

(c) [5 points] Consider the Poisson distribution parameterized by  $\lambda$ :

## 2. [15 points] Poisson regression and the exponential family

- (a) [5 points] Consider the Poisson distribution parameterized by  $\lambda$ :

$$p(y; \lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^y}{y!}.$$

Show that the Poisson distribution is in the exponential family, and clearly state what are  $b(y)$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $T(y)$ , and  $a(\eta)$ .

- (b) [3 points] Consider performing regression using a GLM model with a Poisson response variable. What is the canonical response function for the family? (You may use the fact that a Poisson random variable with parameter  $\lambda$  has mean  $\lambda$ .)
- (c) [7 points] For a training set  $\{(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}); i = 1, \dots, m\}$ , let the log-likelihood of an example be  $\log p(y^{(i)} | x^{(i)}; \theta)$ . By taking the derivative of the log-likelihood with respect to  $\theta_j$ , derive the stochastic gradient ascent rule for learning using a GLM model with Poisson responses  $y$  and the canonical response function.
- (d) [3 extra credit points] Consider using GLM with a response variable from any member of the exponential family in which  $T(y) = y$ , and the canonical response function  $h(x)$  for the family. Show that stochastic gradient ascent on the log-likelihood  $\log p(\vec{y} | X; \theta)$  results in the update rule  $\theta_i := \theta_i - \alpha(h(x) - y)x_i$ .

## 3. [15 points] Gaussian discriminant analysis

Suppose we are given a dataset  $\{(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}); i = 1, \dots, m\}$  consisting of  $m$  independent examples, where  $x^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are  $n$ -dimensional vectors, and  $y^{(i)} \in \{-1, 1\}$ . We will model the joint distribution of  $(x, y)$  according to:

$$\begin{aligned} p(y) &= \begin{cases} \phi & \text{if } y = 1 \\ 1 - \phi & \text{if } y = -1 \end{cases} \\ p(x|y = -1) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} |\Sigma|^{1/2}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu_{-1})^T \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu_{-1}) \right) \\ p(x|y = 1) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} |\Sigma|^{1/2}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu_1)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu_1) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Here, the parameters of our model are  $\phi$ ,  $\Sigma$ ,  $\mu_{-1}$  and  $\mu_1$ . (Note that while there're two different mean vectors  $\mu_{-1}$  and  $\mu_1$ , there's only one covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ .)

- (a) [5 points] Suppose we have already fit  $\phi$ ,  $\Sigma$ ,  $\mu_{-1}$  and  $\mu_1$ , and now want to make a prediction at some new query point  $x$ . Show that the posterior distribution of the label at  $x$  takes the form of a logistic function, and can be written

$$p(y \mid x; \phi, \Sigma, \mu_{-1}, \mu_1) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-y(\theta^T x + \theta_0))},$$

where  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and the bias term  $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  are some appropriate functions of  $\phi, \Sigma, \mu_{-1}, \mu_1$ . (Note: the term  $\theta_0$  corresponds to introducing an extra coordinate  $x_0^{(i)} = 1$ , as we did in class.)

- (b) [10 points] For this part of the problem only, you may assume  $n$  (the dimension of  $x$ ) is 1, so that  $\Sigma = [\sigma^2]$  is just a real number, and likewise the determinant of  $\Sigma$  is given by  $|\Sigma| = \sigma^2$ . Given the dataset, we claim that the maximum likelihood estimates of the parameters are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\phi &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m 1\{y^{(i)} = 1\} \\ \mu_{-1} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m 1\{y^{(i)} = -1\} x^{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^m 1\{y^{(i)} = -1\}} \\ \mu_1 &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m 1\{y^{(i)} = 1\} x^{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^m 1\{y^{(i)} = 1\}} \\ \Sigma &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (x^{(i)} - \mu_{y^{(i)}})(x^{(i)} - \mu_{y^{(i)}})^T\end{aligned}$$

The log-likelihood of the data is

$$\begin{aligned}\ell(\phi, \mu_{-1}, \mu_1, \Sigma) &= \log \prod_{i=1}^m p(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}; \phi, \mu_{-1}, \mu_1, \Sigma) \\ &= \log \prod_{i=1}^m p(x^{(i)} | y^{(i)}; \mu_{-1}, \mu_1, \Sigma) p(y^{(i)}; \phi).\end{aligned}$$

By maximizing  $\ell$  with respect to the four parameters, prove that the maximum likelihood estimates of  $\phi, \mu_{-1}, \mu_1$ , and  $\Sigma$  are indeed as given in the formulas above. (You may assume that there is at least one positive and one negative example, so that the denominators in the definitions of  $\mu_{-1}$  and  $\mu_1$  above are non-zero.)

- (c) [3 extra credit points] Without assuming that  $n = 1$ , show that the maximum likelihood estimates of  $\phi, \mu_{-1}, \mu_1$ , and  $\Sigma$  are as given in the formulas in part (b). [Note: If you're fairly sure that you have the answer to this part right, you don't have to do part (b), since that's just a special case.]

#### 4. [10 points] Linear invariance of optimization algorithms

Consider using an iterative optimization algorithm (such as Newton's method, or gradient descent) to minimize some continuously differentiable function  $f(x)$ . Suppose we initialize the algorithm at  $x^{(0)} = \vec{0}$ . When the algorithm is run, it will produce a value of  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  for each iteration:  $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots$

Now, let some non-singular square matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be given, and define a new function  $g(z) = f(Az)$ . Consider using the same iterative optimization algorithm to optimize  $g$  (with initialization  $z^{(0)} = \vec{0}$ ). If the values  $z^{(1)}, z^{(2)}, \dots$  produced by this method necessarily satisfy  $z^{(i)} = A^{-1}x^{(i)}$  for all  $i$ , we say this optimization algorithm is **invariant to linear reparameterizations**.

- (a) [7 points] Show that Newton's method (applied to find the minimum of a function) is invariant to linear reparameterizations. Note that since  $z^{(0)} = \vec{0} = A^{-1}x^{(0)}$ , it is sufficient

