

Round 1: The Film Round

Starring:
★ Well-respected films with terrible sequels ★



Director's Note

- This round is all about good films which have infamously not so good sequels.
- Each question will give a clue towards either the original film or the terrible sequel - all you have to do is write down the name of the flim
- Either the name of the original, the name of the sequel or the name of the franchise is acceptable.



ACT 1

The Questions



Question :



$X \rightarrow Y$



$X\% \rightarrow Y\%$

Total "Badness":
100

Question 1:

This film (sequel) was dedicated to the cast members John Belushi, Cab Calloway, and John Candy, who passed away since the release of the original film.

IMDb

7.9 -> 4.9




92% -> 37%

Total "Badness":
85

Question 2:

This 1999 science-fiction film (original) features scenes presented as futuristic military propaganda with the phrase: "Would you like to know more?"



IMDb

7.2 -> 3.6



70% -> 12%

Total "Badness":
94

Question

3:

Winner of the 2005 golden raspberry award for worst sequel, a common criticism of this film was the absence of the main character from the original, who was played by Jim Carrey.



6.9 -> 22



68% -> 16%

Total "Badness":
99

Question 4:

Technically the 8th film in the horror franchise (confused cannon aside). The franchise was rebooted in 2007 and again in 2018, with the latest title released in 2021.



7.7 -> 4.0



89% -> 25%

Total "Badness":
101

Question 5:

The Amazon Prime description of this film (sequel) is:
Omni Consumer Products are bent on creating Delta City to replace rotting Detroit. Since the inhabitants have no intention of leaving, the company employs a ruthless army to attack and harass them.

IMDb

7.6 -> 4.1



84% -> 15%

Total "Badness":
104

Question

6:

Adapted from a novel by Bret Easton Ellis (original), IMDB describes the film as a “crime” movie, despite the possibility that the protagonist, Patrick Bateman, may have just imagined all of the killings that they had committed.



7.6 -> 3.8



85% -> 18%

Total “Badness”:
105

Question 7:



IMDb

7.5 -> 3.8



84% -> 16%

Total "Badness":
105

Question 8:

The Washington Post described it as
*"More sluggish than a funeral barge,
cheaper than a sale at Kmart, it's a
nerd, it's a shame, it's <REDACTED>"*

IMDb

7.3 -> 3.7



86% -> 16%

Total "Badness":
106

Question 9:

Tubular Bells
1973

David Bedford for solo piano transcription
Leander de Kraker (kedernaal) for piano harp duet

Mike Oldfield

$\text{♩} = 145$

0:00

Piano *p*

Harp

The image shows a musical score for the piece 'Tubular Bells' by Mike Oldfield. It is a transcription for solo piano and a duet for piano and harp. The score is written in 7/8 time with a tempo of 145 beats per minute. The piano part begins with a treble clef and a key signature of one flat, playing a series of eighth notes. The harp part is written for both treble and bass clefs and remains silent throughout the shown section.

IMDb

8.0 -> 3.8



87% -> 12%

Total "Badness":
117

Tubular Bells

David Bedford for solo piano transcription

1973

Leander de Kraker (kedernaël) for piano harp duet

Mike Oldfield

♩ = 145

0:00

Piano

p

Harp

The musical score is written for Piano and Harp. The Piano part is in the upper system, with a treble clef and a 7/8 time signature. It begins with a piano (*p*) dynamic and features a continuous eighth-note melody. The Harp part is in the lower system, with two staves (treble and bass clefs) and a 7/8 time signature. It contains whole rests throughout the first four measures. The score is divided into four measures by vertical bar lines, with a double bar line at the end of the fourth measure. The tempo is marked as 145 beats per minute (♩ = 145).

Question 10:

This film (sequel) (in-)famously features a scene where Michael Caine & company electrocute a shark, causing it to leap out of the ocean and roar like a lion.

IMDb

8.0 -> 3.0



90% -> 15%

Total "Badness":
125

Intermission



ACT 2

The Answers



Question 1:

This film (sequel)
cast members
Calloway, and John
away since the re

the
Cab
ssed
film.



IMDb	7.9 -> 4.9
Rotten Tomatoes	92% -> 37%
Total "Badness": 85	

Question 2

This 1999 science-fiction movie (original) features scenes presented as futuristic military propaganda with the phrase "Would you like to know more?"



IMDb

7.2 -> 3.6



70% -> 12%

Total "Badness":
94

Question

Winner of the 2005 go for
worst sequel, a com film
was the absence of from
the original, who w ey.



3:



6.9 -> 22



68% ->16%

Total "Badness":
99

Question 4

Technically the franchise
(confused can be)
was rebooted in 2018,
with the latest t

franchise
franchise
in 2018,
.



7.7 -> 4.0



89% -> 25%

Total "Badness":
101

Question 5:

The Amazon Prime description of *RoboCop* is: "Omni Consumer Products (OCP) has taken Detroit City to replace rotting old buildings with shiny new ones. OCP has no intention of letting the city's inhabitants live. OCP employs a ruthless army to attack anyone who opposes them."

IMDb	7.6 -> 4.1
Rotten Tomatoes	84% -> 15%
Total "Badness": 104	



Question

Adapted from
(original), IMDb
movie, despite
protagonist may
killings that they



6:

Eastern Ellis
is a “crime”
that the
all of the

IMDb

7.6 -> 3.8



85% -> 18%

Total “Badness”:
105

Question 7:



IMDb

7.5 -> 3.8



84% -> 16%

Total "Badness":
105

Question 8:


The Washington

*"More sluggish
cheaper than a
nerd, it's a shame*

as

le,

>"



IMDb

7.3 -> 3.7



86% -> 16%

Total "Badness":
106

Question 9:



IMDb

8.0 -> 3.8



87% -> 12%

Total "Badness":
117

Question 10

This film (sequel) features a scene where Mi... company electrocute a sha... ap out of the ocean and



8.0 -> 3.0



90% -> 15%

Total "Badness":
125

fin.

Bad sequels will return...