## [Short Paper] Checking Laws of the Blockchain: Property-Based Testing of Blockchain Systems

Alexander Chepurnoy and Mayank

No Institute Given

**Abstract.** Inspired by enormous success of Bitcoin, which reference implementation was released after a whitepaper [?] in 2009, many alternative implementations of a Bitcoin protocol, and also alternative blockchain protocols go into the wild. However, implementations contain error, and cost of an error in case of a cryptocurrency could be extremely high.

In order to tackle the problem we propose a suite of abstract properties tests checking laws of potentially every blockchain system. When developing a new system, a developer needs to instantiate generators for random objects the tests are using, getting the ready test suite checking the laws over many random cases. We provide examples of some laws.

## 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Property-based testing

In the scope of a data domain  $\mathbb{D}$ , a property can be seen as a collective abstract behaviour which has to be followed by every valid member of that data domain. In other words, a property can be understood as a predicate P on a variable X  $(P: X \to \{true, false\})$  such that:

$$\forall X \in \mathbb{D}, P(X) = true$$

Consider an example of a property P that has to be satisfied by the domain of all strings  $\mathbb{S}$ .

$$\forall X \in \{s_1 :: s_2 | \#s_1 :: s_2 > \#s_1 \land s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{S}\}, P(X) = true$$

where :: denotes a regular string append operation and #s denotes the length of string s. But if we look closely, we observe that if  $s_1, s_2 \in \{\phi\}$  then the property P does not hold true anymore contrary to the fact that both component strings are valid members of S. Hence, P is not a valid property over the domain S. In contrast to conventional testing methods where it might suffice to test the behaviour of some boundary points at the discrete neighbourhoods, property-based testing emphasises on defining universal (within the domain) properties and then testing their validity against randomly sampled data points. There are some popular libraries available for property testing like QuickCheck for Haskell, and ScalaTest and ScalaCheck (majorly for generator-driven property testing)

for Scala-based programs.

Since it might not be the case every time that the random data points needed for property testing are indeed the primitive data types, so in such cases generators are defined, which are simple functions that usually generate some output based on input parameters, to facilitate data generation for testing. A simple example of a generator G can be:

$$G(X) \to Y$$
, where  $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})$  and  $Y = \{x | x\%2 = 0\}$ 

We now show an example of a typical property and a generator in context of ScalaCheck [Add reference here].

## 1.2 Scorex core concepts

The idea of a modular design for a cryptocurrency was first proposed by Goodman in Tezos whitepaper [?]. The whitepaper (Section 2 of it) proposes to break a cryptocurrency design into three protocols: network, transaction and consensus. In many cases, however, these layers are tightly coupled and it is hard to describe them separately. For example, in a proof-of-stake cryptocurrency a balance sheet structure, which is heavily influenced by a transaction structure, is used in a consensus protocol. To split the layers clearly, Scorex 2.0 has finer granularity. In particular, in order to support hybrid blockchains as well as more complicated linking structures than a chain (such as SPECTRE[?]), Scorex 2.0 does not have a notion of the blockchain as a core abstraction. Instead, it provides an abstract interface to a history which contains persistent modifiers. The history is a part of a node view, which is a quadruple of (history, minimal state, vault, memory pool. The minimal state is a data structure and a corresponding interface providing an ability to check a validity of an arbitrary transaction for the current moment of time with the same result for all the nodes in the network having the same history. The minimal state is to be obtained deterministically from an inital pre-historical state and the history. The vault holds node-specific information, for example, a node user's wallet. The memory pool holds unconfirmed transactions being propagated across the networks by nodes before got into blocks.

The whole node view quadruple is to be changed atomically by applying whether a persistent node view modifier or an unconfirmed transaction. Scorex provides guarantees of atomicity and consistency for the application while a coin developer needs to provide implementations for the abstract parts of the quadruple as well as a family of persistent modifiers.

- 2 Blockchain properties
- 3 Examples of properties tests
- 4 Conclusion