Project A – Ahc2-3 choose and compare 2 linear solvers among Gauss elimination, Gauss-Seidel, and Successive Over Relaxation (SOR)

**Abstract**

Half page description of an application that you plan on implementing (‘elevator’ pitch with purpose and features of the project)

The purpose of this project is to write a code to solve the two-dimensional Helmholtz equation. The project implements both mathematical knowledge and coding knowledge from the course.

For the mathematical portion, the project demonstrates my understanding of the given two-dimensional Helmholtz equation and its corresponding boundary conditions. It features the step-by-step process of solving the equation and setting up the matrices. The project also shows detailed descriptions on why each step is performed. Two iterative methods are featured to solve linear equation systems that will be used to solve the Helmholtz equation. Both methods are explained in detail, with pros and cons for using each method. The mathematical model of this project contains too many iterations and large amounts of data that cannot be solved by hand, but can be solved in Matlab, which is where the coding portion of this project comes in.

For the coding section, I will use the mathematical model that I develop to write a Matlab code for this project. In addition to the project demonstrating my understanding of how to write codes in Matlab, it will also demonstrate how well I can implement coding practices such as checkpointing, managing changes with version control, and coding for visualization.

The first step in this project was to use the given information about the Helmholtz equation to develop a mathematical model for solving the equation. The second step …………………………

**Mathematical Statement of Problem and Discretized Version of Equations**

Given two-dimensional Helmholtz Equation

Domain of interest is the rectangle

* ,

Boundary conditions:

* ,

Steps for solving:

* We will Substitute the following values into the given 2D Helmholtz Equatio
* Write equation above in matrix form. Arrange ­ in single vector u.
  + Fix j and run over all i’s in column 1, Fix j+1 and run over all i’s in column 2, Fix j+2 and run over all i’s in column 3, etc.
* To save space, we can write the transpose of u () instead of u
* To find the coefficients of ‘u’ terms in the matrix above, we look at the following graph that has a five-point stencil cross.



* From the graph above, we can form penta-diagonal matrix (matrix with five non-zero diagonals) that will be labeled matrix A.



* From matrix A,
  + = middle point and is applied to the residual at the same point.
  + = increment in ‘i’ direction (going from left to right); decrement in ‘i’ direction (going from top to bottom of matrix)
  + = value “n” points away
  + = zero terms: show absence of some terms because we are working with a five-point stencil (center stencil point with 4 neighboring points to form cross shape)
* Plugging in into matrix A, we get



* Write equation similar to matrix above with each row written horizontally from each other. For the coefficients from matrix A, we assume
  + The above values are written in matrix form A where
* The system can be rewritten in the form which is expanded below.
* The values in matrix F are given from the boundary condition
  + , where
  + More details on finding Matrix F and developing Matrix F in Matlab will be given in the next section, “Description of Numerical Method”.
* As shown above, we know that
  + 🡸 This can be rearranged to solve for [u]
  + where
  + 🡸 We will plot this in Matlab to get our solution.
* We will use the given boundary conditions for Matrix u to populate specific points in the [u] before running the Matlab code. More details about how to do this are in the next section “Description of Numerical Method”.

**Description of Numerical Method (includes pseudo code)**

1. Pseudocode and description for creating the pentadiagonal matrix A that will be used is shown below.

N=101; %set size of matrix

dx=1/N;

A\_diag=eye(N-1)\*(-4/dx^2) %initial value of diag entries of diag block

A\_diag=A\_diag+diag(ones(N-2,1), 1)/dx^2; %set values in the off diagonal

A\_diag=A\_diag+diag(ones(N-2,1), -1)/dx^2; %set values in the diagonal

A\_off=eye(N-1)/dx^2; %construct off diag blocks

%Next step is to put blocks in place in big matrix

A=sparse((N-1)\*(N-1),(N-1)\*(N-1));%Make sparse matrix so less calculations are needed

%no need to calculate for zeros

for i=1;N-1

A((i-1)\*(N-1)+1:(i-1)\*(N-1)+(N-1), (i-1)\*(N-1)+1:(i-1)\*(N-1)+(N-1))=A\_diag; %range of rows corresponding to ith block; do same on right side for columns

end

for i=1:N-1 %for off diag entries, loop again

end

for i=2:N-1 %Starts at 2 since we have 1 less off-diag block

A((i-2)\*(N-1)+1:(i-2)\*(N-1)+(N-1),(i-1)\*(N-1)+1:(i-1)\*(N-1)+(N-1))=A\_off;

A((i-1)\*(N-1)+1:(i-1)\*(N-1)+(N-1),(i-2)\*(N-1)+1:(i-2)\*(N-1)+(N-1))=A\_off; %fill j entry

end

* To develop, Matrix F, j terms in adjacent rows are fixed while all i’s are run over as the rows go down. Next, fix j+1 and run over all i’s in the next group of adjacent rows. So on and so forth. This is shown below.
* If I want matrix F to be of the size 10,000x1, that means that there will need 100 ‘i’ and ‘j’ terms (ixj=100x100=10,000). N=number of ‘i’ and ‘j’ terms=100).
* There will be 100 nodes between the boundaries = and =. The distance between each node is . The value of each x, y between and is below.
* Each value of x and y can be plugged into the given boundary condition
  + We can plug in and into which simplifies to
  + The analysis of matrix F above will be used to develop matrix F in Matlab.

1. The pseudocode to for the known values of matrix F is shown below

F = zeros(100); %Set f to be zero everywhere to test it

N=100; %number of i and j terms

% F is 100x1 array

% F is filled up with F(1,1),F(2,1),..F(100,1),F(1,2),F(2,2,),..F(100,2),..,F(99,100),F(100,100)

% F(k) = F(i,j) to populate F where k = i + (j - 1) \* 10

for j = 1:N

y = -pi + 2 / (N-1) \* (j - 1) \* pi;

for i = 1:N

k = i + (j - 1) \* 100;

x = -pi + 2 / (N-1) \* (i - 1) \* pi;

F(k) =sin( pi \* (x + pi) / (2 \* pi)) \* cos( pi / 2 \* (2 \* (y + pi) / (2 \* pi) + 1));

end

end

* We will have to apply the boundary conditions for ‘u’ to populate specific spots in Matrix u before running the code.
  + [u]=100x1 matrix with unknown values (known values at boundary conditions)

[u] =

* From the boundary conditions, I know that

  + , =
    - Found from
    - Found from =
    - Found from
    - Plug in values for and

From the boundary conditions above, I am trying to set every ‘u’ value where i=1, i=10, and j=1 (which corresponds to and ), to have the boundary conditions applied.

A similar example of what I am looking for is let’s say I want to perform operation

=

However, I want to apply the following boundary condition 🡺 If , then

I want to write a code where my final answer is

1. Pseudocode for boundary conditions of [u] listed above is shown below

%Applying Boundary Conditions

for j = 1

x = -pi + 2 / (N-1) \* (i - 1) \* pi;

u(i,j)=-pi\*(2\*pi)^2+(x+pi)\*((-2\*pi)+(2\*pi^2));

for i = 1

y = -pi + 2 / (N-1) \* (j - 1) \* pi;

u(i,j)=y\*(pi-y)^2;

for i=10

y = -pi + 2 / (N-1) \* (j - 1) \* pi;

u(i,j)=(pi-y)^2\*cos(y);

for j=10

y = -pi + 2 / (N-1) \* (j - 1) \* pi;

syms y(u)

dy=diff(y(u),u);

dy=0;

end

end

end

end

The entire Matlab code of the solution consists of the following:

%(1)Pseudocode and description for creating pentadiagonal matrix A found earlier in this section

%(2)Pseudocode for the known values of matrix F found earlier in this section

%(3)Pseudocode for boundary conditions of [u] found earlier in this section

%(4) To create 3D surface plot of final solution, below pseudocode is used

u=-A\_diag \ F; %minus sign to move F on right-hand side

surf(u)

Applying Gauss-Seidel method gives

Applying Successive Over Relaxation (SOR) gives

The Jacobi method is shown below, and it is similar to the Gauss Seidel method which is used in this project.

.

The Jacobi method finds the values of to the kth iteration and does not change until the complete (k+1)th iteration has been calculated.

However, for the Gauss-Seidel method, the new values for are used immediately as they are known. Because of this, the Gauss-Seidel method converges and diverges at a faster rate than the Jacobi method. Since the Jacobi method finds values for each ‘u’ value at the same time, when each iteration of the Jacobi method shrinks the error by ½, each iteration of the Gauss-Seidel method shrinks the error by ¼. More Jacobi Method iterations are required to approximate the same solution as the Gauss-Seidel Method.

**Technical Specifications of Computer Used**

I used the computers in the UH Engineering Computing Center. The technical specifications of the computer were found by accessing the Linux System at UH. The command that I used to find each specification is written in parenthesis next to the spec.

Number of sockets: 4 (lscpu)

CPU model name: Intel (R) Xeon(R) CPU E5620 @ 2.40 GHz (less /proc/cpuinfo)

Number of cores/CPU: 1 (less /proc/cpu info)

Current CPU Clock frequency: 2394MHz (lscpu)

Max CPU clock frequency: 2394 MHz (cat /proc/cpuinfo | grep MHz)

L1d cache size:32kB (lscpu)

L1i cache size: 32kB (lscpu)

L2 cache size:256kB (lscpu)

L3 cache size: 12288KB (lscpu)

Number of memory channels: unknown (dmidecode - "interleaved data depth" output gives number of memory channels)

DRAM total width: unknown (dmidecode –t 17)

Size of each DIMM: no module installed (dmidecode –t 17)

Total DRAM per CPU: 16335680 kB (cat /proc/meminfo)

PCI Channels version: VMware PCI Express Root Port rev 01 (lspci –vv)

PCI Channels width: not specified (lspci –vv)

PCI Channels use status: populated (lspci –vv)

Operating system version: Red Hat Enterprise Linux Server release 6.6 (cat /etc/issue.net)

C/Fortran compiler name and version: gcc version 4.4.7 20120313 (gcc –v)

• Properties of CPU from Intel website.

* thermal design power (TDP): 80W
* (<https://ark.intel.com/products/47925/Intel-Xeon-Processor-E5620-12M-Cache-2_40-GHz-5_86-GTs-Intel-QPI>)

**Results (includes graphs and comments)**

-specifications of parameters used in simulations

-evaluate effect of # of points used for discretization

If more points are used for discretization, the distance between each node in the grid becomes smaller, which increases the accuracy of the solution. While using more points for discretization can increase the accuracy and decrease the error, a larger number of points used for discretization will cause the code to run longer.

-perform grid convergence study (NOTE: solution of discretized problem converges to solution of continuous problem as grid size goes to zero)

-evaluate effect of diffusive CFL\*

-Comparison of results with expected theoretical behavior

-verify order of spatial accuracy of discretization

**Commit Report to Your Git Repository!!**