

# Report Information from ProQuest

February 18 2015 23:13

18 February 2015 ProQuest

# Table of contents

| 1. Assessing Selenium Contamination in the Irrigated Stream-Aquifer System of the Arkansas River, |    |
|---|----|
| Colorado  | 1  |
| Bibliography  | 17 |

# Assessing Selenium Contamination in the Irrigated Stream-Aquifer System of the Arkansas River, Colorado

**Author:** Gates, Timothy K; Cody, Brent M; Donnelly, Joseph P; Herting, Alexander W; Bailey, Ryan T; Price, Jennifer Mueller

#### ProQuest document link

Abstract: Prudent interventions for reducing selenium (Se) in groundwater and streams within an irrigated river valley must be guided by a sound understanding of current field conditions. An emerging picture of the nature of Se contamination within the Lower Arkansas River Valley in Colorado is provided by data from a large number of groundwater and surface water sampling locations within two study regions along the river. Measurements show that dissolved Se concentrations in the river are about double the current Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) chronic standard of 4.6 µg L^sup -1^ for aquatic habitat in the upstream region and exceed the standard by a factor of 2 to 4 in the downstream region. Groundwater concentrations average about 57.7 µg L^sup -1^ upstream and 33.0 µg L^sup -1^ downstream, indicating a large subsurface source for irrigation-induced dissolution and mobilization of Se loads to the river and its tributaries. Inverse correlation was found between Se concentration and the distance to the closest identified shale in the direction upstream along the principal groundwater flow gradient. The data also exhibited, among other relationships, a moderate to strong correlation between dissolved Se and total dissolved solids in groundwater and surface water, a strong correlation with uranium in groundwater, and power relationships with nitrate in groundwater. The relationship to nitrate, derived primarily from N fertilizers, reveals the degree to which dissolved Se depends on oxidation and inhibited reduction due to denitrification and suggests that there are prospects for reducing dissolved Se through nitrate control. Current and future results from these ongoing studies will help provide a foundation for modeling and for the discovery of best management practices (BMPs) in irrigated agriculture that can diminish Se contamination. [PUBLICATION ABSTRACT]

Links: Linking Service

#### Full text: Headnote

Prudent interventions for reducing selenium (Se) in groundwater and streams within an irrigated river valley must be guided by a sound understanding of current field conditions. An emerging picture of the nature of Se contamination within the Lower Arkansas River Valley in Colorado is provided by data from a large number of groundwater and surface water sampling locations within two study regions along the river. Measurements show that dissolved Se concentrations in the river are about double the current Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) chronic standard of 4.6 µg L^sup -1^ for aquatic habitat in the upstream region and exceed the standard by a factor of 2 to 4 in the downstream region. Groundwater concentrations average about 57.7 µg L^sup -1^ upstream and 33.0 µg L^sup -1^ downstream, indicating a large subsurface source for irrigation-induced dissolution and mobilization of Se loads to the river and its tributaries. Inverse correlation was found between Se concentration and the distance to the closest identified shale in the direction upstream along the principal groundwater flow gradient. The data also exhibited, among other relationships, a moderate to strong correlation between dissolved Se and total dissolved solids in groundwater and surface water, a strong correlation with uranium in groundwater, and power relationships with nitrate in groundwater. The relationship to nitrate, derived primarily from N fertilizers, reveals the degree to which dissolved Se depends on oxidation and inhibited reduction due to denitrification and suggests that there are prospects for reducing dissolved Se through nitrate control. Current and future results from these ongoing studies will help provide a foundation for modeling and for the discovery of best management practices (BMPs) in irrigated

agriculture that can diminish Se contamination.

Abbreviations: BMP, best management practices; CDPHE, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment; DO, dissolved oxygen; LARV, Lower Arkansas River Valley; ORP, oxidation reduction potential; TMDL, total maximum daily load.

Over the last two to three decades, the presence of high concentrations of Se in surface and groundwaters has emerged as an issue of serious concern in the western United States (Engberg and Sylvester, 1993), northern Europe (Alfthan et al., 1994; Bye and Lund, 1982), and Asia (Afzal et al., 2000; Mizutani et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2008). Problem sites in the western United States include California (Tracy et al., 1990; Nolan and Clark, 1997) and western Colorado in the lower Gunnison River Basin (Butler and Leib, 2002), with high concentrations found particularly in intensively irrigated alluvial valleys with Se-bearing geologic formations (Nolan and Clark, 1997), where the processes of Se dissolution, transport, and bioaccumulation can be accelerated. Intensive irrigation of alluvial soils, derived from and interspersed with weathered marine sedimentary rock layers, coupled with nitrification of N fertilizers, can impel salts and other minerals, such as Se, through the underlying alluvial aquifer in flows that eventually make their way to the river and its tributaries. In addition, irrigation of crops increases the consumptive use of water in the watershed, leading to evaporative concentration of salts and other minerals. Likely, the most famous incidence of Se poisoning due to high concentrations induced by irrigation occurred at Kesterson National Wildlife Refuge in the western San Joaquin Valley in California. Bioaccumulation of Se occurred because of high concentrations in subsurface agricultural drainage water from irrigated fields that was carried to Kesterson by the San Luis Drain (Ohlendorf and Santolo, 1994). Hundreds of deaths and deformities to fish and waterfowl occurred due to toxic levels of Se within the drain, reservoir, and groundwater. Since this disaster, preliminary studies have been conducted in other regions threatened by Se contamination caused by irrigation drainage (National Research Council, 1989; Seiler et al., 1999, 2003). One of these regions is the Lower Arkansas River Valley (LARV) in southeastern Colorado. Intensively irrigated for more than 100 yr, the LARV has served as one of Colorado's most productive agricultural areas. The valley now faces the challenge of improving water management to recover productivity that has been diminished due to salinization and waterlogging, to salvage water lost to nonbeneficial consumptive use, and to lessen nonpoint source loading of salts and Se to the river, menaces that are derived from overirrigation, canal seepage, and poor drainage (Burkhalter and Gates, 2005, 2006; Gates et al., 2006; Mueller Price and Gates, 2008). Limited studies by Ortiz et al. (1998), Seiler et al. (2003), and Divine and Gates (2006) have indicated river dissolved Se concentrations that exceed the current CDPHE and U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) chronic criterion for aquatic habitat protection (4.6 µg L^sup -1^; defined as the 85th percentile of an acceptable sample set). Having designated all segments of the Lower Arkansas River as impaired for Se, the CDPHE commissioned the study reported herein to more accurately characterize the nature and extent of the Se contamination problem in the groundwater and surface water of the irrigated stream-aquifer system.

All segments of the LARV, extending from Pueblo, CO to the Colorado-Kansas state line and covering about 186,000 ha, were placed in 2004 on the Clean Water Act section 303(d) list for Se impairment and remain on the current list, joining 44 other stream segments in five of the seven major watersheds in Colorado. These river segments represent similar stretches of irrigation-affected streams in the western United States for which plans will be needed for mitigating the impacts of nonpoint source Se loading to streams. In response to the directives of the Clean Water Act, the Colorado Water Quality Control Division of the CDPHE currently is assessing both point and nonpoint sources of Se along impaired segments of the LARV to determine total maximum daily loads (TMDLs) from these sources that will bring concentrations along the segments into compliance with the Se standard. This poses a formidable problem: the need to develop TMDL^sub s^and to implement associated BMPs over broad and variable regions of irrigated landscape. The challenge is to figure out how to alter water management and cultivation practices to meet regulatory and performance goals for the ecological health of the

river system, while doing so in a way that preserves productive irrigated agriculture.

A trace element that widely occurs in nature, Se varies greatly in its distribution among different geologic formations. Its accumulation as a solute in the natural environment, with consequential adverse impacts, is affected by several hydrochemical processes. When anthropogenic processes related to intensive irrigated agriculture are superimposed, additional complicating features emerge. The relationships inherent to these interacting processes are fairly well understood from laboratory analysis but until recently have yet to be quantified in extensive spatiotemporal field measurements. Enhanced understanding of these relationships is especially important when trying to find ways to broadly decrease Se concentrations in the field. Shales, including those of the Cretaceous period, are geologic materials that are known to contain high Se concentration. In the Arkansas River Basin Cretaceous shale [Pierre Shale, Carlisle Shale, Graneros Shale, and Smoky Hill Shale formations (the last three have been identified in the study regions reported in this paper)] has been found underlying and interspersed in a weathered form within the Arkansas River alluvium (Scott, 1968; Sharps, 1976; Scott et al., 1978). Cretaceous shales also include black shales (containing concentrations of about 600 mg kg^sup -1^), phosphate rocks (1-300 mg kg^sup -1^), and other formations that potentially give rise to seleniferous soils (Rosenfield and Beath, 1964). Selenium exists in shale in the form of seleno-pyrite, or iron diselenide (FeSe^sub 2^). Bye and Lund (1982) reported Se concentrations in pyrite as 10 to 100 mg kg^sup -1^. Pyrite analyzed in Japan (Mizutani et al., 2001) yielded a Se concentration of 5.6 to 300 mg kg^sup -1^. Soils originating from cretaceous shale, such as those found in Colorado and other western states, tend to have high (2-10 mg kg^sup -1^) Se concentrations whereas the Northwest, Southeast, and Great Lake states have soil concentrations below 0.05 mg kg^sup -1^ (Kubota et al., 1967; Martens and Suarez, 1997). The dissolution of Se from geologic and soil materials and its transformation from one species to another is substantially influenced by reduction-oxidation (redox) reactions. Selenium can exist in four principal forms, each with a different oxidation state: selenate (SeO^sub 4^)(+6), selenite (SeO^sub 3^)(+4), elemental Se(0), and selenide(-2). Redox reactions, inherently slow, are catalyzed by bacteria, which use them in respiration processes (Macy et al., 1989, Oremland et al., 1990). Bacterial respiration transfers electrons from an energy source (e.g., organic matter, or minerals such as FeS^sub 2^ or FeSe^sub 2^), termed electron donors, to oxygenated species [dissolved oxygen (DO), nitrate (NO\sub 3\), SeO\sub 4\\rangle, termed electron acceptors. The following conditions are needed for redox reactions to occur (Korom, 1992): bacteria capable of reducing oxygenated species, electron donors serving as the food source of bacteria, and electron acceptors. The dissolution of sulfide from pyrite (FeS<sup>s</sup>ub 2<sup>n</sup>) and the mobilization of SO<sup>s</sup>ub 4<sup>n</sup> as a result of oxidation by NO<sup>sub</sup> 3<sup>have</sup> been well documented (Frind et al., 1990; Postma et al., 1991; Grimaldi et al., 2004). In this redox reaction, FeS<sup>s</sup>ub 2<sup>st</sup>, which is oxidized to liberate SO<sup>s</sup>ub 4<sup>st</sup>, is the electron donor and NO<sup>s</sup>ub 3<sup>st</sup>, which is reduced to N^sub 2^, is the electron acceptor. Due to similarities in the electron valence structure of S and Se, a similar redox reaction occurs in the case of FeSe^sub 2^. In this process, SeO^sub 4^ is mobilized and introduced into the groundwater system, and is transported according to the chemical conditions of the aquifer in subsurface flows that feed surface streams and lakes. Once it enters an aquatic environment, Se can rapidly bioaccumulate through the food chain and attain toxic concentrations. The extent and severity of the contamination of aquatic habitats by Se has been documented and discussed by Lemly (1999, 2004) and McIntyre et al. (2008).

In 2003, Colorado State University initiated a regional-scale (order of 10^sup 4^ ha) study of Se contamination in the irrigated stream-aquifer system of the LARV. The research includes extensive monitoring of Se concentrations in the shallow unconfined alluvial aquifer, in tributaries and drains, and in the main stem of the Arkansas River within two study regions covering 50,600 ha and 55,200 ha, respectively. Objectives of this initial phase of a multi-year effort are (i) to characterize the nature, severity, and the spatiotemporal variability of Se concentrations and loads in groundwater and surface waters; (ii) to examine relationships between Se concentration and other hydrogeochemical properties and processes; (iii) to search for correspondence with

maps and other indicators of geological formations that underlie irrigated ground, and finally; (iv) to help build a database needed to support eventual computational modeling of Se mobilization, transport, and transformation under current conditions and under alternative improved irrigation and river management strategies.

This paper presents some of the major findings of this effort, believed to constitute one of the most extensive programs ever attempted for monitoring dissolved Se in a field setting within an irrigated river valley. It explores how the processes that affect Se in the natural environment display themselves over regional scales within a stream-aquifer system that is affected both by natural conditions and by intensive irrigated agriculture. A special focus of this paper is on indicators of the impact of redox reactions on the incidence and pattern of dissolved Se. Insights gained should prove useful not only to the future enhancement of the Arkansas River but to the understanding of Se occurrence and management in similar settings throughout the western United States and abroad.

Materials and Methods

Study Sites

The occurrence and concentration of dissolved Se were examined in two representative study regions along the LARV in Colorado. The first study region, referred to as the upstream study region, is located upstream of John Martin Reservoir and extends between just west of the town of Manzanola to near Las Animas. This region encompasses a total of about 50,600 ha (125,000 acres), of which about 26,400 ha (65,300 acres) are irrigated from canals or pumping wells. Samples routinely were taken from 45 groundwater observation wells, four locations in tributaries and drains, and 10 locations along the river (Fig. 1). Samples also were taken from an additional 61 observation wells on an aperiodic schedule for use in expanding the dataset for further exploration of relationships among variables. Currently six sampling events have been undertaken and analyzed for this region-June 2006, May 2007, October 2007, March 2008, June 2008, and August 2008-and are reported herein.

The second, and more extensively-studied region, is located downstream of John Martin Reservoir and stretches between the city of Lamar eastward to the Colorado-Kansas state line. This downstream study region is located approximately 30 km east of the eastern edge of the upstream study region and covers a total of about 55,200 ha (136,300 acres), of which about 33,000 ha (81,600 acres) are irrigated from canals that divert water from the river or from alluvial pumping wells. A total of 47 groundwater observation wells, 12 locations in tributaries and drains, and six locations along the river have been routinely monitored for Se and related constituents (Fig. 1). An additional 59 observation wells were sampled aperiodically. Methods and results of 33 sampling events over the period April 2003 through July 2008 are reported herein.

#### **Groundwater Monitoring**

Groundwater samples were gathered from observation wells cased with slotted 0.064-m (2.5-in) diam. PVC that intercepted relatively shallow water tables. The water level in each well was read with an electronic water level meter and recorded just before purging the well. For the upstream samples, the water table depth from ground surface at the time of sampling varied between 0.3 and 10.8 m, averaging 3.0 m. Downstream, the water table depth ranged from 0.4 to 9.8 m, averaging 3.9 m.

A low-flow QED Sample Pro (QED Environmental Systems, Ann Arbor, MI) bladder pump and decontaminated dual 0.0064-m (0.25-in.) diam. polyethylene tube were used to extract flow from each well. The well was purged at the beginning of each sampling event using an initial pumping rate of 0.20 to 0.30 L min^sup -1^. An electronic drawdown indicator was set at approximately 0.30 m below the static water level in the well. If the drawdown in the well was greater than about 0.30 m, the indicator triggered an automatic shut-offin the pump. If the well was not able to recharge at these pumping rates, purging was reduced to the minimum flow rate specific to the pump.

During purging, indicator properties of pH, EC, temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), and oxidation reduction potential (ORP) were measured at time intervals of approximately 3 min using a flow-through cell and a YSI

600QS Multiparameter Sampling System (YSI, Inc., Yellow Springs, OH), routinely calibrated with standard solutions. The extracted well water was considered representative of the surrounding groundwater when four indicator properties had stabilized for two consecutive readings as follows: ±0.1 for pH, ±3% for EC, ±10% for DO, and ±10 mV for ORP. Sampling began when the indicator properties had stabilized, or after three well volumes had been purged from the monitoring well.

Samples for total dissolved Se concentration, C^sub Se^, dissolved uranium concentration, C^sub U^, and specific salt ion concentrations were collected at a flow rate of approximately 0.10 to 0.20 L per minute. Additionally, the C^sub Se^, C^sub U^, and salt ion samples were filtered through disposable in-line 0.003 m^sup 2^ 0.45 µm capsule filters. C^sub Se^ samples were taken in a clean 0.12 L plastic (polypropylene or polyethylene) bottle, while C^sub U^ and salt ion samples were taken in clean 0.25 L plastic bottles. Samples for C^sub Se^ and C^sub U^ were preserved at pH <2 by adding about 0.005 L of a 10% solution of ultra-pure nitric acid in reagent water per liter of sample (approximately 0.00625 L per 0.12-L sample bottle) before sample collection. All samples were stored on ice (at about 4°C).

#### Surface Water Monitoring

Surface water samples were collected in the river, tributaries, and drains using a peristaltic pump. Samples for C^sub Se^ and C^sub U^ were filtered through disposable in-line 0.003 or 0.006 m^sup 2^ 0.45 µm capsule filters and placed in clean 0.12 L plastic bottles, appropriate for storing dissolved minerals. Samples typically were taken from a stream at about mid-flow depth at about the center of the cross-section (i.e., at midway along the top width). In situ measurements of pH, temperature, EC, DO, and ORP were made just before sample collection using a decontaminated and calibrated YSI 600QS Multiparameter Sampling System. As with the groundwater samples, surface water samples were acidified and stored on ice.

Surface water samples from the river, tributaries, and drains were collected over a wide range of flow rates. However, the flow rates during 2003 to 2005 tended to be quite low due to drought conditions in the LARV (Gates et al., 2006; Mueller Price and Gates, 2008).

#### Blank and Duplicate Samples

Field blanks for groundwater and surface water were taken once per day before sample collection to ensure that sample contamination had not occurred in the field. Field blanks were generated by processing distilled/deionized water through each of the sample steps and field equipment (i.e., tubing, pump, and filters for groundwater samples). Analysis of field blanks in the laboratory confirmed that equipment had not been contaminated.

Field duplicates for groundwater and surface water also were gathered to assess the precision of the field sampling and analytical processes. At least one field duplicate was taken every day, collected in rapid succession to the primary sample. A total of 206 field duplicates of groundwater samples and 60 duplicates of surface water samples were gathered and analyzed. Average difference between original and duplicate samples was below 4% for groundwater and 2% for surface water.

#### Laboratory and Data Analysis

Groundwater and surface water samples were analyzed for C^sub Se^ at the Olson Biochemistry Laboratories at South Dakota State University in Brookings, SD (USEPA certified) using Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International, 17th edition, test number 996.16 Selenium in Feeds and Premixes, Fluorometric Method. This method is used to determine the concentration of SeO^sub 3^ in the sample from the field. Total recoverable Se (C^sub Se^) then is determined by reducing all forms of Se within the field sample to SeO^sub 3^ using hydrochloric acid and reconducting the flourometric analysis. The concentration of SeO^sub 4^ is then estimated by subtracting the concentration of SeO^sub 3^ determined before adding HCL from the concentration determined after adding HCl. Although developed specifically for testing animal feeds, the fluorometric method provides repeatable analysis for C^sub Se^ near criterion levels with an estimated coefficient of variation (CV) of 4 to 5%. Double tests were completed on each water sample and the average

was reported. The detection limit was 0.4 µg L^sup -1^.

Severn Trent Laboratories, Inc. in Earth City, MO (certified under the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) analyzed groundwater and surface water samples for C^sub U^ using inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry. The protocol followed in the analysis was method 200.8 in USEPA (1994). The detection limit was 1.0 µg L^sup -1^.

Samples gathered for analysis of specific salt ion concentrations were analyzed by Ward Laboratories, Inc. in Kearney, NE using standard methods. Ward Laboratories, Inc. participates in the check sample program with the North American Proficiency Testing Program. Samples were analyzed for concentration of sodium, C^sub Na^ (USEPA 1983, Method 273.1); calcium, C^sub Ca^ (USEPA 1983, Method 215.1); magnesium, C^sub Mg^ (USEPA 1983, Method 242.1); potassium, C^sub K^ (USEPA 1983, Method 258.1); nitrate, C^sub NO^sub 3^ (USEPA 1983, Method 353.2); sulfate, C^sub SO^sub 4^ (USEPA 1983, Method 375.4); chloride, C^sub Cl^ (USEPA 1983, Method 325.1); carbonate, C^sub CO^sub 3^ (APHA 1992, Method 2320-B); bicarbonate, C^sub HCO^sub 3^ (APHA 1992, Method 2320-B); and boron, C^sub B^ (APHA 1992, Method 4500-B-D). Statistical analysis of laboratory and field data was conducted using @RISK (version 4.5) (Palisade Corporation, 2005) and Statistica (version 8.0) (Statsoft, Inc., 2008) software. This included summary statistics, correlation, nonlinear regression, and fitting of probability distributions. Outlier analysis was performed on all data sets using Chauvenet's criterion (Taylor, 1997).

Results and Discussion

Severity and Variability of Selenium Concentrations

Tables 1 and 2 summarize the basic statistical characteristics for C^sub Se^, C^sub U^, salt ions, and other properties in all routinelymonitored groundwater and river water samples, respectively, collected over all sample periods within the upstream and downstream regions. A similar summary is given in Table 3 for samples taken from tributaries and drains in the two regions.

A subset of the samples was analyzed to determine the fraction of dissolved Se in the form of SeO^sub 4^. Analysis of 116 groundwater samples in the upstream study region and 42 groundwater samples in the downstream study region revealed that on average about 91 and 92%, respectively, of the dissolved Se indicated by reported C^sub Se^ values was in the form of SeO^sub 4^. A subset of 16 surface water samples from the downstream region indicated that an average of about 81% of dissolved Se was in the form of SeO^sub 4^.

Over all of the gathered groundwater samples, values as high as 1360 and 3760 µg L^sup -1^ were detected in the upstream and downstream regions, respectively. The statistical mean value of C^sub Se^ measured in routinely-monitored groundwater in the upstream study region was 57.7 and was 33.0 µg L^sup -1^ downstream, both markedly larger than the current CDPHE standard of 20 µg L^sup -1^ established for protection of drinking water for livestock. Moreover, concentrations this high, 7 to 13 times the stream chronic standard, in groundwater return flows pose challenges to the health of the stream system.

The data reveal substantial spatial and temporal variability in C^sub Se^ in groundwater. Additional details are provided in Donnelly (2005). Figure 2 provides examples of spatial contours of measured groundwater C^sub Se^ for representative sample periods for the upstream and downstream regions. The variation in spatial statistics in groundwater C^sub Se^ over time is illustrated by the boxand- whisker plots for the routinely-sampled observation wells in the downstream region in Fig. 3. Spatial CV values for C^sub Se^ across the routinely-sampled wells varied from 108 to 185% over the sample periods in the downstream region and from 139 to 339% over the sample periods in the upstream region. This substantial variability is due in part to differences in natural hydrologic processes and irrigation water application over the regions and over time, the variety of geologic and soil conditions with their associated physical and chemical properties, and an array of other characteristics within the stream-aquifer system, including redox conditions as discussed below.

Mean values of measured C^sub Se^ in tributaries and drains in the upstream and downstream regions were

about 13.1 and 15.8 µg L^sup -1^, respectively. The total of 57 samples taken from the 10 sampling locations in the Arkansas River in the upstream study region had a mean value of 7.0 µg L^sup -1^. The 85th percentile value was 10.2 µg L^sup -1^, exceeding the current CDPHE chronic standard of 4.6 µg L^sup -1^. A plot of temporal statistics for C^sub Se^ over all 33 sample periods at all six sample locations along the Arkansas River in the downstream region is given in Fig. 4. The 85th percentile value was markedly larger than that observed in the upstream study region, increasing from 12.8 µg L^sup -1^ at the most upstream station 101 to 17.6 µg L^sup -1^ at station 106 downstream near the Colorado-Kansas border. By comparison, Divine and Gates (2006) reported a mean C^sub Se^ value of 2 µg L^sup -1^ for samples gathered over 13 mo at the upstream end of the Lower Arkansas River, just below the outlet from Pueblo dam.

The mean value of C^sub Se^ in routine groundwater samples in the two regions was three to eight times greater than the mean value for samples from the Arkansas River. Data on quantities of infiltrated irrigation water (the bulk of which is diverted from the river), effective precipitation, and evapotranspiration reported in Gates et al. (2006) indicate that evaporative concentration causes solute concentrations in groundwater to increase by a factor of about 2 to 4 within the Arkansas River Valley. The fact that the ratio of groundwater to surface water C^sub Se^ is larger than 2 to 4, points to substantial dissolution of Se from subsurface geology and soils, especially in the upstream region.

Sampled waters in both regions were relatively saline, and while TDS was about 22% greater in groundwater samples taken downstream compared to upstream, in river water samples TDS was about 327% higher. Upstream the mean river water TDS was about 19% of the average groundwater TDS, while downstream the mean river water concentration was about 68% of that measured in groundwater. Whereas mean TDS concentration measured in tributary and drains was almost double that measured in the river in the upstream region, the two means were very similar in the downstream region. Since tributary and drain flow is dominated by groundwater return flow, this result is consistent with the finding that river water TDS more closely approaches groundwater TDS downstream along the river. The predominance of the SO^sub 4^ anion was evident in the groundwater and surface water of both regions.

Correspondence of Selenium Concentrations with Geologic Formations

Figure 1 shows the occurrence and extent of various Cretaceous shale formations detected within approximately 1.5 m of the ground surface (Kenneth Watts, USGS, personal communication, October 2008) as estimated by Scott (1968), Sharps (1976), and Scott et al. (1978) from limited geologic exploration of the regions. Visual comparison of Fig. 2 with Fig. 1 reveals what appear to be "hot spots", or areas of relatively high C^sub Se^, within or near the vicinity of identified near-surface shale in the study regions. These spatial patterns of C^sub Se^ in correspondence with identified shale formations within the alluvial valley were further explored quantitatively. First, a calibrated MODFLOW groundwater flow model of the study regions, similar to that described in Burkhalter and Gates (2005), was applied to estimate the average groundwater elevation contours and associated flow gradients over the period 1999 to 2007 upstream and 2002 to 2007 downstream. Next, the distance (Ls) separating each sampled observation well from the edge of the closest identified shale within the alluvium was measured in the direction upstream along the principal groundwater flow gradient. Finally, the correlation of C^sub Se^ with log L^sub s^was computed. For the upstream region, a statistically significant (significance level,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ) value of -0.51 was computed for the Pearson correlation coefficient, r^sub P^, (Ayyub and McCuen, 1997). Plots of C^sub Se^ vs. L^sub s^and of C^sub U^ vs. Ls, both in the upstream region, are shown in Fig. 5 and 6, respectively. Scatter in the data is anticipated due to measurement error and spatial and temporal variability in (a) Se sources which include imported irrigation water as well as shales and soils subject to oxygenated dissolution, (b) evaporative concentration, (c) NO^sub 3^ availability derived from fertilizer practices and affected by biochemically-affected transport processes, and (d) other influencing factors. Nevertheless, concentrations tend to increase as L^sub s^decreases, supporting the hypothesis that shale deposits are a significant source of C^sub Se^ and C^sub U^ in this region. This matches

with an earlier description by Zielinski et al. (1995) of how Cretaceous marine shale and shale-derived soils in the Arkansas River Valley in Colorado yield not only U but also Se to solution. Computed values of r^sub P^ for C^sub Se^ with log L^sub s^and C^sub U^ with log L^sub s^for the downstream region were weak. This may be due to the fact that identified shale zones in the downstream region (Fig. 1) are much smaller and more fragmented than in the upstream region. This also may explain why the mean C^sub Se^ value of the upstream groundwater is 75% higher than the mean value downstream. Since the few identified shale deposits in the downstream region are relatively small, it seems likely that additional shale deposits remain unidentified and not accounted for in the calculations-another reason that computed correlations of C^sub Se^ and C^sub U^ with L^sub s^are low.

Relationships of Selenium with Other Constituents and Redox Conditions

If a substantial portion of dissolved minerals in the alluvial aquifer are indeed derived from oxidation and dissolution of marine shales and shale-derived soils, and from re-oxidation of previously reduced constituents, then a significant relationship is expected between C^sub Se^, C^sub U^, and salt ions which are also derived in part from these formations, in relation to redox conditions. Data were explored to investigate such relationships in groundwater and surface water samples over regional scales.

#### **Correlation Among Constituents**

Values of r^sub P^ were computed to describe the nature of the correlation between C^sub Se^, C^sub U^, salt ion concentrations, and in situ field parameters for measurements in groundwater observation wells and at all surface water sampling locations in both the upstream and downstream study regions. Values of r^sub P^ were computed between a given variate X and a variate Y (designated as X-Y), between logs of the variates (log X-log Y), and between a variate and the log of a variate (log X-Y and X-log Y). Results are summarized in Table 4 for pairs involving the variables C^sub Se^, C^sub U^, C^sub NO^sub 3^^, and C^sub SO^sub 4^^ in groundwater samples having moderate correlation ( $0.3 \le |r^sub P^*| < 0.5$ ) or strong correlation ( $|r^sub P^*| \ge 0.5$ ) (Cohen, 1988). All correlations reported in Table 4 were statistically significant ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) with p <0. 05. Our data reveal significant moderate relationships between C^sub Se^ and salinity, as expressed in terms of EC and TDS. A significant value of r^sub P^ was 0.41 for groundwater C^sub Se^ with EC and was 0.39 for groundwater C^sub Se^ with log TDS, respectively, in the upstream study region, and were 0.49 and 0.51, respectively, in the downstream study region.

Deverel and Millard (1988) studied solutes in the shallow groundwater (water table depth <10 m) of the irrigated western San Joaquin Valley in California and concluded that the hydrologic processes that had contributed to high salinity concentrations in groundwater had also resulted in high C^sub Se^. They computed correlation between log C^sub Se^ and log EC in single samples taken from 68 wells in an alluvial-fan geologic zone and in single samples from 50 wells in a basin-trough geologic zone. Computed values were significant ( $\alpha$  = 0.05) at 0.79 and 0.44, in the alluvial fan and basin trough zones, respectively.

For surface water, values of r^sub P^ were 0.87 and 0.84 for C^sub Se^ with EC and with TDS, respectively, in the upstream study region. Values for the downstream study region were 0.51 and 0.56 for C^sub Se^ with EC and with TDS, respectively.

The computed significant value of r^sub P^ between log C^sub Se^ and log C^sub U^ for the upstream groundwater data was 0.51. For the downstream data, correlation was stronger between C^sub Se^ and C^sub U^ with r^sub P^ = 0.70. Since both U and Se have been detected in Cretaceous formations like those found in the LARV (Zielinski et al., 1995; Kulp and Pratt, 2004), this moderate to strong correlation was not surprising; it further suggests that the two minerals are being dissolved and mobilized from similar geologic sources. Zielinski et al. (1995) reported a correlation coefficient (presumably Pearson) of 0.79 between C^sub Se^ and C^sub U^ for 35 surface water samples gathered from the Arkansas River and its tributaries from Canyon City to near the Colorado-Kansas border. Our data show significant correlation between C^sub Se^ and C^sub U^ for surface water in the upstream and downstream study regions of 0.89 and 0.82, respectively.

Redox Processes and Controls on Groundwater Constituents

The presence of redox-sensitive species such as DO, NO^sub 3^, SeO^sub 4^, Mn(IV), Fe(III), U(VI), and SO^sub 4^, depend on the succession of terminal electron-accepting processes illustrated in Fig. 7. The importance of the succession of the processes involving these species, as well as additional species often present in aquifer systems, is given by Korom (1992) and McMahon and Chapelle (2008), and is only lightly touched on here. The reduction of DO provides the highest quantity of energy for the bacteria (McMahon and Chapelle, 2008), and thus is the principal electron acceptor for bacterial respiration processes, followed by NO^sub 3^, SeO^sub 4^, Mn(IV), Fe(III), U(VI), and finally SO^sub 4^. Due to this preferential consumption of species, each constituent acts as an inhibitor of the reduction reactions with a lower redox potential. For example, investigators (Weres et al., 1990; White et al., 1991) observed, under limited localized conditions, the inhibition of SeO^sub 4^ reduction in the presence of NO^sub 3^, and the immediate reduction of SeO^sub 4^ on depletion of NO^sub 3^. Similarly, in an oxygenated environment wherein NO^sub 3^ and SeO^sub 4^ have high concentrations, reactions involving the reduction of U and SO^sub 4^ would not occur. Field data indeed show very high r^sub P^ of 0.88 and 0.80 between CSO^sub 4^ and C^sub U^ in the upstream and downstream regions, respectively.

Significant power relationships between C^sub Se^ and C^sub NO^sub 3^^ in groundwater are illustrated in Fig. 8 and 9, suggesting the key role that NO^sub 3^ plays in oxidation and inhibited reduction of Se in this subsurface environment. As expected, there is considerable spread in the data around the regressed trends in Fig. 8 and 9, reflecting measurement error and spatial and temporal variability in the presence of Se sources in relation to NO^sub 3^ sources; in the nature of available electron donors; in the types of reducing bacteria; and in other influencing conditions like DO, temperature, etc.

Under conditions of thermodynamic equilibrium, ORP (and/or Eh) and pH are known to highly influence the speciation and sorption of Se (Masscheleyn, 1989, 1991), with high redox potential (200-450 mV) and high pH (7-9) resulting in high Se-solubility. Researchers have noted, however, that under field conditions, where multiple kinetic reactions are occurring, in situ measurements of ORP (using a platinum electrode) and of pH are of limited value in assessing even the overall redox status of natural waters much less the status of specific redox couples like NO<sup>sub</sup> 3<sup>-</sup>SeO<sup>sub</sup> 4<sup>(Runnells</sup> and Lindberg, 1990; Chapelle et al., 1996; Schuring et al., 2000). These observations were borne out in our data. Mean measured ORP values (Tables 1-3) indicated generally oxidizing conditions in both the groundwater and surface water of the LARV. However, Pearson correlation of ORP with C^sub Se^, though significant, was weak to moderate at 0.27 and -0.42 for groundwater and surface water, respectively, in the upstream region and at 0.29 and 0.12 for groundwater and surface water in the downstream region. Measured pH values were found to be weakly inversely correlated with C^sub Se^, having Pearson correlation of -0.15 for groundwater in the upstream region (correlation for surface water upstream was insignificant) and -0.23 and 0.16 for groundwater and surface water in the downstream region. Surface water samples from the upstream study region also revealed significant correlation between log C^sub Se^ and C^sub NO^sub 3^^, with r^sub P^ = 0.37; however, the number of available samples is still quite limited to date. For the much larger set of surface water samples from the downstream study region the computed value of r^sub P^ was 0.72 between log C^sub Se^ and log C^sub NO^sub 3^^.

This strong correlation between C^sub Se^ and C^sub NO^sub 3^ suggests important directions for the management of Se in rivers and reservoirs. A study by Zielinski et al. (1997) of isotopic measurements of N in surface waters of the Sixmile Creek drainage to the Arkansas River, a few miles downstream of Pueblo, revealed that NO^sub 3^ originates from fertilizer and soil organic N in this area which is similar to the regions of the current study. Since NO^sub 3^ is one of the primary sources of oxygen for the mobilization and retention of dissolved Se, better control of N fertilizer may be used to diminish Se loading to ground and surface water in the stream-aguifer system.

Though large, the number of observations is yet too limited for a full multivariate analysis among measured

variables. However, some multivariate relationships between C^sub Se^ and other constituents were preliminarily explored using knowledge of constituent chemistry. Redox processes suggest that the inverse relationships of C^sub Se^ and C^sub U^ with L^sub s^should grow stronger when excluding samples having both low C^sub NO^sub 3^^ and DO values. The reason behind this phenomenon is the existence of a threshold constituent concentration at which bacteria commence to reduce the next constituent in line on the redox ladder. Frind et al. (1990), for instance, in a numerical modeling study involving the reduction of NO^sub 3^ and SO^sub 4^ in a groundwater system, based the model on observations that SO^sub 4^ begins to be reduced once NO<sup>sub</sup> 3<sup>falls</sup> below a threshold concentration of 1 mg L<sup>sup</sup> -1<sup>falls</sup>. Similarly, Oremland et al. (1990) concluded that a lower threshold value of NO^sub 3^ concentration exists at which both NO^sub 3^ and SeO^sub 4^ reduction can occur simultaneously, although a value for the threshold concentration was not provided. A similar threshold occurrence is found to be the case in the current study, with the value of r^sub P^ for C^sub Se^ with log L^sub s^in the upstream region changing from -0.51 to -0.60 and the value of r^sub P^ for C^sub U^ with log L^sub s^changing from -0.63 to -0.75 when including only those samples with C^sub NO^sub 3^^ >10 mg L^sup -1^ or DO >7 mg L^sup -1^. This improved correlation may be due not only to the inhibition of the reduction of existing C^sub Se^ but also to increased production of C^sub Se^ by oxidation of seleno-pyrite from shale. These threshold values will be updated as more data becomes available, and will be valuable in modeling studies involving NO<sup>sub</sup> 3<sup> and SeO</sup>sub 4<sup> .</sup>

The schematic presented in Fig. 7, though useful, is yet simplified, and often more complex processes and relationships occur between the constituents. Suzuki and Suko (2006), for example, noted that the complexities of reactions involving the oxidation states of U are only beginning to be revealed. In other instances, bacteria may only be able to reduce a certain constituent, and thus SO^sub 4^-reducing bacteria may be inefficient at reducing U (Yabusaki et al., 2007), or there may exist species of SeO^sub 4^-reducing bacteria that do not reduce NO^sub 3^ (Oremland et al., 1990).

#### Conclusions and Implications

Past data, credible yet limited, led the CDPHE to designate all reaches of the Lower Arkansas River as impaired with regard to Se contamination. A broad regional field study set out to better clarify the severity and variability of the problem; to glean information about influencing factors; and to begin building a database to guide and support future corrective action. Such action would include the adoption of BMPs to control nonpoint source loads of Se to the stream-aquifer system and, if necessary, to establish and administer TMDL^sub s^to stream reaches. This paper reports on a number of important discoveries that have been made in this field study to date:

- \* Average measured C^sub Se^ in the Arkansas River exceeds the current CDPHE chronic standard for aquatic habitat, not marginally but substantially-on average by a factor of 2 in the upstream and by a factor of 2 to 4 along the downstream study region;
- \* Average measured C^sub Se^ in the groundwater substantially exceeds the current standard for livestock watering in both the upstream and downstream study regions and constitutes a substantially elevated concentration that is carried to streams in nonpoint source return flows;
- \* C^sub Se^ in both groundwater and surface water display sizeable spatial and temporal variability, highlighting the need to account for such patterns when developing management solutions;
- \* C^sub Se^ typically display moderate correlation with salinity, as indicated by TDS and EC, in both groundwater and surface water;
- \* The nature and degree of dissolution and mobilization of Se from shale and shale-derived residuum is clarified through several pieces of evidence:
- \* In the upstream region where extensive nearsurface shale formations have been identified, C^sub Se^ in groundwater is found to be strongly correlated with up-gradient distance to identified shale (Ls),
- \* C^sub Se^ shows high correlation and significant regression relationships with C^sub U^ which has been

previously established as sourced from Cretaceous shale formations in the river valley, and

- \* The ratio of C^sub Se^ in groundwater to that diverted for irrigation from the river is larger than what would be expected due to evaporative concentration alone, especially upstream;
- \* C^sub Se^ tends to be related strongly to C^sub NO^sub 3^^ in groundwater, revealing in an extensive field setting the influence that NO^sub 3^, sourced and transported by fertilization and irrigation, promotes the dissolution of Se from geologic and soil material and its consequent retention in solution; and
- \* Preliminary findings on the influence of C^sub NO^sub 3^^ and DO on the correlation of C^sub Se^ and C^sub U^ with Ls, provide field evidence suggesting tentative threshold concentration levels of roughly 10 mg L^sup 1^ for C^sub NO^sub 3^^ and 7 mg L^sup -1^ for DO that inhibit reduction and promote oxidative dissolution from shale deposits. These values will be updated with the acquirement of more data.

These findings provide quantitative evidence of a serious Se problem in the Arkansas River Valley. In particular, they highlight the substantial role that dissolution processes associated with oxygenated irrigation return flows play in the contamination of the stream-aquifer system. They reveal the importance of the interplay between shale and shale-derived formations and redox reactions in understanding and predicting regional and temporal patterns of dissolved Se concentration. Moreover, they suggest some good prospects for decreasing concentrations and loads, ushering the research effort into a modeling and search-for-solutions phase. The developed regional-scale regression relationships between C^sub Se^ and C^sub NO^sub 3^^ (Fig. 8 and 9), though still in need of refinement, provide a notion of the degree to which C^sub NO^sub 3^^ must be decreased in groundwater to effect a targeted average decline in C^sub Se^ over the regions, thereby contributing to a related decline in Se loads to streams. For example, to achieve 20 and 40% decreases in average C^sub Se^ in the groundwater of the upstream region would require decreases of about 29 and 49%, respectively, in average C^sub NO^sub 3^^ over the region. Decreases of about 37 and 75% in average C^sub NO<sup>sub 3<sup>^</sup> would be needed to achieve similar C<sup>^</sup>sub Se<sup>^</sup>-lowering targets over the groundwater of the</sup> downstream region. Planning and implementing BMPs and TMDL^sub s^to achieve successful and economical decreases in concentrations over such broad regions will require that attention be given to how flow, redox reactions, adsorption and desorption, and other processes interact to result in the most effective spatial and temporal patterns. Lowering overall Se loads from groundwater to streams will then require consideration of measures that diminish not only C^sub Se^ but also rates of groundwater return flow.

The reported results are being used as a database for developing and calibrating a groundwater Se transport model of the study regions to use in evaluating improvement alternatives. Such alternatives will include interventions to decrease recharge to groundwater and the associated gradients and return flows that dissolve and carry Se to the stream system (Burkhalter and Gates, 2006) and measures to better manage N fertilizer application to lessen NO^sub 3^ leaching to the shallow groundwater. Data collection in the two study regions is being refined and extended to provide enhanced understanding and broader model support.

## Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Colorado Nonpoint Source Program of the CDPHE, the USEPA, the Colorado Agricultural Experiment Station, the United States Bureau of Reclamation, the Southeastern Colorado Water Conservancy District, and the Lower Arkansas Valley Water Conservancy District. Special appreciation is extended to more than 120 farmers and landowners and to numerous faculty and students at Colorado State University who have assisted in the field data collection efforts in the Arkansas River Valley. The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the opinions or policies of the U.S. government. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute their endorsement by the U.S. government.

#### References

References

Afzal, S., M. Younas, and K. Ali. 2000. Selenium speciation studies from Soan- Sakesar Valley, Salt Range,

Pakistan, Water Int. 25:425-436.

Alfthan, G., D. Wang, A. Aro, and J. Soveri. 1994. The geochemistry of selenium in groundwaters in Finland. Sci. Total Environ. 162:93-103.

APHA. 1992. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. 18th ed. APHA, Washington, DC.

Ayyub, B.M., and R.H. McCuen. 1997. Probability, statistics, &reliability for engineers. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.

Burkhalter, J.P., and T.K. Gates. 2005. Agroecological impacts from salinization and waterlogging in an irrigated river valley. J. Irrig. Drain. Eng. 131:197-209.

Burkhalter, J.P., and T.K. Gates. 2006. Evaluating regional solutions to salinization and waterlogging in an irrigated river valley. J. Irrig. Drain. Eng. 132:21-30.

Butler, D.L., and K.J. Leib. 2002. Characterization of selenium in the Lower Gunnison River Basin, Colorado, 1988-2000. U.S. Geological Surv. Water-Resources Investigations Rep. 02-4151. U.S. Geological Surv., Denver, CO.

Bye, R., and W. Lund. 1982. Determination of selenium in pyrite by the atomic absorption-hydride generation technique. Anal. Chem. 313:211-212.

Chapelle, F.H., S.K. Haack, P. Adriaens, M.A. Henry, and P.M. Bradley. 1996. Selenium in aqueous solutions: Comparison of Eh and H2 measurements for delineating redox processes in a contaminated aquifer. Environ. Sci. Technol. 30:3565-3569.

Cohen, J. 1988. Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. 2nd ed. Lawrence Erlbaum Assoc., Hillsdale, NJ.

Deverel, S.J., and S.P. Millard. 1988. Distribution and mobility of selenium and other trace elements in shallow groundwater of the Western San Joaquin Valley, California. Environ. Sci. Technol. 22:697-702.

Divine, C.E., and T.K. Gates. 2006. Sources and occurrence of selenium in the Arkansas River and Fountain Creek near Pueblo, Colorado. Final project report to the City of Pueblo, Colorado. ARCADIS G&M, Inc., Highlands Ranch, CO.

Donnelly, J.P. 2005. Assessing irrigation-induced selenium and iron in the Lower Arkansas River Valley, Colorado. MS thesis. Civil and Environ. Eng. Dep., Colorado State Univ., Fort Collins.

Engberg, R.A., and M.A. Sylvester. 1993. Concentrations, distribution and sources of selenium from irrigated lands in western United States. J. Irrig. Drain. Eng. 119:522-536.

Frind, E.O., W.H.M. Duynisveld, O. Strebel, and J. Boettcher. 1990. Modeling of multicomponent transport with microbial transformation in groundwater: The Fuhrberg case. Water Resour. Res. 26:1707-1719.

Gates, T.K., L.A. Garcia, and J.W. Labadie. 2006. Toward optimal water management in Colorado's Lower Arkansas River Valley: Monitoring and modeling to enhance agriculture and environment. Colorado Water Resour. Res. Inst. Completion Rep. no. 205. Colorado Agric. Exp. Stn. Tech. Rep. TR06-10. Available at http://www.csuarkriver.colostate.edu/ OptimalWaterManagementLowerArkansasRiverValley.pdf (verified 23 July 2009). Colorado State Univ., Fort Collins.

Grimaldi, C., V. Viaud, F. Massa, L. Carteaux, S. Derosch, A. Regeard, Y. Fauvel, N. Gilliet, and F. Rouault. 2004. Stream nitrate variations explained by ground water head fluctuations in a pyrite-bearing aquifer. J. Environ. Qual. 33:994-1001.

Korom, S.F. 1992. Natural denitrification in the saturated zone: A review. Water Resour. Res. 28:1657-1668. Kubota, J., W.H. Allaway, D.L. Carter, E.E. Cary, and V.A. Lazar. 1967. Selenium in crops in the United States in relation to selenium responsive diseases of animals. J. Agric. Food Chem. 15:448-453.

Kulp, T.R., and L.M. Pratt. 2004. Speciation and weathering of selenium in upper Cretaceous chalk and shale from South Dakota and Wyoming, USA. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 68:3687-3701.

Lemly, A.D. 1999. Selenium transport and bioaccumulation in aquatic ecosystems: A proposal for water quality

criteria based on hydrological units. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 42:150-156.

Lemly, A.D. 2004. Aquatic selenium pollution is a global environmental safety issue. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 59:44-56.

Macy, J.M., T.A. Michel, and D.G. Kirsch. 1989. Selenate reduction by a Psuedomonas species: A new mode of anaerobic respiration. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 61:195-198.

Martens, D.A., and D.L. Suarez. 1997. Selenium speciation of marine shales, alluvial soils, and evaporation basin soils of California. J. Environ. Qual. 26:424-432.

Masscheleyn, P.H., R.D. Delaune, and W.H. Patrick. 1989. Transformation of selenium as affected by sediment oxidation-reduction potential and pH. Environ. Sci. Technol. 24:91-96.

Masscheleyn, P.H., R.D. Delaune, and W.H. Patrick. 1991. Arsenic and selenium chemistry as affected by sediment redox potential and pH. J. Environ. Qual. 20:522-527.

McIntyre, D.O., M.A. Pacheco, M.W. Garton, D. Wallschläger, and C.G. Delos. 2008. Effect of selenium on juvenile bluegill sunfish at reduced temperature. EPA-822-R-08-020. U.S. Environ. Protection Agency, Washington, DC.

McMahon, P.B., and F.H. Chapelle. 2008. Redox processes and water quality of selected principal aquifer systems. Ground Water 46:259-271.

Mizutani, T., K. Kanaya, and T. Osaka. 2001. Map of selenium content in soil in Japan. J. Health Sci. 47:407-413.

Mueller Price, J., and T.K. Gates. 2008. Assessing uncertainty in mass-balance calculation of river nonpoint source loads. J. Environ. Eng. 134(4):247-258.

National Research Council. 1989. Irrigation-induced water quality problems: What can be learned from the San Joaquin Valley experience. Natl. Academy Press, Washington, DC.

Nolan, H.M., and M.L. Clark. 1997. Selenium in irrigated agricultural areas of the western United States. J. Environ. Qual. 26:849-857.

Ohlendorf, H.M., and G.M. Santolo. 1994. Kesterson reservoir-Past, present, and future: An ecological risk assessment. p. 69-117. In W.T. Frankenberger and S. Benson (ed.) Selenium in the environment. Marcel Dekker, New York.

Oremland, R.S., N.A. Steinberg, A.S. Maest, L.G. Miller, and J.T. Hollibaugh. 1990. Measurement of in situ rates of selenate removal by dissimilatory bacterial reduction in sediments. Environ. Sci. Technol. 24:1157-1164.

Ortiz, R.F., M.E. Lewis, and M.J. Radell. 1998. Water-quality assessment of the Arkansas River Basin, southeastern Colorado, 1990-1993. Water- Resour. Investigations Rep. 97-4111. U. S. Geol. Survey, Denver, CO.

Palisade Corporation. 2005. Guide to using @RISK: Risk analysis and simulation add-in for Microsoft Excel. Version 4.5. Palisade Corp., Newfield, NY.

Postma, D., C. Boesen, H. Kristiansen, and F. Larsen. 1991. Nitrate reduction in an unconfined sandy aquifer: Water chemistry, reduction processes, and geochemical modeling. Water Resour. Res. 27:2027-2045.

Rosenfield, I., and O. Beath. 1964. Selenium, geobotany, biochemistry, toxicity and nutrition. Academic Press, New York.

Runnells, D.D., and R.D. Lindberg. 1990. Selenium in aqueous solutions: The impossibility of obtaining a meaningful Eh using a platinum electrode, with implications for modeling of natural waters. Geology 18:212-215.

Schuring, J., H.D. Schulz, W.R. Fischer, and J. Bottcher. 2000. Redox: Fundamentals, processes, and applications. Springer, Berlin.

Scott, G.R. 1968. Geologic and structure contour map of the La Junta quadrangle, Colorado and Kansas. Map I-560 (Scale 1:250,000). U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, VA.

Scott, G.R., R.B. Taylor, R.C. Epis, and R.A. Wobus. 1978. Geologic map of the Pueblo 1 degree x 2 degrees quadrangle, south-central Colorado. Map I-1022 (Scale 1:250,000). U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, VA. Seiler, R.L., J.P. Skorupa, D.L. Naftz, and B.T. Nolan. 2003. Irrigation induced contamination of water, sediment, and biota in the Western United States-Synthesis of data from the National Irrigation Water Quality Program. U. S.Geol. Survey Prof. Paper 1655. U.S. Dep. Interior, Denver, CO.

Seiler, R.L., J.P. Skorupa, and L.A. Peltz. 1999. Areas susceptible to irrigationinduced selenium contamination of water and biota in the Western United States. U. S. Geol. Survey Circular 1180. U. S. Dep. Interior, Carson City, NV.

Sharps, J.A. 1976. Geologic map of the Lamar quadrangle, Colorado and Kansas. Map I-944(Scale 1:250,000). U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, VA.

Statsoft, Inc. 2008. Available at www.statsoft.com (verified 23 July 2009).

Suzuki, Y., and T. Suko. 2006. Geomicrobiological factors that control uranium mobility in the environment: Update on recent advances in the bioremediation of uranium-contaminated sites. J. Miner. Petrol. Sci. 101:299-307.

Taylor, J.R. 1997. An introduction to error analysis. 2nd ed. Univ. Sci. Books, Sausalito, CA.

Tracy, J.E., J.D. Oster, and R.J. Beaver. 1990. Selenium in the Southern Coast Range of California: Well waters, mapped geological units and related elements. J. Environ. Qual. 19:46-50.

USEPA. 1983. Methods for chemical analysis of water and waste. EPA/600/4- 79/020. USEPA, Washington, DC.

USEPA. 1994. Methods for determination of metals in environmental samples, supplement 1. EPA/600/R-94/111. USEPA, Reston, VA.

Weres, O., H.R. Bowman, A. Godstein, E. Smith, and L. Tsao. 1990. The effect of nitrate and organic matter upon mobility of selenium in groundwater and in a water treatment process. Water Air Soil Pollut. 49:251-272. White, A.F., S.M. Benson, A.W. Yee, H.A. Wollenberg, and S. Flexser. 1991. Groundwater contamination at the Kesterson Reservoir, California 2. Geochemical parameters Influencing Selenium Mobility. Water Resour. Res. 27:1085-1098.

Yabusaki, S.B., Y. Fang, P.E. Long, C.T. Resch, A.D. Peacock, J. Komlos, P.R. Jaffe, S.J. Morrison, R.D. Dayvault, D.C. White, and R.T. Anderson. 2007. Uranium removal from groundwater via in situ biostimulation: Field-scale modeling of transport and biological processes. J. Contam. Hydrol. 93:216-235.

Zhang, H.H., Z.F. Wu, C.L. Yang, B. Xia, D.R. Xu, H.X. Yuan. 2008. Spatial distributions and potential risk analysis of total soil selenium in Guangdong Province, China. J. Environ. Qual. 37:780-787.

Zielinski, R.A., S. Asher-Bolinder, and A.L. Meier. 1995. Uraniferous waters of the Arkansas River Valley, Colorado, USA-A function of geology and land-use. Appl. Geochem. 10:133-144.

Zielinski, R.A., S. Asher-Bolinder, A.L. Meier, C.A. Johnson, and B.J. Szabo. 1997. Natural or fertilizer-derived uranium in irrigation drainage: A case study in southeastern Colorado, USA. Appl. Geochem. 12:9-21.

#### **AuthorAffiliation**

Timothy K. Gates\* Colorado State University

Brent M. Cody Colorado State University

Joseph P. Donnelly URS Corporation

Alexander W. Herting Kimley-Horn and Associates

Ryan T. Bailey and Jennifer Mueller Price Colorado State University

Copyright ©2009 by the American Society of Agronomy, Crop Science Society of America, and Soil Science Society of America. All rights reserved. No part of this periodical may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

Published in J. Environ. Qual. 38:2344-2356 (2009).

doi:10.2134/jeq2008.0499

Published online 11 Sept. 2009.

Received 4 Dec. 2008.

\*Corresponding author (Timothy.Gates@colostate.edu).

©ASA, CSSA, SSSA

677 S. Segoe Rd., Madison, WI 53711 USA

T.K. Gates, Civil and Environ. Engineering Dep., Colorado State Univ., Fort Collins, CO 80523. B.M. Cody, Civil and Environ. Engineering Dep., Colorado State Univ., Fort Collins, CO 80523. J.P. Donnelly, URS Corporation, 8181 E. Tufts Ave., Denver, CO 80237. A.W. Herting, Kimley-Horn and Assoc., 7878 N. 16th Street, Suite 300, Phoenix, AZ 85020. R.T. Bailey and J. Mueller Price, Civil and Environ. Engineering Dep., Colorado State Univ., Fort Collins, CO 80523.

Subject: Creeks & streams; Groundwater; Surface water; Water quality; Data collection;

**MeSH:** Agriculture, Colorado, Geography, Oxidation-Reduction, Rivers -- chemistry (major), Selenium -- analysis (major), Water Pollutants, Chemical -- analysis (major)

Location: United States--US

Substance: Water Pollutants, Chemical; Selenium;

Publication title: Journal of Environmental Quality

Volume: 38

**Issue:** 6

Pages: 2344-56

Number of pages: 13

Publication year: 2009

Publication date: Nov/Dec 2009

Year: 2009

Section: TECHNICAL REPORTS: SURFACE WATER QUALITY

Publisher: American Society of Agronomy

Place of publication: Madison

Country of publication: United States

Publication subject: Agriculture--Crop Production And Soil, Environmental Studies

**ISSN**: 00472425

**CODEN: JEVQAA** 

Source type: Scholarly Journals

Language of publication: English

Document type: Feature, Journal Article

**Document feature:** Maps Tables Graphs References

Accession number: 19875790

ProQuest document ID: 347084601

Document URL: http://search.proquest.com/docview/347084601?accountid=10223

Copyright: Copyright American Society of Agronomy Nov/Dec 2009

Last updated: 2014-03-23

Database: ProQuest Environmental Science Collection

## **Bibliography**

Citation style: BibTeX

@article{

author={Gates,Timothy K. and Cody,Brent M. and Donnelly,Joseph P. and Herting,Alexander W. and Bailey,Ryan T. and Price,Jennifer M.}, year={2009},

month={Nov}, title={Assessing Selenium Contamination in the Irrigated Stream-Aquifer System of the Arkansas River, Colorado},

journal={Journal of environmental quality}, volume={38},

number={6}, pages={2344-56},

note={Copyright - Copyright American Society of Agronomy Nov/Dec 2009; Document feature - Maps; Tables; Graphs; References; Last updated - 2014-03-23; CODEN - JEVQAA; SubjectsTermNotLitGenreText -United States--US; References; Afzal, S., M. Younas, and K. Ali. 2000. Selenium speciation studies from Soan-Sakesar Valley, Salt Range, Pakistan. Water Int. 25:425-436; Alfthan, G., D. Wang, A. Aro, and J. Soveri. 1994. The geochemistry of selenium in groundwaters in Finland. Sci. Total Environ. 162:93-103; APHA. 1992. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. 18th ed. APHA, Washington, DC; Ayyub, B.M., and R.H. McCuen. 1997. Probability, statistics, & reliability for engineers. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL; Burkhalter, J.P., and T.K. Gates. 2005. Agroecological impacts from salinization and waterlogging in an irrigated river valley. J. Irrig. Drain. Eng. 131:197-209; Burkhalter, J.P., and T.K. Gates. 2006. Evaluating regional solutions to salinization and waterlogging in an irrigated river valley. J. Irrig. Drain. Eng. 132:21-30; Butler, D.L., and K.J. Leib. 2002. Characterization of selenium in the Lower Gunnison River Basin, Colorado, 1988-2000. U.S. Geological Surv. Water-Resources Investigations Rep. 02-4151. U.S. Geological Surv., Denver, CO; Bye, R., and W. Lund. 1982. Determination of selenium in pyrite by the atomic absorption-hydride generation technique. Anal. Chem. 313:211-212; Chapelle, F.H., S.K. Haack, P. Adriaens, M.A. Henry, and P.M. Bradley. 1996. Selenium in aqueous solutions: Comparison of Eh and H2 measurements for delineating redox processes in a contaminated aquifer. Environ. Sci. Technol. 30:3565-3569; Cohen, J. 1988. Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. 2nd ed. Lawrence Erlbaum Assoc., Hillsdale, NJ; Deverel, S.J., and S.P. Millard. 1988. Distribution and mobility of selenium and other trace elements in shallow groundwater of the Western San Joaquin Valley, California. Environ. Sci. Technol. 22:697-702; Divine, C.E., and T.K. Gates. 2006. Sources and occurrence of selenium in the Arkansas River and Fountain Creek near Pueblo, Colorado. Final project report to the City of Pueblo, Colorado. ARCADIS G&M, Inc., Highlands Ranch, CO; Donnelly, J.P. 2005. Assessing irrigation-induced selenium and iron in the Lower Arkansas River Valley, Colorado. MS thesis. Civil and Environ. Eng. Dep., Colorado State Univ., Fort Collins; Engberg, R.A., and M.A. Sylvester. 1993. Concentrations, distribution and sources of selenium from irrigated lands in western United States. J. Irrig. Drain. Eng. 119:522-536; Frind, E.O., W.H.M. Duynisveld, O. Strebel, and J. Boettcher. 1990. Modeling of multicomponent transport with microbial transformation in groundwater: The Fuhrberg case. Water Resour. Res. 26:1707-1719; Gates, T.K., L.A. Garcia, and J.W. Labadie. 2006. Toward optimal water management in Colorado's Lower Arkansas River Valley: Monitoring and modeling to enhance agriculture and environment. Colorado Water Resour. Res. Inst. Completion Rep. no. 205. Colorado Agric. Exp. Stn. Tech. Rep. TR06-10. Available at http://www.csuarkriver.colostate.edu/ OptimalWaterManagementLowerArkansasRiverValley.pdf (verified 23 July 2009). Colorado State Univ., Fort Collins; Grimaldi, C., V. Viaud, F. Massa, L. Carteaux, S. Derosch, A. Regeard, Y. Fauvel, N. Gilliet, and F. Rouault. 2004. Stream nitrate variations explained by ground water head fluctuations in a pyrite-bearing aquifer. J. Environ. Qual. 33:994-1001; Korom, S.F. 1992. Natural denitrification in the saturated zone: A review. Water Resour. Res. 28:1657-1668; Kubota, J., W.H. Allaway, D.L. Carter, E.E. Cary, and V.A. Lazar. 1967. Selenium in crops in the United States in relation to selenium responsive diseases of animals. J. Agric. Food Chem. 15:448-453; Kulp, T.R., and L.M. Pratt. 2004. Speciation and weathering of selenium in upper Cretaceous chalk and shale from South Dakota and Wyoming, USA. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 68:3687-3701; Lemly, A.D. 1999. Selenium transport and bioaccumulation in aquatic ecosystems: A proposal for water quality criteria based on hydrological units. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 42:150-156; Lemly, A.D. 2004. Aquatic selenium pollution is a global environmental safety issue. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 59:44-56;

Macy, J.M., T.A. Michel, and D.G. Kirsch. 1989. Selenate reduction by a Psuedomonas species: A new mode of anaerobic respiration. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 61:195-198; Martens, D.A., and D.L. Suarez. 1997. Selenium speciation of marine shales, alluvial soils, and evaporation basin soils of California. J. Environ. Qual. 26:424-432; Masscheleyn, P.H., R.D. Delaune, and W.H. Patrick. 1989. Transformation of selenium as affected by sediment oxidation-reduction potential and pH. Environ. Sci. Technol. 24:91-96; Masscheleyn, P.H., R.D. Delaune, and W.H. Patrick. 1991. Arsenic and selenium chemistry as affected by sediment redox potential and pH. J. Environ. Qual. 20:522-527; McIntyre, D.O., M.A. Pacheco, M.W. Garton, D. Wallschläger, and C.G. Delos. 2008. Effect of selenium on juvenile bluegill sunfish at reduced temperature. EPA-822-R-08-020. U.S. Environ. Protection Agency, Washington, DC; McMahon, P.B., and F.H. Chapelle. 2008. Redox processes and water quality of selected principal aquifer systems. Ground Water 46:259-271; Mizutani, T., K. Kanaya, and T. Osaka. 2001. Map of selenium content in soil in Japan. J. Health Sci. 47:407-413; Mueller Price, J., and T.K. Gates. 2008. Assessing uncertainty in mass-balance calculation of river nonpoint source loads. J. Environ. Eng. 134(4):247-258; National Research Council. 1989. Irrigation-induced water quality problems: What can be learned from the San Joaquin Valley experience. Natl. Academy Press, Washington, DC; Nolan, H.M., and M.L. Clark. 1997. Selenium in irrigated agricultural areas of the western United States. J. Environ. Qual. 26:849-857; Ohlendorf, H.M., and G.M. Santolo. 1994. Kesterson reservoir-Past, present, and future: An ecological risk assessment. p. 69-117. In W.T. Frankenberger and S. Benson (ed.) Selenium in the environment. Marcel Dekker, New York; Oremland, R.S., N.A. Steinberg, A.S. Maest, L.G. Miller, and J.T. Hollibaugh. 1990. Measurement of in situ rates of selenate removal by dissimilatory bacterial reduction in sediments. Environ. Sci. Technol. 24:1157-1164; Ortiz, R.F., M.E. Lewis, and M.J. Radell. 1998. Water-quality assessment of the Arkansas River Basin, southeastern Colorado, 1990-1993. Water- Resour. Investigations Rep. 97-4111. U. S. Geol. Survey, Denver, CO; Palisade Corporation. 2005. Guide to using @RISK: Risk analysis and simulation add-in for Microsoft Excel. Version 4.5. Palisade Corp., Newfield, NY; Postma, D., C. Boesen, H. Kristiansen, and F. Larsen. 1991. Nitrate reduction in an unconfined sandy aguifer: Water chemistry, reduction processes, and geochemical modeling. Water Resour. Res. 27:2027-2045; Rosenfield, I., and O. Beath. 1964. Selenium, geobotany, biochemistry, toxicity and nutrition. Academic Press, New York; Runnells, D.D., and R.D. Lindberg. 1990. Selenium in aqueous solutions: The impossibility of obtaining a meaningful Eh using a platinum electrode, with implications for modeling of natural waters. Geology 18:212-215; Schuring, J., H.D. Schulz, W.R. Fischer, and J. Bottcher. 2000. Redox: Fundamentals, processes, and applications. Springer, Berlin; Scott, G.R. 1968. Geologic and structure contour map of the La Junta quadrangle, Colorado and Kansas. Map I-560 (Scale 1:250,000). U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, VA; Scott, G.R., R.B. Taylor, R.C. Epis, and R.A. Wobus. 1978. Geologic map of the Pueblo 1 degree x 2 degrees quadrangle, south-central Colorado. Map I-1022 (Scale 1:250,000). U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, VA; Seiler, R.L., J.P. Skorupa, D.L. Naftz, and B.T. Nolan. 2003. Irrigationinduced contamination of water, sediment, and biota in the Western United States-Synthesis of data from the National Irrigation Water Quality Program. U. S.Geol. Survey Prof. Paper 1655. U.S. Dep. Interior, Denver, CO; Seiler, R.L., J.P. Skorupa, and L.A. Peltz. 1999. Areas susceptible to irrigation induced selenium contamination of water and biota in the Western United States. U. S. Geol. Survey Circular 1180. U. S. Dep. Interior, Carson City, NV; Sharps, J.A. 1976. Geologic map of the Lamar quadrangle, Colorado and Kansas. Map I-944(Scale 1:250,000). U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, VA; Statsoft, Inc. 2008. Available at www.statsoft.com (verified 23 July 2009); Suzuki, Y., and T. Suko. 2006. Geomicrobiological factors that control uranium mobility in the environment: Update on recent advances in the bioremediation of uranium-contaminated sites. J. Miner. Petrol. Sci. 101:299-307; Taylor, J.R. 1997. An introduction to error analysis. 2nd ed. Univ. Sci. Books, Sausalito, CA; Tracy, J.E., J.D. Oster, and R.J. Beaver. 1990. Selenium in the Southern Coast Range of California: Well waters, mapped geological units and related elements. J. Environ. Qual. 19:46-50; USEPA. 1983. Methods for chemical analysis of water and waste. EPA/600/4- 79/020. USEPA, Washington, DC; USEPA. 1994. Methods for determination of metals in environmental samples, supplement 1. EPA/600/R-94/111. USEPA, Reston, VA; Weres, O., H.R. Bowman, A. Godstein, E. Smith, and L. Tsao. 1990. The effect of nitrate and organic matter upon mobility of selenium in groundwater and in a water treatment process. Water Air Soil Pollut. 49:251-272; White, A.F., S.M. Benson, A.W. Yee, H.A. Wollenberg, and S. Flexser. 1991.

Groundwater contamination at the Kesterson Reservoir, California 2. Geochemical parameters Influencing Selenium Mobility. Water Resour. Res. 27:1085-1098; Yabusaki, S.B., Y. Fang, P.E. Long, C.T. Resch, A.D. Peacock, J. Komlos, P.R. Jaffe, S.J. Morrison, R.D. Dayvault, D.C. White, and R.T. Anderson. 2007. Uranium removal from groundwater via in situ biostimulation: Field-scale modeling of transport and biological processes. J. Contam. Hydrol. 93:216-235; Zhang, H.H., Z.F. Wu, C.L. Yang, B. Xia, D.R. Xu, H.X. Yuan. 2008. Spatial distributions and potential risk analysis of total soil selenium in Guangdong Province, China. J. Environ. Qual. 37:780-787; Zielinski, R.A., S. Asher-Bolinder, and A.L. Meier. 1995. Uraniferous waters of the Arkansas River Valley, Colorado, USA-A function of geology and land-use. Appl. Geochem. 10:133-144; Zielinski, R.A., S. Asher-Bolinder, A.L. Meier, C.A. Johnson, and B.J. Szabo. 1997. Natural or fertilizer-derived uranium in irrigation drainage: A case study in southeastern Colorado, USA. Appl. Geochem. 12:9-21; Afzal, Shahzad; Younas, Muhammad; Ali, Karamat. Selenium speciation studies from Soan-Sakesar valley, Salt Range, Pakistan. Water International, 25. 3 (2000): 425-436. International Water Resources Association (IWRA; ALFTHAN, G.; DAECHENG WANG; ARO, A.; SOVERI, J. The geochemistry of selenium in groundwaters in Finland. Science of the total environment, 162. 2-3 (1995): 93-103. Elsevier Science; Bilal M Ayyub, and Richard H McCuen. "Probability, Statistics, and Reliability for Engineers and Scientists." Technometrics 45:3 Aug 2003: 276; BURKHALTER, J. Philip; GATES, Timothy K. Evaluating regional solutions to salinization and waterlogging in an Irrigated River Valley. Journal of irrigation and drainage engineering, 132. 1 (2006): 21-30. American Society of Civil Engineers; Butler, D L; Leib, K J; Geological Survey, Denver, CO. Water Resources Div. Characterization of Selenium in the Lower Gunnison River Basin, Colorado, 1988-2000. National Technical Information Service (2002; Bye, R; Lund, W; Bye, R. Determination of Selenium in Pyrite by the Atomic Absorption--Hydride Generation Technique. Fresenius Z. Anal. Chem., 313. 3 (1982): 211-212; CHAPELLE, F. H.; HAACK, S. K.; ADRIAENS, P.; HENRY, M. A.; et al. Comparison of Eh and H2 measurements for delineating redox processes in a contaminated aquifer. Environmental science & technology, 30. 12 (1996): 3565-3569. American Chemical Society; DEVEREL, S. J.; MILLARD, S. P. Distribution and mobility of selenium and other trace elements in shallow groundwater of the Western San Joaquin Valley, California. Environmental science & technology, 22. 6 (1988): 697-702. American Chemical Society; Divine CE, Gates TK (2006) Sources and occurrence of selenium in the Arkansas River and Fountain Creek near Pueblo, Colorado. Prepared by Arcadis G&M, Inc., 630 Plaza Drive, Suite 100, Highlands Ranch, Colorado 80129, 41 pp; Donnelly, J P; Gates, T K; Donnelly, J P. Assessing Irrigation-Induced Selenium and Iron in the Lower Arkansas River Valley in Colorado. Proceedings of the 2005 World Water and Environmental Resources Congress. EWRI 2005: Impacts of Global Climate Change; Anchorage, Alaska; USA; 15-19 May 2005 (2005): American Society of Civil Engineers, 1801 Alexander Bell Drive, 20191-4400, USA, http://www.asce.org, journal-services@asce.org; Engberg, R A; Sylvester, MA; Engberg, R A. Concentrations, distribution, and sources of selenium from irrigated lands in western United States. Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering, 119. 3 (1993): 522-536. American Society of Civil Engineers, 1801 Alexander Bell Drive, Reston, VA, 20191-4400, USA, mailto:journalservices@asce.org], URL:http://www.asce.org; Environmental Monitoring Systems Lab., Cincinnati, OH. Chemistry Research Div. Methods for the Determination of Metals in Environmental Samples. Supplement 1. National Technical Information Service (1994; FRIND, Emil O.; DUYNISVELD, W. H. M.; STREBEL, O.; BOETTCHER, J. Modeling of multicomponent transport with microbial transformation in groundwater; the Fuhrberg case. Water resources research, 26. 8 (1990): 1707-1719. American Geophysical Union; Gates, T K; Garcia, LA; Labadie, J W. Toward Optimal Water Management in Colorado's Lower Arkansas River Valley: Monitoring and Modeling to Enhance Agriculture and Environment. Colorado Water Resources Research Institute Completion Report, 205 (2006): xi-x3; Grimaldi, C; Viaud, V; Massa, F; Carteaux, L; et al. Stream nitrate variations explained by ground water head fluctuations in a pyrite-bearing aquifer. JOURNAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY, 33. 3 (2004): 994-1001. AMER SOC AGRONOMY; KOROM, S F. NATURAL DENITRIFICATION IN THE SATURATED ZONE - A REVIEW. WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH, 28. 6 (1992): 1657-1668. AMER GEOPHYSICAL UNION; Kubota, J; Kubota, J; Allaway, W H; Carter, D L; et al. Selenium in crops in the United States in relation to selenium-responsive diseases of animals. Journal of agricultural and food chemistry., 15. 3 (1967): 448-453; Kulp, T R; Pratt, L M; Kulp, T R. Speciation and

weathering of selenium in upper cretaceous chalk and shale from South Dakota and Wyoming, USA. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 68. 18 (2004): 3687-3701. Elsevier Science BV, P.O. Box 211, Amsterdam, 1000 AE, Netherlands, mailto:w.tukker@elsevier.nl], URL:http://www.elsevier.com; Lemly, A D. Aquatic selenium pollution is a global environmental safety issue. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 59, 1 (2004): 44-56. Academic Press; Lemly, A. Dennis. Selenium transport and bioaccumulation in aquatic ecosystems: A proposal for water quality criteria based on hydrological units. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 42. 2 (1999): 150-156. Academic Press; MACY, J. M.; MICHEL, T. A.; KIRSCH, D. G. Selenate reduction by a Pseudomonas species: a new mode of anaerobic respiration. FEMS microbiology letters, 61. 1-2 (1989): 195-198. Blackwell; Martens, D.A.; Suarez, D.L. Selenium speciation of marine shales, alluvial soils, and evaporation basin soils of California. Journal of Environmental Quality, 26. 2 (1997): 424-432. American Soc of Agronomy Inc; MASSCHELEYN, P. H.; DELAUNE, R. D.; PATRICK, W. H. JR. Transformations of selenium as affected by sediment oxidation-reduction potential and pH. Environmental science & technology, 24. 1 (1990): 91-96. American Chemical Society; MASSCHELEYN, P. H.; DELAUNE, R. D.; PATRICK, W. H., JR. Arsenic and selenium chemistry as affected by sediment redox potential and pH. Journal of environmental quality, 20. 3 (1991): 522-527. American Society of Agronomy; Crop Science Society of America; McIntyre, D. O., Pacheco, M. A., Garton, M. W., Wallschlager, D. and Delos, C. G. (2008). Effect of selenium on juvenile bluegill sunfish at reduced temperature. O. o. W.Health and Ecological Criteria Division. Washington, D.C., U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; McMahon, P.B.; Chapelle, F.H. Redox processes and water quality of selected principal aquifer systems. Ground Water, 46. 2 (2008): 259-271. Blackwell Publishing Ltd; Methods for chemical analysis of water and wastes. (1983): Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory, Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; Mizutani, T.; Kanaya, K.; Osaka, T. Map of selenium content in soil in Japan. Journal of Health Science, 47. 4 (2001): 407-413. Pharmaceutical Society of Japan; MUELLER PRICE, Jennifer; GATES, Timothy K. Assessing Uncertainty in Mass Balance Calculation of River Nonpoint Source Loads. Journal of environmental engineering (New York, NY), 134. 4 (2008): 247-258. American Society of Civil Engineers; Nolan, Bernard T.; Clark, Melanie L. Selenium in irrigated agricultural areas of the western United States. Journal of Environmental Quality, 26. 3 (1997): 849-857. American Soc of Agronomy Inc; Ohlendorf, Harry M; Santolo, Gary M. Kesterson Reservoir; past, present, and future; an ecological risk assessment. Selenium in the environment (1994): Marcel Dekker; OREMLAND, R. S.; STEINBERG, N. A.; MAEST, A. .S; MILLER, L. G.; et al. Measurement of in situ rates of selenate removal by dissimilatory bacterial reduction in sediments. Environmental science & technology, 24. 8 (1990): 1157-1164. American Chemical Society; POSTMA, Dieke; BOESEN, Carsten; KRISTIANSEN, Henning; LARSEN, Flemming. Nitrate reduction in an unconfined sandy aquifer; water chemistry, reduction processes, and geochemical modeling. Water resources research, 27. 8 (1991): 2027-2045. American Geophysical Union; Redox fundamentals, processes and applications. Redox fundamentals, processes and applications (2000): 251. Springer; Rosenfeld, I; Beath, O A. Selenium. Geobotany, biochemistry, toxicity, and nutrition. Selenium. Geobotany, biochemistry, toxicity, and nutrition. (1964): xii+411 pp.. Academic Press, Inc., New York: London; Runnells, Donald D; Lindberg, Ralph D. Selenium in aqueous solutions: The impossibility of obtaining a meaningful Eh using a platinum electrode, with implications for modeling of natural waters. Geology, 18. 3 (1990): 212-215. Geological Society of America; Scott, Glenn R. Geologic and structure contour map of the La Junta Quadrangle, Colorado and Kansas. Miscellaneous Geologic Investigations Map (1968): U. S. Geological Survey; Scott, G R; Taylor, R B; Epis, R C; Wobus, R A. Geologic map of the Pueblo 1 degrees by 2 degrees quadrangle, South-central Colorado. Miscellaneous Investigations Series - U. S. Geological Survey (1978): 2 sheets. U. S. Geological Survey; Seiler, R L; Seiler, R L. Irrigation-induced contamination of water, sediment, and biota in the Western United States: synthesis of data from the National Irrigation Water Quality Program / Ralph L. Seiler ... et al.]. (2003): vi, 123. U.S. Dept. of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey; Seiler, Ralph L; Skorupa, Joseph P; Peltz, Lorri A. Areas susceptible to irrigation-induced selenium contamination of water and biota in the Western United States. U. S. Geological Survey Circular (1999): 36. U. S. Geological Survey; Sharps, J A. Geologic map of the Lamar Quadrangle, Colorado and Kansas. Miscellaneous Investigations Series - U. S. Geological Survey (1976): U. S. Geological Survey; Suzuki, Yohey; Suko, Takeshi; Suzuki,

Yohey. Geomicrobiological factors that control uranium mobility in the environment: Update on recent advances in the bioremediation of uranium-contaminated sites. Journal of Mineralogical and Petrological Sciences, 101. 6 (2006): 299-307. Japanese Association of Mineralogists Petrologists and Economic Geologists, c/o Graduate School of Science Tohoku University Aoba Sendai 981-0945 Japan, mailto:kyl04223@nifty.ne.jp], URL:http://wwwsoc.nacsis.ac.jp/jampeg/index.html; Taylor, J R. AN INTRODUCTION TO ERROR ANALYSIS: THE STUDY OF UNCERTAINTIES IN PHYSICAL MEASUREMENTS. AN INTRODUCTION TO ERROR ANALYSIS: THE STUDY OF UNCERTAINTIES IN PHYSICAL MEASUREMENTS. (1997): 327pp; TRACY, J. E.; OSTER, J. D.; BEAVER, R. J. Selenium in the southern coast range of California: well waters, mapped geological units, and related elements. Journal of environmental quality, 19. 1 (1990): 46-50. American Society of Agronomy; Crop Science Society of America; USA, Committee on Irrigation-Induced Water Quality Problems, National Research Council. Irrigation-induced water quality problems. What can be learned from the San Joaquin Valley experience. Irrigation-induced water quality problems. What can be learned from the San Joaquin Valley experience. (1989): 157 pp.. National Academic Press; Weres, Oleh; Bowman, Harry R; Goldstein, Aaron; Smith, Emily C; et al. The effect of nitrate and organic matter upon mobility of selenium in groundwater and in a water treatment process. Water, Air and Soil Pollution, 49. 3-4 (1990): 251-272. Reidel; WHITE, Art F.; BENSON, Sally M.; YEE, Andrew W.; WOLLENBERG, Harold A. Jr.; et al. Groundwater contamination at the Kesterson Reservoir, California; 2, Geochemical parameters influencing selenium mobility. Water resources research, 27. 6 (1991): 1085-1098. American Geophysical Union; Yabusaki, S B; Fang, Y L; Long, P E; Resch, C T; et al. Uranium removal from groundwater via in situ biostimulation: field-scale modeling of transport and biological processes. Journal of Contaminant Hydrology, 93. 1/4 (2007): 216-235. Elsevier; Zhang, H H; Wu, Z F; Yang, CL; Xia, B; et al. Spatial Distributions and Potential Risk Analysis of Total Soil Selenium in Guangdong Province, China. Journal of Environmental Quality, 37. 3 (2008): 780-787. American Society of Agronomy, 677 South Segoe Rd Madison WI 53711 USA; Zielinski, R A; AsherBolinder, S; Meier, A L; Johnson, C A; et al. Natural or fertilizer-derived uranium in irrigation drainage: A case study in southeastern Colorado, USA. APPLIED GEOCHEMISTRY, 12. 1 (1997): 9-21. PERGAMON-ELSEVIER SCIENCE LTD; ZIELINSKI, R. A.; ASHER-BOLINDER, S.; MEIER, A. L. Uraniferous waters of the Arkansas River Valley, Colorado, U.S.A.: a function of geology and land use. Applied geochemistry, 10. 2 (1995): 133-144. Elsevier, abstract={Prudent interventions for reducing selenium (Se) in groundwater and streams within an irrigated river valley must be guided by a sound understanding of current field conditions. An emerging picture of the nature of Se contamination within the Lower Arkansas River Valley in Colorado is provided by data from a large number of groundwater and surface water sampling locations within two study regions along the river. Measurements show that dissolved Se concentrations in the river are about double the current Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) chronic standard of 4.6 µg L^sup -1^ for aquatic habitat in the upstream region and exceed the standard by a factor of 2 to 4 in the downstream region. Groundwater concentrations average about 57.7 μg L^sup -1^ upstream and 33.0 μg L^sup -1^ downstream, indicating a large subsurface source for irrigation-induced dissolution and mobilization of Se loads to the river and its tributaries. Inverse correlation was found between Se concentration and the distance to the closest identified shale in the direction upstream along the principal groundwater flow gradient. The data also exhibited, among other relationships, a moderate to strong correlation between dissolved Se and total dissolved solids in groundwater and surface water, a strong correlation with uranium in groundwater, and power relationships with nitrate in groundwater. The relationship to nitrate, derived primarily from N fertilizers, reveals the degree to which dissolved Se depends on oxidation and inhibited reduction due to denitrification and suggests that there are prospects for reducing dissolved Se through nitrate control. Current and future results from these ongoing studies will help provide a foundation for modeling and for the discovery of best management practices (BMPs) in irrigated agriculture that can diminish Se contamination. PUBLICATION ABSTRACT], keywords={Environmental Studies; Water Pollutants, Chemical; Selenium; Creeks & streams; Groundwater; Surface water; Water quality; Data collection; United States--US; Oxidation-Reduction; Agriculture; Geography; Colorado; Selenium -- analysis; Water Pollutants, Chemical -- analysis; Rivers -- chemistry},

isbn={00472425}, language={English},

url={http://search.proquest.com/docview/347084601?accountid=10223}, }
}

### **Contact ProQuest**

Copyright © 2015 ProQuest LLC. All rights reserved. - Terms and Conditions