



What's in This Report

We analyzed your Clean Architecture implementation and created a comprehensive guide for improving it. Here are the documents:

1. Architecture Analysis Report (Main Document)

-  **50+ pages** of detailed analysis
- Current state assessment
- Problems identified
- Complete migration plan
- Code examples

2. Quick Reference Guide (Daily Use)

-  **Quick decision trees**
- "Where does this code go?"
- Common patterns
- Anti-patterns to avoid

3. Migration Example (Step-by-Step)

-  **Concrete refactoring example**
- Before/after code
- Step-by-step instructions
- Apply to all 24 use cases

4. Thunk Layer Discussion (Addresses Concerns)

-  "Won't thunks make Presentation too large?"
- Should we add helpers?
- Should we add another layer?
- Pragmatic recommendations

5. Container Location Rationale (Design Decision)

-  Why DI container lives outside layers
- Bootstrap vs business logic
- Where legacy code goes (src/old/)

6. Abstraction Strategy (What to Abstract)

-  **Beyond repositories, what should be abstracted?**
- Decision framework with checklist
- Analytics, Time Provider, Feature Flags
- Anti-patterns to avoid



Key Findings (TL;DR)



What's Working Well

1. **4-layer architecture is appropriate** for your complexity level
2. **Domain layer is excellent** - pure, no framework dependencies
3. **Repository pattern works well** - abstracts Firebase/Sanity
4. **DI provides value** for repositories and use cases
5. **Clear separation** between layers (mostly)

⚠ Critical Issues Found

1. 24 use cases coupled to Redux Toolkit (`createAsyncThunk`)

- Cannot test without Redux
- Cannot reuse in scripts/workers
- Violates framework-agnostic principle

2. StateManager in wrong layer (`application/` → should be `presentation/`)

- Redux is a delivery mechanism, not application logic

3. 3 dependency violations (Application imports Presentation)

- `MetricWithValue` type in wrong location
- Hormone generators in screens folder



Recommended Solution

Decision: Keep 4 Layers + Decouple Use Cases

Why 4 layers:

-  Right complexity for 24 use cases
-  Team already familiar
-  Industry standard
-  Clear boundaries

What changes:

1. Move thunks from use cases → presentation layer
2. Move StateManager → presentation layer
3. Fix type import violations
4. Use cases return `Promise<T>`, not `createAsyncThunk`

⚠ New Architecture Pattern

Before (Problematic)

```
// ✗ Application layer
@Injectable()
export class GetDataUseCase {
  execute = createAsyncThunk(/* Redux stuff */);
}
```

After (Clean)

```
// ✅ Application layer - pure business logic
@Injectable()
export class GetDataUseCase {
  async execute(params: Params): Promise<Result> {
    return await this.repository.getData(params);
  }
}

// ✅ Presentation layer - Redux integration
export const fetchData = createAsyncThunk("data/fetch", async (params) => {
  const useCase = container.resolve(GetDataUseCase);
  return await useCase.execute(params);
});
```



Migration Scope

Affected Files

Category	Count	Effort
Use cases to refactor	24	15-20 min each
Thunk files to create	~6	1-2 hours total
Redux slices to update	13	15 min each
ViewModels to update	~20	5 min each
Test files to update	~24	20 min each

Total Estimated Time: 27-36 hours (4-5 days)

Affected Use Cases (All 24)

LifeContext (7):

- GetLifeContextUseCase
- InitiateMenstruationLifeContextUseCase
- RestartMenstruationLifeContextUseCase
- CalculateMenstrualCyclesUseCase
- UpdateMenstrualPhaseLengthsDataUseCase
- RecomputeAfterLifeContextChangeUseCase
- GetStatusModeUseCase

MetricLog (4):

- SaveMetricLogsUseCase
- ListMetricLogsUseCase
- ListMetricCategoriesUseCase
- ListBleedingLogsUseCase

MyHealth (5):

- SetSicknessAndAilmentsUseCase
- SetSexualActivityUseCase
- SetPhysicalActivityUseCase
- SetDrugUseUseCase
- SetBirthControlUseCase

Other (8):

- SetActivityFrequencyUseCase
- ShouldShowCycleTrackingPromptUseCase
- ClosePostPartumsUseCase
- SaveMenstruationOnboardingDataUseCase
- GetInsightUseCase
- GetCarefeedItemsUseCase
- GetCarefeedFiltersUseCase
- SetUserInterestsUseCase



Suggested Timeline

Phase 1: Quick Wins (Week 1 - 1 day)

- Fix type import violations (30 min)
- Move StateManager to Presentation (3 hours)
- Move DI container to `src/container.ts` (15 min)
- Move legacy code to `src/old/` (30 min)
- **Deliverable:** Cleaner layer structure

Phase 2: Decouple Use Cases (Weeks 2-3 - 3-4 days)

-  Create thunk files (6-8 hours)
-  Refactor all 24 use cases (8-12 hours)
-  Update Redux slices (3-4 hours)
-  Update ViewModels (2-3 hours)
- **Deliverable:** Framework-agnostic use cases

Phase 3: Optimization (Week 4 - 1 day)

-  Simplify stateless services (2 hours)
-  Fix infrastructure violations (1 hour)
-  Add architecture tests (2 hours)
- **Deliverable:** Enforced boundaries



Benefits

Immediate

- Testability:** Use cases test without Redux
- Clarity:** Clear separation of concerns
- Standards:** Follows Clean Architecture principles

Long-term

- Flexibility:** Could swap Redux for Zustand/MobX
- Reusability:** Use cases in scripts, workers, CLI
- Maintainability:** Easier onboarding, clearer patterns
- Quality:** Enforced boundaries prevent violations



Next Steps

1. Team Review (This Week)

- [] Read executive summary (this document)
- [] Review [main report](#) sections 1-6
- [] Review [migration example](#)
- [] Discuss and approve plan

2. Plan Sprint (Next Week)

- [] Create tracking issues (24 use cases + setup tasks)
- [] Assign work (pair programming recommended)
- [] Set up branch: architecture/decouple-use-cases

3. Execute Migration (Weeks 2-4)

- [] Phase 1: Quick wins
- [] Phase 2: Refactor use cases (can be parallelized)
- [] Phase 3: Optimization

4. Document (Ongoing)

- [] Update team wiki with new patterns
- [] Add [quick reference](#) to onboarding
- [] Code review checklist



How to Use These Documents

For Team Leads

👉 Read: [Architecture Analysis Report](#) (full details)

For Developers (Daily)

👉 Use: [Quick Reference Guide](#) (where to put code)

For Refactoring

- 👉 Follow: [Migration Example](#) (step-by-step guide)

For Addressing Concerns

- 👉 See: [Thunk Layer Discussion](#) (helper/layer concerns)
- 👉 See: [Container Location Rationale](#) (why outside layers)

For Architecture Decisions

- 👉 See: [Abstraction Strategy](#) (what should be abstracted)

? FAQ 1/2

Q: Do we have to do this?

A: No, but highly recommended. Current pattern violates Clean Architecture principles and makes testing/reusability difficult.

Q: Can we do this incrementally?

A: Yes! Start with Phase 1 (quick wins), then tackle use cases one at a time.

Q: Will this break anything?

A: No. This is a refactoring - behavior stays the same, structure improves.

? FAQ 2/2

Q: How long will this take?

A: 27-36 hours total (~4-5 days of focused work). Can be parallelized across team.

Q: What's the ROI?

A: Better testability, maintainability, and flexibility. Easier to onboard new developers.

Q: Can we simplify to 3 layers instead?

A: Not recommended. You have 24 use cases - the Application layer provides clear value.



Questions or Clarifications?

- **Architecture concerns:** Review Section 6 of [main report](#)
- **Migration steps:** See [Migration Example](#)
- **Daily decisions:** Use [Quick Reference](#)
- **"Too large" concerns:** Read [Thunk Layer Discussion](#)
- **Container location:** Read [Container Location Rationale](#)
- **What to abstract:** Read [Abstraction Strategy](#)
- **Technical details:** See [Main Report](#)

Approval Checklist

Before starting migration:

- [] Team has reviewed all documents
- [] Migration plan is approved
- [] Timeline is agreed upon
- [] Tracking issues created
- [] Branch created
- [] Pair programming partners assigned

Report Status:  Complete and ready for review

Recommended Action: Schedule team review meeting

Priority: High (architectural debt)

Risk: Low (pure refactoring, no behavior changes)



Complete Document Set

1. [**Architecture Report Summary**](#) ← You are here
2. [**Architecture Analysis Report**](#) (50+ pages, detailed)
3. [**Architecture Quick Reference**](#) (daily use)
4. [**Migration Example**](#) (step-by-step guide)
5. [**Thunk Layer Discussion**](#) (addresses size concerns)
6. [**Container Location Rationale**](#) (bootstrap design)
7. [**Abstraction Strategy**](#) (what to abstract)

