

Colby Long

Course: STAT 2450 Campus: COL College: ASC

Student Evaluation of Instruction Report

Autumn 2017 Class Number:

44

20533

0

Response rate: 49.6 % of 254 enrolled	Were student ratings for	Date of Report:	10/12/2018										
		Response scale is Likert-type with "5" being high and "1" being low											
	<u>N</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>N/A</u>						
Instructor's preparedness, organization of material, and clarity of presentation													
1. Well organized	126	3 %	5 %	10 %	38 %	44 %	0 %						
5. Instructor well prepared	126	3	0	5	40	52	0						
9. Communicated subject matter cl	learly 126	5	6	16	34	39	0						
Rapport and instructor commitm	ent												
3. Instructor interested in teaching	126	2	1	10	34	52	0						
6. Instructor interested in helping s	tudents 126	2	0	14	37	46	0						
8. Created learning atmosphere	126	2	3	14	38	42	0						
Students' sense of their own learn	ning												
2. Intellectually stimulating	126	5	6	19	33	38	0						
4. Encouraged independent thinking	ng 126	2	2	13	39	44	0						
7. Learned greatly from instructor	126	4	10	15	34	37	0						

Your ratings are summarized below. When sufficient data exist, summaries are also provided for up to three reference groups. Your "comparison group" is based on the size of your class and the predominant reason students indicate they enrolled. Comparison group data are reported at both the college and university levels. Over the prior 12 months, 531 instructors and 881 course sections were in your Comparison Group by College, and 1142 instructors and 2175 course sections were in your Comparison Group by University. Across all the courses using the SEI instrument since 1994, 7.28% of them share the characteristics listed below. The Course-Offering Unit listing is not based on size or electivity; it is a summary of the SEI data across the prior 12 months in your department or school.

126

10. Overall rating

Your comparison groups have the following qualities:
Class size: over 60
Predominant reason given for enrolling in this course was that it was required in the student's major/minor or it fulfills a General Education requirement.

5

13

37

	This Instructor		Comparison Group by College		Comparison Group by University		Course-Offering Unit	
	Mean	Std.Dev	Mean	Std.Dev	Mean	Std.Dev	Mean	Std.Dev
1. Instructor well organized	4.2	1.0	4.1	0.4	4.1	0.4	4.2	0.5
2. Intellectually stimulating	3.9	1.1	4.0	0.4	4.1	0.4	4.0	0.5
3. Instructor interested in teaching	4.3	0.9	4.3	0.4	4.3	0.4	4.2	0.5
4. Encouraged independent thinking	4.2	0.9	4.2	0.4	4.2	0.4	4.2	0.5
5. Instructor well prepared	4.4	0.9	4.2	0.4	4.3	0.5	4.2	0.6
6. Instructor interested in helping students	4.3	0.9	4.2	0.4	4.3	0.4	4.3	0.5
7. Learned greatly from instructor	3.9	1.1	3.9	0.5	4.0	0.5	3.9	0.7
8. Created learning atmosphere	4.1	0.9	4.0	0.5	4.1	0.5	4.1	0.6
9. Communicated subject matter clearly	4.0	1.1	4.0	0.6	4.0	0.5	4.0	0.7
10. Overall rating	4.2	0.9	4.1	0.5	4.2	0.5	4.1	0.6

