# Celo Light Client

### 1 Introduction

We assume we are given groups of prime order  $r \mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2, \mathbb{G}_t$ , and denote by  $\mathbb{F}$  the finite field of the same size. We are also given generators  $g_1 \in \mathbb{G}_1$ ,  $g_2 \in \mathbb{G}_2$ . We write  $\mathbb{G}_1$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2$  additively, and  $\mathbb{G}_t$  multiplicatively.

We denote by H a hash function taking as input strings of arbitrary length and outputting elements of  $\mathbb{G}_2$ . We model H as a random oracle in the security proof, mainly as that is needed for security of the BLS signature scheme.

#### BLS signature scheme:

- The message m is an arbitrary string
- The secret key sk is a uniformly chosen element of  $\mathbb{F}$ , and the corresponding public key is  $pk := sk \cdot g_1$ .
- The signature  $\sigma$  of m under sk is

$$\sigma = \mathbf{Sign}(\mathsf{m}, \mathsf{sk}) := \mathsf{sk} \cdot H(\mathsf{m})$$

BLS has the extremely useful property that  $\mathbf{Sign}(\mathsf{m},\mathsf{sk}_1+\ldots\mathsf{sk}_t)=\sum_{i\in[t]}\mathbf{Sign}(\mathsf{m},\mathsf{sk}_i)$ Thus, a set of users with private keys  $\{\mathsf{sk}_i\}_{i\in[t]}$  and public keys  $\{\mathsf{pk}_i\}_{i\in[t]}$  can sign  $\mathsf{m}$  separately and their signatures can be aggregated to a single signature under public key  $\mathsf{pk}_{\mathsf{agg}}:=\sum_{i\in[t]}\mathsf{pk}_i$ .

Registered key owners: To avoid the so-called "rogue key-attack" when aggregating BLS signatures, we must only allow signatures with public keys  $pk_i$  such that a proof of knowledge of sk has been provided. Thus, when a key pk is authorized to participate in committees, such a zk proof of knowledge of sk, e.g. Schnorr, must be provided.

# 2 The light client protocol

We refer to stake holders by their registered public key. Thus, when we refer to a *committee*, we mean a set of public keys  $\{pk\}$ .

Denote by  $C_i$  the committee of the *i*'th epoch.<sup>1</sup> The light client **V** will only verify the identity of the current epoch committee. It will satisfy the following completeness and soundness properties

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We are assuming here an idealized consensus functionality where this value is well-defined; e.g. not dealing with forks when describing the light client.

Completeness (liveness): If all committees up to epoch T have had a 2/3-honest majority, then  $\mathbf{V}$  will obtain the correct value  $C_T$ 

**Soundness:** If all committees  $\{C_i\}_{\in [T]}$  have more than 1/3 honest players then **V** will not be convinced of a wrong value  $C_T$ .

**Last block header of epoch** The structure of the last block header of an epoch is important for the light client protocol; and so we describe some of its details.

- 1. It will contain a string  $\mathsf{m} = (S_1, S_2)$ , for the two sets  $S_1 = \{\mathsf{pk}_i\}_{i \in [s]}, S_2 = \{\mathsf{pk}_i'\}_{i \in [s]}$  of the keys we add and remove from the validator set, i.e.  $C_T = (C_{T-1} \setminus S_1) \cup S_2$ .
- 2. It will contain a string  $x \in \{0,1\}^t$  signifying what validators from  $C_{T-1}$  signed m.
- 3. Let  $\mathsf{pk}_{\mathsf{agg}} := \sum_{i \in [t]} \mathsf{pk}_i$ , where  $C_{T-1} = \{pk_i\}_{\in [t]}$ . The header contains the signature  $\sigma = \mathbf{Sign}(\mathsf{m}, \mathsf{sk}_{\mathsf{agg}})$ .

**Light client verification** V receives, for  $i \in [T-1]$ 

- 1. A message  $m_i = (S_{i,1}, S_{i,2})$ .
- 2. A string  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}^t$
- 3. A signature  $\sigma_i$ .

**V** starts with the validator set  $C_1$  which we assume is hard coded in the genesis block and agreed upon.

For each  $i \in \{2, \ldots, T\}$  V

- 1. Checks that  $x_i$  has at least  $2/3 \cdot t$  set bits, and sets  $D_i \subset [t]$  to be the indices of the set bits of  $x_i$ .
- 2. Computes  $pk := \sum_{j \in D_i} pk_j$ .
- 3. computes  $C_{i+1} = (C_i \setminus S_{i,1}) \cup S_{i,2}$ .

# 3 Hash to group

The BLS signature scheme uses  $H: \{0,1\}^* \to \mathbb{G}_2$  as a hash function that outputs random elements in  $\mathbb{G}_2$ . It is modeled as a random oracle.

It is instantiated using a composition of a Pedersen hash defined over the curve  $E_{Ed/CP}$  from [BCG<sup>+</sup>18], and a few Blake2 hashes.

**Pedersen hash** The Pedersen hash takes input strings of arbitrary length and outputs elements in the group  $G_{E_{\text{Ed/CP}}}$  of  $E_{\text{Ed/CP}}$  - **PedersenHash**:  $\{0,1\}^*->G_{E_{\text{Ed/CP}}}$ . Each group element is defined by  $(x,y) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2$ , where p has a bit size of 377, and therefore a byte size of 48. We then define **ULPSerialize** $(x,y): \mathbb{F}_p^2 \to \{0,1\}^{392}$  as:

$$\begin{cases} x||1 & y \equiv 0 \mod 2 \\ x||2 & y \equiv 1 \mod 2 \end{cases}$$

Finally, we define **ULPedersenHash**:  $\{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}^{392}$  as **ULPSerialize**  $\circ$  **PedersenHash**.

**Hash to field** To hash into the group  $\mathbb{G}_2$ , where each element in defined by  $(x,y) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^2$ , we first have to hash into the field  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ . We invoke **Blake2s** multiple times to get enough random-looking bits to generate  $x = (x_0, x_1)$  and take each inner element modulo p. We generate extra bits reduce modulo bias. Then, we see if x is a valid x on the curve, by trying to find a matching y.

Specifically, given a function we define **ULFieldHash** $(m): \{0,1\}^* \to \mathbb{F}_p$  as follows: First, we calculate 1024 random-looking bits using:

```
{\bf Blake2s}(0x00000000||m) || {\bf Blake2s}(0x00000001||m) || {\bf Blake2s}(0x000000002||m) || {\bf Blake2s}(0x000000003||m)
```

We then divide it into two 512 bits for  $x_0$  and  $x_1$ , and for each we parse the bits as a little-endian integer and take it mod p.

**Hash to**  $\mathbb{G}_2$  We use the try-and-increment method - we initialize a counter, hash the counter together with the message as a possible x value, attempt to find a matching y and if we succeed, we multiply by the cofactor.

#### **Algorithm 1:** Try-and-increment hashing to the group

Input : m, a message

- 1 hm := ULPedersenHash(m)
- 2 Initialize c := 0
- 3 Serialize c as a little-endian 32-bit unsigned integer, and store in cb
- 4  $x := \mathbf{ULFieldHash}(c||hm)$
- 5 Attempt finding a matching y by calculating  $\sqrt{x^2+1}$ . If not successful, increment c and go back to step 2.
- 6 If successful, take the larger y, and return cofactor (x,y)...

#### References

[BCG<sup>+</sup>18] Sean Bowe, Alessandro Chiesa, Matthew Green, Ian Miers, Pratyush Mishra, and Howard Wu. Zexe: Enabling decentralized private computation. *IACR ePrint*, 962, 2018.