# Variational Gaussian Process Timeseries Inference

#### Carl Edward Rasmussen

May 7th 2017

Abstract

Variational inference in nonlinear dynamical models.

The model is specified by

$$f_e(\tilde{x}) \sim \mathcal{GP}(0, k_e(\cdot, \cdot)), \quad \text{where } \tilde{x} = (x, u) \text{ and } e = 1, \dots, E,$$
 (1)

$$x_t|f_t \sim \mathcal{N}(x_t|f_t, Q),$$
 Q diagonal, (2)

$$y_t|_{X_t} \sim \mathcal{N}(y_t|_{CX_t}, R),$$
 (3)

and  $u_t, y_t, t = 1, ..., T$  are the control inputs and measurements (both observed), and  $f_t, t = 2, ..., T$  and  $x_t, t = 1, ..., T$  are unobserved, latent variables. The GPs implement the non-linear transition from one time point to the next conditioned on the state  $x_{t-1}$  and all the previous transition pairs  $f_{2:t-1}, x_{1:t-2}$ 

$$f_t(x_{t-1}) \ = \ p(f_t|f_{2:t-1},x_{1:t-1}), \ \ where \ \ t \ = \ 2,\dots,\mathsf{T}.$$

The joint probability of all the variables is given by the product of T observation probabilities and T-1 transition probabilities

$$p(y, x, f) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} p(y_t | x_t) \prod_{t=2}^{T} p(x_t | f_t) p(f_t | f_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t-1}).$$

Each GP is augmented with a set of M inducing inputs z and corresponding targets v such that  $v_e = f_e(z_e)$ . The augmented joint is

$$p(y, x, f, v) = p(y|x)p(x, f|v)p(v).$$

Exact inference in the model is intractable, instead we fit the model by optimizing a variational lower bound based on an approximating distribution q, which we chose to have the following form

$$q(x,f,v) \ = \ q(v)q(x) \prod_{t=2}^T p(f_t|f_{1:t-1},x_{1:t-1},v), \ \ \mathrm{where} \ \ q(x) \ = \ \mathcal{N}(\mu_x,\Sigma_x),$$

the assumptions being that 1) the joint on v and x factorizes, 2) that q(x) is Gaussian and 3) that the conditional q(f|x,v) is chosen to be equal to the conditional prior. Generally, we would expect the variational bound to be tight if the approximating distribution is close to the posterior, but for tractability we are forced to set the conditional q(f|x,v) to be equal to the conditional prior. This may still be a good approximation, since we are conditioning on the inducing targets v. If the inducing targets are able to capture the properties of the posterior, then the bound may still be good.

The variational log marginal likelihood lower bound is a single time series (contributions for multiple time series are simply added together)

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}(y|q(v),q(x),\theta) \; &= \; - \; \text{KL}(q(v)||p(v)) + \text{H}(q(x)) + \sum_{t=1}^{T} \langle \log p(y_t|x_t) \rangle_{q(x_t)} \\ &+ \sum_{t=2}^{T} - \frac{1}{2} tr(Q^{-1} \langle B_{t-1} \rangle_{q(x_{t-1})}) + \langle \log \mathcal{N}(x_t|A_{t-1}v,Q) \rangle_{q(v),q(x_{t-1:t})}, \end{split} \tag{4} \label{eq:loss_equation}$$

with the following definitions

$$A_{t-1} = k(x_{t-1}, z)K^{-1}$$
, and  $B_{t-1} = k(x_{t-1}, x_{t-1}) - k(x_{t-1}, z)K^{-1}k(z, x_{t-1})$ .

A free form optimization of this bound wrt q(v) yields independent Gaussians for each GP

$$q^*(v_e) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_e = K_e(K_e + \Psi_{2e})^{-1}\Psi_{1e}, \ \Sigma_e = K_e(K_e + \Psi_{2e})^{-1}K_e), \tag{5}$$

where  $K_e = k_e(\mathbf{z}_e, \mathbf{z}_e)$  and we have defined the expectations

$$\Psi_{1} = \sum_{t=2}^{T} \langle k(z, x_{t-1}) Q^{-1} x_{t} \rangle_{q(x_{t-1:t})}, \text{ and } \Psi_{2} = \sum_{t=2}^{T} \langle k(z, x_{t-1}) Q^{-1} k(x_{t-1}, z) \rangle_{q(x_{t-1})},$$
 (6)

of size  $M \times E$  and  $M \times M \times E$  respectively.

Plugging the optimal  $q^*(v)$  back into the bound eq. (4), we get

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}(y|q(x),\theta) \; &= \; - \; \text{KL}(q^*(v)||p(v)) + \text{H}(q(x)) + \sum_{t=1}^{T} \langle \log p(y_t|x_t) \rangle_{q(x_t)} \\ &+ \sum_{t=2}^{T} - \frac{1}{2} \langle \text{tr} \big( Q^{-1}(B_{t-1} + A_{t-1} \Sigma A_{t-1}) \big) \rangle_{q(x_{t-1})} + \langle \log \mathcal{N}(x_t|A_{t-1}\mu,Q) \rangle_{q(x_{t-1:t})}. \end{split} \tag{7}$$

Note that except for the entropy H(q(x)), the bound only depends on q(x) through its pair-wise marginals. This means that the model will be identical for all q(x) which have the same pair-wise marginals, except for an offset in the bound which depends on the entropy. We will chose q(x) to be Markovian, ie the precision  $\Sigma_x^{-1}$  is block tri-diagonal.

#### Transition model

Writing out each term from the transition model from eq. (7) in detail

$$-\operatorname{KL}(\mathfrak{q}^*(v)||\mathfrak{p}(v)) \ = \ -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{e=1}^{E}\operatorname{tr}(K_e + \Psi_{2e})^{-1}K_e + \boldsymbol{\mu}_e^{\top}K_e^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}_e - M - \log|(K_e + \Psi_{2e})^{-1}K_e|, \tag{8}$$

and

$$\begin{split} -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=2}^{T} \operatorname{tr} Q^{-1} \langle B_{t-1} \rangle_{q(x_{t-1})} &= -\frac{T-1}{2} \operatorname{tr} Q^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=2}^{T} \operatorname{tr} K^{-1} \langle k(z, x_{t-1}) Q^{-1} k(x_{t-1}, z) \rangle_{q(x_{t-1})} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{e=1}^{E} \operatorname{tr} K_{e}^{-1} \Psi_{2e} - \frac{T-1}{2} \operatorname{tr} Q^{-1}, \end{split} \tag{9}$$

and

$$\begin{split} -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=2}^{T} \mathrm{tr} Q^{-1} \langle A_{t-1} \Sigma A_{t-1} \rangle_{q(x_{t-1})} &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=2}^{T} \mathrm{tr} \big( \Sigma K^{-1} \langle k(x_{t-1}, z) Q^{-1} k(z, x_{t-1}) \rangle_{q(x_{t-1})} K^{-1} \big) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{e=1}^{E} \mathrm{tr} (K_e + \Psi_{2e})^{-1} \Psi_{2e}, \end{split} \tag{10}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \sum_{t=2}^{I} \langle \log \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{t} | A_{t-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{Q}) \rangle_{\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1:t})} \\ &= -\frac{(T-1)E}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{T-1}{2} \log |\mathbf{Q}| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=2}^{T} \langle (\mathbf{x}_{t} - A_{t-1} \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\top} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_{t} - A_{t-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}) \rangle_{\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1:t})} \\ &= -\frac{(T-1)E}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{T-1}{2} \log |\mathbf{Q}| - \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \sum_{t=2}^{T} \langle \mathbf{x}_{t}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_{t} \rangle_{\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}_{t})} + \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\top} \langle \mathbf{x}_{t} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} A_{t-1} \rangle_{\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1:t})} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\top} \mathbf{K}^{-1} \langle \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{z}) \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) \rangle_{\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1})} \mathbf{K}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} \\ &= -\frac{(T-1)E}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{T-1}{2} \log |\mathbf{Q}| - \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \sum_{t=2}^{T} \langle \mathbf{x}_{t}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_{t} \rangle_{\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}_{t})} - \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\top} \mathbf{K}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{2} \mathbf{K}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\top} \mathbf{K}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{1}. \end{split}$$

Collecting terms form eq. (8-11) which depend on  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Psi_2$ , two possibilies arise, either training or testing, in both cases we introduce  $\mu$  and  $\Sigma$  from eq. (5) for the training cases, giving rise to

$$\begin{split} \Psi &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{e=1}^{E} \log |\mathsf{K}_{e}| - \log |\mathsf{K}_{e} + \Psi_{2e}| + \mathrm{tr} \mathsf{K}_{e}^{-1} \Psi_{2e} + \Psi_{1e}^{\top} (\mathsf{K}_{e} + \Psi_{2e})^{-1} \Psi_{1e}, \\ \Psi^{*} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{e=1}^{E} \mathrm{tr} \mathsf{K}_{e}^{-1} \Psi_{2e} (\mathsf{K}_{e} + \Psi_{2e})^{-1} \Psi_{2e}^{*} - \mathrm{tr} \mathsf{w}_{e} \mathsf{w}_{e}^{\top} \Psi_{2e}^{*} + 2 \Psi_{1e}^{\top} (\mathsf{K}_{e} + \Psi_{2e})^{-1} \Psi_{1e}^{*}, \end{split}$$

$$(12)$$

where  $w_e = (K_e + \Psi_{2e})^{-1}\Psi_{1e}$ , for training and test respectively. Pulling together all terms from eq. (8-11) and eq. (12) we get the following contribution to the log likelihood

$$\Psi - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} Q^{-1} \sum_{t=2}^{T} (I + \mu_{t}^{\top} \mu_{t} + \Sigma_{t,t}) - \frac{T-1}{2} \log |Q| - \frac{(T-1)E}{2} \log(2\pi). \tag{13}$$

## Entropy

The entropy of Markovian Gaussian with specified E dimensional marginals and 2E dimensional consequtive pair-wise marginals and marginals is given by

$$\mathcal{H}(q(x)) = \frac{TE}{2}(1 + \log(2\pi)) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{t=2}^{T} \log|\Sigma_{t-1:t,t-1:t}| - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{t=2}^{T-1} \log|\Sigma_{t}|$$
(14)

```
\langle \text{entropy } 3 \rangle \equiv
3
     1 function [L dLd dLo] = gaussMarkovEntropy(d, o);
     2 [E, E, T] = size(d); dd = zeros(T,1); dp = zeros(T-1,1);
     3 \text{ for } t = 1:T, dd(t) = det(d(:,:,t)); end
                                                                            % det of diagonals
     4 for t = 1:T-1, dp(t) = dd(t)*det(d(:,:,t+1)-o(:,:,t))'/d(:,:,t)*o(:,:,t)); end;
     5 L = E*T*(1+log(2*pi))/2 + sum(log(dp))/2 - sum(log(dd(2:T-1)))/2;
     6 if nargout > 1
                                                                           % want derivatives?
          dLd = zeros(E,E,T); dLo = zeros(E,E,T-1);
          for t = 1:T-1
            dLd(:,:,t) = dLd(:,:,t) + inv(d(:,:,t)-o(:,:,t)/d(:,:,t+1)*o(:,:,t)')/2;
     9
            dLd(:,:,t+1) = inv(d(:,:,t+1)-o(:,:,t)'/d(:,:,t)*o(:,:,t))/2;
     10
     11
            dLo(:,:,t) = -d(:,:,t) \setminus o(:,:,t) / (d(:,:,t+1) - o(:,:,t) '/d(:,:,t) * o(:,:,t));
          for t = 2:T-1, dLd(:,:,t) = dLd(:,:,t) - inv(d(:,:,t))/2; end
     14 \, \, \mathrm{end}
```

## Likelihood

The linear Gaussian log likelihood is

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} \langle \log p(y_t | x_t) \rangle_{q(x_t)} = -\frac{DT}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{T}{2} \log|R| - \frac{1}{2} tr R^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left( (y - C\mu_t)(y - C\mu_t)^\top + C\Sigma_t C^\top \right).$$
 (15)

Maximizing the log likelihood wrt observation noise covariance R and the parameters C yields:

$$R^* = \frac{1}{T} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{T} y_t y_t^{\top} - C^* \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mu_t y^{\top} \right], \text{ and } C^* = \sum_{t=1}^{T} y_t \mu_t^{\top} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mu_t \mu_t^{\top} + \Sigma_{t,t} \right]^{-1},$$
 (16)

and the maximum attained is

$$\mathcal{L}^* = -\frac{DT}{2}(1 + \log(2\pi)) - \frac{T}{2}\log|R^*|, \tag{17}$$

with derivatives

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}^*}{\partial \mu_t} = C^\top R^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_t - C \mu_t), \quad \mathrm{and} \quad \frac{\mathcal{L}^*}{\partial \Sigma_{t,t}} = -\tfrac{1}{2} C^\top R^{-1} C, \quad \mathrm{evaluated \ at} \quad C = C^*, \quad \mathrm{and} \quad R = R^*. \tag{18}$$

In the test case, when we are inferring the latent space representation of a given short sequence, we use the  $R^*$  and  $C^*$  parameters derived from the training sequences, so that the contribution to the log likelihood does not take on the simple form  $\mathcal{L}^*$ , but must be calculated in full from eq. (16).

```
⟨likelihood 4a⟩≡
4a.
      1 function [L, R_out, C_out, dm, dS] = likelihood(y, qx, test)
      2 persistent C R
      3 D = size(y\{1\},2); E = size(qx(1).m,1); T = sum(arrayfun(@(x)size(x.m,2),qx));
      4 \text{ N} = \text{size}(y,2); \text{ yy} = \text{zeros}(D); \text{ ym} = \text{zeros}(D, E+1); \text{ mm} = \text{zeros}(E+1); \text{ d} = \text{zeros}(1,N);
           m = [qx(n).m' \text{ ones(size(qx(n).m,2),1)}]; mm = mm + m'*m; ym = ym + y{n}'*m;
           yy = yy + y\{n\}'*y\{n\}; mm(1:E,1:E) = mm(1:E,1:E) + sum(qx(n).Sd,3);
      8 end
      9 if test %Use provided C, R
          for n=1:N
             w = y\{n\}, - C*[qx(n).m; ones(1,size(qx(n).m,2))];
      11
     12
             d(1,n) = sum(sum(inv(R).*(w*w' + C(:,1:E)*sum(qx(n).Sd,3)*C(:,1:E)')));
     13
     14 L = -D*T*log(2*pi)/2 - T*sum(log(diag(chol(R))))/2 - sum(d)/2;
     15 else
          C = ym/mm; R = (yy - C*ym')/T;
     17 L = -D*T*(1+\log(2*pi))/2 - T*sum(\log(diag(chol(R))));
                                                                                  % log likelihood
     18 end
     19 if nargout > 3
                                                                     % do we want derivatives?
     20 	 dm = cell(N,1);
     21
         for n = 1:N,
             dm\{n\} = C(:,1:E)'/R*(y\{n\}'-C*[qx(n).m; ones(1,size(qx(n).m,2))]);
     22
     23
          dS = -C(:,1:E)'/R*C(:,1:E)/2;
                                                        % all dS identical, return once only
     25
          C_out = C; R_out = R;
     26 \, \, \mathrm{end}
```

The lower bound

Pulling together all terms

```
\mathcal{L}(y|q(x),\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{e=1}^{E} \log|(K_e + \Psi_{2e})^{-1}K_e| + trK_e^{-1}\Psi_{2e} + \Psi_{1e}^{\top}(K_e + \Psi_{2e})^{-1}\Psi_{1e} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=2}^{I} \log|\Sigma_{t-1:t,t-1:t}|
                                                                                                                                      (19)
             - \ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=2}^{T-1} \log |\Sigma_t| - \ \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr} Q^{-1} \sum_{t=2}^{T} (I + \mu_t^\top \mu_t + \Sigma_{t,t}) - \frac{T-1}{2} \log |Q| - \frac{T}{2} \log |R^*| - \frac{(D-E)T}{2} - \frac{TD-E}{2} \log (2\pi).
4b
        ⟨lower bound 4b⟩≡
                                                                                                   (5b)
        1 [L1, dnlml] = Psi(hyp, qx, z, u, test);
        2 T = sum(arrayfun(@(x)size(x.m,2),qx)); L2 = 0; L3 = 0;
        3 \text{ dLd} = \text{cell}(1,N); \text{ dLo} = \text{cell}(1,N);
        4 \text{ for } n = 1:N
            L2 = L2 + sum(qx(n).m(:,2:end).^2,2) + diag(sum(qx(n).Sd(:,:,2:end),3));
        6 [L dLd\{n\} dLo\{n\}] = gaussMarkovEntropy(qx\{n\}).Sd, qx\{n\}).So); L3 = L3 + L;
        7 end
        8 L5 = -\exp(-2*[hyp(:).pn]) * (L2+T-N) / 2;
        9 L4 = -(T-N)*sum([hyp(:).pn])-(T-N)*E*log(2*pi)/2;
       10 [L6, R, C, dm, dS] = likelihood(y, qx, test);
       11 \text{ nlml} = -L1-L5-L3-L4-L6;
        \langle \text{bound derivatives } 4c \rangle \equiv
                                                                                                   (5b)
4c
        1 \text{ for } e = 1:E
        dnlml.hyp(e).pn = \text{``test*dnlml.hyp(e).pn - exp(-2*hyp(e).pn)*(L2(e)+T-N)+T-N';}
        4 iQ = diag(exp(-2*[hyp(:).pn]));
        5 \text{ for } n = 1:N
        6 dnlml.qx(n).m(:,2:end) = dnlml.qx(n).m(:,2:end) + iQ*qx(n).m(:,2:end);
              dnlml.qx(n).m = dnlml.qx(n).m - dm{n};
```

```
dnlml.qx(n).Sd(:,:,2:end) = bsxfun(@plus, dnlml.qx(n).Sd(:,:,2:end), iQ/2);
         dnlml.qx(n).Sd = dnlml.qx(n).Sd - bsxfun(@plus, dS, dLd{n});
     10 dnlml.qx(n).So = dnlml.qx(n).So - dLo{n};
     11 \text{ end}
     12
     13 out1 = nlml; out2 = dnlml; out3 = struct('C', C, 'R', R); % rename outputs
      ⟨predictions 5a⟩≡
5a
      [Psi1, Psi2] = Psi(hyp, qx, z, u);
      \langle vgpt.m 5b \rangle \equiv
5b
      1 function [out1, out2, out3] = vgpt(p, data, x);
      \frac{2}{\text{usage 5c}}
      3 if nargin == 2
      4 N = length(p.qx); z = p.z; [M, F, E] = size(z); D = size(data(1).y,2); hyp = p.hyp;
      5 u = arrayfun(@(x)(x.u), data, 'UniformOutput', false); [qx(1:N).m] = deal(p.qx(:).m);
          y = arrayfun(@(x)(x.y),data,'UniformOutput',false);
         for n = 1:N, [qx(n).Sd qx(n).So] = convert(p.qx(n).s); end % convert covariance
         test = 0;
      9
          predict = 0;
     10 elseif isfield(data, 'y')%We are in the test regime, inferring the relevant latent states
     11 z = x.z; [M, F, E] = size(z); hyp = x.hyp;
     u = arrayfun(@(x)(data.u),data,'UniformOutput',false);
     13 N = length(p); [qx(1:N).m] = deal(p(:).m);
     14  y = arrayfun(@(x)(x.y),data,'UniformOutput',false);
         for n = 1:N, [qx(n).Sd qx(n).So] = convert(p(n).s); end % convert covariance
     15
     16 test = 1;
     17 predict = 0;
     18 else %We are in the case where we are predicting the next latent space point.
     19 N = length(p); z = x.z; [M, F, E] = size(z); hyp = x.hyp; qx = p;
     u = arrayfun(@(x)(x.u),data,'UniformOutput',false);
     21
         test = 1;
     22
         predict = 1;
     23 end
     24 if test & predict
     25
           out1 = predict_forwards(hyp, qx, z, u); out2 = []; out3 = [];
     26 \ {\tt else}
     27 (lower bound 4b)
     28 (bound derivatives 4c)
     29 (assign outs 10c)
     30 \, \, \mathrm{end}
     31
     32
     33 (Psi 6)
     34 (entropy 3)
     35 (likelihood 4a)
     36 (convert 10a)
     37 (revert 10b)
     38 (maha 10d)
     39 (prediction 11)
      \langle usage 5c \rangle \equiv
5c
                                                                         (5b)
      1\ \% Variational GP Timeseries inference. Compute the nlml lower bound and its
      2 % derivative wrt hyp hyperparameters, qx distribution and z inducing inputs.
      3 %Given two arguments, we expect the following
      4 % p
                              parameter struct
      5 %
            hyp
                    1 x E
                              GP hyperparameter struct
      6 %
                    F x 1
                             log length scale
             - 1
      7 %
                    1 x 1
                              log process noise std dev
              pn
                    1 x N struct array for Gaussian q(x) distribution
           qx
                 E x T_n mean
      9 %
     10 %
             s 2ExE x T_n representation of covariance
     11 %
           Z
                 {\tt M} {\tt x} {\tt F} {\tt x} {\tt E} {\tt inducing inputs}
     12 % data
                   1 x N data struct
     13 %
          У
                  T_n x D cell array of observations
```

```
14 %
            T_n x U cell array of control inputs
15 %
16
17 %Given three arguments and data points for every qx,
18 %we are predicting the latent states of a set of observation
19 %given an example seed trajectory, we expect
20
                        struct array for Gaussian q(x) distribution
21 % qx
               1 \times N
22 % m
              E \times T_n mean
23 %
     S
           2ExE x T_n representation of covariance
24 % data
            1 x N data struct
25 % y
            T_n x D cell array of seed observations
26 %
            T_n \times U
                       cell array of seed control inputs
27 % x
28 %
              1 x E
                       GP hyperparameter struct
      hyp
            F x 1
29 %
                       log length scale
30 %
            1 x 1
                       log process noise std dev
        pn
            M x F x E inducing inputs
31 %
32
33 %Given three arguments, and no observations,
34 %we are predicting the next state given
35\ \% the previous state. We can do this analytically.
37 % qx
               1 x N
                      struct array for Gaussian q(x) distribution
38 % m
              E x 1
                     mean of the last state
39 %
      So
              E x E pairwise marginal covariance between last two states
              E x E
                     marginal covariance of last state
41 % data
             1 x N
                     data struct
42 %
              1 x U
                     cell array of control input for prediction
      11
43 % x
44 %
      hyp
             1 x E
                       GP hyperparameter struct
45 %
      1
              F x 1
                       log length scale
46 %
                       log process noise std dev
        pn
              1 x 1
47 %
            M x F x E inducing inputs
49~\% Copyright (C) 2016 by Carl Edward Rasmussen, 20160530.
```

#### The $\Psi$ function

In the implementation, a function Psi handles the part of the (negative) log marginal likelihood which depends on the quantities  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Psi_2$ :

$$\psi = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{e=1}^{\mathsf{E}} \log |\mathsf{K}_e| - \log |\mathsf{K}_e + \Psi_{2e}| - \operatorname{tr} \mathsf{K}_e^{-1} \Psi_{2e} - \Psi_{1e}^{\top} (\mathsf{K}_e + \Psi_{2e})^{-1} \Psi_{1e}. \tag{20}$$

```
\langle Psi 6 \rangle \equiv
6
                                                                       (5b)
     1 function [lml, dnlml] = Psi(hyp, qx, z, u, test);
                1 x E
     2 % hyp
                           GP hyperparameter struct
                             log length scale
     3 % 1
                   F x 1
     4 % pn
                   1 x 1
                            log process noise std dev
     5 % qx
                   1 x N
                             Gaussian q(x) distribution
     6 % m
                 E \times T_n
                             mean
           Sd ExE x T_n
                             diagonal elements of covariance matrix
     8 % So ExE x T_n-1 immediately off-diagonal elements of covariance matrix
     9 % z
                 M x F x E inducing inputs
    10 % u
                 T_n \times U
                             cell array of control inputs
    11 % lml
                   1 x 1
                             contribution to the log marginal likelihood
    12 % dnlml
                             derivatives
    13 % test
                             flag indicating test mode
    15 persistent K Psi1 Psi2;
                                                        % keep these around if necessary
    16 [M, F, E] = size(z);
                                                                              % get sizes
```

The  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Psi_2$  expectations

The expectations from eq. (6) and derivatives wrt hyperparameters, the parameters of the q(x) distribution and the pseudo-inputs z are calculated by the Psi function. To compute these expectations, the pairwise joint

$$\mathsf{q}(\mathrm{x}_{t-1:t}) \ = \ \mathcal{N}\Big( \Big[ \begin{array}{cc} \mu_{t-1} \\ \mu_{t} \end{array} \Big], \Big[ \begin{array}{cc} \Sigma_{t-1,t-1} & \Sigma_{t-1,t} \\ \Sigma_{t,t-1} & \Sigma_{t,t} \end{array} \Big] \Big),$$

is multiplied with the covariance function, which can be written as an un-normalized joint Gaussian

$$k_e(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{z}_{ie}) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{t-1} - \mathbf{z}_{ie} \\ \mathbf{x}_t \end{bmatrix}^\top \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_e^{-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{t-1} - \mathbf{z}_{ie} \\ \mathbf{x}_t \end{bmatrix}\right),$$

yielding

7

$$\begin{split} \int x_{t} k_{e}(x_{t-1}, z_{ie}) q(x_{t-1:t}) dx_{t-1} dx_{t} &= \left( \mu_{t} + \Sigma_{t,t-1} [\Lambda_{e} + \Sigma_{t-1,t-1}]^{-1} (z_{ie} - \mu_{t-1}) \right) \\ &\times |I + \Lambda_{e}^{-1} \Sigma_{t-1,t-1}|^{-1/2} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} (\mu_{t-1} - z_{ie}) [\Lambda_{e} + \Sigma_{t-1,t-1}]^{-1} (\mu_{t-1} - z_{ie}) \right). \end{split} \tag{21}$$

For  $\Psi_2$  we have from eq. (6)

$$\int k_{e}(z_{ie}, x_{t-1}) k_{e}(x_{t-1}, z_{je}) q(x_{t-1}) dx_{t-1} = \exp(-(z_{ie} - z_{je}) \Lambda_{e}^{-1} (z_{ie} - z_{je})/4)$$

$$\times |I + 2\Lambda_{e}^{-1} \Sigma_{t-1, t-1}|^{-1/2} \exp(-(\frac{z_{ie} + z_{je}}{2} - \mu_{t-1}) [\Lambda_{e}/2 + \Sigma_{t-1, t-1}]^{-1} (\frac{z_{ie} + z_{je}}{2} - \mu_{t-1})/2).$$

$$(22)$$

Both  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Psi_2$  are computed for each GP  $e=1,\ldots,E$ , each inducing input  $z_{ie}, i=1,\ldots,M$ , and added over (N time series and)  $T_n-1$  time points:

```
\langle \text{expectations } 7 \rangle \equiv
1 if "test %If we test, we use the stored values of Psi1, Psi2 from the training set.
     K = zeros(M,M,E); Psi1 = zeros(M,E); Psi2 = zeros(M,M,E);
3 \text{ end}
4 lml = 0;
5 \text{ Sd} = zeros(F,F);
6 \text{ for } e = 1:E
                                                                            % for each GP
     K(:,:,e) = \exp(-maha(z(:,:,e),[],diag(exp(-2*hyp(e).1)))/2) + 1e-6*eye(M);
     iL = diag(exp(-hyp(e).1)); L2 = diag(exp(2*hyp(e).1));
9
     b1 = zeros(M,1); b2 = zeros(M,M);
10
     for n = 1:length(qx)
                                                                 % for each time series
                                                                    % for each time step
11
       for t = 2:size(qx(n).m, 2)
12
         Sd(1:E,1:E) = qx(n).Sd(:,:,t-1);
                                                        % covariance in top left corner
13
         r1 = prod(diag(chol(eye(F)+iL*Sd*iL)));
                                                                               % sqrt det
         r2 = prod(diag(chol(eye(F)+2*iL*Sd*iL)));
                                                                               % sqrt det
14
15
         s = bsxfun(@minus, z(:,:,e), [qx(n).m(:,t-1), u{n}(t-1,:)]);
16
         a = s/(L2+Sd);
         b1 = b1 + (qx(n).m(e,t) + a(:,1:E)*qx(n).So(:,e,t-1)) ...
17
18
                                                              .*exp(-sum(a.*s,2)/2)/r1;
         b2 = b2 + \exp(-maha(s, -s, inv(L2+2*Sd))/4) / r2;
19
20
       end
21
     end
22
     if test
23
       w = (K(:,:,e)+Psi2(:,:,e))\Psi1(:,e);
       W = -K(:,:,e) \Psi2(:,:,e) / (Psi2(:,:,e) + K(:,:,e)) + w*w';
24
```

```
25
      lml = lml + exp(-2*hyp(e).pn)*(b1'*w ...
26
                          - sum(sum(b2.*exp(-maha(z(:,:,e),[],inv(L2))/4).*W))/2);
27
    else
28
      Psi1(:,e) = b1 * exp(-2*hyp(e).pn);
      Psi2(:,:,e) = b2 * exp(-2*hyp(e).pn) .* exp(-maha(z(:,:,e),[],inv(L2))/4);
29
30
       lml = lml - sum(log(diag(chol(K(:,:,e)+Psi2(:,:,e))))) + ...
31
              sum(log(diag(chol(K(:,:,e))))) + trace(K(:,:,e))Psi2(:,:,e))/2 + ...
32
                                    Psi1(:,e)'/(K(:,:,e)+Psi2(:,:,e))*Psi1(:,e)/2;
33
    end
34 end
```

Note that in the implementation the state distribution q(x) is concatenated with the (deterministic) control inputs u.

#### Psi derivatives

We need to compute the derivatives of  $\Psi$  wrt the parameters of the q(x) distribution, wrt the u inducing inputs and wrt the hyperparameters. First, from eq. (20) we note

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \Psi_{1e}} \; &= \; - (K_e + \Psi_{2e})^{-1} \Psi_{1e} \; = \; - w_e, \\ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \Psi_{2e}} \; &= \; - \frac{1}{2} K_e^{-1} \Psi_{2e} (K_e + \Psi_{2e})^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} w_e w_e^\top \; = \; - \frac{1}{2} R_e (K_e + \Psi_{2e})^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} w_e w_e^\top \; = \; W_e, \\ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial K_e} \; &= \; - \frac{1}{2} R_e (K_e + \Psi_{2e})^{-1} R_e^\top + \frac{1}{2} w_e w_e^\top \; = \; V_e, \end{split}$$

where we have defined  $w_e = (K_e + \Psi_{2e})^{-1}\Psi_{1e}$  and  $R_e = K_e^{-1}\Psi_{2e}$ . These can be used together with the derivatives of  $\Psi_{1e}$ ,  $\Psi_{2e}$  and  $K_e$  and the chain rule to get the desired derivatives.

```
⟨expectation derivatives 8a⟩≡
8a
      1 dnlml.z = zeros(M,F,E);
      2 for n = 1:size(qx,2), dnlml.qx(n).m = 0*qx(n).m; dnlml.qx(n).So = 0*qx(n).So;
      3 \text{ dnlml.qx(n).Sd} = -0*qx(n).Sd; end;
      4 \text{ for } e = 1:E
          w = (K(:,:,e)+Psi2(:,:,e)) \Psi1(:,e);
      6 R = K(:,:,e) \setminus Psi2(:,:,e);
           W = -R/(Psi2(:,:,e)+K(:,:,e)) + w*w';
          (hyp derivatives 8b)
      9
          (Psi derivatives 8c)
      10 \, \, \mathrm{end}
8b
      ⟨hyp derivatives 8b⟩≡
                                                                               (8a)
      1 dnlml.hyp(e).pn = 2*sum(w.*Psi1(:,e)) - sum(sum(W.*Psi2(:,:,e)));
      \langle Psi \text{ derivatives } 8c \rangle \equiv
8c
      1 iL = diag(exp(-hyp(e).1)); L2 = diag(exp(2*hyp(e).1));
      2 \text{ W1} = \text{W} .* \exp(-\text{maha}(z(:,:,e),[],inv(L2))/4);
      3 D = zeros(M,F); H = zeros(F,1);
      4 \text{ for } n = 1:length(qx)
           T = size(qx(n).m,2);
           A = zeros(E,T); B = zeros(E,E,T); C = zeros(E,E,T-1);
           for t = 2:T
             Sd(1:E,1:E) = qx(n).Sd(:,:,t-1);
                                                            % covariance in top left corner
      9
             r2 = prod(diag(chol(eye(F)+2*iL*Sd*iL)));
                                                                                    % sart det
      10
             s = bsxfun(@minus, z(:,:,e), [qx(n).m(:,t-1), u{n}(t-1,:)]);
             a = s/(L2+Sd);
      11
     12
             a2 = s/(2*L2+4*Sd);
     13
             SiS = (L2(1:E,1:E)+Sd(1:E,1:E)) \setminus qx(n).So(:,e,t-1);
             r = \exp(-sum(a.*s,2)/2) / prod(diag(chol(eye(F)+iL*Sd*iL)));
             g = (qx(n).m(e,t) + a(:,1:E)*qx(n).So(:,e,t-1)).*w.*r;
     15
     16
             W2 = W1.*exp(-maha(s,-s,inv(L2+2*Sd))/4);
     17
             X = bsxfun(@plus,permute(a2,[1 3 2]),permute(a2,[3 1 2]));
             A(:,t-1) = A(:,t-1) + SiS*(w'*r) - a(:,1:E)'*g + ...
     18
                                  \verb|squeeze(sum(sum(bsxfun(@times,W2,X(:,:,1:E)),2),1))/r2;|\\
     19
```

```
20
       A(e,t) = -w'*r;
21
       B(:,:,t-1) = squeeze(sum(sum(bsxfun(@times, ...
22
          bsxfun(@times, W2, X(:,:,1:E)), permute(X(:,:,1:E), [1 2 4 3])), 2), 1))/r2;
23
       B(:,:,t-1) = B(:,:,t-1) + SiS*((w.*r),*a(:,1:E)) ...
24
                                      + inv(L2(1:E,1:E)+Sd(1:E,1:E))*sum(g)/2 ...
25
                                      - a(:,1:E)'*bsxfun(@times,g,a(:,1:E))/2 ...
26
                              - inv(L2(1:E,1:E)+2*Sd(1:E,1:E))*sum(sum(W2))/r2/2;
27
       C(:,e,t-1) = -bsxfun(@times,a(:,1:E),r)*w;
       if ~test
28
29
         D(:,1:E) = D(:,1:E) - bsxfun(@times,w,r)*SiS';
30
         D = D + bsxfun(@times,g,a) - W2*a2/r2 - bsxfun(@times,sum(W2,2),a2)/r2;
31
         H = H + diag(Sd/(L2+2*Sd))*sum(sum(W2))/r2 ...
32
            + exp(2*hyp(e).1).*squeeze(sum(sum(bsxfun(@times,W2,X.^2),2),1))/r2;
33
         H(1:E) = H(1:E) \dots
34
               + 2*exp(2*hyp(e).1(1:E)).*diag(SiS*bsxfun(@times,w,r)'*a(:,1:E));
35
         H = H - diag(Sd/(L2+Sd))*sum(g);
36
         H = H - exp(2*hyp(e).1).*diag(a'*bsxfun(@times,g,a));
37
38
     end
    dnlml.qx(n).m = dnlml.qx(n).m + A * exp(-2*hyp(e).pn);
39
40
    dnlml.qx(n).Sd = dnlml.qx(n).Sd + B * exp(-2*hyp(e).pn);
    dnlml.qx(n).So = dnlml.qx(n).So + C * exp(-2*hyp(e).pn);
41
42 \ {\tt end}
43 if "test
44 G = W.*Psi2(:,:,e);
45 a = z(:,:,e)*diag(exp(-2*hyp(e).1)/2);
46 dnlml.z(:,:,e) = D*exp(-2*hyp(e).pn) + G*a - bsxfun(@times,sum(G,2),a);
47 B = bsxfun(@minus,permute(a,[1 3 2]),permute(a,[3 1 2]));
48 dnlml.hyp(e).l = H * exp(-2*hyp(e).pn) ...
49
            + exp(2*hyp(e).1).*squeeze(sum(sum(bsxfun(@times,G,B.^2),1),2));
50 G = (R/(K(:,:,e)+Psi2(:,:,e))*R' + w*w').*K(:,:,e);
51 a = z(:,:,e)*diag(exp(-2*hyp(e).1));
52 B = bsxfun(@minus,permute(z(:,:,e),[1 3 2]),permute(z(:,:,e),[3 1 2]));
53 dnlml.hyp(e).l = dnlml.hyp(e).l ...
         + exp(-2*hyp(e).1).*squeeze(sum(sum(bsxfun(@times,B.^2,G),1),2))/2;
55 dnlml.z(:,:,e) = dnlml.z(:,:,e) + G*a - bsxfun(@times,sum(G,2),a);
56 end
```

#### Test set calculation

The distinguishing factor between training and test set calculations is whether the inducing target distribution is updated (training set) or kept fixed (test set). For the test set the contribution from the transition model to the log probability is

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{e=1}^{E} \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr} K_{e}^{-1} \Psi_{2e} (K_{e} + \Psi_{2e})^{-1} \Psi_{2e}^{*} - \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr} (K_{e} + \Psi_{2e})^{-1} \Psi_{1e} \Psi_{1e}^{\top} (K_{e} + \Psi_{2e})^{-1} \Psi_{2e}^{*} + \Psi_{1e}^{\top} (K_{e} + \Psi_{2e})^{-1} \Psi_{1e}^{*} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=2}^{T} \log |\Sigma_{t-1:t,t-1:t}| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=2}^{T-1} \log |\Sigma_{t}| - \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr} Q^{-1} \sum_{t=2}^{T} (I + \mu_{t}^{\top} \mu_{t} + \Sigma_{t,t}) - \frac{T-1}{2} \log |Q| - \frac{(T-1)E}{2} \log(2\pi). \end{split}$$

The testing consists of two steps. From a supplied initial set of observations, we find the most likely latent states, maximising a q distribution while keeping the hyperparameters and inducing inputs constant, using eq. (23), along with the likelihood term.

After the most likely latent state has been found, we predict forward by adding a further point to the timeseries, and maximising the likelihood. This can be solved analytically.

## Representation of the q(x) distribution

The q(x) distribution is parameterised through its mean qx.m and the marginal and pairwise covariances. Conceptually, we wish to parameterize the E by E covariance matrices (for the marginal distributions) which

we call qx.Sd (for diagonal) and the E by E covariances between consequtive time points (for the pairwise marginals) which we call qx.So (for off-diagonal). However it is inconvenient to parametrise these matrices directly, as it would be difficult to ensure positive definiteness of the marginal and pairwise marginal covariance matrices. Instead, we use 2E by E representation qx.s such that

$$S_{d,t} = S_t^{\top} S_t, \text{ and } S_{o,t-1} = S_{t-1}^{\top} S_t.$$
 (24)

Using this representation, we can use call the optimizer with the unconstrained representation, which is the converted to the more convenient diagonal and off-diagonal representation at the beginning and the derivatives are reverted back at the end.

```
\langle convert 10a \rangle \equiv
10a
          1 function [Sd, So] = convert(s)
          2 [t, E, T] = size(s); Sd = zeros(E,E,T); So = zeros(E,E,T-1);
          3 \text{ for } t = 1:T, Sd(:,:,t) = s(:,:,t) **s(:,:,t); end
                                                                                                            % diagonal terms
          4 for t = 2:T, So(:,:,t-1) = s(:,:,t-1) *s(:,:,t); end
                                                                                                              % off-diagonal
          The derivatives are
                    \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial s_t} \ = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial S_{d,t}} \frac{\partial S_{d,t}}{\partial s_t} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial S_{o,t-1}} \frac{\partial S_{o,t-1}}{\partial s_t} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial S_{o,t}} \frac{\partial S_{o,t}}{\partial s_t} \ = \ \frac{\partial}{\partial s_t} \mathrm{tr} \big( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial S_{d,t}} s_t^\top s_t \big)
                                                                                                                                              (25)
                             + s_{t-1} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial S_{0,t-1}} + s_{t} \left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial S_{0,t}} \right]^{\top} = s_{t} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial S_{d,t}} + \left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial S_{d,t}} \right]^{\top} \right) + s_{t-1} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial S_{0,t-1}} + s_{t} \left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial S_{0,t}} \right]^{\top}.
          ⟨revert 10b⟩≡
10b
          1 function r = revert(s, dSd, dSo)
          2 for t = 1:size(s,3), r(:,:,t) = s(:,:,t)*(dSd(:,:,t)+dSd(:,:,t)'); end
          3 \text{ for } t = 2:size(s,3)
          4 r(:,:,t-1) = r(:,:,t-1) + s(:,:,t)*dSo(:,:,t-1)';
          5 r(:,:,t) = r(:,:,t) + s(:,:,t-1)*dSo(:,:,t-1);
          6 end
          \langle assign outs 10c \rangle \equiv
10c
          % create the "s" field
          2 [out2.qx.s] = deal([]);
          3 if test
          4
               for n = 1:N
          5
                   out2.s = revert(p.s, dnlml.qx(n).Sd, dnlml.qx(n).So);
          6
          7
                out2 = rmfield(out2, 'hyp');
                out2 = rmfield(out2, 'z');
          9
                out2.m = out2.qx.m;
         10
                out2 = rmfield(out2, 'qx');
         11
         12
                   for n = 1:N
                      out2.qx(n).s = revert(p.qx(n).s, dnlml.qx(n).Sd, dnlml.qx(n).So);
         13
         14
         15 end
10d
          ⟨maha 10d⟩≡
                                                                                                          (5b)
          1 % Squared Mahalanobis distance (a-b)*Q*(a-b)'; vectors are row-vectors
          2 % a, b d x n matrices containing n length d row vectors
          3 % Q
                        d x d weight matrix
          4 % K
                        n x n squared distances
          5 function K = maha(a, b, Q)
          6 if isempty(b), b = a; end
          7 aQ = a*Q; K = bsxfun(@plus,sum(aQ.*a,2),sum(b*Q.*b,2)')-2*aQ*b';
```

### Analytic Prediction

Predicting the next step is found by taking an existing latent representation of a timeseries, and maximising the likelihood of the timeseries found by increasing its length by one. Given a timeseries, if increase the length of the timeseries by one, we can analytically compute the parameters  $\mu_t$ ,  $\Sigma_{t-1,t}$ ,  $\Sigma_{t,t}$  which maximise the likelihood. From equation ??,  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mu_t} = \sum_{e=1}^{E} \Psi_{1e}^{\top} \left( \mathsf{K}_e + \Psi_{2e}^* \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial \Psi_{1e}^*}{\partial \mu_t} - \operatorname{tr}(Q^{-1}) \mu_t$ , and using eq. 21,

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{t,e}^* = \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{1e}^\top \left( \mathsf{K}_e + \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{2e} \right)^{-1/2} |I + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_e^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t-1,t-1}|^{-1/2} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{t-1} - \boldsymbol{z}_{ie}) [\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_e + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t-1,t-1}]^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{t-1} - \boldsymbol{z}_{ie}) \right), \ (26)$$

which corresponds to the mean prediction of the GP, conditioned on the inducing inputs. For the marginal  $\Sigma_{t,t}$ , we find that  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Sigma_{t,t}} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr} Q^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Sigma_{t,t}} |\log \Sigma_{t-1:t,t-1:t}|$ . Optimising this gives us

$$\Sigma_{t,t}^* = Q + \Sigma_{t-1,t}^* \Sigma_{t-1,t-1}^{-1} \Sigma_{t,t-1}^*$$
(27)

For the pair-wise marginal,  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Sigma_{t-1,t}} = \sum_{e=1}^{E} \Psi_{1e}^{\top} \left( \mathsf{K}_e + \Psi_{2e} \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial \Psi_{1e}^*}{\partial \Sigma_{t-1,t}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Sigma_{t-1,t}} |\log \Sigma_{t-1:t,t-1:t}|$ . This gives us

$$0 = \sum_{e=1}^{E} \Psi_{1e}^{\top} \left( \mathsf{K}_{e} + \Psi_{2e} \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial \Psi_{1e}^{*}}{\partial \Sigma_{t-1,t}} - \Sigma_{t-1,t-1}^{-1} \Sigma_{t-1,t}^{*} \left( \Sigma_{t,t}^{*} - \Sigma_{t-1,t}^{*} \Sigma_{t-1,t-1} \Sigma_{t-1,t}^{*} \right)^{-1}$$
 (28)

Substituting, we find that

11

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_{t-1,t}^* = & \Sigma_{t-1,t-1} \sum_{e=1}^{E} \Psi_{1e}^{\top} \left( \mathsf{K}_e + \Psi_{2e} \right)^{-1} \left( \Lambda_e + \Sigma_{t-1,t-1} \right)^{-1} \left( z - \mu_{t-1} \right) \\ & \times |I + \Lambda_e^{-1} \Sigma_{t-1,t-1}|^{-1/2} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} (\mu_{t-1} - z_{ie}) [\Lambda_e + \Sigma_{t-1,t-1}]^{-1} (\mu_{t-1} - z_{ie}) \right), \end{split} \tag{29}$$

which we can then substitute in to equation 27 to find  $\Sigma_{t,t}^*$ .

We can motivate our choice of  $\Sigma_{t,t}^*$  by considering the prediction of the value of  $x_t$  conditional on the value of  $x_{t-1}$ . We have a conditional distribution  $q(x_t|x_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(\mu', \Sigma')$ , with  $\Sigma' = \Sigma_{t,t}^* - \Sigma_{t-1,t}^{\top *} \Sigma_{t-1,t-1}^{-1} \Sigma_{t-1,t-1}^* = Q$ . We can clearly see how the derived  $\Sigma_{t,t}^*$  is exactly the variance for which the extra uncertainty added to the prediction of the next timestep is Q.

The intuition for equation 29 is that we are directly calculating the covariance  $\mathbb{E}((z_i - \mu_{t-1})(z_j - \mu_t)) = \mathbb{E}(z_i(z_j - \mu_{t-1}))$ , from the inducing points z. We rescale the individual contributions by  $(\Lambda_e + \Sigma_{t-1,t-1})^{-1}$ , and then scale up by a factor of  $\Sigma_{t-1,t-1}$  after collecting the contributions from all z.

```
\langle \text{prediction } 11 \rangle \equiv
                                                                     (5b)
2 function qx_opt = predict_forwards(hyp, qx, z, u)
3 %Given a timeseries corresponding to latent states of an observed
 4 %timeseries, analytically calculate the optimal next step params
 5 %Fetch the Psi1,2 values by calling Psi with 4 arguments
 6 [Psi1, Psi2] = Psi(hyp, qx, z, u);
 7 E = size(qx, 2); [M, F, E] = size(z); Q = zeros(E, E);
 8 b3 = zeros(E,1);
9 \text{ for } e = 1:E
10
     K(:,:,e) = \exp(-maha(z(:,:,e),[],diag(exp(-2*hyp(e).1)))/2) + ...
11
         1e-6*eye(M);
     w = (K(:,:,e)+Psi2(:,:,e))\Psi1(:,e);
12
     iL = diag(exp(-hyp(e).1)); L2 = diag(exp(2*hyp(e).1));
13
14
15
     for n = 1:size(qx,2)
       t = size(qx.m, 2); Sd = zeros(F,F);
17
       Sd(1:E,1:E) = qx(n).Sd(:,:,t);
                                                  % covariance in top left corner
       r1 = prod(diag(chol(eye(F)+iL*Sd*iL)));
18
                                                                           % sqrt det
       s = bsxfun(@minus, z(:,:,e), [qx(n).m(:,t), u{n}(t,:)]);
19
20
       a = s/(L2+Sd);
       b3(e,1) = w'*(exp(-sum(a.*s,2)/2)/r1);
22
       b4 = (bsxfun(@times, a(:,1:E), exp(-sum(a.*s,2)/2)/r1)) *w;
       b5(:, e) = b4;
24
       Q(e, e) = exp(2 * hyp(e).pn);
25
26\ {\tt end}
```

```
27 qx_opt(n).m = b3;

28 qx_opt(n).So = qx.Sd*b5;

29 qx_opt(n).Sd = Q + qx_opt(n).So' * inv(qx(n).Sd(:, :, end)) ...

30 * qx_opt(n).So;
```