

Reference Point

The role of glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate in treatment for and prevention of osteoarthritis in animals

Kirsten M. Neil, BVSc; John P. Caron, DVM, MVSc, DACVS; Michael W. Orth, PhD

Joint disease, in particular osteoarthritis, is an important cause of lameness and debilitation for humans and other animals. Presently, a number of pharmacologic agents are available for treatment for osteoarthritis, including nutraceuticals containing glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate. Although to date clinical trials in veterinary patients are limited, trials conducted in humans have for the most part provided encouraging results. Results of in vitro studies suggest that these compounds may impede the progression of joint degeneration in osteoarthritis.

The purpose of this review is to provide veterinary practitioners with up-to-date information regarding the mechanism of action, pharmacokinetics, clinical efficacy, and safety of glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate.

From the Departments of Large Animal Clinical Science (Neil, Caron) and Animal Science (Orth), College of Veterinary Medicine, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824-1314. Dr. Neil's present address is Scone Veterinary Hospital, 106 Liverpool St, Scone, New South Wales, Australia, 2337.

The authors thank Maggie Hofmann for artistic assistance. Address correspondence to Dr. Caron.

Structure of Articular Cartilage and Pathophysiology of Osteoarthritis

Chondrocytes, the cellular component of articular cartilage, are responsible for the synthesis and maintenance of the extracellular matrix in which they are embedded. The extracellular matrix is composed of collagen (predominantly type II collagen) and proteoglycans, with a smaller percentage of glycoproteins (Figure 1). Type II collagen arranged in fibrils is responsible for the tensile strength of articular cartilage.¹ Proteoglycans consist of a central protein core to which 1 or more glycosaminoglycan (GAG) side chains are attached. In turn, GAGs are composed of repeating disaccharide units of hexosamine (glucosamine or galactosamine) alternating with another residue of glucuronate, iduronate, or galactose. The largest and most predominant proteoglycan in cartilage is aggrecan.²

Chondrocytes synthesize aggrecan by covalently attaching GAGs (chondroitin sulfate and keratan sulfate) to a central protein core of proteoglycans in an organized manner. This core protein of the proteogly-




Figure 1—Illustrations of synovial joint structure, articular cartilage components, and the extracellular matrix of articular cartilage. Articular cartilage has sparse cells (chondrocytes) that synthesize the extracellular matrix in which they are embedded. The extracellular matrix is predominantly composed of proteoglycans and type II collagen arranged in fibrils. Proteoglycans are composed of a central protein core to which various glycosaminoglycan side chains are attached via a link protein region. Aggrecan is the largest and most predominant proteoglycan in articular cartilage and has side chains of chondroitin sulfate and keratan sulfate.

can form aggregates with hyaluronan, a nonsulfated GAG. A separate globular protein termed link protein assists this binding.³ The precursors of GAGs are amino sugars, such as glucosamine, that are synthesized via the hexosamine biosynthetic pathway from glucose (Figure 2). Much of the compressive resistance of cartilage is attributable to these GAG side chains. Specifically, as GAGs are negatively charged, they attract water and it is the water content that imparts resistance to compression and load dissipation.⁴

Osteoarthritis occurs in previously normal joints that may have been damaged by various factors; most commonly in veterinary patients, the damage is caused by trauma.⁵ Although all articular tissues are damaged by this disease, the hallmark of osteoarthritis is the progressive and permanent degeneration of articular cartilage.¹⁻³ Grossly, articular cartilage degeneration is apparent as fibrillation, erosion, and wear lines.⁶ Cartilage loss can be estimated via joint space narrowing on radiographs of affected joints obtained during weight bearing.^{7,8} Other radiographic abnormalities are also useful in the evaluation of osteoarthritis, and the disease is typified and classified by radiographic manifestations including osteophyte production, subchondral bone sclerosis, and periosteal proliferation.

Cartilage loss in osteoarthritis occurs as a consequence of enzymatic degradation of the extracellular matrix, resulting in loss of proteoglycans and cleavage of type II collagen.⁹ Several enzymes are responsible for the degradation, including the **matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs)** and aggrecanases. The MMPs are zinc-dependent proteinases involved in the normal physiologic turnover of cartilage. The MMPs have nat-

urally produced inhibitors termed **tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases (TIMPs)** that help regulate MMP activity.¹⁰ In osteoarthritis, the production of TIMPs is insufficient to control increased MMP activity.^{11,12}

Matrix metalloproteinases are similar in structure but differ somewhat in their preferred substrates. Specifically, collagenases (MMP-1, -8, and -13) cleave the intact triple helix of collagen.¹³ These collagen fragments are susceptible to further proteolysis by gelatinases (MMP-2 and -9), enzymes that can also cleave aggrecan.¹⁴ Stromelysins (MMP-3, -10, and -11) are capable of degrading aggrecan, denatured type II collagen, and small proteoglycans of the extracellular matrix.¹⁵

Aggrecanases appear to be the principal mediators of aggrecan degradation.¹⁶ Their activity results in the release of core protein and GAG constituents of aggrecan into synovial fluid.¹⁷ The GAG fragments have been used as markers of cartilage loss in osteoarthritis. Aggrecanases, like MMPs, are metalloproteinases and can be inhibited by TIMPs.¹⁸

Osteoarthritis is associated with increased concentrations of other inflammatory mediators such as nitric oxide and prostanooids, particularly **prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂)**. Nitric oxide is a cytotoxic free radical, the by-product of oxygenation of L-arginine by nitric oxide synthase. Nitric oxide induces a number of pathophysiologic events characteristic of osteoarthritis, including enhanced MMP synthesis¹⁹ and reduced synthesis of **interleukin-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1Ra)**,²⁰ proteoglycan,²¹ and type II collagen.²² Prostaglandin E₂ is present in increased concentrations in synovial fluid of animals with osteoarthritis.²³ Purported actions of

PGE₂ in affected joints include vasodilation and cartilage proteoglycan depletion. Furthermore, this mediator enhances pain perception, and synovial fluid PGE₂ concentrations are correlated with those of substance P,²⁴ a neurotransmitter found in high concentration in synovial fluid of patients with osteoarthritis.²⁵

Central to the induction of degradative enzyme and inflammatory mediator synthesis in osteoarthritis tissues are a number of cytokines, the most important of which is IL-1.²⁶ Cytokines appear to be first produced by cells of the synovial membrane²⁷ and later by activated chondrocytes.²⁸ Interleukin-1 mediates its effects on cells through a cell membrane-associated receptor (IL-1R),²⁶ the expression of which increases in osteoarthritis, resulting in cells that are more sensitive to stimulation by IL-1.²⁹ In vitro, the response to stimulation with IL-1 is multifactorial, with effects on degradative enzymes, inflammatory mediators, and extracellular matrix components.³⁰⁻³⁵ In general, IL-1 acts to enhance cartilage degeneration and inhibit efforts at repair (Appendix).




Figure 2—Illustration of the hexosamine synthetic pathway depicting synthesis of glucosamine and its derivatives, including glycosaminoglycans such as chondroitin sulfate, keratan sulfate, and hyaluronate (hyaluronic acid). Exogenous glucosamine is phosphorylated to glucose-6-phosphate, bypassing rate-limiting steps in the pathway. Exogenous N-acetylglucosamine can directly enter the pathway as a substrate for glycosaminoglycan synthesis. Chondroitin sulfate, one of the end products of the synthetic pathway, can be supplied in exogenous form for direct incorporation into proteoglycans.

Structure and Pharmacokinetics of Glucosamine and Chondroitin Sulfate

Glucosamine—Glucosamine is an amino monosaccharide (2-amino-2-deoxy- α -D-glucose) that, once modified as N-acetylglucosamine, is a precursor of the disaccharide units of GAGs such as hyaluronan and keratan sulfate. Isomerisation converts glucosamine to galactosamine, a structural component of chondroitin sulfate and dermatan sulfate. Most glucosamine in the body is in the form of glucosamine-6-phosphate.⁴⁹ Glucosamine is commercially available in 3 forms: glucosamine hydrochloride, glucosamine sulfate (sulfate salt), and N-acetyl-D-glucosamine.

Glucosamine is a small water-soluble molecule (molecular weight, 179) with a pKa that favors its intestinal absorption and intracellular transportation.⁵⁰ Absorption is carrier mediated, whereas absorption of N-acetylglucosamine occurs via diffusion.⁵¹ Quantitative aspects of glucosamine absorption have been debated. Limitations were evident with early analytical methods used in pharmacokinetic and bioavailability studies, suggesting the need to administer doses far exceeding concentrations considered therapeutic or achievable in animals.^{52,53} However, early methods revealed nearly 90% absorption after oral administration (via glucose transporters), incorporation into plasma proteins, and biotransformation in the liver.^{52,54,55} In all species tested, glucosamine was rapidly distributed into tissues, with a tropism for articular cartilage indicated by levels of radioactivity in cartilage that exceed those in plasma. Furthermore, cartilage radioactivity is still detectable for up to 6 days after administration of a single dose of radiolabeled glucosamine, and multiple dosing results in accumulation of glucosamine in cartilage.⁵³

The recent development of more sensitive and accurate techniques that eliminate interference by degradation products has enabled determination of plasma concentrations achievable after oral administration in rats and dogs.⁵⁶ Results of single-dose pharmacokinetics of glucosamine performed by use of those methods support its absorption, with lower oral bioavailability in horses than in dogs.⁵⁷ In horses, doses at presently recommended concentrations result in plasma concentrations that are below the limits of quantification, necessitating the use of doses approximately 5 to 10 times greater, and multiple-dose pharmacokinetics remain to be determined. Application of newer methods will help to clarify the concentrations achieved in plasma, synovial fluid, and perhaps cartilage after oral administration and may prove indispensable in modifying present oral dosing protocols.

Chondroitin sulfate—Chondroitin sulfate is a GAG consisting of alternating disaccharide subunits of glucuronic acid and sulfated N-acetylgalactosamine. Substitution can occur at the C4 or C6 position of the sulfate residue attached to N-acetylgalactosamine to form chondroitin-4-sulfate and chondroitin-6-sulfate, respectively. Chondroitin sulfate is a normal constituent of cartilage; chondroitin-4-sulfate predominates in immature cartilage, and the ratio of chondroitin-6-sulfate to chondroitin-4-sulfate increases with age.⁵⁸ It seems clear that exogenously adminis-

tered chondroitin sulfate is capable of influencing the metabolism of cartilage in health and disease.

The metabolic fate of orally administered chondroitin sulfate has also been controversial. Because of its size (range, 6 to 50 kd),⁵⁹ the form of chondroitin sulfate that is ultimately available to an animal after oral administration may be affected by intestinal degradation and metabolism in the liver.⁶⁰ Chondroitin sulfate may not be absorbed intact but rather as disaccharide metabolites.⁶¹ The molecular weight of chondroitin sulfate directly influences its absorption after oral administration, with higher gastrointestinal permeability for low-molecular-weight chondroitin sulfate.⁶² The form and source of chondroitin sulfate also influence its pharmacokinetic profile; in humans, chondroitin sulfate of bovine origin is superior to chondroitin sulfate obtained from shark cartilage because of differences in molecular mass, degree of sulfation, and relative amounts of iduronate and glucuronate.^{63,64}

Like glucosamine, results of an early study⁶⁵ suggested that the oral bioavailability of chondroitin sulfate was limited. Limitations in detection methods at the time, such as lack of sensitivity to distinguish between constituent chondroitin sulfate disaccharides, prompted the development of other analytic methods to detect plasma concentrations.⁶⁶ Further studies have revealed that oral absorption is rapid,⁶⁷ with more than 70% absorption in rats and dogs.⁶⁸

Similar to glucosamine, a tropism for articular cartilage has been detected for chondroitin sulfate,^{67,69} with concentrations also exceeding plasma concentrations for prolonged periods after dosing. Of interest, in rats, cartilage concentrations are greater with oral rather than IM administration, and in dogs, the concentration of chondroitin sulfate in synovial fluid exceeds that in plasma by 66.5%.^{68,69}

Development of techniques with higher specificity and recovery has enabled detection of chondroitin sulfate disaccharides in dog and horse plasma after IV administration,⁷⁰ and results of a recent pharmacokinetic study⁵⁷ suggest ample oral absorption in horses. Although multiple and long-term dosing studies are presently sparse, results indicate that chondroitin sulfate is absorbed in veterinary patients; however, specific concentrations achieved in various tissues remain to be determined.

In combination, orally administered glucosamine hydrochloride and chondroitin sulfate^a are rapidly absorbed in dogs.⁷¹ Although single- and multiple-dose pharmacokinetics of glucosamine are similar, chondroitin sulfate disaccharides accumulate in plasma after multiple dosing, indicating a substantial carryover effect that is in agreement with that previously detected *in vitro*.³⁸ This accumulation and carryover effect may be responsible for the continued improvement noted in clinical trials after administration has been discontinued and may support the administration of lower doses of chondroitin sulfate in maintenance therapy.

Safety Profile and Product Quality Assurance

Results of clinical trials and pharmacokinetic studies suggest a good safety profile for both products in all

species tested, and the popularity of these products as alternatives to nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) has been enhanced because they have comparatively fewer adverse effects.^{69,70,72-78} An association between glucosamine and diabetes mellitus in humans does not appear to be well-founded.^{79,80} Oral administration of glucosamine hydrochloride, low-molecular-weight chondroitin sulfate, and manganese ascorbate^a at doses exceeding the recommended daily dose in cats, dogs, and horses is associated with a good safety profile,⁸¹⁻⁸³ with adverse effects limited to gastrointestinal upset⁸⁴ and, occasionally, polydipsia-polyuria in dogs.⁸⁵ No clinically important alterations in hematologic indices are apparent, and clotting profiles are unaltered in cats, dogs, and horses, suggesting that concerns with coagulation abnormalities also appear to be unfounded.⁸¹⁻⁸³ Nonetheless, because of the structural similarity of GAGs and heparin, the concurrent use of these products with other platelet inhibitors, such as phenylbutazone or aspirin, is often cautioned.⁸⁵⁻⁸⁷

Nutraceuticals are classified as dietary supplements by the FDA and, as such, are not subject to stringent regulatory guidelines. Thus, commercially available products vary widely in terms of purity and quality, and studies of efficacy and absorption of most of these formulations are lacking. Although results of 1 study suggest that liquid formulations of chondroitin sulfate have better absorption, compared with capsules,⁶⁷ direct comparisons of efficacy of different formulations are lacking.

Results of 1 study⁸⁸ indicate that human over-the-counter products vary widely in composition, and > 84% do not meet the label claim.⁶² Evaluation of veterinary nutraceuticals is less readily available. An independent laboratory reported that only 50% of products tested met label claims.⁸⁹ In another study⁹⁰ of equine products, actual composition, compared with the label claim, ranged from 63.6% to 112.2% for 5 glucosamine products and 22.5% to 155.7% for 5 chondroitin sulfate products. For 1 combined product, glucosamine content was 86% and chondroitin sulfate content was 83.3% of the label claim.⁹⁰ Although products are often marketed with "guaranteed analysis," only a small number consistently meet label claims.^{56,62,89-93} Studies of in vivo or in vitro efficacy of veterinary products are limited, and claims of efficacy are often made on the basis of subjective methods of assessment, including testimonials by owners or clinical trials that have not been subjected to peer review.^b Despite these issues, glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate products continue to gain popularity.

In Vitro Studies of Mechanism of Action

Glucosamine—Initially, beneficial effects of glucosamine supplementation were attributed to the provision of raw materials required for components of cartilage. When an exogenous form of glucosamine is available, the rate-limiting steps in the hexosamine biosynthetic pathway are bypassed (Figure 2); exogenous glucosamine is preferentially used in the synthesis of GAGs when cells are cultured without glucose.⁹⁴ The preferential incorporation of glucosamine into galactosamine moieties of chondroitin sulfate in articular cartilage explants³⁸ supports the use of glucosamine as a source of cartilage matrix components; however, this mode of action has been debated.⁹⁵

Glucosamine has numerous in vitro effects and influences the expression or activity of many mediators of osteoarthritis (Appendix). Actions include a reduction in proteoglycan degradation and inhibition of the synthesis and activity of degradative enzymes and inflammatory mediators such as aggrecanases, MMPs, nitric oxide, and PGE₂.^{36,41,43,44,96} (Figure 3). Anabolic effects are limited to stimulation of GAG and proteoglycan production,⁷³ including aggrecan,⁴⁷ with no effect on type II collagen.^{38,47}

The form of glucosamine appears to influence its activity, with glucosamine hydrochloride and glucosamine sulfate appearing to inhibit cartilage degen-




Figure 3—Simplified illustration of the pathophysiologic events in osteoarthritis and the steps at which glucosamine (red X's) and chondroitin sulfate (blue X's) exert their preventative effect. Synoviocytes and chondrocytes produce hyaluronic acid (HA) and several degradative enzymes and inflammatory mediators, including interleukin-1 (IL-1), matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), prostaglandins (PGE₂), reactive oxygen species (ROS), nitric oxide (NO), and aggrecanases. The net result is reduced synthesis and increased breakdown of extracellular matrix (ECM) components. At the level of the chondrocyte (insert), binding of IL-1 to its receptor (IL-1R) induces production of transcription factors such as activator protein-1 (AP-1) and nuclear factor kappa B (NFκB). These transcription factors then bind to binding sites in the promoters of various genes and increase their transcription, including genes such as MMPs, inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), and cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2). Glucosamine decreases production and activity of NFκB and reduces transcription of various inflammatory mediators.

eration more consistently than N-acetylglucosamine in vitro.^{41,43,96} Both glucosamine sulfate and glucosamine hydrochloride contain a free amine group on the second carbon atom, which may be important for activity of these compounds. The effect of glucosamine may be attributable to increased intracellular GAG precursors, N-acetylglucosamine, and galactosamine or to an influence on other steps in GAG synthesis such as post-translational modification.⁹⁷ Further contribution to GAG synthesis may be through promotion of incorporation of sulfur into cartilage because administration of glucosamine sulfate increases both serum and synovial fluid sulfate concentrations.⁹⁸

High doses of glucosamine may have a detrimental effect on chondrocyte viability in vitro^{99,100}; however, other studies using similar doses failed to reveal similar effects on cell viability, although a protective action against cytokine-induced catabolic effects was maintained.^{41,96} Furthermore, no detrimental effect on chondrocyte metabolism was observed with long-term exposure to glucosamine.¹⁰¹

Results of recent studies^{37,48} indicate that glucosamine has a preventative effect in terms of reduction in transcription factors involved in the intracellular signaling of IL-1. The transcription factors activator protein-1 and nuclear factor kappa B (NFκB) are increased in cartilage affected by osteoarthritis and, through binding to binding sites in the promoters of genes, stimulate the transcription of genes that play a pivotal role in cartilage degradation, such as cyclooxygenase-2, inducible nitric oxide synthase, and MMPs¹⁰²⁻¹⁰⁴ (Figure 3). Specifically, glucosamine appears to inhibit NFκB activity and nuclear translocation but has no effect on activator protein-1.^{37,48} Further, glucosamine increases the expression of a decoy receptor that reduces binding of IL-1 to its receptor.⁴⁸ Thus, the actions of glucosamine appear to extend beyond the provision of components for articular cartilage.

Chondroitin sulfate—Proposed mechanisms of action of chondroitin sulfate are similar, but not identical, to those of glucosamine. Chondroitin sulfate also stimulates GAG synthesis and inhibits degradative enzyme synthesis, including MMPs^{39,42,67} (Appendix). However, the effects of chondroitin sulfate on PGE₂^{38,39,40} and nitric oxide production are more variable,^{39,42} and chondroitin sulfate^c is unique in its ability to improve synovial fluid viscosity by increasing hyaluronic acid concentration.⁶⁷ Furthermore, results of in vitro studies under conditions simulating in vivo stress suggest that this compound may be more effective when chondrocytes are exposed to mechanical forces.¹⁰⁵ In contrast to glucosamine, chondroitin sulfate inhibits IL-1–induced type II collagen degeneration.³⁸

Glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate in combination—Because many commercially available products contain both glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate, effects of the combination in vitro have been investigated and results add support to the chondroprotective effect reported in clinical trials.^{106,107} In equine cartilage in vitro, the combination of glucosamine hydrochloride and chondroitin sulfate decreases nitric oxide produc-

tion and proteoglycan degradation and inhibits MMP-9 and -13 but not MMP-2,³⁹ which is suggestive of a synergistic effect. Under conditions simulating in vivo joint stress in bovine cartilage, the combination^d protects against stress-induced reduction of proteoglycan synthesis. Of interest, although cartilage from aged animals is more responsive to stress, it is also more responsive to supplementation.¹⁰⁸ In vitro, combination doses are lower than those that are effective when each compound is used alone. Although this had been attributed to their complementary and perhaps synergistic effects, further studies are indicated to determine ideal effective doses of these compounds in combination.

In Vivo Clinical Trials of Efficacy

Humans—Several clinical trials have been performed in humans with mostly favorable results. Despite flaws in study design and assessment of outcome in early studies, moderate effects were detected.⁷²⁻⁷⁴ In a meta-analysis and quality assessment of 15 randomized double-blind placebo-controlled studies, all but 1 revealed beneficial effects in terms of decreasing pain and improving mobility, with greater effects detected for chondroitin sulfate than glucosamine.¹⁰⁹

Results have been, in part, influenced by patient selection. For instance, in controlled short-term trials in patients with severe osteoarthritis of the knee, glucosamine had no additional beneficial analgesic effects, compared with placebo,¹¹⁰ whereas long-term (3 years) administration to patients with mild to moderate osteoarthritis resulted in a 20% to 25% improvement with respect to pain and physical function.^{111,112} Furthermore, glucosamine prevented the progressive joint-space narrowing that was detected radiographically in placebo-treated patients.¹¹³ Extrapolating from these studies, the best effects of glucosamine supplementation will be achieved with preventative use, or at least in patients with incipient lesions for whom use for advanced osteoarthritis is not likely to yield favorable results.

Similarly, clinical evidence for the cartilage-sparing effects of chondroitin sulfate is based on reduction of joint space narrowing, reduction of pain, and increased overall mobility in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee.⁴⁶ Furthermore, chondroitin sulfate improves the synovial environment by reducing degradative enzyme concentrations, increasing concentrations of hyaluronan, and improving the composition of hyaluronan, which is an important function that provides adequate joint lubrication.¹¹⁴

Trials involving glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate in combination have been limited and used commercially available products that also contain manganese ascorbate. However, as mentioned previously, the combination^d appears to be of more benefit in patients with radiographically mild to moderate osteoarthritis.¹¹⁵

Glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate versus NSAIDs—Part of the attraction of glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate is their potential use as an alternative to other drugs presently used in the treatment of osteoarthritis, in particular NSAIDs, for which adverse

effects have long been a concern. Compared with ibuprofen, glucosamine has greater influence in terms of pain reduction in patients with temporomandibular joint osteoarthritis.¹¹⁶ In another study,¹¹⁷ NSAID administration resulted in prompt reduction of clinical signs; however, signs reappeared after cessation of treatment, compared with patients treated with chondroitin sulfate who had a delayed onset but greater duration of benefit (3 months) after cessation of treatment. Despite shortcomings of glucosamine-chondroitin sulfate, results in human clinical trials suggest that relief from clinical signs is comparable between glucosamine-chondroitin sulfate and NSAIDs, and trials in which substantial preservation of joint space was detected suggest that both may actually slow the progression of cartilage loss.

The combination of NSAIDs and nutraceutical therapy has been proposed for the treatment of osteoarthritis.¹¹⁸ Such treatment has the potential to reduce the dose requirement of NSAIDs and therefore their associated adverse effects; however, such an approach may raise concerns with respect to coagulation problems. Although it appears that NSAIDs and nutraceuticals induce their effects through different pathways, nutraceuticals are also capable of cyclooxygenase inhibition and potentially have further widespread beneficial effects (Appendix). Nevertheless, a program of maintenance supplementation with nutraceuticals combined with supplementation with NSAIDs when needed is often permitted in human clinical trials¹⁰⁶ and could perhaps become the mainstay of treatment programs for other animals with osteoarthritis in the future.

Companion animals—Recently, several clinical trials and experimental models that used a combination of glucosamine hydrochloride and chondroitin sulfate^a were conducted in companion animals. Results of such trials suggest a cartilage-sparing or chondroprotective effect via a reduction in histologic severity of osteoarthritis lesions.^{106,119} The combination of glucosamine hydrochloride and chondroitin sulfate results in a greater chondroprotective effect in rabbits than either compound alone, which suggests a synergistic effect; however, comparisons were not made to responses to increased individual doses, and the synergistic mechanism is unknown. In dogs, results of 2 studies^{119,120} indicate both preventative and therapeutic effects in experimental models of osteoarthritis. Clinical signs as well as synovial fluid variables, serum GAG content,¹⁰⁷ and articular cartilage metabolism improved,¹²¹ which suggests a more normal synovial environment.

Horses—To date, clinical trials in horses are limited and results are variable. Similar to the findings in companion animals, the efficacy of these compounds appears to be supported by results of some studies.^{75,77,78} Flaws in study design and assessment of outcome have increased skepticism regarding the value of these compounds in pleasure and performance horses. Administration of a product containing glucosamine hydrochloride, low-molecular-weight chondroitin sulfate, and manganese ascorbate^a to horses with osteoarthritis in the distal interphalangeal, metacarpophalangeal, tarsometatarsal, or carpal joints resulted in improvement in lameness grade, flexion test grade, and

stride length within 2 weeks; however, no further improvement in lameness grade and no significant changes in other variables were seen after 4 weeks.⁷⁵ Although the lack of continued improvement may be attributable to the return of most of the horses in the study to exercise and competition after the initial 2 weeks of treatment, return to previously attainable performance levels and continuation in a competitive career would appear to be a necessity and expectation for owners of affected horses.

Results of other studies using this combination have varied; no beneficial effects were evident in a chemically induced model of osteoarthritis,⁷⁶ whereas in another trial, force plate measures of lameness improved.⁵⁶ In a study⁷⁸ of horses with osteoarthritis of the distal intertarsal or tarsometatarsal joints, administration of another commercially available product containing glutamine, glutamic acid, glycine, and glucuronic acid^c resulted in improvement in vertical ground reaction force and reduction in gait asymmetry.

Similarly, in an experimentally induced model of osteoarthritis, chondroitin sulfate (administered IM and PO) was associated with improved joint function and reduced lameness scores.¹²² Maximum level of improvement was comparable for both routes of administration; however, time of onset of clinical improvement was slower with oral administration. Results of clinical trials of glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate in horses suggest possible improvement in clinical signs; however, rigorously designed long-term trials are needed.

Correlation Between Concentrations Used In Vitro and Those Achievable After Oral Administration

Concentrations of glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate that have a substantial in vitro effect vary depending on the species, method of analysis, and the measured effect. Combining these compounds has revealed significant effects, often at doses lower than that of either product alone. For instance, in equine cartilage, glucosamine concentration as low as 250 µg/mL decreases PGE₂ production, MMP activity, and proteoglycan release, although higher concentrations are required to decrease nitric oxide production or to affect MMP mRNA expression.^{41,47,96}

Plasma concentrations have been measured in dogs after single dosing, with concentrations from 7.1 to 12.1 µg/mL for glucosamine and 19.0 to 21.5 µg/mL for chondroitin sulfate.^{50,56} Multiple dosing did not alter glucosamine concentrations, although chondroitin sulfate did accumulate in plasma (after 2 weeks, concentration was 208 µg/mL). As would be expected, IV administration results in higher plasma concentrations of glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate in dogs and horses.⁷⁰ In horses, single oral-dose administration results in maximum plasma concentrations of 10.6 and 36.5 µg/mL for glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate, respectively, < 2 hours after administration.⁵⁷ Thus, the cumulative concentration of chondroitin sulfate in vivo (208 µg/mL)⁷⁰ is similar to the concentration that inhibits cartilage degradation in vitro (250 µg/mL),³⁹ whereas glucosamine concentration does not appear to have a similar correlation; however, glucosamine may accumulate in cartilage.

It appears likely that these compounds achieve concentrations compatible with at least some of their reported chondroprotective effects at presently recommended oral doses (22 mg/kg [10.0 mg/lb] for glucosamine HCl and 8.8 mg/kg [4.0 mg/lb] for low-molecular-weight chondroitin sulfate).⁸⁶ Although concentrations presently used in vitro exceed measured plasma concentrations, a number of factors suggest that studies are using more representative concentrations that are achievable with oral administration. In vitro studies have largely involved simultaneous administration of these compounds as the osteoarthritis process is stimulated. Effective concentrations of glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate in vitro may in fact be lower if these compounds are used prior to the induction of osteoarthritis, and it is not known whether their in vivo chondroprotective effects may occur at concentrations lower than those required in vitro. Furthermore, the fact that these compounds accumulate in cartilage and synovial fluid suggests that therapeutic concentrations may be lower than those measured in plasma. Determination of the minimal effective concentration of these compounds, alone and in combination, requires further investigation.

Conclusions

The beneficial effects of glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate, alone and in combination, have been established in vitro in several species. Although concentrations used in recent studies more closely resemble concentrations achievable in vivo after oral administration, cartilage and synovial fluid concentrations have not been determined. As the use of products containing these compounds continues to gain popularity, studies to further elucidate the mechanism of action and pharmacokinetics are needed. These compounds have promise in terms of protection of articular cartilage and provision of relief of clinical signs of osteoarthritis. Extrapolation of results of human trials to veterinary patients suggests that the use of these compounds is likely to be of greater benefit in patients with mild to moderate osteoarthritis than those with more severe or chronic lesions. Furthermore, use of these compounds may reduce the requirement for other anti-inflammatory drugs and analgesics such as NSAIDs. Glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate-containing nutraceuticals may become a mainstay of preventative maintenance programs that provide support for aging animals and may aid in extending competitive careers of athletes, particularly horses. The duration of their effect and possible beneficial effects in slowing the progression of osteoarthritis or even preventing its onset warrant further investigation.

- a. Cosequin, Nutramax Laboratories, Edgewood, Md.
- b. Absorbine Flex+, NutraStar Inc, East Longmeadow, Mass.
- c. Condrosulf, IBSA, Lugano, Switzerland.
- d. Cosamin, Nutramax Laboratories, Edgewood, Md.
- e. CortaFlex, Nature's Own Inc, Aiken, SC.

References

1. McIlwraith CW. Diseases of joints, tendons, ligaments and related structures. In: Stashak TS, ed. *Adams' lameness in horses*. 5th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2002;459–467.
2. Todhunter RJ. Anatomy and physiology of synovial joints.

- In: McIlwraith CW, Trotter GW, eds. *Joint disease in the horse*. Philadelphia: WB Saunders Co, 1996;1–28.
3. Caron JP. Synovial joint fluid biology and pathobiology. In: Auer J, Stick J, eds. *Equine surgery*. 2nd ed. Philadelphia: WB Saunders Co, 1999;665–678.
4. Poole AR. Proteoglycans in health and disease: structures and functions. *Biochem J* 1986;236:1–14.
5. Pool RR, Meagher DM. Pathologic findings and pathogenesis of racetrack injuries. *Vet Clin North Am Equine Pract* 1990; 6:1–30.
6. McIlwraith CW. General pathobiology of the joint and response to injury. In: McIlwraith CW, Trotter GW, eds. *Joint disease in the horse*. Philadelphia: WB Saunders Co, 1996;40–70.
7. Park RD, Steyn PF, Wrigley RH. Imaging techniques in the diagnosis of equine joint disease. In: McIlwraith CW, Trotter GW, eds. *Joint disease in the horse*. Philadelphia: WB Saunders Co, 1996; 145–164.
8. Carrig CB. Diagnostic imaging of osteoarthritis. *Vet Clin North Am Small Animal Pract* 1997;27:777–814.
9. Goldring MB. The role of the chondrocyte in osteoarthritis. *Arth Rheum* 2000;43:1916–1926.
10. Dean DD, Azzo W, Martel-Pelletier J, et al. Levels of metalloproteinases and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases in human osteoarthritic cartilage. *J Rheumatol* 1987;14:43–44.
11. Dean DD. Proteinase-mediated cartilage degradation in osteoarthritis. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 1991;20:2–11.
12. Martel-Pelletier J, McCollum R, Fujimoto N, et al. Excess of metalloproteinases over tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases may contribute to cartilage degradation in OA and rheumatoid arthritis. *Lab Invest* 1994;70:807–815.
13. Billingham RC, Dahlberg L, Ionescu M, et al. Enhanced cleavage of type II collagen by collagenases in osteoarthritic articular cartilage. *J Clin Invest* 1997;99:1534–1545.
14. Mengshol JA, Mix KS, Brinckerhoff CE. Matrix metalloproteinases as therapeutic targets in arthritic diseases: bull's-eye or missing the mark? *Arthritis Rheum* 2002;46:13–20.
15. Reboul P, Pelletier JP, Tardif G, et al. The new collagenase, collagenase 3, is expressed and synthesized by human chondrocytes but not by synovial fibroblasts: a role in osteoarthritis. *J Clin Invest* 1996;97:2011–2019.
16. Apte SS. A disintegrin-like and metalloproteinase (reprolysin type) with thrombospondin type 1 motifs: the ADAMTS family. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 2004;36:981–985.
17. Sandy JD, Verscharen C. Analysis of aggrecan in human knee cartilage and synovial fluid indicates that aggrecanase (ADAMTS) activity is responsible for the catabolic turnover and loss of whole aggrecan whereas other protease activity is required for C-terminal processing in vivo. *Biochem J* 2001;358:615–626.
18. Kashiwagi M, Tortorella M, Nagase H, et al. TIMP-3 is a potent inhibitor of aggrecanase 1 (ADAM-TS4) and aggrecanase 2 (ADAM-TS5). *J Biol Chem* 2001;276:12501–12504.
19. Murrell GA, Jang D, Williams RJ. Nitric oxide activates protease enzymes in articular cartilage. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 1995;206:15–21.
20. Pelletier JP, Mineau F, Ranger P, et al. The increased synthesis of inducible nitric oxide inhibits IL-1 α synthesis by human articular chondrocytes: possible role in osteoarthritic cartilage degradation. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 1996;4:77–84.
21. Oh M, Fukuda K, Asada S, et al. Concurrent generation of nitric oxide and superoxide inhibits PG synthesis in bovine articular chondrocytes; involvement of peroxynitrite. *J Rheumatol* 1998;25: 2169–2174.
22. Cao M, Westerhausen-Larson A, Niyibizi C, et al. Nitric oxide inhibits the synthesis of type-II collagen without altering Col2A1 mRNA abundance: prolyl hydroxylase as a possible target. *Biochem J* 1997;324:305–310.
23. Gibson KT, Hodge H, Whitten T. Inflammatory mediators in equine synovial fluid. *Aust Vet J* 1996;73:148–151.
24. Kirker-Head CA, Chandna VK, Agarwal RK, et al. Concentrations of substance P and prostaglandin E2 in synovial fluid of normal and abnormal joints of horses. *Am J Vet Res* 2000;61: 714–718.
25. Caron JP, Bowker RM, Abhold RH, et al. Substance P in the

synovial membrane and fluid of the equine middle carpal joint. *Equine Vet J* 1992;24:364–366.

26. Martel-Pelletier J, Alaaeddine N, Pelletier JP. Cytokines and their role in the pathophysiology of osteoarthritis. *Front Biosci* 1999;4:D694–D703.

27. Pelletier JP, McCollum R, Cloutier JM, et al. Synthesis of metalloproteinases and interleukin 6 (IL6) in human osteoarthritic synovial membrane is an IL-1 mediated process. *J Rheumatol* 1995;22:109–114.

28. Pelletier JP, DiBattista JA, Roughley P, et al. Cytokines and inflammation in cartilage degradation. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* 1993;19:545–568.

29. Sadouk MB, Pelletier JP, Tardif G et al. Human synovial fibroblasts coexpress IL-1 receptor type I and II mRNA. The increased level of IL-1 receptor in osteoarthritic cells is related to an increased level of the type I receptor. *Lab Invest* 1995;73:347–355.

30. Cook JL, Anderson C, Kreeger JM, et al. Effects of human recombinant interleukin-1 β on canine articular chondrocytes in three-dimensional culture. *Am J Vet Res* 2000;61:766–770.

31. Tung JT, Fenton JI, Arnold C, et al. Recombinant equine interleukin-1 β induces putative mediators of articular cartilage degradation in equine chondrocytes. *Can J Vet Res* 2002;66:19–25.

32. Morris EA, Treadwell BV. Effect of interleukin 1 on articular cartilage from young and aged horses and comparison with metabolism of osteoarthritic cartilage. *Am J Vet Res* 1994;55:138–146.

33. Richardson D, Dodge GR. Effects of interleukin-1 β and tumor necrosis factor- α on expression of matrix-related genes by cultured equine articular chondrocytes. *Am J Vet Res* 2000;61:624–630.

34. MacDonald MH, Stover SM, Willits NH, et al. Regulation of matrix metabolism in equine cartilage explant cultures by interleukin 1. *Am J Vet Res* 1992;53:2278–2285.

35. Platt D, Bayliss MT. An investigation of the proteoglycan metabolism of mature equine articular cartilage and its regulation by interleukin-1. *Equine Vet J* 1994;26:297–303.

36. Fenton JI, Chlebek KA, Caron JP, et al. Effect of glucosamine on interleukin-1 conditioned articular cartilage. *Equine Vet J Suppl* 2002;34:219–223.

37. Largo R, Alvarez-Soria MA, Díez-Ortego I, et al. Glucosamine inhibits IL-1 β -induced NF κ B activation in human osteoarthritic chondrocytes. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2003;11:290–298.

38. Bassleer C, Rovati L, Franchimont P. Stimulation of proteoglycan production by glucosamine sulfate in chondrocytes isolated from human osteoarthritis articular cartilage in vitro. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 1998;6:427–434.

39. Orth MW, Peters TL, Hawkins JN. Inhibition of articular cartilage degradation by glucosamine-HCl and chondroitin sulphate. *Equine Vet J Suppl* 2002;3:224–229.

40. Bassleer C, Henrotin Y, Franchimont P. In-vitro evaluation of drugs proposed as chondroprotective agents. *Int J Tissue React* 1992;14:231–241.

41. Fenton JI, Chlebek-Brown KA, Peters TL, et al. Glucosamine HCl reduced equine articular cartilage degradation in explant culture. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2000;8:258–265.

42. Dechant JE, Baxter GM, Frisbie DD, et al. Effects of glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate, alone and in combination, on normal and interleukin-1 conditioned equine articular cartilage explants in vitro, in *Proceedings. 11th Annu Am Coll Vet Surg Symp* 2001;491.

43. Byron CR, Orth MW, Venta PJ, et al. Influence of glucosamine on matrix metalloproteinase expression and activity in lipopolysaccharide-stimulated equine chondrocytes. *Am J Vet Res* 2003;64:666–671.

44. Sandy JD, Gamett D, Thompson V, et al. Chondrocyte mediated catabolism of aggrecan: aggrecanase dependent cleavage induced by interleukin-1 or retinoic acid can be inhibited by glucosamine. *Biochem J* 1998;335:59–66.

45. Shikhman AR, Kuhn K, Alaaeddine N, et al. N-acetylglucosamine prevents IL-1 β -mediated activation of human chondrocytes. *J Immunol* 2001;166:5155–5160.

46. Uebelhart D, Thonar EJ, Delmas PD, et al. Effects of oral chondroitin sulfate on the progression of knee osteoarthritis: a pilot study. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 1998;6(suppl A):37–38.

47. Dodge GR, Jimenez SA. Glucosamine sulfate modulates the levels of aggrecan and matrix metalloproteinase-3 synthesized by

cultured human osteoarthritis articular chondrocytes. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2003;11:424–432.

48. Gouze JN, Bianchi A, Bécuwe P, et al. Glucosamine modulates IL-1-induced activation of rat chondrocytes at a receptor level, and by inhibiting the NF- κ B pathway. *FEBS Lett* 2002;510:166–170.

49. Platt D. The role of oral disease-modifying agents glucosamine and chondroitin sulphate in the management of equine degenerative joint disease. *Equine Vet Educ* 2001;3:262–272.

50. Kelly GS. The role of glucosamine sulfate and chondroitin sulfates in the treatment of degenerative joint disease. *Altern Med Rev* 1998;3:27–39.

51. Tesoriere G, Dones F, Magistro D, et al. Intestinal absorption of glucosamine and N-acetylglucosamine. *Experientia* 1972;28:770–771.

52. Setnikar I, Giacchetti C, Zanol G. Pharmacokinetics of glucosamine in dog and in man. *Arzneim-Forsch Drug Res* 1986;36:729–735.

53. Setnikar I, Rovati LC. Absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion of glucosamine sulfate. *Arzneim-Forsch Drug Res* 2001;51:699–725.

54. Setnikar I, Giacchetti C, Zanol G. Absorption, distribution and excretion of radioactivity after a single intravenous or oral administration of ¹⁴C glucosamine to the rat. *Pharmatherapeutica* 1984;3:538–550.

55. Setnikar I, Palumbo R, Canali S, et al. Pharmacokinetics of glucosamine in man. *Arzneimittelforschung* 1993;43:1109–1113.

56. Liang Z, Leslie J, Adebawale A, et al. Determination of the nutraceutical, glucosamine hydrochloride, in raw materials, dosage forms and plasma using pre-column derivatization with ultraviolet HPLC. *J Pharm Biomed Anal* 1999;20:807–814.

57. Du J, White N, Eddington ND. The bioavailability and pharmacokinetics of glucosamine hydrochloride and chondroitin sulfate after oral and intravenous single dose administration in the horse. *Biopharm Drug Dispos* 2004;25:109–116.

58. Brown MP, West LA, Merritt KA, et al. Changes in sulfation patterns of chondroitin sulfate in equine articular cartilage and synovial fluid in response to ageing and osteoarthritis. *Am J Vet Res* 1998;59:786–791.

59. Sakai S, Onose J, Nakamura H, et al. Pretreatment procedure for the microdetermination of chondroitin sulfate in plasma and urine. *Anal Biochem* 2002;302:169–174.

60. Liao YH, Horowitz MI. Desulfation and depolymerization of chondroitin-4-sulfate and its degradation products by rat stomach, liver and small intestine. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med* 1974;146:1037–1043.

61. Wright IM. Oral supplements in the treatment and prevention of joint diseases: a review of their potential application to the horse. *Equine Vet Educ* 2001;3:179–184.

62. Adebawale AO, Cox DS, Liang Z, et al. Analysis of glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate content in marketed products and the Caco-2 permeability of chondroitin sulfate raw materials. *J Am Nutraceutical Assoc* 2000;3:33–44.

63. Volpi N. Oral bioavailability of chondroitin sulfate (Condrosulf) and its constituents in healthy male volunteers. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2002;10:768–777.

64. Volpi N. Oral absorption and bioavailability of ichthyic origin chondroitin sulfate in healthy male volunteers. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2003;11:433–441.

65. Baici A, Horler D, Moser B, et al. Analysis of glycosaminoglycans in human serum after oral administration of chondroitin sulfate. *Rheumatol Int* 1992;12:81–88.

66. Huang Y, Toyoda H, Toida T, et al. Determination of chondroitin sulfates in human whole blood, plasma and blood cells by high-performance liquid chromatography. *Biomed Chromatogr* 1995;9:102–105.

67. Ronca F, Palmieri L, Panicucci P, et al. Anti-inflammatory activity of chondroitin sulfate. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 1998;6(suppl A):14–21.

68. Conte A, Volpi N, Palmieri L, et al. Biochemical and pharmacokinetic aspects of oral treatment with chondroitin sulfate. *Arzneimittelforschung* 1995;45:918–925.

69. Palmieri L, Conte A, Giovannini L, et al. Metabolic fate of exogenous chondroitin sulfate in the experimental animal. *Arzneimittelforschung* 1990;40:319–323.

70. Du J, Eddington N. Determination of the chondroitin sulfate disaccharides in dog and horse plasma by HPLC using chondroitinase digestion, precolumn derivatization, and fluorescence detection. *Analytical Biochem* 2002;306:252–258.
71. Adebawale A, Du J, Liang Z, et al. The bioavailability and pharmacokinetics of glucosamine hydrochloride and low molecular weight chondroitin sulfate after single and multiple doses to beagle dogs. *Biopharm Drug Dispos* 2002;23:217–225.
72. Towheed TE, Anastassiades TP, Shea B, et al. Glucosamine therapy for treating osteoarthritis (Cochrane review). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2001;1:CD002946.
73. Busci L, Poor G. Efficacy and tolerability of oral chondroitin sulfate as a symptomatic slow-acting drug for osteoarthritis (SYSADOA) in the treatment of knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 1998;6(suppl A):31–36.
74. Muller-Fassbender H, Bach GL, Haase W, et al. Glucosamine sulphate compared to ibuprofen in osteoarthritis of the knee. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 1994;2:61–69.
75. Hanson R, Smalley LR, Huff GK, et al. Oral treatment with a glucosamine-chondroitin sulfate compound for degenerative joint disease in horses: 25 cases. *Equine Pract* 1997;19:16–22.
76. White GW, Jones EW, Hamm J, et al. The efficacy of orally administered sulfated glucosaminoglycan in chemically induced equine synovitis and degenerative joint disease. *J Equine Vet Sci* 1994;14:350–353.
77. Hanson RR. Oral glycosaminoglycans in treatment of degenerative joint disease in horses. *Equine Pract* 1996;18:18–22.
78. Clayton HM, Almedia PE, Prades M, et al. Double blind study of the effects of an oral supplement intended to support joint health in horses with tarsal degenerative joint disease, in *Proceedings*. 48th Annu Conv Am Assoc Equine Pract 2002;314–317.
79. Pouwels MJ, Jacobs JR, Span PN, et al. Short-term glucosamine infusion does not affect insulin sensitivity in humans. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2001;86:2099–2103.
80. Scroggie DA, Albright A, Harris MD. The effect of glucosamine-chondroitin supplementation on glycosylated hemoglobin levels in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a placebo-controlled, double-blinded, randomized clinical trial. *Arch Intern Med* 2003;163:1587–1590.
81. McNamara PS, Barr SC, Erb HN. Hematologic, hemostatic, and biochemical effects in dogs receiving an oral chondroprotective agent for thirty days. *Am J Vet Res* 1996;57:1390–1394.
82. McNamara PS, Barr SC, Erb HN, et al. Hematological, hemostatic, and biochemical effects in cats receiving an oral chondroprotective agent for thirty days. *Vet Ther* 2000;1:108–117.
83. Kirker-Head CA, Kirker-Head RP. Safety of an oral chondroprotective agent in horses. *Vet Ther* 2001;2:345–353.
84. Anderson MA, Slater MR, Hammad TA. Results of a survey of small animal practitioners on the perceived clinical efficacy and safety of an oral nutraceutical. *Prev Vet Med* 1999;38:65–73.
85. McCoy SJ, Bryson JC. High-dose glucosamine associated with polyuria and polydipsia in a dog. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 2003;222:431–432.
86. Malone ED. Managing chronic arthritis. *Vet Clin North Am Equine Pract* 2002;18:411–437.
87. Davidson G. Glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate. *Compend Contin Educ Pract Vet* 2000;22:454–458.
88. Russell AS, Aghazadeh-Habashi A, Jamali F. Active ingredient consistency of commercially available glucosamine sulfate products. *J Rheumatol* 2002;29:2407–2409.
89. Consumer Laboratory Web site. Product review: joint supplements (glucosamine, chondroitin and MSM). Available at: www.consumerlabs.com/results/gluco.asp. Accessed Jan 30, 2004.
90. Ramey DW, Eddington N, Thonar E, et al. An analysis of glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate content in oral joint supplement products. *J Equine Vet Sci* 2002;22:125–127.
91. Way W, Gibson K, Breite A. Determination of glucosamine in nutritional supplements by reversed-phase ion-pairing HPLC. *J Liquid Chrom Rel Tech* 2000;23:2861–2871.
92. Way W, Gibson K, Breite A. Determination of chondroitin sulfate in nutritional supplements by liquid chromatography. *J Liquid Chrom Rel Tech* 2000;23:807–814.
93. Liang Z, Bonneville C, Senez T, et al. Development and validation of a photometric titration method for the quantitation of sodium chondroitin sulfate (bovine) in Cosequin DS chewable tablet. *J Pharm Biomed Anal* 2002;2:245–249.
94. Roden L. Effect of hexosamines on the synthesis of chondroitin sulphuric acid *in vitro*. *Arkiv Kemi* 1956;10:345–352.
95. Mroz PJ, Silbert JE. Effects of [³H] glucosamine concentration on [³H] chondroitin sulphate formation by cultured chondrocytes. *Biochem J* 2003;376:511–515.
96. Fenton JI, Chlebek-Brown KA, Peters TL, et al. The effects of glucosamine derivatives on equine articular cartilage degradation in explant culture. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2000;8:444–451.
97. Gouze JN, Bordji K, Gulberti S, et al. Interleukin-1 β down-regulates the expression of glucuronosyltransferase I, a key enzyme priming glycosaminoglycan biosynthesis: influence of glucosamine on interleukin-1 β -mediated effects in rat chondrocytes. *Arthritis Rheum* 2001;44:351–360.
98. Hoffer LJ, Kaplan LN, Hamadeh MT, et al. Sulfate could mediate the therapeutic effect of glucosamine sulfate. *Metabolism* 2001;50:767–770.
99. Anderson CC, Cook JL, Kreeger JM, et al. In vitro effects of glucosamine and acetylsalicylate on canine chondrocytes in three-dimensional culture. *Am J Vet Res* 1999;60:1546–1551.
100. de Mattei M, Pellati A, Pasello M, et al. High doses of glucosamine-HCl have detrimental effects on bovine articular cartilage explants cultured *in vitro*. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2002;10:816–825.
101. Ilic MZ, Martinac B, Handley CJ. Effects of long-term exposure to glucosamine and mannosamine on aggrecan degradation in articular cartilage. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2003;11:613–622.
102. Newton R, Kuitert LM, Bergmann M, et al. Evidence for the involvement of NF- κ B in the transcriptional control of COX-2 gene expression by IL-1 β . *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 1997;237:28–32.
103. Mengshol JA, Vincenti MP, Coon CI, et al. Interleukin-1 induction of collagenase 3 (matrix metalloproteinase 13) gene expression in chondrocytes requires p38, c-JUN N-terminal kinase and nuclear factor κ B: differential regulation of collagenase 1 and 3. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000;43:801–811.
104. Vincenti MP, Brinckerhoff CE. Early response genes induced in chondrocytes stimulated with the inflammatory cytokine interleukin-1 β . *Arthritis Res* 2001;3:381–388.
105. Nerucci F, Fioravanti A, Cicero MR, et al. Effects of chondroitin sulfate and interleukin-1 β on human chondrocyte cultures exposed to pressurization: a biochemical and morphological study. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2000;8:279–287.
106. Lippiello L, Woodward J, Karpman R, et al. In vivo chondroprotection and metabolic synergy of glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate. *Clin Orthop* 2000;381:229–240.
107. Johnson KA, Hulse DA, Hart RC, et al. Effects of an orally administered mixture of chondroitin sulfate, glucosamine hydrochloride and manganese ascorbate on synovial fluid chondroitin sulfate 3B3 and 7D4 epitope in a canine cruciate ligament transection model of osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2001;9:14–21.
108. Lippiello L. Glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate: biological response modifiers of chondrocytes under simulated conditions of joint stress. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2003;11:335–342.
109. McAlindon TE, LaValley MP, Gulin JP, et al. Glucosamine and chondroitin for treatment of osteoarthritis: a systematic quality assessment and meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2000;283:1469–1475.
110. Hughes R, Carr A. A randomized double blind, placebo controlled trial of glucosamine sulphate as an analgesic in osteoarthritis of the knee. *Rheumatology* 2002;41:279–284.
111. Pavelka K, Gatterova J, Olejarova M, et al. Glucosamine sulfate use and delay of progression of knee OA: a 3-year, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind study. *Arch Intern Med* 2002;162:2113–2123.
112. Reginster JY, Deroisy R, Rovatti LC, et al. Long-term effects of glucosamine sulphate on osteoarthritis progression: a randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Lancet* 2001;357:251–256.
113. Bruyere O, Honore A, Ethgen O, et al. Correlation between radiographic severity of knee osteoarthritis and future disease progression. Results from a 3-year prospective, placebo-controlled study evaluating the effect of glucosamine sulfate. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2003;11:1–5.
114. Conte A, de Bernardi M, Palmieri L, et al. Metabolic fate of

exogenous chondroitin sulfate in man. *Arzneimittelforschung* 1991;41:768–772.

115. Das A, Hammad TA. Efficacy of a combination of FCHG49 glucosamine hydrochloride, TRH122 low molecular weight sodium chondroitin sulfate and manganese ascorbate in the management of knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2000;8:343–350.

116. Thie NM, Prasad NG, Major PW. Evaluation of glucosamine sulfate compared to ibuprofen for the treatment of temporomandibular joint osteoarthritis: a randomized double blind controlled 3-month clinical trial. *J Rheumatol* 2001;28:1347–1355.

117. Morreale P, Manopulo R, Galati M, et al. Comparison of the anti-inflammatory efficacy of chondroitin sulfate and diclofenac sodium in patients with knee osteoarthritis. *J Rheumatol* 1996; 23:1385–1391.

118. Beale BS. Use of nutraceuticals and chondroprotectants in osteoarthritic dogs and cats. *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract* 2004;34:271–289.

119. Hulse DS, Hart D, Slatter M, et al. The effect of Cosequin in cranial cruciate deficient and reconstructed stifle joints in dogs, in *Proceedings*. 25th Annu Conf Vet Orthop Soc 1998;64.

120. Canapp SO, McLaughlin RM Jr, Hoskinson JJ, et al. Scintigraphic evaluation of dogs with acute synovitis after treatment with glucosamine hydrochloride and chondroitin sulfate. *Am J Vet Res* 1999;60:1552–1557.

121. Hardingham T. Chondroitin sulfate and joint disease. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 1998;6(suppl A):3–5.

122. Dorna VJ, Guerrero RC. Effects of oral and intramuscular use of chondroitin sulphate in induced equine aseptic arthritis. *J Equine Vet Sci* 1998;18:548–555.

Appendix

Influence of interleukin-1 (IL-1) on articular cartilage matrix components, inflammatory mediators, and degradative enzymes.

Mediator/matrix molecule	IL-1 effect on chondrocyte biosynthesis ³⁰⁻³⁵	Blocked by glucosamine*	Blocked by chondroitin sulfate*
COX-2/PGE ₂	Stimulates synthesis	√ ^{36,37}	√/X ³⁸⁻⁴⁰
iNOS/NO	Stimulates synthesis	√ ^{36,41}	√/X ^{39,42}
MMPs	Induces synthesis, activity, and secretion	√ ^{36,41,43}	√ ⁴⁰
Aggrecanases/aggrecan	Increased synthesis and activity	√ ^{44,45}	
PGs/GAGs	Decreased synthesis, increased degradation	√ ³⁸	√ ^{38,40,46}
Type II collagen	Inhibits synthesis	X ^{38,47}	√ ³⁸
Transcription factors (NFκB, AP 1)	Stimulates increased mRNA expression and activity	√ (NFκB) ^{37,48} (AP 1)	

*The ability (check marks) or lack of ability (X's) of glucosamine or chondroitin sulfate to inhibit effects induced by IL-1 as reported in various studies is indicated. Superscript numerals indicate reference numbers. COX-2 = Cyclooxygenase-2. PGE₂ = Prostaglandin E₂. iNOS = Inducible nitric oxide synthase. NO = Nitric oxide. MMPs = Matrix metalloproteinases. PGs = Proteoglycans. GAGs = Glycosaminoglycans. NFκB = Nuclear factor kappa B. AP 1 = Activator protein 1.