

Taylor Interview Editorial Decisions

** Remember that this document is meant to be shared by all members of the class in order to do their own work, so please be as comprehensive and clear as possible so that they can follow your thinking as they revise their documents.

<https://github.com/DH-Bucknell/HUMN100-FA2024/tree/main/Module2>

People

Are you going to tag speaker names as <persName>

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

Why/why not?

Most people haven't. Also seems unimportant to information in the documents.

Are you going to tag all references to a person in the interview?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Why/why not?

Yes, we decided to tag every reference to the person in order to organize and sort the document more cohesively. It make the process of encoding easier and categorizing and finding information much easier.

Are you going to tag all implicit references to a person (via pronoun or other non-naming reference like 'that man')

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

Why/why not?

We decided not to tag all implicit references to a person because there are too many items to tag in each document. We do think that important implicit references should be tagged, but not every single one. We came to the consensus that pronoun usage to important references in stand-alone sentences were important to tag.

Other ideas/suggestions?

Places

Are you going to tag all references to the same place in an interview?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Why/why not?

Similar to tagging all references to people in an interview, the document can better be organized and sorted when investigating specific mentions of places.

How do you manage places and relative places (e.g., must a place be named

Are you going to tag all implicit references to a place (via non-naming reference like 'that place', 'the street', 'home')

☒ Yes

☐ No

Why/why not?

It gives context to the implicit references without a need to go back through and read the context.

Other ideas?