(b) We will need to apply the following (in the right order):

$$\forall h \in H, \; |\varepsilon_\tau(h) - \hat{\varepsilon}_\tau(h)| \leq \bar{\gamma} \quad \text{w.p.} (1 - \delta), \quad \delta = 2K \exp(-2\bar{\gamma}^2 m) \tag{6}$$

$$\varepsilon_{\tau} = (1 - 2\tau)\varepsilon + \tau, \quad \varepsilon_{0} = \frac{\varepsilon_{\tau} - \tau}{1 - 2\tau}$$
 (7)

$$\forall h \in H, \ \hat{\varepsilon}_{\tau}(\hat{h}) \le \hat{\varepsilon}_{\tau}(h), \quad \text{in particular for } h^*$$
 (8)

Here is the derivation:

$$\varepsilon_0(\hat{h}) = \frac{\varepsilon_\tau(\hat{h}) - \tau}{1 - 2\tau} \tag{9}$$

$$\leq \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}_{\tau}(\hat{h}) + \bar{\gamma} - \tau}{1 - 2\tau} \quad \text{w.p.}(1 - \delta) \tag{10}$$

$$\leq \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}_{\tau}(h^*) + \bar{\gamma} - \tau}{1 - 2\tau} \quad \text{w.p.}(1 - \delta) \tag{11}$$

$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon_{\tau}(h^*) + 2\bar{\gamma} - \tau}{1 - 2\tau} \quad \text{w.p.}(1 - \delta) \tag{12}$$

$$= \frac{(1 - 2\tau)\varepsilon_0(h^*) + \tau + 2\bar{\gamma} - \tau}{1 - 2\tau} \quad \text{w.p.}(1 - \delta)$$

$$= \varepsilon_0(h^*) + \frac{2\bar{\gamma}}{1 - 2\tau} \quad \text{w.p.}(1 - \delta)$$
(13)

$$= \varepsilon_0(h^*) + \frac{2\bar{\gamma}}{1 - 2\tau} \quad \text{w.p.}(1 - \delta) \tag{14}$$

$$= \varepsilon_0(h^*) + 2\gamma \quad \text{w.p.}(1 - \delta) \tag{15}$$

Where we used in the following order: (7)(6)(8)(6)(7), and the last 2 steps are algebraic simplifications, and defining γ as a function of $\bar{\gamma}$. Now we can fill out $\bar{\gamma} = \gamma(1-2\tau)$ into δ of (6), solve for m and we are done.

Note: one could shorten the above derivation and go straight from (9) to (12) by using that result from class.

- (c) The closer au is to 0.5, the more samples are needed to get the same generalization error bound. For τ approaching 0.5, the training data becomes more and more random; having no information at all about the underlying distribution for $\tau=0.5$.