# VILLAGE OF OAK PARK TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION MEETING MONDAY, JUNE 13, 2016 - 7:00 PM ROOM 101 - VILLAGE HALL

#### **AGENDA**

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Non-agenda Public Comment up to 15 minutes
- 3. Agenda Approval
- 4. Approval of Draft Transportation Commission Meeting Minutes
  - 4.1 Draft May 23, 2016 Transportation Commission meeting minutes
- 5. FINAL REVIEW OF THE Y2, Y3, AND Y4 ZONES STUDY RECOMMENDATIONS AS DEVELOPED BY THE TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION AND INCORPORATED BY STAFF
  - 5.1 Staff Agenda Item Commentary
  - 5.2 Proposed Daytime Restriction Options
  - 5.3 Pros and Cons of Changing Daytime Restrictions Per Option
  - 5.4 Incorporated Draft Recommendations from the Commission and Staff
  - 5.5 Map of the Recommended Expanded Overnight Permit Parking Areas
- 6. Adjourn

# DRAFT Meeting Minutes Transportation Commission Monday, May 23, 2016 Council Chambers – Village Hall

# Call to Order and Roll Call

Chair Jack Chalabian called the meeting to order at 7:00 PM.

Present: Jack Chalabian, Kyle Eichenberger, Joel Schoenmeyer, Mark Patzloff,

Michael Stewart, Will Gillespie

Excused: Craig Chesney

Staff: Mike Koperniak, Jill Juliano, Mary Avinger

There was no non-agenda public testimony.

# Approval of Tonight's Meeting Agenda

Commissioner Schoenmeyer motioned to approve the agenda as presented and was seconded by Commissioner Stewart. The motion was approved by a unanimous voice vote.

# Approval of the Draft April 28, 2016 Meeting Minutes

Commissioner Eichenberger motioned to approve the draft April 28, 2016, Transportation Commission meeting minutes and was seconded by Commissioner Patzloff. The motion was approved by a unanimous voice vote.

# Approval of the Draft May 9, 2016 Meeting Minutes

Commissioner Eichenberger motioned to approve the draft May 9, 2016, Transportation Commission meeting minutes and was seconded by Commissioner Patzloff. The motion was approved by a unanimous voice vote

### CONTINUED DEVELOPMENT OF A TRAFFIC CALMING TOOLBOX

Mike Koperniak gave a presentation that began by going over the recommendations from the previous meeting and stating that the Commission will be choosing a point system and how items will be scored by answering questions and reviewing criteria. Mike's presentation included a chart that showed examples of point assignment systems and criteria for eight communities across the country.

Chair Chalabian asked about the crash rate per million of entering vehicles for Oak Park. Mike Koperniak responded that staff does not have that information at the time

but that it can be generated from the Village's 1997-99 Village-wide study which split into four sections.

Commissioner Stewart asked if information will include pedestrian and cyclist crashes. Jill Juliano responded that it will also be separated out.

Commissioner Eichenberger asked if score will be more if a child is involved. Jill Juliano responded that the Commission can choose the severity rate based on the situation.

Commissioner Patzloff asked about the difference between rates per miles versus vehicle. Mike Koperniak responded the information is based on street intersections versus street segments.

The discussion continued with the criteria chosen by the Commission during the last meeting: Bike Routes, Community Support, Crashes, Pedestrian Traffic Generators, Speed, and Traffic Volume. Additional criteria discussed as potential criteria factors included sight distance, cut-through traffic, non-local traffic, schools or playgrounds, and safe routes to school crossing the subject street.

The Commission decided on developing a standardized scoring system and that criteria should be scored so if there is no real problem, the petition won't meet a certain threshold. The discussion continued based on each point of criteria in regards to level of importance, weight of the score, and level of intensity and how to quantify it based on intensity of use.

The Commission decided on the following point system: Crashes (20), Speed (20), Traffic Volume (20), Pedestrian Traffic Generators (15), Community Support (15), and, Bike Routes/Non-Bike routes (10).

Chair Chalabian suggested the Commission use a couple of previous petitions as examples to see how they would have scored.

The Commission decided Vehicle Crashes will have a maximum 20 points. Chair Chalabian stated he likes the Centennial model but would like to extend the time to a 3 year period. Three crashes in a 3 year period (5 points), 6-12 correctable crashes in a 3 year period (10 points), and more than 15 in one year and any correctable crash involving injury to a pedestrian / cyclist (5 points).

The Commission also decided Vehicle Speed will have a maximum of 20 points. It was determined that vehicles at or below speed limit which is generally the 85% percentile would receive no points. Depending on the amount of speed over the posted speed limit would determine how many points are issued be it 5, 10, 15, or 20. The Commission also discussed issuing 4 points for every mph over the posted speed limit and 5 points for excessive speed.

0616-1 4.1 3/3

Chair Chalabian would like to use the Centennial model as a base for Traffic Volubecause it is easy to understand and could be customized to fit Oak Park.

The Commissioners looked at the June and July calendars and determined the next meeting would be on June 13<sup>th</sup> to discuss Y1, Y2, and Y3 zones and on June 27<sup>th</sup> to discuss revising the St. Catherine's Safety Plan and finalizing methodology. The Commission will take off the month July and will meet again in August.

Commissioner Stewart motioned to adjourn the meeting and the motion was seconded by Commissioner Schoenmeyer.

The voice vote was unanimous to adjourn the meeting.

The meeting was adjourned at 8:38 PM.

Respectively submitted

Mary Avinger,

Mary Avinger

Administrative Secretary

# Village Of Oak Park Transportation Commission Agenda Item

Item Title:	nal Review of the Y2, Y3, and Y4 Zones Study Recommendations as Developed by the				
	Transportation Commission and Incorporated by Staff				
Review Date:	June 13, 2016				
Prepared By:	Tina R. Brown, Parking Restrictions Coordinator				

# Abstract (briefly describe the item being reviewed):

At the Transportation Commission meeting on Monday, May 9, 2016, staff presented a recommendation to add an additional 75 overnight permit parking spaces at 7 locations within the Y2, Y3, and Y4 overnight permit parking zones. This recommendation was based on internal review, the Transportation Commissions' survey evaluations, as well as previous public input from residents and businesses. During the meeting, discussion ensued concerning the 2-hour daytime parking restriction being difficult to manage and enforce. As a result, staff agreed to provide options for standardizing time limitations within the subject zones at the next Transportation Commission meeting.

The attached 4 maps describe the recommended options for establishing time limitations where daytime restrictions already exist in the study boundaries (see below). Please review these options for discussion at the upcoming June 13, 2016 Transportation Commission meeting. Also, included in this agenda material are the pros and cons of revising the daytime restrictions per option. This information is being provided to help the Commission identify the most viable and effective option to recommend to the Village Board of Trustees for approval. Lastly, please note that the blocks along business districts are excluded in order to maintain shared customer parking resources.

#### Map Option #0

Existing conditions.

# Map Option #1

No Parking 8AM-10AM, Monday thru Friday on both sides of <u>north and south</u> streets and No Parking 10AM-12PM, Monday thru Friday on both sides of the <u>east and west</u> streets.

# Map Option #2

No Parking 8AM-10AM, Monday thru Friday on west and south sides of streets and No Parking 10AM-12PM, Monday thru Friday on east and north sides of street.

#### Map Option #3

No Parking 8AM-10AM, Monday thru Friday on all streets.

Staff Recommendation(s):		
N/A.		

0616-5.2 1/4

0616-1 5.2 2/4

0616-1 5.2 3/4

0616-1 5.2 4/4

# Consideration of daytime restrictions in Y2 & Y3 & Y4 area

#### Option 1:

"No Parking 8am-10am Monday-Friday" on N-S streets - both sides and

"No Parking 10am-12pm Monday-Friday" E-W streets - both sides

#### Pros:

- Easy sweeping, leaf removal, and snow removal
- Easy enforcement of restrictions for the area
- Shifting of early-arrival commuter parking to garages and paid lots
- Shifting of early-arrival employee parking to garages and paid lots
- Residential blocks become more available to residents after 12pm
- Blocks in the vicinity of the businesses become more available to customers after 10am/12pm

#### Cons:

- Competition for the E-W streets from the permit holders that have a later start time or have a variable schedule. Vehicles would engage in space search patterns in the weekdays mornings.
- Great adverse impact on an estimated 300 (of 744) overnight permit holders who are home in the morning, or have a variable schedule (not 8am-5pm) as they would have to move the vehicles to different blocks in the weekdays mornings. 24hr lots are only available at a long distance (3-6 blocks distance).
- Contractor/Trade vehicles would not be able to perform work on the area blocks
  without securing a day pass. Currently the day passline is only open 6am-8am
  in advance of enforcement staff starting the shift. If working more than a couple of
  hours they would have to move the vehicles on a different block.
- Adverse impact on such workers as caregivers, nannies, and home cleaning staff
  who would have to park at a distance in garages or paid parking lots. If working
  more than a couple of hours they would have to move the vehicles on a different
  block.
- Adverse impact on residents that pick up and drop off children in the morning before school from a block with a "No Parking 8am-10am Monday-Friday" restriction.
- Adverse impact on parents dropping off children near Brooks middle schools.
- Adverse affect on certain businesses as customers could not park on blocks before 12pm lunch hour ("No Parking 10am-12pm Monday-Friday") for example Poor Phil's, Marion Street Cheese Market)

#### Option 2:

"No Parking 8am-10am Monday-Friday" on W & S sides and

"No Parking 10am-12pm Monday-Friday" on E & N sides

#### Pros:

- Easy sweeping, leaf removal, and snow removal
- Easy enforcement of restrictions for the area
- Shifting of early-arrival commuter parking to garages and paid lots
- Shifting of early-arrival employee parking to garages and paid lots
- Residential blocks become more available to residents after 12pm
- Blocks in the vicinity of the businesses become more available to customers after 10am/12pm

#### Cons:

- Competition for the E-W streets from the permit holders that have a later start time or have a variable schedule. Vehicles would engage in space search patterns in the weekdays mornings.
- Great adverse impact on an estimated 300 (of 744) overnight permit holders who are home in the morning, or have a variable schedule (not 8am-5pm) as they would have to move the vehicles to different blocks in the weekdays mornings. 24hr lots are only available at a long distance (3-6 blocks distance).
- Contractor/Trade vehicles would not be able to perform work on the area blocks without securing a day pass. Currently the day passline is only open 6am-8am in advance of enforcement staff starting the shift. If working more than a couple of hours they would have to move the vehicles on a different block.
- Adverse impact on such workers as caregivers, nannies, and home cleaning staff who would have to park at a distance in garages or paid parking lots. If working more than a couple of hours they would have to move the vehicles on a different block
- Adverse impact on residents that pick up and drop off children in the morning before school from a block with a "No Parking 8am-10am Monday-Friday" restriction.
- Adverse impact on parents dropping off children near Brooks middle schools.
- Adverse affect on certain businesses as customers could not park on blocks before 12pm lunch hour ("No Parking 10am-12pm Monday-Friday") for example Poor Phil's, Marion Street Cheese Market)

#### Option 3:

"No Parking 8am-10am Monday-Friday" everywhere

#### Pros:

- Easy sweeping, leaf removal, and snow removal
- Easy enforcement of restrictions for the area
- Shifting of early-arrival commuter parking to garages and paid lots
- Shifting of early-arrival employee parking to garages and paid lots
- Residential blocks become more available to residents after 10am
- Blocks in the vicinity of the businesses become more available to customers after 10am

#### Cons:

- Great adverse impact on an estimated 300 (of 744) overnight permit holders who are home in the morning, or have a variable schedule (not 8am-5pm) as they would have no place to move the car to in the mornings. 24hr lots are only available at a long distance (3-6 blocks distance).
- Contractor/Trade vehicles would not be able to perform work on the area blocks without securing a day pass. Currently the day passline is only open am-8am in advance of enforcement staff starting the shift.
- Adverse impact on such workers as caregivers, nannies, and home cleaning staff who would have to park at a distance in garages or paid parking lots.
- Adverse impact on residents that pick up and drop off children in the morning before school.
- Adverse impact on parents dropping off children near Brooks middle schools.

#### Y2, Y3, & Y4 PARKING STUDY & RECOMMANDATIONS

Item#	Zone	PATTERNS/BEHAVIORS	Commission Recommendations	STAFF RECOMMENDATION	COMMENTS
		Conflicting time restrictions at corner of	Need uniform restrictions; Expand parking to new blocks.	Standardize <u>overnight</u> Y2 hours to 11pm-6am at the specified location on Pleasant (Harlem to Marion) and	Pros: More parking spaces in the area will be utilized by customers. Cons: Permit holders may have to park farther in
1		Pleasant/Maple (time allowed varies); At		Maple ( Randolph to South Blvd.)	the Y2 zone.
	Y2	Pleasant between Home			
	12	and Marion conflicting			
		interests between business			
		patrons turnover vs.			
		residents.			
			Potential for overnight permits	1) Add 5 spaces for Y2 <u>overnight</u> on the NW side of 100 S	1) Pros: Increased availability for resident overnight permit
			are: 1) Along the west side of	Kenilworth in front of parking lot (see Map A). Remove	parking. Cons: See attached 2005 petition from 100 S
			Kenilworth south of the Green	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Kenilworth residents. Narrow thru access for opposite
					driving traffic when vehicles are parked on both sides of the
					street. ***** 2) Pros: Increased availability for resident
			′		overnight permit parking. Cons: Reduced temporary parking
			Blvd.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	for businesses patrons and visitors. ***** 3) Unclear
			+ staff recommended: 5) & 6)	restrictions & maintain "2hr 9am-5pm" daytime	proposed location of spaces. ***** 4) The 200 block of
					Home is used for temporary parking for all area permit
2	Y2			spaces. ***** 4) Staff does not recommend overnight	holders as well as guest parking. ****** 5) Pros: Increased
				parking be added to the 200 block of Home. ****** 5) Add	, , , , ,
				l · ————	Reduced temporary parking for visitors.  ****** 6)
				i	Increased availability of much needed 24hr parking in the
				restrictions. Do not add any other <u>daytime</u> restrictions.  ****** 6) Create a new lot "Lot 119" in the 7 spaces on N	area.
				side of 1000 Pleasant Place offering 24hr permit parking	
				(see Map D).	
				loce map 5/	

Item#	Zone	PATTERNS/BEHAVIORS	Commission Recommendations	STAFF RECOMMENDATION	сомментѕ
3	Y2	district. Metered spaces remained mostly available. Y2 permitted locations	Make permit parking times consistent throughout (areas start and end at various times). Possibly add overnight spaces along YMCA loading zone as it has no restrictions outside of 7am-7pm timeframe.  See Clinton comment. Parking is	Adding permitted overnight spaces is not recommended as specified spaces are/can be used by YMCA gym members. Staff has proposed the creation of Lot 119 (in item 2, Map D) to secure additional 24hr spaces in the vicinity of the YMCA.  Due to the narrow width of the street on that block, and	Adding overnight spaces even with shared parking (standardized hours 11pm-6am) would mean that Y2 permit holders would park there early in the evening, around 6pm, and YMCA members & would not be able to have accessible parking
4	Y2	available on weekend	on one side of the street on Pleasant between Clinton and Kenilworth but is on the side of the street with several driveways vs uninterrupted curb in front of apartments.	the "No Parking Anytime" restriction on the S side of the block, additional overnight parking spaces cannot be added.	
5	Y2	Parking less available on west side near multi- family. Residential areas are mixed but most have street parking available.	<ul> <li>- Underused parking near the YMCA.</li> <li>- On South Boulevard there is potential for shared parking.</li> </ul>	- Adding permitted overnight spaces is not recommended as specified spaces are/can be used by YMCA gym members. Staff has proposed the creation of Lot 119 (in item 2, Map D) to secure additional 24hr spaces in the vicinity of the YMCA.  Adding overnight permit parking on South Blvd. which is a snow route is not recommended.	
6	Y3		The zone could be pared down to fully exclude some blocks south of Madison and split to separately consider the areas north and south of Madison. Madison also presents an opportunity for additional parking overnight but street speeds would have to be reduced to help foster a saver environment.	- Adding overnight permit parking on Madison, which is in the process of being redeveloped and is also a snow route, is not recommended Pursuant to previous comment from commissioner, staff will look at securing a lease agreement for additional parking spaces with Rush Hospital and West Suburban Hospital.	

Item#	Zone	PATTERNS/BEHAVIORS	Commission Recommendations	STAFF RECOMMENDATION	COMMENTS
		Short to medium stays are	Make overnight permits and 2-	- We are in the process of standardizing the overnight	
		normal on Sundays	hour regulations more uniform	parking restrictions to 11pm-6am.	
			(variety of times). Add Y3 to	Topic for discussion with Commissioners: which daytime	
7	Y3		Madison Avenue, in particular	restrictions should be the standard for the area? There are	
			Madison and Wisconsin.	"2hr 9am-5pm M-F", "2hr 10am-5pm M-F", "No Parking	
				8am-10am M-F", "4hr 10am-5pm M-F", "3hr 10am-5pm M-	
				F".	
				Add 12 spaces for Y3 overnight parking spaces on the S side	
				of Randolph between Wisconsin and Home (see Map G).	
8	Y3			Add Wednesday <u>daytime</u> Street Cleaning restrictions. Do	
				not add any other <u>daytime</u> restrictions.	
			The Chase bank parking lot could	- A previous lease agreement with Chase was terminated	
			provide some relief if a deal	by the bank which is currently not interested in renewing.	
			could be worked out in addition	- Adding overnight permit parking on Madison, which is in	
			to space along Madison Street.	the process of being redeveloped and is also a snow route,	
			In front of Sugar Beet on	is not recommended.	
			Madison there was under-		
			utilized street parking but due to		
9	Y4		poor lane markings, fast-moving		
			traffic often traveled in the		
			parking lane. Additional		
			opportunities exist on street frontage that abuts the side of		
			multi-family developments.		
			mate family acvelopments.		
			There is Y4 potential on the	- Adding overnight permit parking on Madison, which is in	
10			north and south sides of the	the process of being redeveloped and is also a snow route,	
			1100 block of Madison - Also	is not recommended Staff	
	Y4			agrees that there should not be open parking during the	
				day and we will pursue time restrictions in front of store.	
			spaces along Madison in front of		
			the store (30-40 min. max.)		
			Potential for overnight permits	Add 6 spaces for Y4 <u>overnight</u> on the NW side of 400	Pros: Increased availability for resident overnight permit
11	Y4			Clinton (see Map E). Add Wednesday <u>daytime</u> Street	parking. Cons: Reduced temporary parking for visitors.
	-			Cleaning restrictions & maintain "2hr 9am-5pm" daytime	
				restrictions.	

Item#	Zone	PATTERNS/BEHAVIORS	Commission Recommendations	STAFF RECOMMENDATION	COMMENTS
			Randolph (south side) Avenue	Add 24 spaces for Y4 overnight on the S side of Randolph	Pros: Increased availability for resident overnight permit
			along the Staff parking for Brooks	between Clinton and the first alley to the W of Oak Park	parking. Cons: Less visitor daytime parking availability if
			School; and	Ave (see Map F). Add Wednesday <u>daytime</u> Street Cleaning	overnight permit holders leave vehicles there during the
12	Y4			restrictions. Remove existing daytime school staff parking	day.
				due to the fact that they are not being utilized. Do not add	
				any other daytime restrictions.	
			Conflicting signage on the W side	Remove the existing "No Parking 7am-9am & 4pm-6pm M-	(see Mike Koperniak 5/1/2015 memorandum)
			of 400 block S Oak Park Ave.	F" <u>daytime</u> restriction. Standardize the Y4 <u>overnight</u>	
			(Washington to Madison)	permit hours to 11pm-6am. Retain the Wednesday street	
13	Y4			cleaning restriction and implement the "4hr 10am-4pm M-	
				Sat" <u>daytime</u> restrictions. Lengthen the bus stop of	
				Washington Blvd. by 35 feet.	

