

This session

1. Review of case-control and cohort studies designs
2. What is a biobank?
3. Small group activity: case-control and cohort designs
Exercise (30 minutes)
Presentation (30 minutes)
4. Review of STAT 1-3 MCQs

Observational studies


- The investigator simply observes...
- ...but has control over:
 - **choice of subjects**
 - **follow subjects prospectively or retrospectively**
 - **size of the sample**

Randomised controlled trials


Examine the relative efficacy of treatments or **interventions** in human subjects.

Case-control and cohort studies

Cohort study



Case-control study



Measuring outcomes

- Cohort study: Relative Risk
- Case-control study: Odds Ratio

Risk factor	Cases with disease	Controls without disease
Exposed	a	b
Not exposed	c	d

Table: $OR = \frac{a/c}{b/d}$


Developed the disease	Exposed	Not exposed	Total
Yes	a	b	a+b
No	c	d	c+d

Table: $RR = \frac{a/(a+c)}{b/(b+d)}$

What is a biobank

A new kind of large cohorts

Biobanks: large biomedical databases containing data on participants from traditional questionnaires, in addition to biological samples, for instance to help evaluate the association between genetic variation, environmental exposures for risk of disease.



The screenshot shows the UK Biobank website. At the top, there is a navigation bar with the URL 'ukbiobank.ac.uk' and three buttons: 'Researcher log in', 'Participant log in', and 'Contact us'. Below the navigation bar, the UK Biobank logo is displayed, followed by the tagline 'Enabling scientific discoveries that improve human health'. The main content area features a large image of a server room with a central aisle. Overlaid on the left side of this image is a text box with the heading 'Enabling your vision to improve public health' and a paragraph: 'Data drives discovery. We have curated a uniquely powerful biomedical database that can be accessed globally for public health research. Explore data from half a million UK Biobank participants to enable new discoveries to improve public health.' Below this text are two buttons: 'Data Showcase' and 'Future data releases'. At the bottom of the page, a paragraph states: 'UK Biobank is a large-scale biomedical database and research resource, containing in-depth genetic and health information from half a million UK participants. The database is regularly augmented with additional data and is globally accessible to approved researchers undertaking vital research into the most common and life-threatening diseases. It is a major contributor to the advancement of modern medicine and treatment and has enabled several scientific discoveries that improve human health.'

Scenario

- Pancreatic cancer: 10th most common cancer, with the lowest survival.

Scenario

- Pancreatic cancer: 10th most common cancer, with the lowest survival.
- Early diagnosis is crucial to improve survival outcomes.

Scenario

- Pancreatic cancer: 10th most common cancer, with the lowest survival.
- Early diagnosis is crucial to improve survival outcomes.
- Some data provide preliminary support for use of imaging methods (endoscopic ultrasound and MRI) if patients screened are **at increased risk** of pancreatic cancer. Therefore an accurate, non-invasive way to identify people at sufficiently risk could enable the development of pancreatic cancer screening.

Scenario

- Pancreatic cancer: 10th most common cancer, with the lowest survival.
- Early diagnosis is crucial to improve survival outcomes.
- Some data provide preliminary support for use of imaging methods (endoscopic ultrasound and MRI) if patients screened are **at increased risk** of pancreatic cancer. Therefore an accurate, non-invasive way to identify people at sufficiently risk could enable the development of pancreatic cancer screening.
- There have been investigations on the utility of a variety of **biomarkers** to identify those at sufficiently increased risk of pancreatic cancer to justify screening using imaging.

Scenario

- Pancreatic cancer: 10th most common cancer, with the lowest survival.
- Early diagnosis is crucial to improve survival outcomes.
- Some data provide preliminary support for use of imaging methods (endoscopic ultrasound and MRI) if patients screened are **at increased risk** of pancreatic cancer. Therefore an accurate, non-invasive way to identify people at sufficiently risk could enable the development of pancreatic cancer screening.
- There have been investigations on the utility of a variety of **biomarkers** to identify those at sufficiently increased risk of pancreatic cancer to justify screening using imaging.
- Barts Cancer Institute is the Pancreatic Cancer Research Fund Tissue Bank - set up in 2016, it has collected:

Scenario

- Pancreatic cancer: 10th most common cancer, with the lowest survival.
- Early diagnosis is crucial to improve survival outcomes.
- Some data provide preliminary support for use of imaging methods (endoscopic ultrasound and MRI) if patients screened are **at increased risk** of pancreatic cancer. Therefore an accurate, non-invasive way to identify people at sufficiently risk could enable the development of pancreatic cancer screening.
- There have been investigations on the utility of a variety of **biomarkers** to identify those at sufficiently increased risk of pancreatic cancer to justify screening using imaging.
- Barts Cancer Institute is the Pancreatic Cancer Research Fund Tissue Bank - set up in 2016, it has collected:
 - Blood samples from 2,200 consenting patients who underwent biopsies or surgery for pancreatic diseases, including pancreatic cancers (also urine, saliva and tissue samples);

Scenario

- Pancreatic cancer: 10th most common cancer, with the lowest survival.
- Early diagnosis is crucial to improve survival outcomes.
- Some data provide preliminary support for use of imaging methods (endoscopic ultrasound and MRI) if patients screened are **at increased risk** of pancreatic cancer. Therefore an accurate, non-invasive way to identify people at sufficiently risk could enable the development of pancreatic cancer screening.
- There have been investigations on the utility of a variety of **biomarkers** to identify those at sufficiently increased risk of pancreatic cancer to justify screening using imaging.
- Barts Cancer Institute is the Pancreatic Cancer Research Fund Tissue Bank - set up in 2016, it has collected:
 - Blood samples from 2,200 consenting patients who underwent biopsies or surgery for pancreatic diseases, including pancreatic cancers (also urine, saliva and tissue samples);
 - Large numbers of healthy control blood (also urine and saliva).

Question 1

Which study design is appropriate?

How would you design a study to investigate whether or not a proposed biomarker (or a combined panel of biomarkers) is an effective tool for identifying those at high risk of pancreatic cancer, in order to enable early detection of pancreatic cancer?

Question 2

How measure?

What summary measure of risk associated with the biomarker could you use for each study?

Main research question

We've got funding!

Assume that Cancer Research UK is going to fund **two different studies** to investigate **whether your research group's biomarker panel can help stratify risk of pancreatic cancer**, and enable early detection that might lead to improvements in public health outcomes. One will be a **case-control study**, that will report its results within 3 years and the other will be a **cohort study** which will report its results within 13 years.

Review of MCQ from STAT1 - STAT3

Join the menti quiz:

Join the poll at the link

<https://www.menti.com/zc2nq3h3mq>

Or go to <https://www.menti.com> and use the code **2106 7080**.



