Programming Language Concepts Object Oriented Prog: Objects

Onur Tolga Şehitoğlu

Bilgisayar Mühendisliği







Outline

- 1 Object Oriented Programming
- 2 Constructors/Destructors
 - Constructors
 - Heap Objects
 - Destructors
 - Constructor Calls

- const Keyword
- Copy Constructor
- Pass by reference
- Move Constructor
- 3 Operator Overloading
 - Friends
- 4 Implementation of Objects

Object Oriented Programming

- Abstraction
- Encapsulation
- Hiding
- Inheritance

Encapsulation/Scope

- Objects consist of:
 - attributes (member variables)
 - methods (member functions)

encapsulated in a package scope

- attributes: state of objects
- methods: behaviour of objects
- alternative terminalogy: messages call a method \equiv send message to an object
- A class is the family for similar objects.
- An object is an instance of a class.

Person name surname no + getname() + setno()

```
class Person {
    char name[40], surname[40];
    int no;
public:
    const char * getname() { return name;}
    void setno(int);
} obj;

void Person::setno(int a) {
    no=a;
}
```

- C++ allows definitions inside the class or outside by scope operator '::'
- Environment is recursive collateral.
- obj.getname(); calls the method in the context of object obj.
- this keyword denotes pointer to current object in member functions. (self() in some other languages)

Hiding

- Interface vs detail. Details are hidden, only interface members are exported outside.
- C++ uses private:, protected:, and public: labels to mark hiding.
- only members following a public: label are visible outside (the object for example). Member functions can access all members regardless of their labels.
- obj.setno(4) is legal, obj.no is not.
- Hiding depends on scope and it is lexical. In C++ pointer conversions can violate hiding.
- By convention all member variables should be private, some member functions can be private, only some of member functions are public.
- protected keyword is useful with inheritance.



Abstraction

- An object is an abstraction over the programming entity defined by the model in the design.
- Model: customer, bank, registration, course, advisor, mail, chatroom,...
- Class should provide:
 - Transparent behaviour for the objects, access via interface functions.
 - Data integrity. Objects should be valid through their lifetimes.
- Data integrity at the beginning of lifetime provided by constructors (+destructors in C++)

- Special member functions called when lifetime of the object starts just after storage of members are ready
- Automatically called. No explicit calls.
- no return value, name is same with the class
- can be overloaded

```
class Person {
    char *name[40], *surname[40];
    int no;
public:
    Person(const char *n, const char *s) {
        strcpy(name,n); strcpy(surname,s); no=0;
    }
    Person() { name[0]=0; surname[0]=0; no=0;}
} obj;
```

Constructors can be overloaded Definition Constructor

```
Person a; Person()
Person a("ali","veli"); Person(const char *, const char *)
Number a=3; Number(int)
Number a(3); Number(int)
Number b=a; Number(Number &a)
Number a[2]={0,1} Number(int)
```

- If no constructor implemented, empty constructor (do nothing) assumed
- If at least one constructor exists, variables should match at least one of them, no empty constructor assumed
- Constructors are called by the language when lifetime started:
 - 1 start of program for global objects
 - 2 entrance to function for local objects
 - 3 when heap objects are created (with new)



Heap Objects

new and delete operators instead of malloc() and free().
Why?

```
Person *p=new Person("ali","veli");
delete p;
```

Array allocation/deallocation:

```
Person *p=new Person[100];
delete [] p;
```

Destructors

- When storage (members) of an object allocated dynamically
- Lifetime is over : garbage
- We need calls to collect heap variables within the object
- Java solution: garbage collector does the job. We need nothing
- C++: destructors: member functions called when lifetime is over.
- A class only have one destructor with exact type and name: ~ClassName(). Called:
 - 1 end of program for global objects
 - 2 return from function for local objects
 - 3 when heap objects are deallocated (with delete)



Constructor Calls

- Calling a constructor as a member function is not allowed:
 Person p; p.Person("john"); is a compiler error
- Constructor definitions can call eachother with special syntax:

 Person():Person("john_doe") { ... } (C++11 only)
- Explicit constructor calls create a temporary object:

```
Person p; p = Person("john"); is equivalent to:
Person p; { Person tmp("john"); p = tmp; }
note that lifetime of tmp is over at the end of line
```

■ In definitions, no intermediate object created:

```
Person p = Person("john");
```

- A constructor also works as a type convertion operator:
 p = (Person) "john"; is equivalent to p = Person("john"), creates a temporary object
- Also type conversion works implicitly. C++ calls constructors when type conversion is required:

```
p = "john"; is equivalent to the call above.
```



- C++ does strict type checking on constant restriction on const
- const char * const p
- f(const ClassName &a) makes the parameter object constant during the function scope
- const ClassName &f() makes the returned object reference constant in expression containing the function call
- What's beside assignment? constant member functions

- C++ does strict type checking on constant restriction on const
- const char *p VS char *const q
 p[3]='a': X
 - 2 q[3]='a'; \sqrt{
 - 3 p++; √
 - 4 q++; ×
- const char * const p
- f(const ClassName &a) makes the parameter object constant during the function scope
- const ClassName &f() makes the returned object reference constant in expression containing the function call
- What's beside assignment? constant member functions

void f(const Rational &a) { ...; a.clear(3);...;a.out();}
void Rational::clear() { a=b=0;}
What is wrong above?

- void f(const Rational &a) { ...; a.clear(3);...;a.out();}
 void Rational::clear() { a=b=0;}
 What is wrong above?
- void Rational::out() const {...; a=b=0; }
 const keyword preceding the function body makes member
 function a constant function.

- void f(const Rational &a) { ...; a.clear(3);...;a.out();}
 void Rational::clear() { a=b=0;}
 What is wrong above?
- void Rational::out() const {...; a=b=0; }
 const keyword preceding the function body makes member
 function a constant function.
- Constant functions cannot update member variables, only can inspect them
 - a=b=0 in out() is invalid above

- void f(const Rational &a) { ...; a.clear(3);...;a.out();}
 void Rational::clear() { a=b=0;}
 What is wrong above?
- void Rational::out() const {...; a=b=0; }
 const keyword preceding the function body makes member
 function a constant function.
- Constant functions cannot update member variables, only can inspect them
 - a=b=0 in out() is invalid above
- If an object is constant, only constant member functions can be called.
 - a.clear(3); is invalid above

- void f(const Rational &a) { ...; a.clear(3);...;a.out();}
 void Rational::clear() { a=b=0;}
 What is wrong above?
- void Rational::out() const {...; a=b=0; }
 const keyword preceding the function body makes member
 function a constant function.
- Constant functions cannot update member variables, only can inspect them
 - a=b=0 in out() is invalid above
- If an object is constant, only constant member functions can be called.
 - a.clear(3); is invalid above
- Type system of C++ prohibits those \rightarrow Syntax error.

Destructor does not solve all problems with objects with heap members:

- Destructor does not solve all problems with objects with heap members:
 - Semantics of assignment

- Destructor does not solve all problems with objects with heap members:
 - Semantics of assignment
 - Semantics of parameter passing

- Destructor does not solve all problems with objects with heap members:
 - Semantics of assignment
 - Semantics of parameter passing
 - Semantics of return value

- Destructor does not solve all problems with objects with heap members:
 - Semantics of assignment
 - Semantics of parameter passing
 - Semantics of return value
 - Initialization

- Destructor does not solve all problems with objects with heap members:
 - Semantics of assignment
 - Semantics of parameter passing
 - Semantics of return value
 - Initialization
- Default behaviour of C++ is copy member values byte by byte.

- Destructor does not solve all problems with objects with heap members:
 - Semantics of assignment
 - Semantics of parameter passing
 - Semantics of return value
 - Initialization
- Default behaviour of C++ is copy member values byte by byte.
- Java assigns/passes by reference. No copying.

- Destructor does not solve all problems with objects with heap members:
 - Semantics of assignment
 - Semantics of parameter passing
 - Semantics of return value
 - Initialization
- Default behaviour of C++ is copy member values byte by byte.
- Java assigns/passes by reference. No copying.
- C++ Solution: implement your own semantic by Copy constructor and overloading assignment operator.

- Destructor does not solve all problems with objects with heap members:
 - Semantics of assignment
 - Semantics of parameter passing
 - Semantics of return value
 - Initialization
- Default behaviour of C++ is copy member values byte by byte.
- Java assigns/passes by reference. No copying.
- C++ Solution: implement your own semantic by Copy constructor and overloading assignment operator.
- Assignment operator destroys an existing object and replaces with the data from new one, copy constructor copies data into an empty object.



- Type is: ClassName(const ClassName &)
- Called when:
 - Object passed by value: void add(ClassName a) {...}
 - Object initialized by object: ClassName a,b=a;
 - Object returned as a value ClassName getVal() {...}
- Last one is a little tricky.
- Default behaviour exists even if it other constructors exist.

```
class list {
         struct Node { int x; Node *next} *head;
public: List() { head=NULL;}
         List (cons List &); // Copy constructor
         ~ List();
};
void passbyvalue(List a) {
. . .
List returnasvalue(List &a) {
    List b = a;
. . .
    return a;
}
passbyvalue(c);
. . .
d=returnasvalue(c):
. . .
```

```
class List {
         struct Node { int x; Node *next} *head;
public: List() { head=NULL;}
         List (cons List &); // Copy constructor
         ~ List();
};
void passbyvalue(List a) {
. . .
List returnas value (List &a) {
    List b = a; Copy Constructor, explicit —
. . .
    return a;
passbyvalue(c);
. . .
d=returnasvalue(c):
. . .
```

```
class list {
         struct Node { int x; Node *next} *head;
public: List() { head=NULL;}
         List (cons List &); // Copy constructor
         ~ List();
};
                                   Copy Constructor
void passbyvalue(List a) {
. . .
List returnas value (List &a) {
    List b = a; Copy Constructor, explicit —
. . .
    return a;
passbyvalue(c):
. . .
d=returnasvalue(c);
. . .
```

```
class list {
         struct Node { int x; Node *next} *head;
public: List() { head=NULL;}
         List(cons List &); // Copy constructor
         ~ List();
};
                                    Copy Constructor
void passbyvalue(List a) {
. . .
List returnas value (List &a) {
    List b = a; Copy Constructor, explicit —
. . .
    return a:_
passbyvalue(c):
d=\returnasvalue(c) Copy Constructor
. . .
```

 Pass by value of objects are constructed by the copy constructor

- Pass by value of objects are constructed by the copy constructor
- Return an object as a value creates a temporary object in place of return and uses it:

```
d=returnasvalue(c); \equiv {List tmp=returnasvalue(c); d=tmp; }
```

- Pass by value of objects are constructed by the copy constructor
- Return an object as a value creates a temporary object in place of return and uses it:

```
d=returnasvalue(c); \equiv \{List\ tmp=returnasvalue(c);\ d=tmp; \}
```

Temporary objects are created at such expressions and deallocated at the end of the line (at ';'), destructors are called regularly.

- Pass by value of objects are constructed by the copy constructor
- Return an object as a value creates a temporary object in place of return and uses it:

```
d=returnasvalue(c); ≡ {List tmp=returnasvalue(c); d=tmp; }
```

- Temporary objects are created at such expressions and deallocated at the end of the line (at ';'), destructors are called regularly.
- Explicit call to a constructor also creates such a temporary object.

```
g=Person("ali","veli");
```

- Pass by value of objects are constructed by the copy constructor
- Return an object as a value creates a temporary object in place of return and uses it:

```
d=returnas value(c); \equiv \{List\ tmp=returnas value(c);\ d=tmp; \}
```

- Temporary objects are created at such expressions and deallocated at the end of the line (at ';'), destructors are called regularly.
- Explicit call to a constructor also creates such a temporary object.

```
g=Person("ali","veli");
```

C++ compilers avoid copy constructor calls when possible, called copy elision.


```
List f() { List t;...; return t;} ...; d=f(); ...
If possible, compiler binds local object and returned temporary
object same storage \rightarrow No constructor call.
```

Pass by reference

- Person &a denotes a reference type and implements pass by reference. No new object is created for parameter.
- Can be used in declaration to create an alias: Person & q = p; (subject to lifetime of p) const Person &t = Person("john"); (temporary read only object with a longer lifetime)
- Returning reference type is also possible:

```
int & Person::get() { ...}
p.get()++;
```

- const. references follow the semantics of const.
- Temp. objects, r-values cannot be passed by non-const references: void print(Person &p) { ...} print ((Person) "marry"); is an error.
- r-values can be passed by constant references: void print(const Person &p) { ...} print((Person) "marry"); is ok.



- Pass by reference is efficient but modification of actual parameter is not always desired
- const references avoid modification of actual parameters and may get r-values however paremeter object cannot be modified.
- Copy constructor and pass by value solves modifiable parameter object and r-value problem.
- Copying is expensive and r-values, temporary objects have a very short lifetime.
- One solution is stealing the resources of an object instead of copying.
- An r-value has a short lifetime, so stealing its resources would not harm the integrity.
- C++11 defined rvalue references, move constructor and assignment move operator to solve this problem.

Move Constructor

- \blacksquare C++11 introduced the following:
 - 1 rvalue reference: Person &&p
 - move constructor: Person (Person &&p)
 - assignment move: operator=(Person &&p)
 - T &&std::move(T &), a reference converter.
- rvalue references are created for temporary objects and by making explicit std::move() calls.
- move constructor and all functions getting rvalue references (including assignment) gets resources directly from parameter object and leaves the paremeter in a valid but nullified state.
- move constructor does not allocate and copy values. Just get references and pointers. Therefore they are more efficient.
- After call, parameter will not contain its previous values but a minimum valid state



- move constructor is bound to return as a value cases (copy cons. called if it does not exist)
- Similarly passing temporary objects to assignment or rvalue parameters will be more efficient.
- Programmers may convert Ivalue references to rvalue references explicitly by calling std::move() if the objec does not need its content afterwards.
- Move constructor is subject to copy elision. Compiler avoids calling it if possible.
- Destructor is called for the moved rvalue when its lifetime is over. So it should be left in a state without dangling references, double free problems etc.

```
class LList {
    struct Node { int a; Node *next; } *head;
public:
    LList() { head = nullptr;}
    LList (const LList &I) { // copy constructor
        Node **prev = &head;
        for (Node *p = |.head; p != nullptr; p = p->next) {
            Node *q = new Node;
            q->a = p-> a; (*prev) = q; prev = &(q->next);
        *prev = nullptr;
    }
    LList (LList &&I) { // move constructor
        head = I.head; I.head = nullptr; // steal and nullify
    ~LList() { /* a decent llist destructor here */}
};
LList series(int n) { // assume no copy elision!
   LList t:
    for (int i = n; i >= 0; i--)
        t.push(i);
   return t:
}
series (10).out(); // picks MOVE (or none if copy elision)
```

```
LList LList::operator=(LList &&I) { // move assignment for (Node *p = head; p != nullptr;) { Node *q = p; // deallocate current list p = p->next; delete q; } head = I.head; I.head = nullptr; // steal and nullify } ...

// first move constructor (if no elision) then assignment // above is called. But it is the same linked list // which is local to series() is assigned to c c = series(10);
```

■ Not an essential feature of object oriented programming but improves readability in some cases.

- Not an essential feature of object oriented programming but improves readability in some cases.
- Especially usefull in implementing selector abstraction, algebra based applications.

- Not an essential feature of object oriented programming but improves readability in some cases.
- Especially usefull in implementing selector abstraction, algebra based applications.
- Do not use it when the operator is not intuitive for the context (class and the operation).

- Not an essential feature of object oriented programming but improves readability in some cases.
- Especially usefull in implementing selector abstraction, algebra based applications.
- Do not use it when the operator is not intuitive for the context (class and the operation).
- C++ allows overloading of existing operators with same arity and precedence and only if at least one class type involves in the operator

- Not an essential feature of object oriented programming but improves readability in some cases.
- Especially usefull in implementing selector abstraction, algebra based applications.
- Do not use it when the operator is not intuitive for the context (class and the operation).
- C++ allows overloading of existing operators with same arity and precedence and only if at least one class type involves in the operator
- Operator can be implemented as a member function (first parameter is the class) or as an external function (which has at least one parameter being a class)



- All C++ operators except '.', '?:', '::', '.*' and '->*'
- For unary operators:
 - (1) void ClassName::operator++();
 - 2 void operator++(ClassName &a);
- For binary operators:
 - (1) void ClassName::operator&&(int a);
 - 2 void operator&&(int a, ClassName &b);
- First versions are member functions, can exist private members. Only operand in unary case, LHS in binary case is the current object
- Second versions are outside of the definition. You need friend declaration if they need to access private members.

```
Rational & Rational::operator+(Rational &b) {...}
Rational & Rational::operator+(int n) {...}
Rational & Rational::operator<(Rational &b) {...}
Rational & Rational::operator!() {...}
Rational & Rational::operator++() {...}
Rational & Rational::operator++(int nouse) {...}
Rational::operator double() {...} // no return type
void Hash::operator=(Hash &a) {...}
double Hash::operator[](int a) {...}
double Hash::operator[](const char a[]) {...}
Hash & Hash::operator()(const char a[]) {...}
Hash & Hash::operator()(const char a[], int size) {...}
double Pointer::operator*() {...}
void * Pointer::new(size_t size) {...}
void * Pointer::delete(void *p, size_t size) {...}
Rational a,b,c; Hash h,j; Pointer p,*q;
a+b; a+3; if (a<b) ...;
                                 ! a :
++a; a++; x=(double)a;
h=i; x=h[3]; x=h["ali"]; i=h("a-b"); i=h("a-b".1);
x = *p;
       q=new Pointer;
                                 delete q;
```

```
int operator+(int a, Rational &b) {...}
Rational & operator++(Rational &b) {...}
ostream & operator << (ostream &os, Rational &a) {...}
istream & operator>>(istream &os, Rational &a) {...}
void operator+=(Hash &a, Rational b) {...}
Rational a,b; Hash h,j;
i = i + a:
++a;
cout << a: cout << 3 << a << b :
cin >> b:
h+=a;
```

Friends

When an external function or class needs to access private members, friend declaration is used to grant access.

```
class Rational {
    friend class Hash;
    friend ostream & operator << (ostream &, const Rational &);
    int a,b;
public: ...
};
class Hash {
        ...
    void operator += (Rational &a) { .. a.a; .. a.b; ...}
};
ostream & operator << (ostream &os, const Rational &a) {
        os << a.a << "//" << a.b << '\n';
        return os;
}</pre>
```

Implementation of Objects

class Person

char name[40]	40*sizeof(char)
int id	sizeof(int)
<pre>char * getname()</pre>	sizeof(char *(*)())
<pre>void print()</pre>	sizeof(void (*)())

■ What is size of object? Size of member variables + size of member function pointers?

Implementation of Objects

class Person

char name[40]	40*sizeof(char)
int id	sizeof(int)
<pre>char * getname()</pre>	sizeof(char *(*)())
void print()	sizeof(void (*)())

- What is size of object? Size of member variables + size of member function pointers?
- No! Each object does not have to store the function information.
 - Its storage is same with the structure without any member functions.

Implementation of Objects

class Person

char name[40]	40*sizeof(char)
int id	sizeof(int)
<pre>char * getname()</pre>	sizeof(char *(*)())
void print()	sizeof(void (*)())

- What is size of object? Size of member variables + size of member function pointers?
- No! Each object does not have to store the function information.
 Its storage is same with the structure without any member functions.
- Function membership handled by the type system:

 Person::getname() instead of getname()

- How functions get object context (which object they refer to?)?
- Person::getname(Person *this) instead of no parameters
- Person a; a.getname();
 converted to Person::getname(&a); internally
- All member references inside member function are converted to:

```
char *getname() {... id=5; ...; strlen(name);...} →
char *Person::getname(Person *this) {
... this->id=5; ...; strlen(this->name);...}
```