0.1. Complexity analysis

These are the steps to solve the ACLP+RPDP with the complexity analysis:

0.1.1. Input data

Load K lines of text to compose a distances matrix for K airports, the m packed contents from previous nodes, and n items parameters from text files are of complexities $O(K)$, $O(m)$, and $O(n)$, respectively:

- $O(K + m + n)$

0.1.2. Number of tested tours (T)

- If $K <= 6$, determine the number of tours through permutation. To get permutation of nodes as tours has a complexity of $O(T = K! \cdot K)$, as the number of nodes is permutated (factorial) to generate tours.

    - $O(K + m + n) + O(T = K! \cdot K)$ or
    - $O(K + m + n) + O(T = 2)$, if the we are solving the two optimal TSP tours.

- If $K > 6$, we obtain 100 tours from a heuristic TSP solution (see its time complexity analysis in subsubsection 0.1.6):

    - $O(K + m + n) + O(T = 100)$

0.1.3. Solving T tours:

- $O(K + m + n) + O(T)$

a) each tour with K nodes:

- $O(K + m + n) + O(T \cdot K)$

b) in each node we have to reset (to eliminate the risk of previous destinations assigned to a pallet) $O(K \cdot m)$ and set the empty pallets destinations: $O(K \cdot m + K \cdot m) = O(2 \cdot K \cdot m) = O(K \cdot m)$

- $O(K + m + n) + O(T \cdot K \cdot (K \cdot m))$

c) To calculate the time limit to solve each node, it is necessary to determine the potential total volume for each node.: $O(K \cdot n)$

- $O(K + m + n) + O(T \cdot K \cdot (K \cdot m + K \cdot n))$

d) The time complexity to put the packet contents destined to the next nodes in the tour on board: $O(m)$.

- $O(K + m + n) + O(T \cdot K \cdot (K \cdot m + K \cdot n + m))$

d) The time complexity to optimize the pallets positions to minimize the CG deviation: $O(m^2)$ (m pallets in m positions)

- $O(K + m + n) + O(T \cdot K \cdot (K \cdot m + K \cdot n + m + m^2))$

e) Plus the time complexity of the method used to solve an ACLPP with the aircraft partially loaded: $O(C)$

- $O(K + m + n) + O(T \cdot K \cdot (K \cdot m + K \cdot n + m + m^2 + C))$

0.1.4. Considering the Shims algorithm: $O(C) = O(Shims)$

a) the time complexity for sorting the pallets in non-descending order of distances to the CG:

- $O(Shims) = m \cdot log(m)$

b) As each pallet is solved at a time, the overall Shims complexity is multiplied by m.

- $O(Shims) = m.(m \cdot log(m))$

c) The greedy phase has $O(n)$.

- $O(Shims) = m \cdot (m \cdot log(m) + n)$

d) The composition phase has $O(n)$ added to the First-Fit Decreasing algorithm time complexity $O(m \cdot log(m))$

- $O(Shims) = m \cdot (m \cdot log(m) + n + n + m \cdot log(m))$

- $O(Shims) = m \cdot (2n + 2 \cdot m \cdot log(m)) = m \cdot (n + m \cdot log(m))$

The overall time complexity to solve the ACLP+RPDP with Shims is:

- $O(K + m + n) + O(T \cdot K \cdot (K \cdot m + K \cdot n + m + m^2 + m \cdot (n + m \cdot log(m))))$

The overall time complexity provided combines two distinct parts: $O(K + m + n)$ and $O(T \cdot K \cdot (K \cdot m + K \cdot n + m + m^2 + m \cdot (n + m \cdot \log(m))))$. Analysing each part separately and then considering their combined implications provides insight into the algorithm's performance characteristics.

0.1.5. Complexity analysis considerations

First Part: $O(K + m + n)$

This component of the time complexity suggests a linear dependency on the sizes of three separate inputs or parameters, denoted by K, m, and n. These are sequential steps, each influenced by each of these parameters size. This implies that as any of K, m, or n increases, the time required by the algorithm increases linearly with respect to the largest of these parameters, the number of items to be transported (n).

Second Part: $O(T \cdot K \cdot (K \cdot m + K \cdot n + m + m^2 + m \cdot (n + m \cdot \log(m))))$

This portion is considerably more complex, indicating an algorithm with nested dependencies on several variables (T, K, m, and n):

- $T \cdot K$: repeated iterations T for each of K nodes, nested loops where inner operations are contingent upon K.

- $K \cdot m + K \cdot n$: These operations scale with both K and each of m and n, indicating processes that involve all pairs of K with m and n.

- $m + m^2$: operations with linear and quadratic dependencies on the number of pallets m, indicating that as m grows, the complexity increases more significantly due to the quadratic term m^2.

- $m \cdot (n + m \cdot \log(m))$: Combines linear scaling with m and n and a logarithmic term $m \cdot \log(m)$, because the algorithm uses divide-and-conquer strategies on m elements, the Shims heuristics.

Combined Implications

When combining these parts, the linear terms in the first part $(O(K + m + n))$ are overshadowed by the more complex and potentially rapidly increasing terms of the second part, especially due to the presence of m^2 and the product $T \cdot K \cdot (...)$. The quadratic (m^2) and logarithmic $(m \cdot \log(m))$ terms indicate that the algorithm's efficiency decreases significantly as the number of pallets m increases.

The overall complexity suggests an algorithm that performs efficiently with small values of K, m, and n but may become substantially more resource-intensive as these parameters increase. The number tours T indicates that the entire complex operation is executed T times, further amplifying the effect of the inner complexities.

Optimizing such an algorithm involves minimizing the repetition count (T), reducing the complexity of operations dependent on K, m, and n.

0.1.6. Time Complexity Analysis of the Genetic Algorithm for TSP

When $K > 6$, we obtain 100 tours from a heuristic TSP solution with the Genetic Algorithm (GA), which addresses the TSP by evolving a population of candidate tours through selection, crossover (recombination), and mutation over multiple generations, aiming to minimize tour length.

The time complexity of this method is influenced by the number of nodes (K), the population size (P), the number of generations (G), and the complexities of the crossover $(C(K))$, mutation $(M(K))$, and selection $(S(K))$ operations.

Given these parameters, we can express the overall time complexity as:

- $O(G \cdot (P \cdot (S(K) + P \cdot (C(K) + M(K)))))$

The selection complexity, $S(K)$, depends on evaluating the path length of each tour. The complexities of the crossover and mutation operations, $C(K)$ and $M(K)$, are functions of K because they involve manipulating sequences of nodes.

Each generation involves selecting tours based on shorter distances, generating new tours through crossover, and applying mutations, leading to the multiplication by G.

The direct involvement of K in the complexities of $C(K)$, $M(K)$, and $S(K)$ highlights how the number of nodes affects the algorithm's performance. Specifically, operations that manipulate or evaluate paths become more complex as K increases.

The encoding of tours and the calculation of path length have greater influence in the GA's computational demands.