

hash functions and you

or, why breaking **SHA-1**
is a thing

this deep-dive is on
hash functions
not cryptography in general

**Google figured out how to
generate two PDF files with
the same SHA-1 hash**

why does this matter?
what should npm do about it?

the term hash

like so many computer terms,

is metaphorical

**hash functions chop up data
and hash it up**

ha ha get it?

(except we're not sure of the origin)

what's a hash function?

hash function

Any function that can be used to map data of arbitrary size to data of fixed size.

-- the wikipedia entry on hash functions

data → function → number

data → fn → number

message → hash → digest/hash/code

really simple hash function, from Knuth

If k is an integer key, and n is the number of buckets, not a power of 2:

$$f(k) = k(k+3) \bmod n$$

```
const buckets = 19;
```

```
function knuth(k) {
```

```
    return (k * (k + 3)) % buckets;
```

```
}
```

mostly we hash character data...

So we do this, roughly:

- initialize to 0
- take the input in chunks of the size that you want
- do something to the chunk & XOR it into the result
- when out of chunks, return

The "something" can either be very simple, like the Knuth division hash, or very complex.

**the hash value is (usually)
a lot smaller than the data!**

hash tables!

Suppose you have a lot of data that you want to stick into memory for fast access by a *key*. You use a hash function to map the keys into *buckets*, one for every output number, and put the matching data for a key into the key's bucket. There might be more than one thing in the bucket, but that's fine because you can look inside the bucket to find your item in a small collection, instead of having to search the whole thing.

This is the data structure underlying associative arrays, caches, and a million other things in software.

Data integrity!

Alice publishes a package on npm. Bob wants to know if the package he's downloading is the data Alice published, because he's worried about data corruption or tampering.

Alice creates a *checksum* of the data:

```
⇒ md5 < package.tgz
```

```
0030be42121988078dca0ec982d04f72
```

She gives the output to Bob, and he can compare with the md5 sum of his download to see if he has the data she meant to give him.

features of a good hash function

- output is *deterministic*
- output is *uniformly distributed*, not clustered
- usually: fast
- output value has a fixed size
- sometimes: similar inputs produce nearby outputs
- sometimes: similar inputs produce distant outputs (avalanche effect)

avalanche effect

a small change in input

large change in output

hashing **cat** and **car** with MD5

⇒ `echo cat | md5`

`54b8617eca0e54c7d3c8e6732c6b687a`

⇒ `echo car | md5`

`5cd3e81fb747479797b62794c6bf6aaf`

Even the battered md5 has the avalanche effect.

**locality-sensitive hashes
or **similarity** hashes
do exactly the opposite**

copyright violation **detection on youtube
will have similarity hashing behind it**

mostly we want the avalanche effect
sometimes called the butterfly effect

often we want
cryptographic hashes

a good **cryptographic** hash

- deterministic
- fast to compute
- has the avalanche effect
- reconstructing the original message from the hash is infeasible
- finding collisions is infeasible

collisions mean we can't tell that
two inputs are different
deliberate collisions would be an attack

Back to the classic example!

Carol wishes to trick Bob into running her npm package instead of the one Alice wrote. Because Alice used the weak MD5 algorithm to sign her data, Carol is able to craft a tarball that has the same MD5 digest but different data inside. She man-in-the-middle attacks Bob and serves him her cleverly-crafted package.tgz instead of Alice's.

Bob is now pwned.

collision-resistance is crucial
for verifying data integrity
This is why "breaking" SHA-1 matters.

**Collision-resistance
might not *always* matter**


non-cryptographic hashes

- usually a lot faster than cryptographic hashes
- finding collisions might be feasible
- ditto reconstructing the original

non-cryptographic hashes

- use when speed matters
- use when defense against malicious input doesn't matter

uses for non-cryptographic hashes

- bloom filters 
- lookup tables
- sharding data uniformly

non-cryptographic hashes

- MurmurHash
- CityHash
- HighwayHash
- xxHash
- seahash

**you can design your own
non-crypto hash with a little math
cryptographic hashes are harder**

cryptographic hashes
are the workhorses of security

use a cryptographic hash

- to verify message integrity
- to verify passwords without knowing them
- to identify data

**choose a cryptographic hash
to defend against
malicious input or attack**

hashes suitable for passwords
have some unusual properties

hashing passwords

- salt + password → hashing function → output
- store the output *only*
- repeat the transformation when checking the password to see if you get the same result

An attacker who can run that transformation frequently & quickly is one who can **brute-force attack** your users' passwords.

password hashes are
tunably expensive

**slow to run, use a lot of memory
to **slow down** attackers**

password hash algorithms

- bcrypt
- scrypt
- argon2
- PBKDF2

some cryptographic hashes you should know!

- md5
- the SHA family
- blake2
- siphash

MD5

- designed in 1991
- blown apart by 1996
- really fast
- **do not use** as a cryptographic hash
- can use for data bucketing if you trust the input

the **SHA family**

Secure Hashing Algorithm

NIST standards

**standardization means SHA algos are
widely available & widely used**

SHA-1

- 160-bit result (40 hex digits)
- very widely used (git shasums!)
- designed by NSA in 1995, 1st attack in 2005
- better attack in 2015
- collision at > brute force speed by Google in 2017
- **do not use** as a cryptographic hash

npm uses SHA-1
for data integrity checks for tarballs

Back to that classic example!

Alice is using SHA-1 to sign her package tarballs. Carol is an employee of Google or maybe of a nation-state's spy agency. Carol has a lot of computing power available, and really wants to pwn Bob. She cleverly crafts a tarball that has the same shasum as Alice's, and serves it to Bob.

In ten years, Carol will be able to do this with a Raspberry Pi 7 the size of her thumbnail instead of a fleet of cloud computers.

SHA-2

- comes in 224, 256, 384 or 512 bit variants
- SHA-256 & SHA-512 are the most-used
- designed by NSA in 2001
- no feasible attacks known
- **do use** freely
- replace SHA-1 with this, generally

SHA-3

- comes in 224, 256, 384 or 512 bit variants
- won a competition to choose next SHA standard
- adopted as standard in 2015
- chosen for its differences from SHA-2
- no feasible attacks known
- **do use** freely

blake2

- a SHA-3 finalist
- 32 bit word variant (produces 256-bit results)
- 64 bit word variant (produces 512-bit results)
- faster than SHA-3 selection
- **do use** freely

hash **flooding** attacks

Hash-flooding DOS attacks use collisions to mount denial-of-service attacks by attacking a language's underlying hash implementation.

- send crafted data to an app, which stores it in a hash table
- the keys are designed to cause collisions
- all the data goes into one hash bucket
- hash table performance collapses into merely a linked list
- which is, as you know, Bob, a truly awful data structure

**somebody did this to perl in 2003
in 2011 to a lot of other languages**

siphash

- designed to defend against hash flooding attacks
- optimized for speed with small input
- used in hash table implementations in many languages
- use it for your own hash table

which one should **npm** adopt?

- SHA-2, SHA-3, and BLAKE2 are all fine choices
- SHA-2 is safer because of implementation availability
- we are not size-sensitive about the output
- we care more about picking an algo that will last
- SHA-512 is a solid, safe choice

summary

- stop using MD5
- stop using SHA-1
- do use SHA-2 and newer hashes
- use bcrypt to store passwords
- don't invent unless you're an expert
- in which case you should be giving this talk not me

Questions?

More-of-a-comment-reallys?

all the links

Hash function wiki page ■ bcrypt scrypt ■ PBKDF2 ■ argon2 ■ MD5 ■
SHA-1 ■ SHA-2 ■ SHA-3 ■ BLAKE2 ■ seahash ■ xxhash ■ siphash ■
designing your own ■ Hash-flooding

Go! Try them! Learn more!

love,
@ceejbot