hash functions and you

or, why breaking SHA-1

this deep-dive is on hash functions not cryptography in general

Google figured out how to generate two PDF files with the same SHA-1 hash

why does this matter? what should npm do about it?

Receive hash like so many computer terms 5 metaphorical

hash functions chop up data and hash it up ha ha get Ita except we're not sure of the origin)

what's a hash function?

hash function

Any function that can be used to map data of arbitrary size to data of fixed size.

-- the wikipedia entry on hash functions

data -> function -> number

data → fn → number message → hash → digest/hash/code

really simple hash function, from Knuth

If *k* is an integer key, and *n* is the number of buckets, not a power of 2:

```
f(k) = k(k+3) mod n

const buckets = 19;
function knuth(k) {
    return (k * (k + 3)) % buckets;
}
```

mostly we hash character data...

So we do this, roughly:

- initialize to 0
- take the input in chunks of the size that you want
- do something to the chunk & XOR it into the result
- when out of chunks, return

The "something" can either be very simple, like the Knuth division hash, or very complex.

the hash value is (usually) a lot smaller than the data!

anashalle sa representation of your data related to its content that isn't a full copy

hash tables!

Suppose you have a lot of data that you want to stick into memory for fast access by a *key*. You use a hash function to map the keys into *buckets*, one for every output number, and put the matching data for a key into the key's bucket. There might be more than one thing in the bucket, but that's fine because you can look inside the bucket to find your item in a small collection, instead of having to search the whole thing.

This is the data structure underlying associative arrays, caches, and a million other things in software.

data integrity!

Alice publishes a package on npm. Bob wants to know if the package he's downloading is the data Alice published, because he's worried about data corruption or tampering.

Alice creates a *checksum* of the data:

⇒ md5 < package.tgz
0030be42121988078dca0ec982d04f72</pre>

She gives that output number to Bob, which he compares to the md5 sum of his download to see if he has the data she meant to give him.

features of a good hash function

- output is deterministic
- output is uniformly distributed, not clustered
- output value has a fixed size
- usually: fast
- sometimes: similar inputs produce nearby outputs
- sometimes: similar inputs produce distant outputs (avalanche effect)

avalanche effect a small change in input large change in output

hashing cat and car with MD5

```
⇒ echo cat | md5
```

54b8617eca0e54c7d3c8e6732c6b687a

⇒ echo car | md5

5cd3e81fb747479797b62794c6bf6aaf

Even the battered md5 has the avalanche effect.

locality-sensitive hashes or similarity hashes do exactly the opposite

copyright violation detection on youtube will have similarity hashing behind it

mostly we want the avalanche effect sometimes called the butterfly effect

often we want cryptographic hashes

a good cryptographic hash

- deterministic
- fast to compute
- has the avalanche effect
- reconstructing the original message from the hash is infeasible
- finding collisions is infeasible

collisions mean we can't tell that two inputs are different deliberate collisions would be an attack

Back to the classic example!

Carol wishes to trick Bob into running her npm package instead of the one Alice wrote. Because Alice used the weak MD5 algorithm to sign her data, Carol is able to craft a tarball that has the same MD5 digest but different data inside. She man-in-the-middle attacks Bob and serves him her cleverly-crafted package.tgz instead of Alice's.

Bob is now pwned.

collision-resistance is crucial for verifying data integrity This is why "breaking" SHA-1 matters.

Collision-resistance might not always matter

non-cryptographic hashes

- usually a lot faster than cryptographic hashes
- finding collisions might be feasible
- ditto reconstructing the original
- use when speed matters
- use when defense against malicious input doesn't matter

uses for non-cryptographic hashes

- bloom filters
- lookup tables
- sharding data uniformly

hashing functions to know

- MurmurHash
- CityHash
- HighwayHash
- xxHash
- seahash
- FNV, or Fowler-Noll-Vo

you can design your own non-crypto hash with a little math cryptographic hashes are harder

cryptographic hashes are the workhorses of security

use a cryptographic hash

- to verify message integrity
- to verify passwords without knowing them
- to identify data

choose a cryptographic hash to defend against malicious input or attack

hashes suitable for passwords have some unusual properties

hashing passwords

- salt + password → hashing function → output
- store the output only
- repeat the transformation when checking the password to see if you get the same result

An attacker who can run that transformation frequently & quickly is one who can **brute-force attack** your users' passwords.

password hashes are tunably expensive

slow to run, use a lot of memory to slow down attackers

password hash algorithms

- bcrypt
- scrypt
- argon2
- PBKDF2

some cryptographic hashes you should know!

- md5
- the SHA family
- blake2
- siphash

MD5

- designed in 1991
- blown apart by 1996
- really fast
- do not use as a cryptographic hash
- can use for data bucketing if you trust the input

the SHA family Secure Hashing Algorithm

standardization means SHA algos are widely available & widely used

SHA-1

- 160-bit result (40 hex digits)
- very widely used (git shasums!)
- designed by NSA in 1995, 1st attack in 2005
- better attack in 2015
- collision at > brute force speed by Google in 2017
- do not use as a cryptographic hash

npm uses 5HA-1 for data integrity checks for tarballs

Back to that classic example!

Alice is using SHA-1 to sign her package tarballs. Carol is an employee of Google or maybe of a nation-state's spy agency. Carol has a lot of computing power available, and really wants to pwn Bob. She cleverly crafts a tarball that has the same shasum as Alice's, and serves it to Bob.

In ten years, Carol will be able to do this with a Raspberry Pi 7 the size of her thumbnail instead of a fleet of cloud computers.

SHA-2

- comes in 224, 256, 384 or 512 bit variants
- SHA-256 & SHA-512 are the most-used
- designed by NSA in 2001
- no feasible attacks known
- do use freely
- replace SHA-1 with this, generally

SHA-3

- comes in 224, 256, 384 or 512 bit variants
- won a competition to choose next SHA standard
- adopted as standard in 2015
- chosen for its differences from SHA-2
- no feasible attacks known
- do use freely

blake2

- a SHA-3 finalist
- 32 bit word variant (produces 256-bit results)
- 64 bit word variant (produces 512-bit results)
- faster than SHA-3 selection
- do use freely

hash flooding attacks

Hash-flooding DOS attacks use collisions to mount denial-of-service attacks by attacking a language's underlying hash implementation.

- send crafted data to an app, which stores it in a hash table
- the keys are designed to cause collisions
- all the data goes into one hash bucket
- hash table performance collapses into merely a linked list
- which is, as you know, Bob, a truly awful data structure

somebody did this to perl in 2003 in 2011 to other languages by attacking MurmurHash

siphash

- designed to defend against hash flooding attacks
- optimized for speed with small input
- used in hash table implementations in many languages
- use it for your own hash table

which one should npm adopt?

- SHA-2, SHA-3, and BLAKE2 are all fine choices
- SHA-2 is safer because of implementation availability
- we are not size-sensitive about the output
- we care more about picking an algo that will last
- SHA-512 is a solid, safe choice

I heard git uses SHA-1. What's up with that?

git commit ids are SHA-1 hashes. collisions do bad things to your tree. Linus doesn't think it's a big deal.

John Gilmore is eating popcorn

I tried to fix this when git was young, when it would've been easy. Linus rejected the suggestion and didn't seem to understand the threat. He wired assumptions about SHA1 deeply into git. In the next few years, nasty people will teach him the threat model, with ungentle manipulations of his and many other peoples' source trees.

--Gilmore

summary

- stop using MD5
- stop using SHA-1
- do use SHA-2 and newer hashes
- use bcrypt to store passwords
- don't invent unless you're an expert
- in which case you should be giving this talk not me

Questions? More-of-a-comment-reallys?

all the links

Every link in this presentation, in one place:

Hash function wiki page • bcrypt scrypt • PBKDF2 • argon2 • MD5 • SHA-1 • SHA-2 • SHA-3 • BLAKE2 • seahash • xxhash • siphash • designing your own • Hash-flooding • [Torvald is a honey badger] [linus] • Gilmore eats popcorn

Go! Learn more!

love, @ceejbot