

INTEGER CHARACTERIZING SLOPES AND UNKNOTTING NUMBERS

GABRIEL AGOSTINI, SOPHIA CHEN, KYLE HAYDEN, CHRISTIAN SERIO,
CECILIA WANG, ANTON WU, AND KEXIN WU

ABSTRACT. [Write one!]

1. INTRODUCTION

[Introduction with some motivation and introduction of terms like “characterizing slopes”. See the papers by Yi and Zhang and by McCoy and by Lackenby on characterizing slopes for ideas to steal. Mention Piccirillo’s results for unknotting number one and using this to show that the Conway knot isn’t slice. Mention that McCoy’s work [McC18], which shows that a hyperbolic knot has only finitely many non-characterizing slopes p/q with $|q| \geq 3$. In a sense, this implies that “most” slopes p/q are characterizing for any given hyperbolic knot K : The probability that a randomly chosen slope p/q is characterizing approaches 1 as $|p| + |q| \rightarrow \infty$.]

Theorem 1.1. *If a knot K has unknotting number one and is not a twisted Whitehead double, then K has at most finitely many integer characterizing slopes.*

[Mention the following findings for knots with low crossing number. Also mention that it shows that having at most finitely many integer characterizing slopes is not special to knots with unknotting number one.]

Theorem 1.2. *For knots K with crossing number $c(K) \leq 10$:*

- (a) *If K has unknotting number $u(K) = 1$ and K is not a twist knot, then K has at most one integer characterizing slope.*
- (b) *If K is one of the knots 8_4 , 8_6 , 8_{10} , or 8_{12} , then K has $u(K) > 1$ and has no integer characterizing slopes.*
- (c) *For the twist knot 8_1 , every nonzero integer is a non-characterizing slope.*

[Can we push this to $c(K) \leq 12$? Can we expand on the list of knots with $u(K) > 1$?] [Mention that these results affirmatively answer a question of Baker and Motegi [BM18, Question 1.7], who asked if there exist knots with fewer than 8 crossings that have infinitely many noncharacterizing slopes.] [Part (c) shows that the hypothesis in Theorem 1.1 that K is not a TWD is not necessary. Can we extend this result to other twist knots?]

1.1. Non-characterizing slopes and dual knots. Let Y be a 3-manifold given by p/q -surgery on a knot $K \subset S^3$. Then Y is obtained by thickening K to a solid torus $N(K)$, removing the interior of $N(K)$ from S^3 , and gluing a solid torus back into $S^3 \setminus \text{int}(N(K))$ in a different manner. Note that K is the core of the solid torus $N(K)$, i.e., K is identified with $S^1 \times \{0\}$ under the diffeomorphism between $N(K)$ and $S^1 \times D^2$. The core of the new solid torus that is glued into $S^3 \setminus \text{int}(N(K))$ specifies a knot γ in Y , which we call the *surgery dual* of K . In a surgery diagram for Y , γ can be represented by a meridian to K . If $K' \subset S^3$ is another knot which yields the same p/q -surgery as K , then one can ask how the surgery dual γ' of K' in Y is related to γ .

Conjecture 1.3 (Baker). *If K and K' are non-isotopic knots in S^3 which yield the same 3-manifold Y under p/q -surgery, then their surgery duals γ and γ' in Y are not homotopic.*

1.2. Code and data. [Summarize how computer calculations factor into the proof of Theorem 1.2 and the results on Baker's conjecture. Ultimately, we'll make the files publicly available, and this subsection can describe where to find those files.] [Also, we can probably get Gabriel's python script to work for any link that fits into Piccirillo's construction. That would be useful.]

2. KNOTS WITH UNKNOTTING NUMBER ONE

2.1. Banded Hopf link diagrams. [Describe these and how they produce diagrams that fit into Piccirillo's construction. Give examples for a couple knots with unknotting number one, plus an example for a knot with unknotting number two.]

2.2. Piccirillo's construction. We have the following theorem due to Piccirillo:

Theorem 2.1. *Let L be a three-component link consisting of disjoint components R , B , and G , giving a surgery diagram such that*

- (1) R is a 0-framed unknot,
- (2) B and G have integral framings,
- (3) *If we remove G (resp. B), then R is isotopic to a meridian to B (resp. G).*

Let Y be the 3-manifold given by surgery on L . Then for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there are knots $K, K' \subset S^3$ such that $Y \cong S_n^3(K) \cong S_n^3(K')$.

If K is a knot with $u(K) = 1$, then for any integer n , this construction yields another knot K'_n (not necessarily distinct from K) with the same n -surgery as K . To see this, we begin with a banded Hopf link diagram for K . We then take a Hopf link with components R and B , both with framings 0, and we handle slide B over R according to the band presentation for K . This produces a two-component link, with B becoming K and R becoming

a 0-framed unknot linked with K . We adjust the framing of B to n . If we add a meridian G to R and slide B back over R , then we obtain a diagram fitting into Piccirillo's construction.

To obtain the knot K'_n , we begin with the two component link $B \cup R$ described above, where B is K with framing n and R is a 0-framed unknot. We add a 0-framed meridian G to K , and we note that if B has nonzero framing, then by a slam dunk, we can change the framing of B to 0 if we add a meridian c to B with framing $-1/n$. We slide B over R , and we isotope the diagram until B and R are both unknots which cross each other twice, i.e., B and R form a Hopf link if G is removed. We then slide G over B until G is no longer linked with R . At this stage, we can remove R using a “lightbulb trick.” This leaves us with a two-component link $G \cup c$. The component G is the knot K'_0 with the same 0-surgery as K . To obtain K'_n , we twist K along c , n times.

2.3. Twist families of knots. [Define what it means to produce a twist family of knots K_n from a two-component link $K \cup C$ where C is an unknot that is linked with K . A picture would help.]

[Recall Theorem 3.2 from KMS.]

2.4. Proof of Theorem 1.1.

3. KNOTS WITH LOW CROSSING NUMBER

3.1. Possible extensions of Theorem 1.1. [Note that $u(K) = 1$ is not necessary for Piccirillo's construction. We only need to be able to produce a link $R \cup B$ (with $B \simeq K$ and R an unknot) from handle slides on a Hopf link. Explain this procedure for knots with $u(K) > 1$.]

3.2. Hyperbolic Dehn surgery. [Recall and discuss the relevant theorems used in our approach.]

3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2. [Describe the two-part algorithm we use to rule out integer characterizing slopes, beginning with finding $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $\text{vol}(S^3 \setminus K'_n) > \text{vol}(S^3 \setminus K)$ for all $|n| > N$ and then directly examining K'_n for $|n| \leq N$.]

4. ADDITIONAL RESULTS

[Any additional findings, including HFK or Khovanov homology findings, or enhanced sliceness obstructions using Piccirillo's technique, can go here.]

REFERENCES

- [BM18] Kenneth L. Baker and Kimihiko Motegi. Noncharacterizing slopes for hyperbolic knots. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 18(3):1461–1480, 2018.
- [KMS91] Masaharu Kouno, Kimihiko Motegi, and Tetsuo Shibuya. Twisting and knot types. *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, 44(2):199–216, March 1991.
- [McC18] Duncan McCoy. On the characterising slopes of hyperbolic knots. Available as arXiv:1807.11099, August 2018.