used, indicate how many records were excluded by a human and how many were excluded by automation tools.

*From:*  Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 2021;372:n71. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71. For more information, visit: <http://www.prisma-statement.org/>