

# Topic Bibliography

Hyejin Im

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Tufts University

October 20, 2020

## 1 Benefits of Learning to Code as a Child

The readings below address what children learn through coding activities and how it would affect on them in positive ways.

- [20, 124, 101, 103, 47]

## 2 Computational Kits and Toys for Young Children

The next readings present emerging computational kits that enable children to explore computing ideas and practices. Yu and Hamilton focus on kits for young children (ages 7 years old and under). They present frameworks to categorize computational toys. Yu examines physical, virtual, and hybrid kits across three different perspectives: how they are designed, how they support children to explore computational concepts and practices, and how they enable children to engage in a range of projects and activities. Hamilton examines physical and ideational features.

- [133, 132, 35, 67, 126]

The following readings show different types of toys and kits that introduce programming to children of preschool and pre-primary school age. Some of them are screen-based, while others are non screen-based. Applications are varying from solving a simple maze to designing for games or animations.

- [57, 83, 27, 6, 61, 114, 69, 87, 71]

These readings introduce computational kits that enable tangible programming.

- [65, 37, 30, 134, 31, 22, 121, 117, 26, 106, 11, 105, 44, 40, 68, 49, 129, 60, 52, 75, 97, 59, 98, 120, 119, 77, 64, 118, 16, 39, 48, 66, 95, 135, 50, 113, 111, 70, 15, 125, 116, 96, 94, 99]

### **3 Technology Design for Children**

The readings in this section is for design consideration.

#### **3.1 Constructionism**

These readings introduce constructionism learning theory and how children can learn math or computational thinking with this approach.

- [73, 1, 63, 72, 28, 21, 122, 7]

#### **3.2 Developmental Theory**

The readings below suggest frameworks for designing developmentally appropriate technology for children. Bers introduces the Positive Development Framework that proposes six positive behaviors (six C's) that should be supported by educational programs that use new educational technologies. Other frameworks propose motivation, social-interaction, and tinkability aspects.

- [9, 18, 110, 128, 54, 84, 8, 88, 85]

#### **3.3 Gender Differences in Learning to Code**

The next readings point out how girls and boys' learning experiences and outcomes can be different and suggest how to make effective computational kits for both of them, or especially girls.

- [26, 5, 92, 100, 62, 12, 108, 107, 104, 102]

### **3.4 Interest-based Learning**

These readings are about how interest-based learning or project-based learning can make learning meaningful and help children engaged in learning activities.

- [26, 5, 17, 92, 56, 115, 51]

### **3.5 Tangible Interface and Learning**

The readings below show that tangible interface is more suitable for children by comparing with graphical interface in engagement and learning.

- [36, 125, 33, 58, 38, 39, 4, 5, 131, 130, 90, 91, 136, 109, 35, 127, 93]

### **3.6 Storytelling**

The next readings address the power of storytelling and offer examples that use storytelling to motivate programming.

- [74, 7, 29, 70, 99]

### **3.7 Video-sensing in Education**

The following readings present engaging and immersive learning experiences using video-sensing: augmented-reality, mixed-reality, virtual-physical interaction, and real-time interaction.

- [42, 45, 43, 76, 41, 46, 23, 123, 86, 29]

## **4 Evaluation of Computational Kits**

### **4.1 Usability Testing with Children**

The readings below seek to find proper ways to measure usability with children.

- [24, 25, 34, 78, 89, 2]

## 4.2 Computational Thinking Measurement with Children

These readings propose frameworks and CT measurement tools for children such as a graphical survey or code schemes for analyzing behaviors or utterances.

- [13, 3, 33, 112, 81, 80, 79, 55, 53, 32, 14, 10, 19]

## References

- [1] E. Ackermann, “Piaget’s constructivism, papert’s constructionism: What’s the difference,” *Future of learning group publication*, vol. 5, no. 3, p. 438, 2001.
- [2] A. Alhussayen, W. Alrashed, and E. I. Mansor, “Evaluating the user experience of playful interactive learning interfaces with children,” *Procedia Manufacturing*, vol. 3, pp. 2318–2324, 2015.
- [3] A. N. Antle, “The cti framework: informing the design of tangible systems for children,” in *Proceedings of the 1st international conference on Tangible and embedded interaction*, 2007, pp. 195–202.
- [4] A. N. Antle, M. Droumeva, and D. Ha, “Hands on what? comparing children’s mouse-based and tangible-based interaction,” in *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Interaction Design and Children*, 2009, pp. 80–88.
- [5] A. N. Antle and A. F. Wise, “Getting down to details: Using theories of cognition and learning to inform tangible user interface design,” *Interacting with Computers*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 1–20, 2013.
- [6] R. Banerjee, J. Yip, K. J. Lee, and Z. Popović, “Empowering children to rapidly author games and animations without writing code,” in *Proceedings of the The 15th International Conference on Interaction Design and Children*, 2016, pp. 230–237.
- [7] M. C. C. Baranauskas and J. E. G. Posada, “Tangible and shared storytelling: Searching for the social dimension of constructionism,” in

*Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Interaction Design and Children*, 2017, pp. 193–203.

- [8] B. Barron, C. K. Martin, L. Takeuchi, and R. Fithian, “Parents as learning partners in the development of technological fluency,” 2009.
- [9] M. U. Bers, “Designing digital experiences for positive youth development: From playpen to playground,” *Oxford University Press*, 2012.
- [10] M. U. Bers, L. Flannery, E. R. Kazakoff, and A. Sullivan, “Computational thinking and tinkering: Exploration of an early childhood robotics curriculum,” *Computers & Education*, vol. 72, pp. 145–157, 2014.
- [11] M. Bers and M. Horn, “Tangible programming in early childhood: revisiting developmental assumptions through new technologies: Childhood in a digital world,” in *High-tech tots: Childhood in a digital world*. Information Age Publishing, 2009.
- [12] J. E. O. Blakemore and R. E. Centers, “Characteristics of boys’ and girls’ toys,” *Sex Roles*, vol. 53, no. 9-10, pp. 619–633, 2005.
- [13] S. Brasiel, K. Close, S. Jeong, K. Lawanto, P. Janisiewicz, and T. Martin, “Measuring computational thinking development with the fun! tool,” in *Emerging Research, Practice, and Policy on Computational Thinking*. Springer, 2017, pp. 327–347.
- [14] K. Brennan and M. Resnick, “New frameworks for studying and assessing the development of computational thinking,” in *Proceedings of the 2012 annual meeting of the American educational research association, Vancouver, Canada*, vol. 1, 2012, p. 25.
- [15] L. Burd, “Designing physical microworlds for learning,” in *Poster session presented at FabLearn Conference*, 2017.
- [16] L. Cabrera, J. H. Maloney, and D. Weintrop, “Programs in the palm of your hand: How live programming shapes children’s interactions with physical computing devices,” in *Proceedings of the 18th ACM International Conference on Interaction Design and Children*, 2019, pp. 227–236.

- [17] R. M. Carini, G. D. Kuh, and S. P. Klein, “Student engagement and student learning: Testing the linkages,” *Research in higher education*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 1–32, 2006.
- [18] E. Cejka, C. Rogers, and M. Portsmore, “Kindergarten robotics: Using robotics to motivate math, science, and engineering literacy in elementary school,” *International Journal of Engineering Education*, vol. 22, no. 4, p. 711, 2006.
- [19] G. Chen, J. Shen, L. Barth-Cohen, S. Jiang, X. Huang, and M. El-toukhy, “Assessing elementary students’ computational thinking in everyday reasoning and robotics programming,” *Computers & Education*, vol. 109, pp. 162–175, 2017.
- [20] D. H. Clements and D. F. Gullo, “Effects of computer programming on young children’s cognition.” *Journal of Educational psychology*, vol. 76, no. 6, p. 1051, 1984.
- [21] A. Csizmadia, B. Standl, and J. Waite, “Integrating the constructionist learning theory with computational thinking classroom activities.” *Informatics in Education*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 41–67, 2019.
- [22] X. Deng, D. Wang, and Q. Jin, “Coprostory: A tangible programming tool for children’s collaboration,” 2019.
- [23] A. Dengel, “How important is immersion for learning in computer science replugged games?” in *Proceedings of the 51st ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education*, 2020, pp. 1165–1171.
- [24] A. Donker and P. Reitsma, “Usability testing with young children,” in *Proceedings of the 2004 conference on Interaction design and children: building a community*, 2004, pp. 43–48.
- [25] H. Edwards and R. Benedyk, “A comparison of usability evaluation methods for child participants in a school setting,” in *Proceedings of the 6th international conference on Interaction design and children*, 2007, pp. 9–16.
- [26] M. Elkin, A. Sullivan, and M. U. Bers, “Programming with the kibo robotics kit in preschool classrooms,” *Computers in the Schools*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 169–186, 2016.

- [27] L. P. Flannery, B. Silverman, E. R. Kazakoff, M. U. Bers, P. Bontá, and M. Resnick, “Designing scratchjr: Support for early childhood learning through computer programming,” in *proceedings of the 12th international conference on interaction design and children*, 2013, pp. 1–10.
- [28] A. Gero and I. Levin, “Computational thinking and constructionism: creating difference equations in spreadsheets,” *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, vol. 50, no. 5, pp. 779–787, 2019.
- [29] J. Glos and M. Umaschi, “Once upon an object...: computationally-augmented toys for storytelling,” in *Proc. of the Int’l Conf. on Computational Intelligence and Multimedia Applications (ICCIMA)*. Cite-seer, 1997, pp. 245–249.
- [30] M. Gordon, E. Ackermann, and C. Breazeal, “Social robot toolkit: Tangible programming for young children,” in *Proceedings of the Tenth Annual ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction Extended Abstracts*, 2015, pp. 67–68.
- [31] M. Gordon, E. Rivera, E. Ackermann, and C. Breazeal, “Designing a relational social robot toolkit for preschool children to explore computational concepts,” in *Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Interaction Design and Children*, 2015, pp. 355–358.
- [32] S. Grover, S. Cooper, and R. Pea, “Assessing computational learning in k-12,” in *Proceedings of the 2014 conference on Innovation & technology in computer science education*, 2014, pp. 57–62.
- [33] M. Hamilton, J. Clarke-Midura, J. F. Shumway, and V. R. Lee, “An emerging technology report on computational toys in early childhood,” *Technology, Knowledge and Learning*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 213–224, 2020.
- [34] L. Hanna, K. Ridsen, and K. Alexander, “Guidelines for usability testing with children,” *interactions*, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 9–14, 1997.
- [35] K. Heljakka and P. Ihamäki, “Toys that mobilize: Past, present and future of phygital playful technology,” in *Proceedings of the Future Technologies Conference*. Springer, 2019, pp. 625–640.

- [36] M. S. Horn, R. J. Crouser, and M. U. Bers, “Tangible interaction and learning: the case for a hybrid approach,” *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 379–389, 2012.
- [37] M. S. Horn and R. J. Jacob, “Designing tangible programming languages for classroom use,” in *Proceedings of the 1st international conference on Tangible and embedded interaction*, 2007, pp. 159–162.
- [38] M. S. Horn, E. T. Solovey, R. J. Crouser, and R. J. Jacob, “Comparing the use of tangible and graphical programming languages for informal science education,” in *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 2009, pp. 975–984.
- [39] M. S. Horn, E. T. Solovey, and R. J. Jacob, “Tangible programming and informal science learning: making tuis work for museums,” in *Proceedings of the 7th international conference on Interaction design and children*, 2008, pp. 194–201.
- [40] F. Hu, A. Zekelman, M. Horn, and F. Judd, “Strawbies: explorations in tangible programming,” in *Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Interaction Design and Children*, 2015, pp. 410–413.
- [41] T.-H. T. Hwang, “Exploring real-time video interactivity with scratch,” Ph.D. dissertation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2012.
- [42] A. Y. Idlbi, “Personalized extensions: Democratizing the programming of virtual-physical interactions,” Ph.D. dissertation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2014.
- [43] Q. Jin, Y. Liu, Y. Yuan, L. Yarosh, and E. S. Rosenberg, “Vworld: an immersive vr system for learning programming,” in *Proceedings of the 2020 ACM Interaction Design and Children Conference: Extended Abstracts*, 2020, pp. 235–240.
- [44] Q. Jin, D. Wang, X. Deng, N. Zheng, and S. Chiu, “Ar-maze: a tangible programming tool for children based on ar technology,” in *Proceedings of the 17th ACM Conference on Interaction Design and Children*, 2018, pp. 611–616.
- [45] Q. Jin, D. Wang, and F. Sun, “Tancreator: A tangible tool for children to create augmented reality games,” in *Proceedings of the 2018 ACM*



*International Joint Conference and 2018 International Symposium on Pervasive and Ubiquitous Computing and Wearable Computers*, 2018, pp. 82–85.

- [46] H. Julie, M. Tom, C. Antoine, V. Benoit, and D. Bruno, “A tangible-augmented concept inventory to identify novices’ misconceptions in programming,” in *2020 15th International Conference on Computer Science & Education (ICCSE)*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 370–374.
- [47] E. R. Kazakoff, A. Sullivan, and M. U. Bers, “The effect of a classroom-based intensive robotics and programming workshop on sequencing ability in early childhood,” *Early Childhood Education Journal*, vol. 41, no. 4, pp. 245–255, 2013.
- [48] M. Kazemitabaar, J. McPeak, A. Jiao, L. He, T. Outing, and J. E. Froehlich, “Makerwear: A tangible approach to interactive wearable creation for children,” in *Proceedings of the 2017 chi conference on human factors in computing systems*, 2017, pp. 133–145.
- [49] V. Koushik and S. K. Kane, “Tangibles+ programming+ audio stories= fun,” in *Proceedings of the 19th International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility*, 2017, pp. 341–342.
- [50] M. Kranz, D. Schmidt, P. Holleis, and A. Schmidt, “A display cube as a tangible user interface,” in *UbiComp 2005, the Seventh International Conference on Ubiquitous Computing*, 2005.
- [51] G. D. Kuh, “Making learning meaningful: Engaging students in ways that matter to them,” in *New Directions for Teaching and Learning 2016*, 2016, pp. 49–56.
- [52] P. M.-Y. Lam, C. K.-H. Lai, Y. T. Choi, B. J. Huxtable, J. R. Castro, A. Hawryshkewich, and C. Neustaedter, “Loopo: a tangible programming game for kids,” in *CHI’14 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 2014, pp. 179–180.
- [53] I. Lee, F. Martin, J. Denner, B. Coulter, W. Allan, J. Erickson, J. Malyn-Smith, and L. Werner, “Computational thinking for youth in practice,” *Acm Inroads*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 32–37, 2011.

- [54] K. T. Lee, A. Sullivan, and M. U. Bers, “Collaboration by design: Using robotics to foster social interaction in kindergarten,” *Computers in the Schools*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 271–281, 2013.
- [55] J. J. Lu and G. H. Fletcher, “Thinking about computational thinking,” in *Proceedings of the 40th ACM technical symposium on Computer science education*, 2009, pp. 260–264.
- [56] J. Maeda, “Stem+ art= steam,” *The STEAM journal*, vol. 1, no. 1, p. 34, 2013.
- [57] J. Maloney, M. Resnick, N. Rusk, B. Silverman, and E. Eastmond, “The scratch programming language and environment,” *ACM Transactions on Computing Education (TOCE)*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 1–15, 2010.
- [58] P. Marshall, “Do tangible interfaces enhance learning?” in *Proceedings of the 1st international conference on Tangible and embedded interaction*, 2007, pp. 163–170.
- [59] T. S. McNerney, “From turtles to tangible programming bricks: explorations in physical language design,” *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 326–337, 2004.
- [60] E. F. Melcer and K. Isbister, “Bots & (main) frames: exploring the impact of tangible blocks and collaborative play in an educational programming game,” in *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 2018, pp. 1–14.
- [61] A. Merkouris and K. Chorianopoulos, “Introducing computer programming to children through robotic and wearable devices,” in *Proceedings of the Workshop in Primary and Secondary Computing Education*, 2015, pp. 69–72.
- [62] C. L. Miller, “Qualitative differences among gender-stereotyped toys: Implications for cognitive and social development in girls and boys,” *Sex Roles*, vol. 16, no. 9-10, pp. 473–487, 1987.
- [63] M. Monga, M. Lodi, D. Malchiodi, A. Morpurgo, and B. Spieler, “Learning to program in a constructionist way,” 2018.

- [64] K. Mongkhonvanit, C. J. Y. Zau, C. Proctor, and P. Blikstein, “Tess-tudinata: a tangible interface for exploring functional programming,” in *Proceedings of the 17th ACM Conference on Interaction Design and Children*, 2018, pp. 493–496.
- [65] J. Montemayor, “Physical programming: Software you can touch,” in *CHI’01 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 2001, pp. 81–82.
- [66] J. Montemayor, A. Druin, A. Farber, S. Simms, W. Churaman, and A. D’Amour, “Physical programming: designing tools for children to create physical interactive environments,” in *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 2002, pp. 299–306.
- [67] L. Naismith, M. Sharples, G. Vavoula, and P. Lonsdale, “Literature review in mobile technologies and learning,” 2004.
- [68] H. Oh, A. Deshmane, F. Li, J. Y. Han, M. Stewart, M. Tsai, X. Xu, and I. Oakley, “The digital dream lab: tabletop puzzle blocks for exploring programmatic concepts,” in *Proceedings of the 7th international conference on tangible, embedded and embodied interaction*, 2013, pp. 51–56.
- [69] L. Oschuetz, D. Wessolek, and W. Sattler, “Constructing with movement: kinematics,” in *Proceedings of the fourth international conference on Tangible, embedded, and embodied interaction*, 2010, pp. 257–260.
- [70] A. Panjwani, “Constructing meaning: Designing powerful story-making explorations for children to express with tangible computational media,” in *Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Interaction Design and Children*, 2017, pp. 358–364.
- [71] S. Papadakis, “Apps to promote computational thinking concepts and coding skills in children of preschool and pre-primary school age,” in *Mobile Learning Applications in Early Childhood Education*. IGI Global, 2020, pp. 101–121.

- [72] S. Papavlasopoulou, M. N. Giannakos, and L. Jaccheri, “Exploring children’s learning experience in constructionism-based coding activities through design-based research,” *Computers in Human Behavior*, vol. 99, pp. 415–427, 2019.
- [73] S. Papert, “Mindstorms: Children, computers, and powerful ideas,” *Basic Books*, 1980.
- [74] J. Parham-Mocello and M. Erwig, “Does story programming prepare for coding?” in *Proceedings of the 51st ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education*, 2020, pp. 100–106.
- [75] Y. Qi, D. Wang, L. Zhang, and Y. Shi, “Tanprostory: A tangible programming system for children’s storytelling,” in *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 2015, pp. 1001–1006.
- [76] I. Radu and B. MacIntyre, “Augmented-reality scratch: a tangible programming environment for children,” in *Proceedings of conference on interaction design for children, Como, Italy*, 2009.
- [77] H. Raffle, A. Parkes, H. Ishii, and J. Lifton, “Beyond record and play: backpacks: tangible modulators for kinetic behavior,” in *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 2006, pp. 681–690.
- [78] J. C. Read, S. MacFarlane, and C. Casey, “Endurability, engagement and expectations: Measuring children’s fun,” in *Interaction design and children*, vol. 2. Shaker Publishing Eindhoven, 2002, pp. 1–23.
- [79] E. Relkin, “Assessing young children’s computational thinking abilities,” Ph.D. dissertation, Tufts University, 2018.
- [80] E. Relkin and M. U. Bers, “Designing an assessment of computational thinking abilities for young children,” *STEM for early childhood learners: how science, technology, engineering and mathematics strengthen learning*. New York: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429453755-5>, 2019.

- [81] E. Relkin, L. de Ruiter, and M. U. Bers, “Techcheck: Development and validation of an unplugged assessment of computational thinking in early childhood education.”
- [82] M. Resnick, R. Berg, and M. Eisenberg, “Beyond black boxes: Bringing transparency and aesthetics back to scientific investigation,” *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 7–30, 2000.
- [83] M. Resnick, J. Maloney, A. Monroy-Hernández, N. Rusk, E. Eastmond, K. Brennan, A. Millner, E. Rosenbaum, J. Silver, B. Silverman, *et al.*, “Scratch: programming for all,” *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 52, no. 11, pp. 60–67, 2009.
- [84] M. Resnick and E. Rosenbaum, “Designing for tinkerability,” *Design, make, play: Growing the next generation of STEM innovators*, pp. 163–181, 2013.
- [85] M. Resnick and B. Silverman, “Some reflections on designing construction kits for kids,” in *Proceedings of the 2005 conference on Interaction design and children*, 2005, pp. 117–122.
- [86] G. T. Richard and Y. B. Kafai, “Making physical and digital games with e-textiles: a workshop for youth making responsive wearable games and controllers,” in *Proceedings of the 14th international conference on interaction design and children*, 2015, pp. 399–402.
- [87] E. Root, M. Steinkamp, B. Coldewey, C. Poloczek, F. Scharnowski, M. Kettner, M. Koelle, S. Ananthanarayan, and M. Willms, “Grasping algorithms: exploring toys that teach computational thinking,” in *Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Mobile and Ubiquitous Multimedia*, 2017, pp. 387–392.
- [88] R. Roque, K. Lin, and R. Liuzzi, “Engaging parents as creative learning partners in computing,” *Exploring the Material Conditions of Learning*, vol. 2, pp. 687–688, 2015.
- [89] K. Rounding, K. Tee, X. Wu, C. Guo, and E. Tse, “Evaluating interfaces with children,” *Personal and ubiquitous computing*, vol. 17, no. 8, pp. 1663–1666, 2013.

- [90] T. Sapounidis and S. Demetriadis, “Tangible versus graphical user interfaces for robot programming: exploring cross-age children’s preferences,” *Personal and ubiquitous computing*, vol. 17, no. 8, pp. 1775–1786, 2013.
- [91] T. Sapounidis, S. Demetriadis, and I. Stamelos, “Evaluating children performance with graphical and tangible robot programming tools,” *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 225–237, 2015.
- [92] M. Seraj, E.-S. Katterfeldt, S. Autexier, and R. Drechsler, “Impacts of creating smart everyday objects on young female students’ programming skills and attitudes,” in *Proceedings of the 51st ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education*, 2020, pp. 1234–1240.
- [93] O. Shaer and E. Hornecker, *Tangible user interfaces: past, present, and future directions*. Now Publishers Inc, 2010.
- [94] O. Shaer, M. Strait, C. Valdes, T. Feng, M. Lintz, and H. Wang, “Enhancing genomic learning through tabletop interaction,” in *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on human factors in computing systems*, 2011, pp. 2817–2826.
- [95] R. B. Shapiro, A. Kelly, M. Ahrens, and R. Fiebrink, “Blockytalky: A physical and distributed computer music toolkit for kids.” NIME, 2016.
- [96] A. Sipitakiat and N. Nusen, “Robo-blocks: designing debugging abilities in a tangible programming system for early primary school children,” in *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Interaction Design and Children*, 2012, pp. 98–105.
- [97] A. C. Smith, “Handcrafted physical syntax elements for illiterate children: initial concepts,” in *Proceedings of the 7th international conference on Interaction design and children*, 2008, pp. 157–160.
- [98] —, “Symbols for children’s tangible programming cubes: an explorative study,” in *Proceedings of the 2009 Annual Conference of the Southern African Computer Lecturers’ Association*, 2009, pp. 105–109.

- [99] D. Stanton, V. Bayon, H. Neale, A. Ghali, S. Benford, S. Cobb, R. Ingram, C. O'Malley, J. Wilson, and T. Pridmore, "Classroom collaboration in the design of tangible interfaces for storytelling," in *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems*, 2001, pp. 482–489.
- [100] C. M. Steele, "A threat in the air: How stereotypes shape intellectual identity and performance." *American psychologist*, vol. 52, no. 6, p. 613, 1997.
- [101] A. Strawhacker and M. U. Bers, "What they learn when they learn coding: investigating cognitive domains and computer programming knowledge in young children," *Educational Technology Research and Development*, vol. 67, no. 3, pp. 541–575, 2019.
- [102] A. Sullivan and M. U. Bers, "Gender differences in kindergarteners' robotics and programming achievement," *International journal of technology and design education*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 691–702, 2013.
- [103] —, "Robotics in the early childhood classroom: learning outcomes from an 8-week robotics curriculum in pre-kindergarten through second grade," *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 3–20, 2016.
- [104] —, "Girls, boys, and bots: Gender differences in young children's performance on robotics and programming tasks," *Journal of Information Technology Education: Innovations in Practice*, vol. 15, pp. 145–165, 2016.
- [105] A. Sullivan, M. Elkin, and M. U. Bers, "Kibo robot demo: engaging young children in programming and engineering," in *Proceedings of the 14th international conference on interaction design and children*, 2015, pp. 418–421.
- [106] A. A. Sullivan, M. U. Bers, and C. Mihm, "Imagining, playing, and coding with kibo: using robotics to foster computational thinking in young children," *Siu-cheung KONG The Education University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong*, vol. 110, 2017.

- [107] A. A. Sullivan, “Breaking the stem stereotype: Investigating the use of robotics to change young children’s gender stereotypes about technology and engineering,” *Tufts University*, 2016.
- [108] —, *Breaking the STEM stereotype: reaching girls in early childhood*. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, 2019.
- [109] C. Sylla, “Designing a tangible interface for collaborative storytelling to access’ embodiment’ and meaning making,” in *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Interaction Design and Children*, 2013, pp. 651–654.
- [110] E. Tallyn, D. Frohlich, N. Linketscher, B. Signer, and G. Adams, “Using paper to support collaboration in educational activities,” 2005.
- [111] M. A. Tamashiro, L. Burd, and R. Roque, “Creative learning kits for physical microworlds: Supporting the making of meaningful projects using low-cost materials,” in *Proceedings of the 18th ACM International Conference on Interaction Design and Children*, 2019, pp. 514–519.
- [112] X. Tang, Y. Yin, Q. Lin, R. Hadad, and X. Zhai, “Assessing computational thinking: A systematic review of empirical studies,” *Computers & Education*, vol. 148, p. 103798, 2020.
- [113] L. Terrenghi, M. Kranz, P. Holleis, and A. Schmidt, “A cube to learn: a tangible user interface for the design of a learning appliance,” *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*, vol. 10, no. 2-3, pp. 153–158, 2006.
- [114] G. Terzopoulos, M. Satratzemi, and D. Tsompanoudi, “Educational mobile applications on computational thinking and programming for children under 8 years old,” in *Interactive Mobile Communication, Technologies and Learning*. Springer, 2019, pp. 527–538.
- [115] M. Tsur and N. Rusk, “Scratch microworlds: designing project-based introductions to coding,” in *Proceedings of the 49th ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education*, 2018, pp. 894–899.
- [116] B. Ullmer, H. Ishii, and R. J. Jacob, “Token+ constraint systems for tangible interaction with digital information,” *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (TOCHI)*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 81–118, 2005.



- [117] D. Wang, Y. Qi, Y. Zhang, and T. Wang, “Tanpro-kit: a tangible programming tool for children,” in *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Interaction Design and Children*, 2013, pp. 344–347.
- [118] D. Wang, L. Zhang, Y. Qi, and F. Sun, “A tui-based programming tool for children,” in *Proceedings of the 2015 ACM Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education*, 2015, pp. 219–224.
- [119] D. Wang, L. Zhang, C. Xu, H. Hu, and Y. Qi, “A tangible embedded programming system to convey event-handling concept,” in *Proceedings of the TEI’16: Tenth International Conference on Tangible, Embedded, and Embodied Interaction*, 2016, pp. 133–140.
- [120] D. Wang, Y. Zhang, T. Gu, L. He, and H. Wang, “E-block: a tangible programming tool for children,” in *Adjunct proceedings of the 25th annual ACM symposium on User interface software and technology*, 2012, pp. 71–72.
- [121] M. P. Weller, E. Y.-L. Do, and M. D. Gross, “Escape machine: teaching computational thinking with a tangible state machine game,” in *Proceedings of the 7th international conference on Interaction design and children*, 2008, pp. 282–289.
- [122] U. Wilensky, D. Weintrop, and U. S. Education, “Constructionist approaches for computational thinking in math and science classrooms,” 2016.
- [123] R. Wistort and C. Breazeal, “Tofudraw: A mixed-reality choreography tool for authoring robot character performance,” in *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Interaction Design and Children*, 2011, pp. 213–216.
- [124] G. K.-W. Wong and H.-Y. Cheung, “Exploring children’s perceptions of developing twenty-first century skills through computational thinking and programming,” *Interactive Learning Environments*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 438–450, 2020.
- [125] P. Wyeth, “Understanding engagement with tangible user interfaces,” in *Proceedings of the 20th Australasian Conference on Computer-*

*Human Interaction: Designing for Habitus and Habitat*, 2008, pp. 331–334.

- [126] P. Wyeth and H. C. Purchase, “Programming without a computer: A new interface for children under eight,” in *Proceedings First Australasian User Interface Conference. AUIC 2000 (Cat. No. PR00515)*. IEEE, 2000, pp. 141–148.
- [127] —, “Tangible programming elements for young children,” in *CHI’02 extended abstracts on Human factors in computing systems*, 2002, pp. 774–775.
- [128] —, “Using developmental theories to inform the design of technology for children,” in *Proceedings of the 2003 conference on Interaction design and children*, 2003, pp. 93–100.
- [129] P. Wyeth and G. F. Wyeth, “Electronic blocks: Tangible programming elements for preschoolers,” 2001.
- [130] L. Xie, A. N. Antle, and N. Motamedi, “Are tangibles more fun? comparing children’s enjoyment and engagement using physical, graphical and tangible user interfaces,” in *Proceedings of the 2nd international conference on Tangible and embedded interaction*, 2008, pp. 191–198.
- [131] D. Xu, “Tangible user interface for children-an overview,” in *Proc. of the UCLAN Department of Computing Conference*, 2005.
- [132] J. Yu and R. Roque, “A survey of computational kits for young children,” in *Proceedings of the 17th ACM Conference on Interaction Design and Children*, 2018, pp. 289–299.
- [133] —, “A review of computational toys and kits for young children,” *International Journal of Child-Computer Interaction*, vol. 21, pp. 17–36, 2019.
- [134] C. Zheng, P. Gyory, and E. Y.-L. Do, “Tangible interfaces with printed paper markers,” in *Proceedings of the 2020 ACM Designing Interactive Systems Conference*, 2020, pp. 909–923.

- [135] O. Zuckerman, S. Arida, and M. Resnick, “Extending tangible interfaces for education: digital montessori-inspired manipulatives,” in *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems*, 2005, pp. 859–868.
- [136] O. Zuckerman and M. Resnick, “A physical interface for system dynamics simulation,” in *CHI’03 extended abstracts on Human factors in computing systems*, 2003, pp. 810–811.