Behavior of weakly adsorbing impurities in flow-through ion-exchange chromatography

Chase E. Herman^a, Xuankuo Xu^b, Steven J. Traylor^b, Sanchayita Ghose^b, Zheng Jian Li^b, Abraham M. Lenhoff^{a,*}

^aDepartment of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Delaware, Newark, DE, USA ^bBiologics Process Development, Bristol Myers Squibb, Devens, MA, USA

Abstract

Flow-through ion-exchange chromatography is frequently used in polishing biotherapeutics, but the factors that contribute to impurity persistence are incompletely understood. A large number of dilute impurities may be encountered that exhibit physicochemical diversity, making the flow-through separation performance highly sensitive to process conditions. The analysis presented in this work develops two novel correlations that offer transferable insights into the chromatographic behavior of weakly adsorbing impurities. The first, based on column simulations and validated experimentally, delineates the relative contributions of thermodynamic, transport, and geometric properties in dictating the initial breakthrough volume of dilute species. The Graetz number for mass transfer was found to generalize the transport contributions, enabling estimation of a threshold in the equilibrium constant below which impurity persistence is expected. Impurity adsorption equilibria are needed to use this correlation, but such data are not typically available. The

E-mail address: lenhoff@udel.edu (A.M. Lenhoff).

^{*}Corresponding author

second relationship presented in this work may be used to reduce the experimental burden of estimating adsorption equilibria as a function of ionic strength. A correlation between stoichiometric displacement model parameters was found by consolidating isocratic retention data for over 200 protein-pH-resin combinations from the extant literature. Coupled with Yamamoto's analysis of linear gradient elution data, this correlation may be used to estimate retentivity approximately from a single experimental measurement, which could prove useful in predicting host-cell protein chromatographic behavior.

Keywords: Flow-through, Ion-exchange, Impurity, Clearance,

Breakthrough, Stoichiometric displacement model

1. Introduction

- The biopharmaceutical market has grown substantially over the past few
- 3 decades, with over 300 biologics having received regulatory approval and hun-
- 4 dreds more in development pipelines [1, 2]. Monoclonal antibodies (mAbs)
- 5 comprise the majority of these biologics, partly because platform purifica-
- 6 tion processes have enabled their rapid development [3, 4]. One stage in a
- 7 typical platform process is polishing, where trace impurities such as host-
- 8 cell proteins (HCPs) are removed prior to product formulation using one or
- 9 more chromatographic operations [5]. Despite their low concentrations, some
- of these impurities may pose a risk to therapeutic safety and stability, and
- polishing operations are designed to remove them completely [6, 7].
- Polishing may be performed using ion-exchange (IEX), hydrophobic in-
- 13 teraction or multimodal resins. Since the majority of secreted HCPs are

more acidic than the typical mAb [8], anion-exchange (AEX) resins are more naturally suited to flow-through operations [9], in which impurities are intended to adsorb to the resin, but they may be used in bind-and-elute mode as well [10]. The inverse is true of cation-exchange (CEX) stationary phases. The flow-through operational mode offers the advantage of relatively high throughput; as a heuristic, 1 g of mAb usually requires 1 ml of resin in flow-through IEX [11]. For mAb concentrations on the order of 20 mg/ml, this corresponds to an apparent processing capacity on the order of 50 column volumes (CV). Such large capacities can enable high productivity operations with relatively small columns. If convective media are used instead of resin particles, there is the potential to increase productivity beyond the limitations inherently associated with packed column flow rate constraints [12]. These process intensification benefits have led to an increasing consideration of flow-through operations for applications outside of polishing, particularly in the development of continuous downstream processes [11, 13, 14].

Despite the utility of flow-through IEX steps, relatively few studies have systematically investigated their development and limitations. This is partly because impurity behavior is essential to understanding flow-through separations, but it is difficult to interrogate experimentally. Total HCP concentrations encountered in mAb polishing are usually on the order of 1000 ppm [15], rendering in-line detection and quantification infeasible [16, 17]. Hundreds of HCPs may be present, and their biophysical diversity leads to heterogeneous chromatographic behavior. Although process conditions are tuned to maximize HCP adsorption, they are generally less conducive to strong retention than in bind-and-elute mode. This may make impurity clearance highly

sensitive to the mobile phase composition and the resin.

Coupled with the plethora of available resins, the high sensitivity of sep-40 aration performance typically necessitates screening studies during process development. Commercial IEX resins are available that differ in particle size, pore size and morphology, base matrix, ligand chemistry, ionic capacity, and surface functionalization [18, 19]. Screening studies to determine the best resin among several alternatives can provide valuable applicationspecific data, and perhaps furnish general resin retentivity heuristics [20], but they offer limited transferable insights into individual HCP chromatographic retention and capacity. Column modeling has therefore been suggested as a complementary technique to elucidate the factors that contribute to impurity persistence [16, 17]. A better understanding of this phenomenon could potentially improve flow-through process design, expedite development, reduce costs, and increase consistency with quality by design principles. It could also help direct future in silico optimization efforts, which to date have focused primarily on product molecules rather than impurities.

Two HCP persistence mechanisms have been hypothesized for flow-through processes: product association and weak adsorption [5]. The relative importance of these mechanisms remains unclear. Product association is expected to vary mechanistically with the therapeutic molecule and the impurity species, which may hinder a general understanding of the phenomenon. However, it may be tractable to analyze generally the breakthrough of weakly adsorbing impurities. Two attributes of the flow-through process simplify the analysis, namely that the process is isocratic, and that the adsorption equilibria of weakly adsorbing species are expected to be approximately linear

and independent. Assuming HCP concentrations on the order of 1000 ppm, column capacities on the order of 100 mg/ml, and the load heuristic of 1 g mAb/ml of column, only ~1% of the column is expected to saturate with HCPs. The majority of the column is therefore available for weakly retained HCPs to adsorb with negligible competition for the IEX surface.

This work focuses on developing transferable insights into the break-69 through of weakly adsorbing impurities in flow-through IEX. We placed emphasis on understanding contributions to the initial breakthrough volume, as the goal of flow-through polishing is the complete removal of trace impurities. To maintain generalizability across diverse sets of HCPs, we simulated the chromatographic behavior of dilute species with a variety of transport and thermodynamic properties. Simulated initial breakthrough volumes were analytically related to the Graetz number for mass transfer, and this relationship was validated experimentally. Transport and thermodynamic parameters need to be estimated to use this relationship, but HCP adsorption equilibrium constants are usually unknown. To gain a better understanding of IEX adsorption equilibria, we consolidated dilute isocratic retention data from the extant literature on model species. From these data, a correlation was observed between stoichiometric displacement model (SDM) parameters that are commonly used to relate the adsorption equilibrium constant to ionic strength [21]. This thermodynamic correlation was corroborated by linear gradient elution data, suggesting a way to estimate SDM parameters approximately from one experimental measurement. These relationships provide novel insights into properties that contribute to flow-through impurity clearance.

2. Theory and simulation

2.1. Column chromatography model

The 1D general rate model of column chromatography was used in this work, which describes the transport of solutes within the column interstitial volume as [19, 22, 23]:

$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial c}{\partial z} = D_{ax} \frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial z^2} - \frac{3}{r_p} \left(\frac{1 - \varepsilon_c}{\varepsilon_c} \right) k_f \left(c - c_p \big|_{r = r_p} \right)$$
(1a)

with Danckwerts' boundary conditions:

95

$$v\left(c_{in} - c\big|_{z=0}\right) = -D_{ax}\frac{\partial c}{\partial z}\bigg|_{z=0}$$
 (1b)

 $\left. \frac{\partial c}{\partial z} \right|_{z=L_{col}} = 0 \tag{1c}$

where c is the solute concentration in the interstitial volume, c_p is the solute concentration in the resin bead pore space, t is time, z is the column axial coordinate, r is the resin bead radial coordinate, c_{in} is the column inlet concentration, L_{col} is the column length, r_p is the resin bead radius, v is the interstitial velocity, D_{ax} is the axial dispersion coefficient, k_f is the film mass transfer coefficient, and ε_c is the column porosity. Solute transport within the resin beads is described by:

$$\varepsilon_{p} \frac{\partial c_{p}}{\partial t} + (1 - \varepsilon_{p}) \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} = \varepsilon_{p} D_{p} \left(\frac{\partial^{2} c_{p}}{\partial r^{2}} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial c_{p}}{\partial r} \right) + (1 - \varepsilon_{p}) D_{s} \left(\frac{\partial^{2} q}{\partial r^{2}} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial q}{\partial r} \right)$$
(2a)

with boundary conditions of spherical symmetry at the bead center and a mass balance at the bead edge, respectively:

$$\frac{\partial c_p}{\partial r}\Big|_{r=0} = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial q}{\partial r}\Big|_{r=0} = 0$$
 (2b)

105

$$\varepsilon_p D_p \frac{\partial c_p}{\partial r} \Big|_{r=r_p} + (1 - \varepsilon_p) D_s \frac{\partial q}{\partial r} \Big|_{r=r_p} = k_f \left(c - c_p \big|_{r=r_p} \right)$$
 (2c)

where q is the adsorbed solute concentration, ε_p is the resin bead porosity accessible to the solute, D_p is pore diffusivity, and D_s is surface diffusivity. Typically, the relevant initial conditions are:

$$c\big|_{t=0} = 0, \qquad c_p\big|_{t=0} = 0, \qquad q\big|_{t=0} = 0$$
 (3)

An isotherm relating q to c_p is needed to complete the column model, along with a description of the adsorption kinetics. Since adsorption and desorption steps are usually much faster than the other transport processes, instantaneous equilibration was assumed in this work. The Langmuir isotherm was used:

$$q = q_{max} \left(\frac{K_L c_p}{K_L c_p + 1} \right) \tag{4}$$

where q_{max} is the resin saturation capacity and K_L is a thermodynamic parameter (equal to the ratio of the adsorption and desorption rate constants, k_a/k_d). The adsorption equilibrium constant, K_{eq} , is defined by the initial isotherm slope, which for the Langmuir model is:

$$K_{eq} \equiv \lim_{c_p \to 0} \frac{q}{c_p} = q_{max} K_L \tag{5}$$

Dimensions of volume concentration were used for q in this work (as opposed to excess surface concentration), making K_{eq} a dimensionless parameter.

Column model equations were solved with the Chromatography Analysis and Design Toolkit (CADET, versions 4.1.0 and 4.2.0) [24].

2.2. Simulations for analyzing breakthrough volumes

To ascertain qualitative differences between the breakthrough of concen-123 trated and dilute species, simulations were performed for single-component systems with load concentrations between 10 mg/ml and 1 μ g/ml with q_{max} 125 fixed at 100 mg/ml of packed column, K_{eq} varied from 1 to 10000, and trans-126 port parameters selected from representative calibrations to model species. 127 Exploratory simulations were then performed for a load concentration of 1 μ g/ml at variable v, D_p , L_{col} , and r_p . D_{ax} was estimated as a function of v from correlations for beds packed with solid particles [25], using a dilute solution diffusivity of 7.5×10^{-11} m²/s to represent general protein behavior [26]. Film mass transfer was assumed to be relatively fast, and k_f was set to 1×10^{-3} m/s for all simulations. D_s was estimated as a function of K_{eq} using results reported for a mAb of the form $D_s = aK_{eq}^b$, where the power law coefficient $a = 1.66 \times 10^{-12} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ and b = -0.24 [27]. Results from these simulations were correlated to relate breakthrough volume with system parameters, making use of an effective intraparticle diffusivity (D_{eff}) to describe the combined effects of pore and surface diffusion [28]:

$$D_{eff} \equiv D_p + \left(\frac{1 - \varepsilon_p}{\varepsilon_p}\right) K_{eq} D_s \tag{6}$$

This expression may be derived from Equation 2a by assuming instantaneous equilibration and linear chromatographic conditions, as given by:

$$q = K_{eq}c_p \tag{7}$$

A linear isotherm was used for comparing simulations with experimental data, and the extra-column volume, which consisted of valves and 0.75 mm

ID tubing, was described as a continuously stirred tank reactor (CSTR) in series with a dispersed plug flow reactor (PFR) [29]. The fraction of the extra-column volume allocated to the CSTR was fit as a function of flow rate, and the PFR axial dispersion coefficient was set to 1×10^{-12} m²/s.

2.3. Models for ion-exchange adsorption equilibria

A number of models have been proposed to relate protein IEX adsorption equilibria to solution conditions, with perhaps the simplest and most commonly cited being the stoichiometric displacement model (SDM), which describes adsorption as a strict ion-exchange process [21]. From the law of mass action, the adsorption equilibrium constant is related to ionic strength, I, as:

$$K_{eq} = \alpha I^{-\nu} \tag{8}$$

where α is a measure of adsorption strength and ν is the protein characteristic charge, which represents the stoichiometry of ion exchange. These parameters are commonly estimated by fitting isocratic retention data at different ionic strengths or regressing linear gradient elution data according to Yamamoto's method [30]. The fundamental equation of ideal linear chromatography predicts that retention factors should also exhibit a power law dependence on ionic strength [22]:

$$k' = \phi K_{eq} = \phi \alpha I^{-\nu} \tag{9}$$

where $k' = (V_R - V_0)/V_0$ is the retention factor for the solute and solution conditions of interest, V_R is the retention volume, and V_0 is the flow-through volume under non-adsorbing conditions. For dimensionless K_{eq} , $\phi = (1 -$

 $\varepsilon_t)/\varepsilon_t$ is a dimensionless phase ratio where $\varepsilon_t = \varepsilon_c + (1 - \varepsilon_c)\varepsilon_p$ represents the total column porosity. 165

More sophisticated colloidal models that are based on statistical thermo-166 dynamics have also been proposed for estimating K_{eq} from the free energy change of molecular adsorption, ΔF , using some form of the general expression [31]:

$$K_{eq}(I, pH) = \int_{\Omega} \int_{z_0}^{\infty} \left(e^{-\Delta F(z, \Omega, I, pH)/k_B T} - 1 \right) dz d\Omega$$
 (10)

where Ω represents the protein orientation with respect to the resin and z is the separation distance. Previous models have used continuum electrostatics and van der Waals equations to estimate ΔF , often making use of mean-field 172 approximations [32, 33]. Notably, the K_{eq} estimates from these models are 173 quite sensitive to ΔF due to the Boltzmann weighting.

3. Materials and methods

3.1. Chemicals and buffers

184

Sodium hydroxide, hydrochloric acid, sodium chloride, monobasic sodium phosphate, dibasic sodium phosphate, acetic acid, sodium acetate, boric 178 acid, and sodium bicarbonate were purchased from Fisher (Fair Lawn, NJ). 179 Sodium carbonate, ethanolamine, and 2000 kDa blue dextran were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). Fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) and 181 dimethylformamide were purchased from Thermo Scientific (Rockford, IL). 182 All chemicals were used without any further purification. 183 Buffer solutions were prepared to the desired constituent concentrations

10

at room temperature with deionized water from an EMD Millipore Milli-Q

system (> 18.2 M Ω cm). Low and high ionic strength buffers were prepared with 0 and 1 M added NaCl, respectively. Buffer pH and conductivity were measured with a Cole-Parmer PC200 meter, and pH adjustments were made with concentrated sodium hydroxide and hydrochloric acid solutions. Prior to use, buffer solutions were filtered with Fisher 0.2 μ m aPES membranes (Pittsburgh, PA).

192 3.2. Proteins

Lyophilized hen egg white lysozyme was purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). A mAb sample was obtained from the protein A eluate pool of a manufacturing process at Bristol Myers Squibb (Devens, MA). The mAb was supplied at 35 mg/ml and stored at -80 °C. It was thawed prior to use and exchanged into the desired buffer using a GE Sephadex G25 desalting column, and protein solution concentrations were measured with a Thermo Scientific NanoDrop Lite spectrophotometer.

3.3. Resins and chromatography equipment

All chromatographic measurements were performed using an Amersham
Biosciences Äkta Explorer equipped with a P-960 sample pump, fraction
collector, 10 mm UV flow cell, and in-line pH and conductivity meters. SP
Sepharose FF, a strong cation-exchanger, was purchased from GE Healthcare
(Uppsala, Sweden). It was exchanged three times into a pH 7.0 (25 mM
sodium phosphate) buffer by centrifugation and decantation prior to slurry
packing (50%) into a 0.5 × 10 cm Waters AP chromatography column to
a final bed volume of 2.0 ml. Column packing was validated at pH 7.0

by injecting a 100 μ l pulse of a 1 M NaCl buffer and verifying that the conductivity trace asymmetry fell within commonly accepted limits [18].

3.4. Linear gradient elution

The retention of lysozyme on SP Sepharose FF was measured with lin-212 ear gradient elution at pH 5.0, 7.0, and 9.0 (in 75 mM sodium acetate, 25 213 mM sodium phosphate, and 25 mM ethanolamine buffers, respectively) at a superficial velocity of 300 cm/h. Feed solutions were prepared by dissolving 215 lysozyme in the appropriate low ionic strength buffer at ~ 20 mg/ml, and lyophilized excipients were removed with three buffer exchange cycles using 217 EMD Millipore Amicon centrifugal filter units (Cork, Ireland). Lysozyme solutions were filtered using Thermo Scientific 0.2 μ m PVDF membranes 219 (Rockwood, TN) and diluted to $\leq 10 \text{ mg/ml}$ prior to use. The SP Sepharose 220 FF column was equilibrated in the relevant low ionic strength buffer for 5 221 CV, and gradient elution from 0 to 1 M NaCl began shortly after a 100 μ l sample injection. The gradient elution volume was varied from 10 to 50 CV in increments of 10 CV, and the conductivity at peak elution was determined. 224 This was used with a correlation for the instrument's conductivity response 225 to estimate the peak elution ionic strength. Columns were regenerated at 226 least once every five runs via 15 minutes of contact with 0.5 M NaOH.

28 3.5. Breakthrough measurements during isocratic elution

To validate corresponding simulation results, frontal loading chromatography was performed with dilute lysozyme solutions on SP Sepharose FF at pH 7.0 (20 mM sodium phosphate) under conditions of weak to moderate retention. The requisite ionic strength for such retention was estimated

from linear gradient elution measurements and tuned empirically to target a breakthrough volume of ~ 10 CV. A feedstock of 10 μ g/ml lysozyme was 234 used to mimic the low impurity concentrations encountered in flow-through 235 polishing, and outlet concentrations were estimated from the absorbance at 215 nm with a computed extinction coefficient [34]. At such low protein concentrations, appreciable variability in component separation was observed 238 between replicate feedstock preparations. For this reason, feedstock batches 230 were prepared at the desired ionic strength in sufficient volume to service the entire set of measurements, and a batch exhibiting minimal component separation was selected for use. For each measurement, a 10 CV equilibra-242 tion period preceded a 20 CV load through the Äkta's sample pump, after 243 which the column was washed as described previously. This was performed at superficial velocities ranging from 30 to 305 cm/h in a randomized order, and comparable breakthrough measurements were made under non-adsorbing 246 conditions (at high ionic strength). 247

To qualitatively validate simulated trends under conditions of greater relevance to flow-through polishing, breakthrough behavior was also demonstrated with fluorescently labelled lysozyme in the presence of a mAb. Lysozyme was conjugated with FITC according to the manufacturer's protocol, producing a complex product mixture with different label ratios and conjugation sites. A fraction of the conjugation products exhibiting relatively homogeneous chromatographic behavior was required to effectively represent the breakthrough of an individual impurity. The conjugation product mixture was therefore subjected to repeated fractionation on SP Sepharose FF at pH 9.5 (20 mM sodium carbonate) using shallow ionic strength steps during

248

252

elution. Average label ratios and FITC-lysozyme concentrations in the collected fractions were estimated from the absorbance profiles at 280 and 495 nm. Once a sufficiently homogeneous fraction was obtained, it was spiked 260 to $\sim 10 \ \mu \text{g/ml}$ in a mAb solution at pH 9.5, where the mAb was observed to have negligible retention on SP Sepharose FF. As with lysozyme break-262 through measurements, an ionic strength was identified to achieve weak to 263 moderate FITC-lysozyme retention, and breakthrough profiles were acquired 264 over a range of superficial velocities in randomized order. The mAb concentration was dilute due to material constraints, and the eluate absorbance at 495 nm was used to distinguish FITC-lysozyme breakthrough from the mAb 267 flow-through.

3.6. Parameter estimation for test simulations

The breakthrough of lysozyme fed in dilute solution on SP Sepharose FF 270 was simulated in CADET as described in Section 2.2. Sequential parameter 271 estimation was used to reduce the dimensionality of the optimization space 272 when fitting the experimental data. Duplicate column bypass profiles were acquired with lysozyme at each flow rate used in breakthrough measurements. 274 These were fit with a trust region optimization algorithm interfaced by the 275 Scipy least_squares function to determine the extra-column volume (which 276 is invariant with flow rate) and the fraction of that volume allocated to the 277 CSTR in the system model (which varies with flow rate). Triplicate blue dextran pulses, monitored with the absorbance at 280 nm, were then passed 279 through the column to measure ε_c . Peak tailing was observed, which is 280 attributable to size heterogeneity in the blue dextran tracer. For this reason, 281 the average peak mode was used instead of the average first moment to estimate ε_c .

Duplicate lysozyme pulses under non-adsorbing conditions (high ionic 284 strength) were then passed through the column at each flow rate. Retention volumes under non-adsorbing conditions were computed from the first moment of the absorbance profiles and used to estimate ε_p . These profiles were 287 then fit to estimate D_p (which is invariant with flow rate) and D_{ax} (which 288 varies with flow rate). An evolutionary algorithm interfaced by the Scipy 289 differential_evolution function was used to estimate D_p and a coarse dependence of D_{ax} on flow rate. The estimated value of D_p was retained, 291 and the coarse D_{ax} estimates were refined using the least_squares func-292 tion. Without further refinement, the estimated parameters were validated 293 by modeling dilute lysozyme breakthrough profiles under non-adsorbing con-294 ditions (at high ionic strength). Dilute breakthrough profiles under adsorbing conditions (at lower ionic strength) were then simulated by fitting K_{eq} and D_s (which are invariant with flow rate) using the differential_evolution function.

99 4. Results and discussion

4.1. Breakthrough volume correlation

In the context of polishing operations, the value of flow-through IEX is its ability to completely remove as many trace HCPs as possible from a mAb solution. Challenges inherent in this task may not be readily apparent because it is difficult to study the chromatographic behavior of dilute solutes empirically. A column simulation was therefore used to investigate differences between the breakthrough of overloaded products and dilute impurities. An

initial set of single-component simulations were performed at different feed concentrations and K_{eq} values. Transport and geometric parameters were 308 held fixed, and a Langmuir isotherm with constant $q_{max} = 100 \text{ mg/ml}$ of column was used. Results for extreme feed concentrations are juxtaposed in Figure 1, and analogous plots for intermediate concentrations are shown 311 in Supplementary Figure S1. As may be expected for the system with a 10 312 mg/ml feed, retention increased with K_{eq} until the column saturated, and 313 breakthrough profiles became sharper as column saturation was approached. 314 This self-sharpening behavior did not occur for the 1 μ g/ml feed over the vol-315 ume scale simulated, revealing dilute solute breakthrough profiles to become 316 more diffuse as retention increases due to the essentially linear isotherm. An 317 order-of-magnitude difference can be observed between the load volumes cor-318 responding to the initial breakthrough and the inflection point in the more strongly retained profiles. This illustrates an appreciable challenge; even if 320 trace impurities exhibit strong to moderate retention, their diffuse distribu-321 tion on the column may lead to relatively early breakthrough and preclude 322 adequate clearance. 323

To better understand this challenge, the load volume corresponding to 1% breakthrough was identified and plotted against K_{eq} (Figure 2). A couple of trends may be observed. When column saturation is not approached, the breakthrough volume appears to scale linearly with K_{eq} . An ideal limit on the K_{eq} dependence may be roughly estimated as $CV_{breakthrough} = \varepsilon_t + (1 - \varepsilon_t)K_{eq}$ based on the fundamental equation of ideal linear chromatography. As shown, the slope of the dilute solute series differs markedly from the ideal limit, due largely to finite transport rates that limit the system's approach to

equilibrium. The discrepancy between the observed and ideal behavior therefore suggests that transport rate optimization may provide a useful means of improving impurity clearance in flow-through processes.

Further simulations showed that the slope of the $CV_{1\%}$ vs. K_{eq} curve for dilute solutes varies with transport and geometric parameters. This observation indicates that the initial breakthrough volume may be approximately described as:

$$CV_{breakthrough} = \varepsilon_t + (1 - \varepsilon_t)K_{eq}f \tag{11}$$

where f is a function of transport parameters that modifies the breakthrough volume dependence on K_{eq} , with $0 \le f \le 1$. The form of this relationship has two implications: it suggests that thermodynamic and transport contributions to impurity breakthrough may be independent, and that transport contributions may be described by a single factor, which can presumably be expressed generally in terms of a dimensionless group.

Based on this rationale, a second set of simulations were performed for a 1 μ g/ml feed. A variety of thermodynamic, transport, and geometric parameters were used, and f was computed from the results by rearranging Equation 11. However, ε_c was used in place of the ε_t intercept when computing f from Equation 11 because this was observed to better describe the simulated breakthrough volumes in the limit of $K_{eq} \to 0$. Based on the dynamic binding capacity correlation presented by Chen et al. [35], f is plotted in Figure 3 against the dimensionless group:

$$Gz_{eff} = \frac{vd_{part}^2}{D_{eff}L_{col}} = P\acute{e}_{p-eff}\frac{d_{part}}{L_{col}}$$
 (12)

which represents a Graetz number for mass transfer, written as a product of two dimensionless subgroups. The first is the particle Péclet number, which represents the ratio of convective to diffusive transport rates on the length scale of the resin particle, when D_{eff} is used to describe the diffusional transport. The second subgroup is a ratio of characteristic length scales, namely the resin particle diameter and the column length.

Figure 3 indicates that Equation 11 with a modified intercept may be written more specifically as:

$$CV_{\%} = \varepsilon_c + (1 - \varepsilon_t) K_{eq} f_{\%} \left(\frac{v d_{part}^2}{D_{eff} L_{col}} \right)$$
 (13)

where $CV_{\%}$ is the load volume at a given percent breakthrough threshold, and $f_{\%}$ is the corresponding function of transport and geometric parameters. Shown are two series for illustrative breakthrough thresholds, but any arbitrary threshold could be selected. Some noise is apparent, but it is largely attributable to the approximation that $CV_{\%}$ is invariant with transport parameters when $K_{eq} = 0$. Within the noise, it is clear that $CV_{\%}$ decays monotonically with the value of the Graetz number.

To maximize the load volume before breakthrough, which is approxi-368 mated by $CV_{1\%}$, the Graetz number should be minimized. Unfortunately, doing so comes at a cost to throughput if v is reduced or an increase in col-370 umn pressure drop if d_{part} is decreased or L_{col} is increased. This correlation 371 does therefore not suggest a free way of improving flow-through processing. 372 What it does quantify, however, is the inherent balance between separa-373 tion performance and throughput. Notably, the initial breakthrough volume decays much more quickly with the Graetz number than the intermediate 375 breakthrough volume. The benefit of optimizing the system geometry and 376 transport rates is expected to be only marginal for typical process conditions, 377 which correspond to Graetz numbers on the flat part of the 1% breakthrough

curve in Figure 3. However, if the Graetz number could be decreased below ~ 5 , the benefit would become much more pronounced.

An interesting subtlety that is implicit in this correlation is the effect 381 of thermodynamics on intraparticle transport rates, which is described by the dependence of D_{eff} on K_{eq} . D_{eff} captures the combined effects of pore 383 and surface diffusion in the dilute solute limit by describing the effective 384 intraparticle diffusivity as a weighted sum, where K_{eq} weights the relative 385 importance of surface diffusion. IEX surface diffusivities have been shown to follow a power law of the form $D_s = aK_{eq}^b$, where b < 0 [27]. This 387 leads to competing effects: increasing K_{eq} decreases the surface diffusivity 388 but simultaneously increases the driving force for surface diffusion, with the effect that dominates being determined by whether the power law exponent b > -1. If this is the case, increasing K_{eq} leads to an increase in D_{eff} and a reduction in the Graetz number, meaning that both thermodynamic 392 and transport contributions to delaying impurity breakthrough are improved 393 simultaneously. 394

Equation 13 also enables estimation of the problematic K_{eq} threshold below which impurities will persist via weak adsorption. To gain a sense for the threshold's order of magnitude, an illustrative calculation was performed with a spline fit to the $f_{1\%}$ curve shown in Figure 3. Results for a 20 cm column with a 6 minute residence time are shown in Figure 4 as a function of load volume using $\varepsilon_c = 0.35$, $\varepsilon_p = 0.5$, $d_{part} = 50 \ \mu\text{m}$, $D_p = 10^{-11} \ \text{m}^2/\text{s}$, and D_s estimated from the power law discussed previously. The results show that, for load volumes on the order of 100 CV, HCPs with $K_{eq} < \sim 400$ are liable to break through before the end of loading. This would be equivalent to

a retention factor of ~ 200 for an input feed pulse under identical conditions.

4.2. Validation of the breakthrough volume correlation

To validate the breakthrough volume correlation that was developed from 406 simulation results, frontal loading chromatography was performed using di-407 lute feeds as described in Section 3.5. Figure 5 shows breakthrough profiles 408 acquired by loading lysozyme onto SP Sepharose FF at 10 μ g/ml under high and low ionic strength conditions. The flow rate was varied to change the value of the Graetz number, and an increase in breakthrough volume was ob-411 served at lower flow rates. Equation 13 indicates that transport parameters should have a more pronounced effect on the initial breakthrough volume 413 when retention is stronger, due to the K_{eq} weighting of $f_{\%}$. This is consistent with the observed behavior at low ionic strength, where K_{eq} is higher. Column models with fit parameters showed excellent agreement at high ionic 416 strength. Although not perfect, the agreement was also quantitatively close at low ionic strength. Discrepancies from simulation under these conditions may be attributable to feedstock component separation, as well as inaccuracies in describing extra-column effects with the simplified model of a CSTR in series with a PFR, which are more pronounced at low flow rates. 421

The breakthrough volume correlation was also qualitatively validated using FITC-lysozyme in the presence of a mAb. As with lysozyme measurements, the flow rate was varied to change the value of the correlation variable under conditions of weak FITC-lysozyme retention. Figure 6 shows breakthrough profiles that were computed from absorbance measurements at 495 and 280 nm, using the average FITC-lysozyme label ratio estimated during fractionation of the conjugation products. Appreciable measurement noise

is apparent in the FITC-lysozyme profiles due to the low load concentration of $\sim 10 \ \mu \text{g/ml}$. Nonetheless, the observed trend is consistent with the previous results: using lower flow rates delayed the onset of FITC-lysozyme breakthrough. This was not modeled explicitly due to the multicomponent nature of the FITC-lysozyme conjugation products, but it does support the breakthrough volume correlation qualitatively.

4.3. Correlation of SDM parameters

A knowledge of phenomenological properties is required to estimate breakthrough volumes using Equation 13, specifically values of D_p , D_s , and K_{eq} . However, such information is typically unknown for impurities such as HCPs on IEX resins. Methods of estimating these properties could therefore be useful, and some heuristics already exist for estimating the two intraparticle diffusivities. For instance, D_s is typically an order of magnitude smaller than D_p under the relevant conditions of weak adsorption, and D_p should theoretically scale with the free solution diffusivity, D_0 , according to [18]:

$$D_p = \frac{\varepsilon_p \psi_p}{\tau_p} D_0 \tag{14}$$

where ψ_p and τ_p are the diffusional hindrance coefficient and the resin tortuosity factor, respectively. If a putative weakly adsorbing HCP has been identified, its molar mass may be used with the Stokes-Einstein equation or correlations to estimate D_0 [26]. Appreciable uncertainty surrounds the value of ψ_p/τ_p , but 0.2 appears to be representative of its order of magnitude [36]. Assuming a value like this enables rough estimation of D_p and D_s based on protein mass.

This leaves the estimation of K_{eq} , which varies with the solution condi-451 tions, as the main obstacle to applying the breakthrough volume correlation 452 in practice. It is expected that K_{eq} will follow a power law in ionic strength 453 of the SDM form, but the dependence on pH is less well defined. Several 454 electrostatics models have been proposed for describing this behavior, but 455 doing so predictively remains an open problem. In the absence of such pre-456 dictive tools, insights may be drawn from experimental data on the retention 457 of model proteins. To facilitate observation of system-independent trends, isocratic k' measurements at different ionic strengths were consolidated from the extant literature on 230 protein-pH-resin combinations, as shown in Sup-460 plementary Figure S2 [20, 29, 37–44]. These data were regressed according to 461 Equation 9 to extract the SDM parameter ν and the quasi-SDM parameter 462 $\phi \alpha$, which are plotted against each other in Figure 7 and observed to follow a significant intrinsically linear correlation (as determined by regressor t-tests). 464 This previously unobserved correlation is expected to be a consequence of 465 adsorption thermodynamics rather than resin morphology, so the true rela-466 tionship represented in Figure 7 is suspected to be between ν and α . Inverse 467 size exclusion chromatography data are unavailable for the majority of the resins studied, which precludes estimation of ϕ values that are specific to protein-resin pairs. However, all phase ratios are expected to be of compara-470 ble magnitude, and α may span several orders of magnitude, so the inclusion of ϕ is not expected to introduce much noise in the correlation. The fact that $\phi\alpha$ spans several orders of magnitude makes the prediction interval appreciably broad in terms of absolute values, and explaining some of the noise with additional factors would be advantageous. The ion-exchange type was

tested for this purpose, and a significant difference was found between the correlations for AEX and CEX isocratic data (by including an interaction with the categorical variable). The mechanisms underlying this difference are not understood.

The utility of these relationships lies in their ability to remove one degree 480 of freedom from the analysis of retention data. A series of measurements 481 are usually performed to estimate SDM parameters, either from isocratic 482 retention at different ionic strengths or elution peak ionic strength under 483 linear gradient salt elutions of different volumes. The identified correlation could potentially enable the estimation of SDM parameters from only one 485 experimental measurement. This concept is illustrated in Figure 8, which 486 shows linear gradient elution data for lysozyme on SP Sepharose FF, collected 487 as part of this study, plotted in the regression space for Yamamoto's GH analysis [30]. Juxtaposed with these data are predictions from the correlation 480 of isocratic CEX SDM parameters. Close agreement is observed between 490 predictions and experiment at each of the pH values tested. One point in each 491 series would be sufficient to estimate roughly the value of ν , and therefore 492 that of $\phi \alpha$ when using the correlation. However, because the uncertainty in $\phi \alpha$ is with respect to its order of magnitude, the absolute value of its estimate needs to be interpreted with caution. 495

The linear gradient elution data were regressed according to Yamamoto's method, and the SDM parameters are plotted in Figure 7. Analogous CEX gradient elution data for a mAb and its aggregates that had relatively large SDM parameters were also obtained from the literature and included in Figure 7 [45]. Both sets of gradient elution data are consistent with the correla-

tion of isocratic CEX data within the 95% prediction interval. This confirms the correlation's utility in removing a degree of freedom from the analysis of retention data. Unfortunately, it does not eliminate the need for some experimental data in estimating K_{eq} , but it may reduce the burden of doing so. As proteomic techniques advance, it may become possible to quantitatively measure HCP retention. This would foreseeably be an expensive operation, and it may be essential to minimize the number of requisite measurements. The SDM parameter correlation may be useful in such an application.

From an intuitive perspective, the essential features of this correlation ap-509 pear to be broadly consistent with theory. If the characteristic charge indeed 510 represents the number of attractive point charge interactions between the 511 protein and the IEX resin, it seems reasonable to hypothesize that increas-512 ing this number at constant ionic strength would result in an approximately proportional increase in the adsorption free energy. This would result in an 514 order-of-magnitude increase in K_{eq} , which at the unit concentration of ionic 515 strength is equivalent to α ; such an argument may rationalize the positive 516 and log-linear correlation between ν and $\phi\alpha$. The reality is necessarily more 517 complex, however, as the adsorption free energy would theoretically be a function of the pH, resin, protein, and the protein's adsorbed orientation. 519 These are incorporated in a statistical thermodynamic calculation of K_{eq} in 520 Equation 10, albeit implicitly with respect to the resin and protein species. Perhaps the dependence on all four variables can be generalized approximately by the characteristic charge, but this would require evaluation and proof using a rigorous biophysical model. Developing that proof, or at least exhibiting behavior that is consistent with the empirical SDM parameter correlation (Figure 7), may provide a good validity test for molecular adsorption models.

528 5. Conclusions

Unlike concentrated solutes, the approximately linear chromatographic 529 behavior of dilute impurities can lead to diffuse breakthrough. This can 530 make it challenging to remove flow-through impurities completely, but it 531 also enables breakthrough volumes to be analytically related to phenomenological properties. Specifically, the Graetz number can describe transport contributions to dilute solute breakthrough volumes generally when an effective intraparticle diffusivity is used. Knowledge of this relationship enables a problematic equilibrium constant threshold to be estimated, which is on the order of 400 for typical process conditions. However, for this to be used in practice, the IEX adsorption equilibria of impurities of interest must be known. The correlation of SDM model parameters may be useful in estimating such data approximately from one linear gradient elution measurement, and it may serve as a validity test for molecular adsorption models as well.

542 Acknowledgements

We thank Bristol Myers Squibb for providing materials and financial support. We also thank the CADET developers for making their work free and open source, and for providing pedagogical support.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

550 CRediT authorship contribution statement

Chase E. Herman: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft. Xuankuo Xu: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources. Steven J. Traylor: Conceptualization, Resources. Sanchayita Ghose: Resources. Zheng Jian Li: Resources.

Abraham M. Lenhoff: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision, Writing - review & editing.

558 ORCID

Chase E. Herman: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9989-9604

Xuankuo Xu: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5557-0284

Steven Traylor: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9433-0048

Zheng Jian Li: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1941-4145

Abraham M. Lenhoff: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7831-219X

560 Supplementary material

Supplementary material is associated with this article.

References

- [1] G. Walsh, Biopharmaceutical benchmarks 2018, Nature biotechnology 36 (12) (2018) 1136–1145. doi:10.1038/nbt.4305.
- [2] R.-M. Lu, Y.-C. Hwang, I.-J. Liu, C.-C. Lee, H.-Z. Tsai, H.-J. Li, H.-C. Wu, Development of therapeutic antibodies for the treatment of diseases, Journal of Biomedical Science 27 (1) (2020). doi:10.1186/ s12929-019-0592-z.
- [3] L. Allen, The Evolution of Platform Technologies for the Downstream
 Processing of Antibodies, in: U. Gottschalk (Ed.), Process Scale Purification of Antibodies, 2nd Edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken,
 NJ, USA, 2017, pp. 365–389. doi:10.1002/9781119126942.ch17.
- [4] A. A. Shukla, L. S. Wolfe, S. S. Mostafa, C. Norman, Evolving trends
 in mAb production processes, Bioengineering & Translational Medicine
 2 (1) (2017) 58–69. doi:10.1002/btm2.10061.
- 576 [5] N. E. Levy, K. N. Valente, K. H. Lee, A. M. Lenhoff, Host cell pro-577 tein impurities in chromatographic polishing steps for monoclonal an-578 tibody purification, Biotechnology and Bioengineering 113 (6) (2016) 579 1260–1272. doi:10.1002/bit.25882.
- [6] M. Jones, N. Palackal, F. Wang, G. Gaza-Bulseco, K. Hurkmans,
 Y. Zhao, C. Chitikila, S. Clavier, S. Liu, E. Menesale, N. S. Schonenbach, S. Sharma, P. Valax, T. Waerner, L. Zhang, T. Connolly,
 High-risk host cell proteins (HCPs): A multi-company collaborative

- view, Biotechnology and Bioengineering 118 (8) (2021) 2870–2885.

 doi:10.1002/bit.27808.
- [7] R. Molden, M. Hu, S. Yen E., D. Saggese, J. Reilly, J. Mattila, H. Qiu, G. Chen, H. Bak, N. Li, Host cell protein profiling of commercial therapeutic protein drugs as a benchmark for monoclonal antibodybased therapeutic protein development, mAbs 13 (1) (2021) e1955811. doi:10.1080/19420862.2021.1955811.
- [8] M. Jin, N. Szapiel, J. Zhang, J. Hickey, S. Ghose, Profiling of host cell proteins by two-dimensional difference gel electrophoresis (2D-DIGE): Implications for downstream process development, Biotechnology and Bioengineering 105 (2) (2009) 306–316. doi:10.1002/bit.22532.
- [9] B. D. Kelley, S. A. Tobler, P. Brown, J. L. Coffman, R. Godavarti, T. Iskra, M. Switzer, S. Vunnum, Weak partitioning chromatography for anion exchange purification of monoclonal antibodies, Biotechnology and Bioengineering 101 (3) (2008) 553–566. doi:10.1002/bit.21923.
- [10] H. F. Liu, J. Ma, C. Winter, R. Bayer, Recovery and purification process
 development for monoclonal antibody production, mAbs 2 (5) (2010)
 480–499. doi:10.4161/mabs.2.5.12645.
- [11] T. Ichihara, T. Ito, Y. Kurisu, K. Galipeau, C. Gillespie, Integrated flow-through purification for therapeutic monoclonal antibodies processing,
 mAbs 10 (2) (2018) 325–334. doi:10.1080/19420862.2017.1417717.
- [12] J. Schwellenbach, S. Zobel, F. Taft, L. Villain, J. Strube, Purification
 of monoclonal antibodies using a fiber based cation-exchange stationary

- phase: Parameter determination and modeling, Bioengineering 3 (4) (2016). doi:10.3390/bioengineering3040024.
- [13] T. Ichihara, T. Ito, C. Gillespie, Polishing approach with fully connected flow-through purification for therapeutic monoclonal antibody,
 Engineering in Life Sciences 19 (2019) 31–36. doi:10.1002/elsc.
 201800123.
- [14] O. Khanal, A. M. Lenhoff, Developments and opportunities in continuous biopharmaceutical manufacturing, mAbs 13 (1) (2021) 1903664. doi:10.1080/19420862.2021.1903664.
- [15] Q. Zhang, A. M. Goetze, H. Cui, J. Wylie, S. Trimble, A. Hewig, G. C.
 Flynn, Comprehensive tracking of host cell proteins during monoclonal
 antibody purifications using mass spectrometry, mAbs 6 (3) (2014)
 659–670. doi:10.4161/mabs.28120.
- [16] S. Hasegawa, C.-S. Chen, N. Yoshimoto, S. Yamamoto, Accelerated method for designing flow-through chromatography of proteins, Journal of Chemical Engineering of Japan 53 (5) (2020) 206–213. doi: 10.1252/jcej.20we002.
- [17] S. Hasegawa, C.-S. Chen, N. Yoshimoto, S. Yamamoto, Optimization
 of flow-through chromatography of proteins, Journal of Chemical Engineering of Japan 53 (5) (2020) 214–221. doi:10.1252/jcej.20we003.
- [18] G. Carta, A. Jungbauer, Protein chromatography: Process development and scale-up, WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim, Germany, 2010.

- [19] H. Schmidt-Traub, M. Schulte, A. Seidel-Morgenstern (Eds.), Preparative Chromatography, 2nd Edition, WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co.
 KGaA, Weinheim, Germany, 2012.
- [20] P. DePhillips, A. M. Lenhoff, Determinants of protein retention characteristics on cation-exchange adsorbents, Journal of Chromatography A 933 (2001) 57–72. doi:10.1016/S0021-9673(01)01275-4.
- [21] N. K. Boardman, S. M. Partridge, Separation of Neutral Proteins on
 Ion-Exchange Resins, Biochemical Journal 59 (1955) 543–552. doi:
 10.1038/171208a0.
- [22] G. Guiochon, A. Felinger, D. G. Shirazi, A. M. Katti, Fundamentals of
 Preparative and Nonlinear Chromatography, Elsevier Academic Press,
 San Diego, CA, USA, 2006.
- [23] V. Kumar, A. M. Lenhoff, Mechanistic Modeling of Preparative Column Chromatography for Biotherapeutics, Annual Review of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering 11 (2020) 235–255. doi:10.1146/annurev-chembioeng-102419-125430.
- [24] S. Leweke, E. von Lieres, Chromatography analysis and design toolkit
 (CADET), Computers and Chemical Engineering 113 (2018) 274–294.
 doi:10.1016/j.compchemeng.2018.02.025.
- [25] N. Han, J. Bhakta, R. G. Carbonell, Longitudinal and lateral dispersion
 in packed beds: Effect of column length and particle size distribution,
 AIChE Journal 31 (2) (1985) 277–288. doi:10.1002/aic.690310215.

- [26] M. E. Young, P. A. Carroad, R. L. Bell, Estimation of diffusion coefficients of proteins, Biotechnology and Bioengineering 22 (5) (1980)
 947–955. doi:10.1002/bit.260220504.
- [27] O. Khanal, V. Kumar, F. Schlegel, A. M. Lenhoff, Estimating and
 leveraging protein diffusion on ion-exchange resin surfaces, Proceed ings of the National Academy of Sciences 117 (13) (2020) 7004–7010.
 doi:10.1073/pnas.1921499117.
- [28] H. Yoshida, M. Yoshikawa, T. Kataoka, Parallel transport of BSA by
 surface and pore diffusion in strongly basic chitosan, AIChE Journal
 40 (12) (1994) 2034–2044. doi:10.1002/aic.690401213.
- [29] V. Kumar, S. Leweke, E. von Lieres, A. S. Rathore, Mechanistic modeling of ion-exchange process chromatography of charge variants of monoclonal antibody products, Journal of Chromatography A 1426 (2015)
 140–153. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2015.11.062.
- [30] S. Yamamoto, M. Nomura, Y. Sano, Adsorption chromatography of
 proteins: Determination of optimum conditions, AIChE Journal 33 (9)
 (1987) 1426–1434.
- [31] D. Asthagiri, A. M. Lenhoff, Influence of Structural Details in Modeling Electrostatically Driven Protein Adsorption, Langmuir 13 (25) (1997) 6761—6768. doi:10.1021/la970608u.
- [32] B. Guélat, G. Ströhlein, M. Lattuada, L. Delegrange, P. Valax, M. Mor bidelli, Simulation model for overloaded monoclonal antibody variants

- separations in ion-exchange chromatography, Journal of Chromatography A 1253 (2012) 32–43. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2012.06.081.
- 676 [33] T. Briskot, T. Hahn, T. Huuk, J. Hubbuch, Adsorption of colloidal 677 proteins in ion-exchange chromatography under consideration of charge 678 regulation, Journal of Chromatography A 1611 (2020) 460608. doi: 679 10.1016/j.chroma.2019.460608.
- 680 [34] B. J. Kuipers, H. Gruppen, Prediction of molar extinction coefficients
 681 of proteins and peptides using UV absorption of the constituent amino
 682 acids at 214 nm to enable quantitative reverse phase high-performance
 683 liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis, Journal of Agricul684 tural and Food Chemistry 55 (14) (2007) 5445–5451. doi:10.1021/
 685 jf0703371.
- 686 [35] C.-S. Chen, N. Yoshimoto, S. Yamamoto, Prediction of the performance 687 of capture chromatography processes of proteins and its application to 688 the repeated cyclic operation optimization, Journal of Chemical Engi-689 neering of Japan 53 (11) (2020) 689–697. doi:10.1252/JCEJ.20WE116.
- from preparative ion-exchange adsorbents, Journal of Chromatography
 A 1440 (2016) 94–104. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2016.02.048.
- 693 [37] A. Staby, I. H. Jensen, I. Mollerup, Comparison of chromatographic ion-694 exchange resins I. Strong anion-exchange resins, Journal of Chromatog-695 raphy A 897 (2000) 99–111. doi:10.1016/s0021-9673(00)00780-9.

- [38] A. Staby, I. H. Jensen, Comparison of chromatographic ion-exchange
 resins II. More strong anion-exchange resins, Journal of Chromatography A 908 (2001) 149–161.
- [39] A. Staby, M.-B. Sand, R. G. Hansen, J. H. Jacobsen, L. A. Andersen,
 M. Gerstenberg, U. K. Bruus, I. H. Jensen, Comparison of chromato graphic ion-exchange resins: III. Strong cation-exchange resins, Journal of Chromatography A 1034 (2004) 85–97. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.
 2004.01.026.
- M. Gerstenberg, U. K. Bruus, I. H. Jensen, Comparison of chromatographic ion-exchange resins: IV. Strong and weak cation-exchange resins and heparin resins, Journal of Chromatography A 1069 (2005) 65–77.

 doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2004.11.094.
- [41] A. Staby, J. H. Jacobsen, R. G. Hansen, U. K. Bruus, I. H. Jensen,
 Comparison of chromatographic ion-exchange resins. V. Strong and
 weak cation-exchange resins, Journal of Chromatography A 1118 (2006)
 168–179. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2006.03.116.
- [42] A. Staby, R. H. Jensen, M. Bensch, J. Hubbuch, D. L. Dünweber,
 J. Krarup, J. Nielsen, M. Lund, S. Kidal, T. B. Hansen, I. H. Jensen,
 Comparison of chromatographic ion-exchange resins. VI. Weak anion-exchange resins, Journal of Chromatography A 1164 (2007) 82–94.
 doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2007.06.048.
- ⁷¹⁸ [43] P. DePhillips, A. M. Lenhoff, Relative retention of the fibroblast growth

- factors FGF-1 and FGF-2 on strong cation-exchange sorbents, Journal of
 Chromatography A 1036 (2004) 51–60. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2004.
 01.012.
- [44] J. Z. Bai, Characterization of protein retention and transport in an ion exchange chromatography, Master's thesis, University of Delaware,
 Newark, DE, USA (1999).
- D. Saleh, G. Wang, B. Müller, F. Rischawy, S. Kluters, J. Studts, J. Hubbuch, Straightforward method for calibration of mechanistic cation exchange chromatography models for industrial applications, Biotechnology Progress 36 (4) (2020) e2984. doi:10.1002/btpr.2984.