Air cargo load and route planning in pickup and delivery operations

Antonio Celio Pereira de Mesquita Carlos Alberto Alonso Sanches

The authors express their gratitude to the three anonymous reviewers for their comments and criticism, which helped to improve the content and presentation of this paper. We carefully considered all comments from the reviewers, and proceeded to the revision of the text.

We do understand that now our paper achieves the high standard quality of this journal. As will be presented below, we followed the requests from reviewers.

1 #1-a: The entire editorial decision letter

Ms. Ref. No.: ESWA-D-23-16263

Title: Air cargo load and route planning in pickup and delivery operations

Expert Systems With Applications

Dear Carlos,

As Editor, I'm writing this editorial decision letter on your paper submission. If you are interested in submitting a revised version, please read through this entire editorial decision letter carefully and take all actions seriously in order to avoid any delay in the review process of your revised manuscript submission. You need to upload a 'Detailed Response to Reviewers' in the EM system with the following sections while submitting the revised manuscript. Please note that the Required Sections (Section #1-a, Section #1-b, Section #2-a, Section #2-b, Section #3-a, and Section #3-b) defined clearly in this editorial decision letter with specific Compliance Requirements (part of this editorial decision letter) must be clearly labeled and included in the 'Detailed Response to Reviewers'. The Required Sections must be clearly placed before the revised manuscript. NOTE: Section #1-(a) MUST contain the COMPLETE text covered in this email letter from Editor-in-Chief, rather than just only the first paragraph of this email letter from Editor-in-Chief. Please note that we will NOT admit any revised manuscript submission for further review if any of the Required Sections is incomplete or any non-compliance of the ESWA authors' guidelines in the PDF file of the revised manuscript that you approve in EM system. So you need to take both (1) Required Sections and (2) Compliance Requirements seriously to avoid any delay in the review process of your revised manuscript. The font size of the Required Sections should be consistent and readable. All required sections should not be embedded. The Required Sections must be placed clearly BEFORE the revised manuscript and in the order listed as followings:

REQUIRED SECTIONS: Please confirm that your submission includes ALL of the required sections.

- Section #1-a: Including the entire editorial decision letter (i.e., complete text covered in this email letter) from Editor
- Section #1-b: Including your responses to Editor
- ullet Section #2-a: Including the entire comments made by the Associate Editor
- Section #2-b: Including your Point-to-Point responses to the Associate Editor
- Section #3-a: Including the entire comments made by the Reviewer
- ullet Section #3-b. Including your Point-to-Point responses to the Reviewer.

 ${\bf COMPLIANCE\ REQUIREMENTS:\ Please\ confirm\ that\ your\ submission\ completely\ complies\ with\ the\ compliance\ requirements.}$

Please note that prior to admitting the revised submission to the next rigorous review process, all paper submissions must completely comply with ESWA Guide for Authors (see details at https://www.elsevier.com/journals/expert-systems-with-applications/0957-4174/guide-for-authors). These include at least the following Compliance Requirements:

- A) Authorship policies Please also note that ESWA takes authorship very seriously and all paper submissions MUST completely comply with all of the following three policies on authorship (clearly stated in the questionnaire responses in EM system) prior to a rigorous peer review process:
- A)-1: The corresponding author needs to enter the full names, full affiliation with country and email address of every contributing author in EM online system. It is also mandatory that every contributing coauthor must be listed in EM at submission.
- A)-2: It is mandatory that the full names, full affiliation with country and email address of every contributing author must be included in title (authorship) page of the manuscript. The first page of the manuscript should contain the title of the paper, and the full name, full affiliation with country and email address of every contributing author. The second page of the manuscript should begin with the paper abstract. Note that cover letter is not title (authorship) page.
- A)-3: The authorship information in EM system must be consistent with the authorship information on the title (authorship) page of the manuscript.
- B) Guidelines of reference style and reference list Citations in the text should follow the referencing style used by the American Psychological Association (APA).
- B)-1: Reference Style: Citations in the text should follow the referencing style used by the American Psychological Association. You are referred to the Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association, Sixth Edition, ISBN 978-1-4338-0561-5. APA's intext citations require the author's last name and the year of publication. You should cite publications in the text, for example, (Smith, 2020). However, you should not use [Smith, 2020]. Note: There should be no [1], [2], [3], etc in your manuscript.
 - B)-2: Reference List:

References should be arranged first alphabetically by the surname of the first author followed by initials of the author's given name, and then further sorted chronologically if necessary. More than one reference from the same author(s) in the same year must be identified by the letters 'a', 'b', 'c', etc., placed after the year of publication. For example, Van der Geer, J., Hanraads, J. A. J., & Lupton, R. A. (2010). The art of writing a scientific article. Journal of Scientific Communications, 163, 51-59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.Sc.2010.00372. Note: There should be no [1], [2], [3], etc in your references list.

- C) Highlights guidelines There should be a maximum of 85 characters, including spaces, per Highlight in the Highlights section. Please kindly read this guideline carefully the guideline does NOT say there should be a maximum of 85 words per Highlight. It says there should be a maximum of 85 characters per Highlight. As examples, the word "impact" consists of 6 characters; the word "significance" consists of 12 characters. Only include 3 to 5 Highlights. Minimum number is 3, and maximum number is 5.
- D) Please pay attention to the new journal policy asking for institutional emails in all submissions. Every author must have an institutional email in both, the submission platform and manuscript.

NOTE: Your paper submission will be returned to authors and will NOT be admitted to further review if the revised paper fails to completely comply with the ESWA Authorship policies, ESWA guidelines of reference style and reference list, Highlights guidelines. You need to take the Compliance Requirements seriously to avoid any delay in the review process of your revised manuscript.

To submit a Complete and Compliance revision, please go to https://www.editorialmanager.com/eswa/ and login as an Author.a

Your username is: alonso@ita.br

If you need to retrieve password details, please go to: click here to reset your password

On your Main Menu page is a folder entitled "Submissions Needing Revision". You will find your submission record there.

When you are ready to submit your revised paper, please upload the source files of your revised paper (if in Word, please upload the .doc or docx file; if in .TeX, please upload the .TeX, style) as these would be required in Production if your manuscript gets accepted

Please note that we allow 21 days for the first author revision and 14 days for any additional author revisions that are required.

Note: While submitting the revised manuscript, please double check the author names provided in the submission so that authorship related changes are made in the revision stage. If your manuscript is accepted, any authorship change will involve approval from co-authors and respective editor handling the submission and this may cause a significant delay in publishing your manuscript. Please also check which journals do you select for publication. There is no change back under the condition that the manuscript is accepted

Research Elements (optional) This journal encourages you to share research objects - including your raw data, methods, protocols, software, hardware and more - which support your original research article in a Research Elements journal. Research Elements are open access, multidisciplinary, peer-reviewed journals which make the objects associated with your research more discoverable, trustworthy and promote replicability and reproducibility. As open access journals, there may be an Article Publishing Charge if your paper is accepted for publication. Find out more about the Research Elements journals at https://www.elsevier.com/authors/tools-and-resources/research-elements-journals?dgcid=ec_em_research_elements_email.

Yours sincerely,

Joaquín Torres-Sospedra, Ph.D. Editor Expert Systems With Applications

2 #1-b: Our responses to Editor

Agradecemos e seguimos todas as orientações do Editor:

- Incluímos neste texto todas as Required Sections;
- A submissão do nosso artigo revisado atende todas as Compliance Requirements.

3 #2-a: The entire comments made by the Associate Editor

De acordo com a mensagem recebida, parece-nos que os comentários do Associate Editor são os seguintes:

Reviewers' comments:

- 1. More analysis shall be given to discuss the experimental results.
- More newly-published related references shall be cited and dicussed in Introduction.
- 3. More experiments hope to be added to support the conclusion.

New experiments were conducted due to two changes suggested by the reviewers:

- 1. Node solution times depended on the total volume of candidate items to be loaded in each node in relation to the total items volume to be loaded in the tour.
- 2. A new process of determining the *Shims* volume thresholds for eta_1 and eta_2 .

4 #2-b: Our Point-to-Point responses to the Associate Editor

Revision: More analysis shall be given to discuss the experimental results.

Como pode ser observado logo abaixo, aumentamos substancialmente os dados experimentais apresentados. Consequentemente, houve também um sensível aumento na discussão sobre eles.

Revision: More newly-published related references shall be cited and dicussed in Introduction.

Incluímos e comentamos novas referências (procurar trabalhos recentes sobre TSP e PDP em aeronaves).

Revision: More experiments hope to be added to support the conclusion.

Incluímos novos resultados experimentais (novas figuras, testes, etc.). Concretamente: desempenhos obtidos com outras metaheurísticas; definição empírica de η_1 and η_2 no algoritmo Shims; limite de tempo variável em cada nó, de acordo com o volume de carga previsto em cada nó; resolução de casos com um maior número de nós.

We included Table 10 with the results of the iRace tool, a software package that helps to automatically fine-tune algorithm parameters. We fine-tuned parameters $threshold_1$ and $threshold_2$, the relative volumes of each pallet used to determine the indexes η_1 and η_2 for the Shims heuristic.

5 #3-a: The entire comments made by the Reviewers

Indicamos a seguir, em cada subseção, os comentários feitos pelos três revisores.

5.1 Reviewer #1

- 1 In section 2, Literature review in the first and second paragraphs is too simple. Some analysis needs to be given to introduce the research status.
- 2 In the manuscript, any figures about algorithm simulation results cannot be found. Some figures and analysis are suggested to be presented to show the superiority of the used algorithm.
- 3 Also, any figures about experiments are not given in the manuscript. "By using a portable computer, our strategy quickly found practical solutions to a wide range of real problems in much less than operationally acceptable time." Could you please show the results with some figures?
- 4 Too many old references are cited.

5.2 Reviewer #2

This paper addresses the optimization of air cargo load planning and routing for pickup and delivery operations. It introduces a novel problem called the Air Cargo Load Planning with Routing Pickup and Delivery Problem (ACLP+RPDP), which is mathematically modeled to tackle the challenges of pallet assembly, load balancing, and route planning in air transport.

The problem raised in this paper is innovative and applicable, and the proposed algorithm demonstrates effectiveness in solving the problem. However, there are several issues that need to be addressed:

- 1. The experimental section lacks effectiveness analysis, where the specific contributions of each strategy are not thoroughly verified and analyzed through separate experiments.
- 2. The comparative experiment should include both well-known and novel algorithms for a comprehensive evaluation.
- 3. The algorithm is missing a complexity analysis, which is essential to better understand its efficiency and potential limitations.
- 4. The inclusion of more figures to illustrate the flow, principle, and results of the algorithm would greatly enhance the clarity and visual representation.
- 5. The format of the article needs further adjustment, particularly in addressing the existing large blank spaces on pages 7 and 10.

5.3 Reviewer #3

This paper models the ACLP+RPDP of a real case, and develops a problemsolver. The experiments have demonstrated the contributions. However, there are some issues that should be addressed:

- 1: In section 1, the authors claim that they solve an air cargo problem involving simultaneously APP, WBP, PDP, and TSP. But, there is not clear description of the importance. In other words, the motivation should be enhanced.
- 2: The literature review is simple. Although some studies focus on the same sub-problem in ACLPP, they still show differences in designing modelling and problem-solver. Apparently, authors should supplement them.
- 3: In section 5, the running time at each node is fixed. Whether different nodes have different requirements for running time.
- 4: In section 5.2.2, η_1 and η_2 are set by authors. It is better to study them by experiments.
- 5: The experimental settings are not complete.
- 6: To the best of our knowledge, the genetic algorithm is a good method for solving ACLPP. The method is not compared in the experiments.
- 7: With the increase of nodes, what impact will be brought in solving the problem. The experiments and analysis should be supplemented.

6 #3-b: Our Point-to-Point responses to the Reviewers

Em cada subseção, responderemos os comentários de cada um dos três revisores.

6.1 Reviewer #1

Revision: In section 2, Literature review in the first and second paragraphs is too simple. Some analysis needs to be given to introduce the research status.

Aumentamos a revisão da literatura, descrevendo os trabalhos com maior detalhe. Acrescentamos também alguns trabalhos mais recentes. Citar quais são esses trabalhos...

Revision: In the manuscript, any figures about algorithm simulation results cannot be found. Some figures and analysis are suggested to be presented to show the superiority of the used algorithm.

Incluímos figuras comparativas, mostrando o melhor desempenho da heurística Shims. Citar quais são essas figuras...

Revision: Also, any figures about experiments are not given in the manuscript. "By using a portable computer, our strategy quickly found practical solutions to a wide range of real problems in much less than operationally acceptable time." Could you please show the results with some figures?

Nas novas figuras incluídas no artigo, mostramos o desempenho dos algoritmos desenvolvidos. Citar quais são essas figuras...

Revision: Too many old references are cited.

Incluímos referências mais recentes (citá-las). De qualquer modo, preferimos manter as antigas, para assim termos um levantamento histórico da evolução da pesquisa neste campo.

6.2 Reviewer #2

Revision: The experimental section lacks effectiveness analysis, where the specific contributions of each strategy are not thoroughly verified and analyzed through separate experiments.

Talvez não tenha ficado claro no texto original, mas três estratégias foram imprescindíveis para que pudéssemos obter alguma solução nas instâncias criadas: (1) considerar K < m para que cada pallet recebesse uma destinação predefinida; (2) em cada tour, calcular uma solução nó a nó; (3) em cada nó intermediário do tour considerado, realocar os packed contents minimizando o torque na aeronave. Sem a adoção destas três estratégias, não conseguimos realizar nenhum experimento, mesmo em instâncias menores. A única estratégia que permitiu diferentes experimentos e comparações foi a heurística utilizada na solução nó a nó. Procuramos deixar isto mais claro na nossa conclusão.

Revision: The comparative experiment should include both well-known and novel algorithms for a comprehensive evaluation.

Como estamos lidando com um novo problema, não dispomos de outras resoluções disponíveis na literatura. Na verdade, temos apenas meta-heurísticas bem conhecidas para a solução nó a nó, no qual o torque da aeronave é minimizado. Por este motivo, incluímos novas tabelas comparativas com os resultados obtidos nesses casos.

Revision: The algorithm is missing a complexity analysis, which is essential to better understand its efficiency and potential limitations.

Agradecemos este comentário. Incluímos a análise de complexidade do algoritmo apresentado no nosso artigo.

Revision: The inclusion of more figures to illustrate the flow, principle, and results of the algorithm would greatly enhance the clarity and visual representation.

Agradecemos esta sugestão. Acrescentamos novas figuras e tabelas que ilustram melhor nossos resultados. Citar quais são...

Revision: The format of the article needs further adjustment, particularly in addressing the existing large blank spaces on pages 7 and 10.

Estes espaços surgiram devido à formatação do \LaTeX , e deverão ser eliminados na versão final.

6.3 Reviewer #3

Revision: In section 1, the authors claim that they solve an air cargo problem involving simultaneously APP, WBP, PDP, and TSP. But, there is not clear description of the importance. In other words, the motivation should be enhanced.

 $Acrescentamos \quad novas \quad motiva \\ \~c\~oes \quad para \quad a \quad resolu \\ \~c\~ao \quad do \\ ACLP+RPDP, \quad que \quad envolve \quad simultaneamente \quad 4 \quad sub-problemas \\ NP-hard.$

Revision: The literature review is simple. Although some studies focus on the same sub-problem in ACLPP, they still show differences in designing modelling and problem-solver. Apparently, authors should supplement them.

Agradecemos esta sugestão. Incluímos mais informações na revisão da literatura, indicando as modelagens e os métodos de resolução.

Revision: In section 5, the running time at each node is fixed. Whether different nodes have different requirements for running time.

Agradecemos esta sugestão. Entre os novos resultados apresentados, incluímos uma resolução na qual o tempo dedicado a cada nó é proporcional à carga disponível para embarque.

Revision: In section 5.2.2, η_1 and η_2 are set by authors. It is better to study them by experiments.

Estudamos a viabilidade de definir empiricamente os valores dessas constantes do algoritmo Shims. Explicar...

Revision: The experimental settings are not complete.

Não entendemos este comentário... De qualquer modo, aumentamos a seção de experimentos do nosso artigo, procurando definir todas as variáveis envolvidas. Caso reste algum ponto obscuro, pedimos ao revisor que o especifique, por favor.

Revision: To the best of our knowledge, the genetic algorithm is a good method for solving ACLPP. The method is not compared in the experiments.

Analisamos as resoluções do ACLPP através de algoritmos genéticos. Explicar...

Revision: With the increase of nodes, what impact will be brought in solving the problem. The experiments and analysis should be supplemented.

Agradecemos esta sugestão. Incluímos resultados da resolução de casos com um maior número K de nós, mantendo a suposição K < m, necessária para a definição prévia da destinação dos pallets. Para isso, utilizamos uma heurística conhecida para o TSP, aplicando nosso algoritmo apenas nesse tour.