

### **Comparison of the four assembly codes**

The main section of the code is the same for all four scripts as the main section in the C code is identical in all four. The only changes occur in the sumArguments function. There are a couple of differences in the sumArguments section.

First, the for loop version has an additional line at the start of the section: `movl %edi, %edx`.

This line is added because the for loop initializes an additional variable, `i`, which is used in the loop. This could have been avoided by using different expressions in the for loop:

```
for(int i = num1; i <= num2; i++) {}
```

Could have been replaced with:

```
for(num1 <= num2; num1++){}
```

Which would have achieved the same results without the inclusion of the `mov` instruction in the assembly code.

Additionally, because of the inclusion of `int i` in the for loop, there is another register used, `%edx`, which is used in the `addl %edx, %eax` and `addl $1, %edx`; however, the three other loops use `%edi`. This difference could be avoided using the same for loop change described above.

Beyond these two differences, there are no other differences between the for and the while loops.

The do loop is different from the for loop in a couple ways. There is no comparison and jump at the start of the section. This is because the do loop always executes at least once, so it will evaluate the jump conditions after the loop has been executed instead of before. There is also only one label whereas the others all have at least two. That is because there is only one jump condition, at the end of the loop whereas the for and the while loop both have two jump conditions, one for the initial entry into the loop and another to determine whether to iterate through the loop again.

Finally, the goto loop has the most unique looking assembly code. The main difference is the additional label, with this code having three instead of the two in the for and while loop versions.