

**Istanbul Technical University
Faculty of Computer and Informatics**



**BLG335E Analysis of Algorithms I
Project 1**

**Cem Yusuf Aydoğdu
150120251**

14.09.2015

- a. Bubble sort is consist of two loops. Assuming length of array is n , outer loop runs n times, and inner loop runs $n - i$ times, where i is equal to iterator of outer loop.

$$f(n) = \sum_i^n (n - i) = \frac{n^2}{2} - \frac{n}{2}$$

An asymptotic upper bound can be selected as $g(n) = n^2$, which satisfies

$f(n) = \frac{n^2}{2} - \frac{n}{2} \leq c g(n)$ for all $n \geq n_0$, where c and n_0 are constants and $c > 0$ and $n_0 > 0$.

Selecting $n_0 = 1000$ and $c = 1$, $f(1000) = 0.013769 < 1000^2$

Merge sort is consist of recursive calls of itself to divide the problem into two parts, and some operations to sorting and collecting divided parts.

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & n < 1 \\ 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n, & n \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

An asymptotic upper bound can be selected as $n \log_2 n$. Using Master Theorem,

$T(n) = aT\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n)$ where $a = 2, b = 2, f(n) = n$.

$f(n) = \theta(n^{\log_2 2}) = \theta(n)$ is true. Then $T(n) = \theta(n^{\log_2 2} \log_2 n)$

- b. Completion times of algorithms for different array sizes are shown below.

N \ Algorithm	1000	10000	1000000	1000000
Bubble Sort	0.013769	0.691353	72.5555	6905.35
Merge Sort	0.000893	0.006381	0.034509	0.393132

- c. It is clear that merge sort is always better than bubble sort for given array size conditions. This plot below also proves that n^2 increases faster than $n \log_2 n$, especially after $N = 100000$.

