**Identification of studies via other methods**

**Identification of studies via databases and registers**

Records identified from:

Previous meta-analysis (Seaman et al., 2022) (n = 36)

Papers included in the analysis of other economic preferences (n = 5)

Records removed *before screening*:

Duplicate records removed (n = 2)

Records marked as ineligible by automation tools (n = 0)

Records removed for other reasons (n = 0)

Records identified from:

Databases (n = 317)

Registers (n = 0)

**Identification**

Records screened

(n = 315)

Records excluded

(n = 232)

Reports not retrieved

(n = 0)

Reports sought for retrieval

n = 41

Reports sought for retrieval

(n = 83)

Reports not retrieved

(n = 0)

**Screening**

Reports excluded:

Wrong type of publication (n = 2)

Wrong population (n = 8)

Narrow age range (n = 9)

Wrong measures (n = 10)

Wrong/not reported age-related outcomes (n = 30)

Wrong setting (n = 2)

Wrong construct (n = 1)

Missing age information (n = 3)

Reports assessed for eligibility

N = 41

Reports excluded:

Unpublished (n = 3)

Wrong outcome (n = 1)

Wrong measure (n=1)

Wrong setting (n = 4)

Reports assessed for eligibility

(n = 83)

Reports of included studies (i.e., publications)

(n = 50)

**Included**

\*Consider, if feasible to do so, reporting the number of records identified from each database or register searched (rather than the total number across all databases/registers).

\*\*If automation tools were used, indicate how many records were excluded by a human and how many were excluded by automation tools.

*From:*  Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 2021;372:n71. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71. For more information, visit: <http://www.prisma-statement.org/>