Gretel Rajamoney

rajamong@oregonstate.edu

933188305

Kaavya Subramanian

subramka@oregonstate.edu

933291513

1: Hash Indexing

a. Source code submitted separately through Canvas!

2: Query Processing Algorithms

a. From the given data,

Natural Join Relation R(A, B) = 80,000 blocks

Natural Join Relation S(A, C) = 20,000 blocks

Buffer Blocks available in the Main Memory = 10 buffer blocks

In this case, sort-merge join algorithm with general multi-way merge sort is the fastest join algorithm by utilizing a block based nested loop.

The improved cost of block based nested loop join can be calculated by,

- = (B(R) B(S)) / (M)
- $= (80,000 \times 20,000) / (10)$
- = (1,600,000,000) / (10)
- = 160,000,000 I/O Accesses

b. From the given data,

Natural Join Relation R(A, B) = 80,000 blocks

Natural Join Relation S(A, C) = 20,000 blocks

Buffer Blocks available in the Main Memory = 350 buffer blocks

In this case the memory buffer is 350 blocks because,

$$B(R) + B(S) < M^2$$

$$80,000 + 20,000 < 350^2$$

$$80,000 + 20,000 < 122,500$$

Therefore, the cost of a join is the number of its block I/O Accesses is equal to,

- $= 3 \left[B(R) + B(S) \right]$
- = 3 (80,000 + 20,000)
- = 300,000 I/O Accesses

c. From the given data,

Natural Join Relation R(A, B) = 80,000 blocks

Natural Join Relation S(A, C) = 20,000 blocks Buffer Blocks available in the Main Memory = 200 buffer blocks

In this case the memory buffer is 200 blocks because,

$$B(R) + B(S) > M^2$$

$$80,000 + 20,000 > 200^2$$

$$80,000 + 20,000 > 40,000$$

Therefore, the cost of a join is the number of its block I/O Accesses is equal to,

$$= 5 \left[B(R) + B(S) \right]$$

$$= 5 (80,000 + 20,000)$$

3: Query Processing

a. In this instance, an index nested loop join would be used due to the fact that the cost is only the size of S and is capable of handling both clustered as well as non-clustered indexes.