





# Object Oriented Modeling and Design New requirements in the 2nd Iteration: Collaboration with external systems such as Credit Card Authorization system, Accounting system etc. will be handled. The main problem with connections to external systems is that they are not under our control. They may have different interfaces. They may change or be replaced. Our system should not be affected by these • Pricing strategies (discounts): The store can apply different discounts according to different parameters such as date, product, total of sale, customer type. Customers can have loyalty cards, which provide them some benefits. Domain Analysis in the second iteration: Analysis in the second iteration may take shorter because there are not so much new conceptual classes. Old domain models from the first iteration are not used. Usually a UML tool is used to reverse engineer diagrams from the source code of the last iteration.






# Object Oriented Modeling and Design Definition of the polymorphism pattern: How to handle alternatives based on type? How to create pluggable software components? Pluggable software components: In client-server relationships, how can you replace one server component with another, without affecting the client? Solution: When related alternatives or behaviors vary by type (class), assign responsibility for the behavior using polymorphic operations. Do not test for the type of an object. With the help of polymorphism, one object (client) can send messages to other objects without being aware of (without knowing) their actual type (class). The calling (client) object knows only the super type (base) of other objects. Polymorphism provides two advantages: 1. We can change the behavior of the client object in run-time; (See examples) 2. If we add new classes derived from the same base to the system, the client class does not need to be changed.








```
Object Oriented Modeling and Design
class GenericShape{
                                                // Abstract base class
                                                                                 C++
  public:
    virtual void draw() const =0;
                                                // pure virtual function
class Line:public GenericShape{
                                                // Line class
private:
  int x1, y1, x2, y2;
                                                // Coordinates of line
 public:
                                                // Constructor
  Line(int x_in,int y_in,int x2_in,int y2_in):
  void draw() const;
                                                 // virtual draw function of line
Class Rectangle:public GenericShape{
void draw() const;
                                                // virtual draw of rectangle
class Circle:public GenericShape{
                                                // Circle class
 private:
  int centerX, centerY, radius;
 public:
   Circle(int x_cen,int y_cen,int r);
   void draw() const;
                                                 // virtual draw of circle
```

```
Object Oriented Modeling and Design
/* A client (user) class that uses the Shape library, <u>Designed to interface</u> */
class Client{
                                             // Can point to different shapes
  GenericShape *shape;
                                             // Design to interface
public:
 Client (GenericShape * inputShape): shape(inputShape) // Constructor
                                             // initial shape
  {};
 void setShape(GenericShape * inputShape)
     shape = inputShape;
                                             // change the shape in run-time
  void show() const
                                             // Which draw function will be called?
                                             // It is unknown at compile-time
     shape->draw();
```

```
Object Oriented Modeling and Design
 /** Test program **/
 int main()
          // Shape objects
           Circle *circle1 = new Circle(100,100,20);
           Rectangle *rectangle1= new Rectangle(30,50,250,140);
           Circle *circle2 = new Circle(300,170,50);
           // Client object
Client testClient(rectangle1);
                                                // Connect to rectangle1
           testClient.show();
                                                // get a service from the shape
           testClient.setShape(circle2);
                                                // change the shape to circle 2
           testClient.show();
                                                || get a service from the shape
           testClient.setShape(circle1);
           testClient.show();
   The behavior of the Client (show()) changes in run time.
```



#### Object Oriented Modeling and Design

# Pure Fabrication (GRASP)

In OOD usually we are inspired by the real-world (domain).

To achieve the goal of low representational gap, we try to create software classes from real-world classes by assigning responsibilities to them.

For example; Sale, Payment, Student, Course, Book classes.

But sometimes, assigning responsibilities only to domain layer software classes leads to problems in terms of poor cohesion, coupling, or low reuse potential.

#### Problem:

What object should have the responsibility, when you do not want to violate High Cohesion and Low Coupling, or other goals, but solutions offered by Expert (for example) are not appropriate?

#### Solution

Assign a highly cohesive set of responsibilities to an **artificial** class that does not represent a problem domain (real-world) concept, to support high cohesion, low coupling, and reuse.

The new artificial class is a fabrication of the imagination.

The responsibilities assigned to this fabrication must support high cohesion and low coupling, so that the design of the fabrication is very clean, or pure hence a pure fabrication.

http://www.faculty.itu.edu.tr/buzluca

©2012-2015 Dr. Feza BUZLUCA

7 13

#### **Object Oriented Modeling and Design**

#### Example: Saving a Sale Object in a Database

Responsibility: It is necessary to save Sale instances in a relational database. Who will get the responsibility?

Information Expert: "Assign this responsibility to the Sale class itself, because the Sale has the data that needs to be saved."

But there are some implications:

- The task requires many database-oriented operations, none related to the concept of sale-ness, so the Sale class becomes incohesive.
- The Sale class has to be coupled to the relational database interface (such as JDBC), so its coupling goes up.
  - The reusability potential of this Sale class is low. In another project Sale may not be saved in a database.
- Saving objects in a relational database is a very general task for which many classes need support.
  - Placing these responsibilities in the Sale class causes poor reuse or lots of duplication in other classes that do the same thing.


http://www.faculty.itu.edu.tr/buzluca

©2012-2015 Dr Feza BUZLUCA

# Object Oriented Modeling and Design Solution with the Pure Fabrication:

A reasonable solution is to create a new class that is solely responsible for saving objects in some kind of persistent storage medium (PersistentStorage).

This class is a Pure Fabrication.



### Advantages:

- The Sale remains well-designed, with high cohesion and low coupling.
- The PersistentStorage class is itself relatively cohesive, having the sole purpose of storing or inserting objects in a persistent storage medium.
- The PersistentStorage class is a very generic and reusable object.

Warning: Do not put unrelated responsibilities (functions) in the same class. It must be "pure".

http://www.faculty.itu.edu.tr/buzluca http://www.buzluca.info

©2012-2015 Dr. Feza BUZLUCA

7 15

# Object Oriented Modeling and Design

# Discussion:

There are two common methods to identify software classes:

- 1. Representational decomposition.
- 2. Behavioral decomposition.

By **representational decomposition** the software class is related to or <u>represents</u> a thing in a domain (real-world).

For example; Sale, Book, Customer etc.

Representational decomposition is a common strategy in object design and supports the goal of low representational gap.

But sometimes (because of cohesion, coupling, reusability), we group together some related behavior or methods in an artificial class.

These artificial classes are inspired by behavioral decomposition.

A Pure Fabrication is a function-centric or behavioral object.

http://www.faculty.itu.edu.tr/buzluca

©2012-2015 Dr Feza BUZULICA

#### Object Oriented Modeling and Design

#### Warning:

Behavioral decomposition into Pure Fabrication objects is sometimes <u>overused</u> by designers new to object design and more familiar with procedural (imperative) programming.

Usage of pure fabrication needs to be balanced with the ability to design with representational decomposition.

The representational (domain) classes should take the main responsibilities.

Artificial classes (fabrication) should support the representational software classes in fulfilling their responsibilities.

Main classes: Representational classes

Helper (Supporting) classes: Artificial (behavioral) classes

http://www.faculty.itu.edu.tr/buzluca http://www.buzluca.info ©2012-2015 Dr. Feza BUZLUCA

7 17

## Object Oriented Modeling and Design

#### Indirection (GRASP)

Sometimes objects must interact with other objects or external systems, which may change (or be replaced) in future.

Direct coupling to such objects or systems may result in modifications in our objects.

#### Problem:

Where to assign a responsibility, to avoid direct coupling between two (or more) things?

How to de-couple objects so that low coupling is supported and reuse potential remains higher?

## Solution:

Assign the responsibility to an **intermediate object** to mediate between other components or services so that they are not directly coupled.

The intermediary creates an indirection between the other components.

#### Example:


The Pure Fabrication class PersistentStorage class is also an example of assigning responsibilities to support Indirection.


The PersistentStorage acts as a intermediary between the Sale and the database. The change in the database (ideally) will not effect the Sale.


http://www.faculty.itu.edu.tr/buzluca

©2012-2015 Dr Feza BUZULICA

# **Object Oriented Modeling and Design** Example: Third-Party (External) Tax Calculators in the NextGen System (Polymorphism, Pure Fabrication, Indirection) Problems: In the NextGen POS application, there are multiple external third-party tax calculators that must be supported. The system needs to be able to integrate with different calculators according to some conditions. For example; if total is above 500TL it uses the external "Tax Master" program, otherwise "Good As Gold" program. Each tax calculator has a different interface. One product may support a raw TCP socket protocol, another may offer a SOAP interface, and a third may offer a Java RMI interface. In the future, a new calculator program may be integrated into the system or an existing calculator may be removed. Actually Sale class is responsible to calculate the total and therefore needs the However, we want to keep our system (Sale) independent from the varying external tax calculators.







#### **Object Oriented Modeling and Design**

**Example:** The prior external tax calculator problem (see 7.18)

Points of instability or variation:

- Different interfaces of external tax calculators.
- The POS system needs to be able to integrate with many existing tax calculator systems, and also with future third-party calculators not yet in existence.

#### Solution:

By adding a level of *indirection*, an *interface*, and using *polymorphism* with various ITaxCalculatorIntermediate implementations, protection within the system from variations in external APIs is achieved.

Internal objects collaborate with a stable interface; the various adapter implementations hide the variations to the external systems. (See 7.19)

#### Discussion:

PV is a root principle motivating most of the mechanisms and patterns in programming and design to provide flexibility and protection from variations in data, behavior, hardware, software components, operating systems, and more.

Many design tricks such as encapsulation, polymorphism, data-driven designs, interfaces, virtual machines, configuration files, operating systems are specialization of Protected Variations.

http://www.faculty.itu.edu.tr/buzluca

©2012-2015 Dr. Feza BUZLUCA

7.23

# Object Oriented Modeling and Design

## The Law of Demeter (Don't Talk to Strangers Principle)

This principle is a special case of Protected Variations.

It means to avoid creating designs that send messages (or talk) to distant, indirect (stranger) objects.

Such designs are fragile with respect to changes in the object structures.

The principle states that within a method, messages should only be sent to the following (familiar) objects:

- 1. The this object (or self).
- 2. A parameter of the method.
- 3. An attribute of this.
- 4. An element of a collection which is an attribute of this.
- 5. An object created within the method.

These are direct objects that are a client's "familiars".

Indirect objects are "strangers".

A client should talk to familiars, and avoid talking to strangers.

http://www.faculty.itu.edu.tr/buzluca

©2012-2015 Dr Feza BUZLLICA

