

CPT Lecture Notes 6: Support functions

Levent Ülkü

September 20, 2019

Support functions for maximization problems

For any nonempty $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, let

$$b(S) = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^n : \max_{x \in S} p \cdot x \text{ is well-defined}\}$$

and let

$$\sigma_S(p) = \max_{x \in S} p \cdot x$$

for any $p \in b(S)$. Hence $\sigma_S : b(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

The set $b(S)$ is the **barrier cone** of S and the function σ_S is the **support function** of S .

Note that if $p \in b(S)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then $\lambda p \in b(S)$ as well. Hence $b(S)$ is a **cone** and in particular $0 \in b(S)$.

If $S = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \|x\| \leq 1\}$, then $b(S) = \mathbb{R}^2$.

If $S = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \|x\| < 1\}$, then $b(S) = \{0\}$.

$b(S)$ need not be convex even if S is. For instance if $S = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \|x\| < 1\} \cup \{(1, 0), (0, 1)\}$, then $b(S) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1 \geq 0 \text{ and } x_2 = 0\} \cup \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1 = 0 \text{ and } x_2 \geq 0\}$.

Theorem: Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be nonempty.

1. If x_1 solves $\max_{x \in S} p_1 \cdot x$ and x_2 solves $\max_{x \in S} p_2 \cdot x$, then $(x_1 - x_2) \cdot (p_1 - p_2) \geq 0$.
2. $\sigma_S(tp) = t\sigma_S(p)$ for every $p \in b(S)$ and $t \geq 0$.
3. If $b(S)$ is convex, then σ_S is convex.
4. Suppose $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed and convex, and $p \in b(S)$. x_p solves $\max_{x \in S} p \cdot x$ iff x_p is a subgradient of σ_S at p .
5. Suppose $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed and convex, $p \in \text{int}b(S)$ and σ_S is differentiable at p . Then, x_p solves $\max_{x \in S} p \cdot x$ iff $x_p = \nabla \sigma_S(p)$.

Proof: Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be nonempty.

TS1: If x_1 solves $\max_{x \in S} p_1 \cdot x$ and x_2 solves $\max_{x \in S} p_2 \cdot x$, then $(x_1 - x_2) \cdot (p_1 - p_2) \geq 0$.

Suppose x_i solves $\max_{x \in S} p_i \cdot x$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Then $p_1 \cdot x_1 \geq p_1 \cdot x_2$ and $p_2 \cdot x_2 \geq p_2 \cdot x_1$.

Manipulating, we get $(x_1 - x_2) \cdot (p_1 - p_2) \geq 0$.

TS2: $\sigma_S(tp) = t\sigma_S(p)$ for every $p \in b(S)$ and $t \geq 0$.

Let $p \in b(S)$ and $t \geq 0$.

Then $tp \in b(S)$ since $b(S)$ is a cone.

Furthermore $\sigma_S(tp) = \max_{x \in S}(tp) \cdot x = t \max_{x \in S} p \cdot x = t\sigma_S(p)$.

TS3: If $b(S)$ is convex, then σ_S is convex.

Suppose $b(S)$ is convex.

Pick $p, q \in b(S)$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Let $r = tp + (1 - t)q$. Since $b(S)$ is convex, $r \in b(S)$ as well.

Take any $x \in S$.

Note $\sigma_S(p) \geq p \cdot x$ and $\sigma_S(q) \geq q \cdot x$.

Hence $t\sigma_S(p) + (1 - t)\sigma_S(q) \geq r \cdot x$.

Since x is arbitrary, $\sigma_S(r) \leq t\sigma_S(p) + (1 - t)\sigma_S(q)$ and σ_S is convex.

TS4: Suppose $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed and convex, and $p \in b(S)$. x_p solves $\max_{x \in S} p \cdot x$ iff x_p is a subgradient of σ_S at p .

Suppose $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed and convex, and $p \in b(S)$.

Suppose x_p solves $\max_{x \in S} p \cdot x$. Hence $\sigma_S(p) = p \cdot x_p$. If $q \in b(S)$, then $\sigma_S(q) \geq q \cdot x_p$. Therefore $\sigma_S(q) \geq q \cdot x_p + \sigma_S(p) - p \cdot x_p$, i.e., $\sigma_S(q) \geq \sigma_S(p) + x_p \cdot (q - p)$. It follows that x_p is a subgradient of σ_S at p .

Now suppose that x_p is a subgradient of σ_S at p . Hence $\sigma_S(q) \geq \sigma_S(p) + x_p \cdot (q - p)$ for every $q \in b(S)$. Note that $0 \in b(S)$ and therefore $0 \geq \sigma_S(p) - x_p \cdot p$. Furthermore $2p \in b(S)$ and $\sigma_S(2p) = 2\sigma_S(p)$ and therefore $2\sigma_S(p) \geq \sigma_S(p) + x_p \cdot p$. It follows that $\sigma_S(p) = p \cdot x_p$. It remains to show that $x_p \in S$. If not, by Minkowski's Theorem, there exists some $q \neq 0$ and $x_0 \in S$ such that $q \cdot x_p > q \cdot x_0 \geq q \cdot x$ for every $x \in S$. Then $q \in b(S)$ and $\sigma_S(q) = q \cdot x_0$. It follows that $\sigma_S(p) - p \cdot x_p + q \cdot x_p > \sigma_S(q)$, a contradiction.

TS5: Suppose $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed and convex, $p \in \text{intb}(S)$ and σ_S is differentiable at p . Then, x_p solves $\max_{x \in S} p \cdot x$ iff $x_p = \nabla \sigma_S(p)$.

Follows from the observation that the only subgradient of σ_S at p is $\nabla \sigma_S(p)$ if $p \in \text{intb}(S)$ and σ_S is differentiable at p . ■

Application: Profit maximization

Suppose there are n commodities.

A production set is any $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$.

If $y \in Y$, then y is a feasible production plan.

If $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in Y$ and $y_i < 0 < y_j$, then the i th commodity is used as input and the j th commodity is an output.

Depending on the context, various assumptions can be placed on Y , such as closedness, boundedness and convexity. If $0 \in Y$, inactivity is feasible. If $Y = -\mathbb{R}_+^n$, then the only technology available is free disposal. If we would like to assume irreversibility of production, we impose $y = 0$ whenever $y, -y \in Y$.

Example:

Consider a firm producing output y_1 using $n - 1$ inputs y_2, \dots, y_n .

Let $f : \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the firm's production function.

The production set is given by

$$Y = \{(y_1, \dots, y_n) : y_1 \leq f(-y_2, \dots, -y_n)\}.$$

Let $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ denote the price vector.

The profit maximization problem is $\max_{y \in Y} p \cdot y$.

The barrier cone $b(Y)$ consists of those price vectors for which the profit maximization problem is well-defined.

The profit function $\pi_Y(p)$ is the support function for this maximization problem, i.e., $\pi_Y(p) = \max_{y \in Y} p \cdot y$ for every $p \in b(Y)$. (I will simply write $\pi(p)$ instead of $\pi_Y(p)$.)

Let $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be nonempty. By Theorem above, it follows that:

1. If $y(p)$ solves $\max_{y \in Y} p \cdot y$ and $y(p')$ solves $\max_{y \in Y} p' \cdot y$, then $(y(p) - y(p')) \cdot (p - p') \geq 0$.
2. $\pi(tp) = t\pi(p)$ for every $p \in b(Y)$ and $t \geq 0$.
3. If $b(Y)$ is convex, then π is convex.
4. Suppose $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed and convex, and $p \in b(Y)$. $y(p)$ solves $\max_{y \in Y} p \cdot y$ iff $y(p)$ is a subgradient of π at p .
5. (Hotelling's Lemma) Suppose $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed and convex, $p \in \text{int}b(Y)$ and π is differentiable at p . $y(p)$ solves $\max_{y \in Y} p \cdot y$ iff $y(p) = \nabla \pi(p)$.

Many results in economics relate suitably defined notions of optimality and efficiency.

For instance, given Y , say that $y \in Y$ is efficient if $y = y'$ whenever $y' \geq y$ and $y' \in Y$.

Proposition: If $p \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ and y^* solves $\max_{y \in Y} p \cdot y$, then y^* is efficient.

Proof: If y is not efficient, then there exists $y' \in Y$ such that $y' \geq y$ and $y'_i > y_i$ for some coordinate i . If in addition p has strictly positive coordinates, then $p \cdot y' - p \cdot y \geq p_i(y'_i - y_i) > 0$. ■

Proposition: Suppose Y is convex and $y^* \in Y$ is efficient. There exists $p \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\pi(p) = p \cdot y^*$.

Proof: Fix convex Y and efficient $y^* \in Y$.

Let $B = \{y^*\} + (\mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus \{0\})$.

Then B is convex and $Y \cap B = \emptyset$.

By the SHT, there exists some $p \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that $p \cdot y \leq p \cdot b$ for every $y \in Y$ and every $b \in B$.

Let $y^k = y^* + (\frac{1}{k}, \dots, \frac{1}{k})$. Hence $y^k \in B$ for every k and $p \cdot y \leq p \cdot y^k$ for every $y \in Y$. Taking the limit, we conclude that $\pi(p) = p \cdot y^*$.

It only remains to show that $p \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$. For any $i = 1, \dots, n$, let e_i be the unit vector in \mathbb{R}^n whose i th coordinate is 1 and other coordinates are 0. Then $y^* + e_i \in B$ and therefore $p \cdot (y^* + e_i) \geq p \cdot y^*$. It follows that the i th coordinate of p is nonnegative. Since i is arbitrary, we conclude that $p \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ as desired. ■

Support functions for minimization problems:

Let $x \in S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We say that $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a **supergradient** of f at x if $f(y) \leq f(x) + p \cdot (y - x)$ for every $y \in S$.

Let $b^-(S) = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^n : \min_{x \in S} p \cdot x \text{ is well-defined}\}$. Let $\tau_S : b^-(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $\tau_S(p) = \min_{x \in S} p \cdot x$.

Note that $b^-(S) = -b(S) = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^n : -p \in b(S)\}$. Furthermore $\tau_S(p) = -\sigma_S(-p)$.

Theorem: Take a nonempty set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$.

1. If x_1 solves $\min_{x \in S} p_1 \cdot x$ and x_2 solves $\min_{x \in S} p_2 \cdot x$, then $(x_1 - x_2) \cdot (p_1 - p_2) \leq 0$.
2. $\tau_S(tp) = t\tau_S(p)$ for every $p \in b^-(S)$ and $t \geq 0$.
3. If $b^-(S)$ is convex, then τ_S is concave.
4. Suppose $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed and convex, and $p \in b^-(S)$. x_p solves $\min_{x \in S} p \cdot x$ iff x_p is a supergradient of τ_S at p .
5. Suppose $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed and convex, $p \in \text{int}b^-(S)$ and τ_S is differentiable at p . Then, x_p solves $\min_{x \in S} p \cdot x$ iff $x_p = \nabla \tau_S(p)$.

Proof: Homework.

Application: Cost minimization

Suppose a firm produces a single output using n inputs:

$$Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_-^n.$$

Define $V(q) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : (q, -x) \in Y\}$ for every output level $q \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ denote the price vector for inputs.

The cost minimization problem is $\min_{x \in V(q)} w \cdot x$.

The barrier cone $b(V(q))$ consists of those price vectors for which the cost minimization is well-defined for output level q .

The cost function is given by $c(w, q) = \min_{x \in V(q)} w \cdot x$ for every $w \in b(V(q))$. Hence $c(\cdot, q)$ is the support function for $\min_{x \in V(q)} w \cdot x$.

Let $V(q) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be nonempty. By Theorem above, it follows that:

1. If $x(w)$ solves $\min_{x \in V(q)} w \cdot x$ and $x(w')$ solves $\min_{x \in V(q)} w' \cdot x$, then $(x(w) - x(w')) \cdot (w - w') \leq 0$.
2. $c(tw, q) = tc(w, q)$ for every $w \in b(V(q))$ and $t \geq 0$.
3. If $b(V(q))$ is convex, then $c(\cdot, q)$ is convex.
4. Suppose $V(q)$ is closed and convex, and $w \in b(V(q))$. $x(w)$ solves $\min_{x \in V(q)} w \cdot x$ iff $x(w)$ is a supergradient of $c(\cdot, q)$ at w .
5. (Shephard's Lemma) Suppose $V(q)$ is closed and convex, $w \in \text{int}b(V(q))$ and $c(\cdot, q)$ is differentiable at w . $x(w)$ solves $\min_{x \in V(q)} w \cdot x$ iff $x(w) = \nabla_w c(w, q)$.