Rudin Chapter 1: Exercises

Alex L.

May 28, 2025

Exercise: (1)

If r is rational and $r \neq 0$ and x is irrational, show that r + x and rx are irrational.

Solution:

We do a proof by contradiction. Suppose r is rational, x is irrational, and x + x is rational. Let $r = \frac{a}{b}$ for some integers a, b and $b \neq 0$. Then, we can express

$$r + x = \frac{q}{p}$$

for integers q, p and $p \neq 0$. Then,

$$\frac{a}{b} + x = \frac{q}{p}$$

and we subtract over to get

$$x = \frac{q}{p} - \frac{a}{b}$$

Since the rationals form a field, rational numbers are closed under addition and subtraction, so therefore, x is rational, which is a contradiction.

Likewise, if we assume that rx is rational, we can say

$$rx = \frac{q}{p}$$

for some integers q, p and nonzero p. Then,

$$x = \frac{qb}{pa}$$

and can be expressed as a rational number. The denominator is nonzero beacuse $r \neq 0$, so $a \neq 0$ and $p \neq 0$ either.

Exercise: (2)

Prove there is no rational number whose square is 12.

Solution:

Assume that there is some rational number $\frac{p}{q}$ where $(\frac{p}{q})^2 = 12$ and p,q are coprime (we can say this without loss of generality because every rational number has some form where the numerator and denominator are coprime). Then,

$$p^2 = 12q^2$$

this tells us that p^2 must be divisible by 12. The only way this can happen is if p is divisible by 2 and 3 (it must get all the prime factors of 12 which are 2, 2, 3 from one number squared, so the very minimum is 2, 3).

Then, p^2 is divisible by 36, so $12q^2$ is divisible by 36, so q^2 must be divisible by 3. The only way this can happen is if q is divisible by 3, but this is impossible as we said that p and q are coprime, so this is a contradiction.

Exercise:

Derive the following true statements from the field axioms:

1. If $x \neq 0$ and xy = xz then y = z

2. If $x \neq 0$ and xy = x then y = 1

3. If $x \neq 0$ and xy = 1 then $y = \frac{1}{x}$

4. If $x \neq 0$ then $\frac{1}{\frac{1}{x}} = x$

Solution:

1. We start with $x \neq 0$ and

$$xy = xz$$

Then, we left multiply by $\frac{1}{x}$ to get y = z

2. We start with

$$xy = x$$

and left multiply by $\frac{1}{x}$ to get y=1

3.

$$xy = 1$$

and we left multiply by $\frac{1}{x}$ to get $y = \frac{1}{x}$

4. We start with

$$\frac{1}{\frac{1}{x}} = x$$

and left multiply by $\frac{1}{x}$ to get

$$1 = 1$$

so since this statement is true, and the initial statement is logically equivalent, the initial statement is true as well.

Exercise: (4)

Let E be a nonempty subset and let α be a lower bound on E and β be an upper bound on E. Prove that $\alpha \leq \beta$

Solution:

By definition of lower bound, $\alpha \leq e$ for all e in E, and by definition of upper bound, $e \leq \beta$ for all e in E. By the transitive property of order, this means that $\alpha \leq \beta$.

Exercise: (5)

Let A be a nonempty set of real numbers which is bounded below and -A be the set of all -x, for all x in A. Prove that $\inf(A) = -\sup(-A)$

Solution:

We do a proof by contradiction. Suppose that $\inf(A) \neq -\sup(-A)$. We have two cases.

Case 1:
$$\inf(A) < -\sup(-A)$$

Then, choose some x where $\inf A < x < -\sup(A)$. This means that $-x > \sup(-A)$ meaning that for all -a in -A, -x > -a. However, this implies that for all a in A, x < a, which means that x is a lower bound of A, but also, $x > \inf(A)$ which is impossible, hence a contradiction.

Case 2:
$$\inf(A) > -\sup(-A)$$

Then, choose some x where $-\sup(A) < x < \inf A$. Since $x < \inf(A)$, for all a in A, x < a. This implies that for all -a in -A, -x > -a, making x an upper bound for -A, but also, $-x < \sup(A)$, which is a contradiction.

Exercise: (6)

Fix b > 1.

- 1. If m, n, q, p are integers and $r = \frac{p}{n} = \frac{p}{q}$ and n, q > 0, then show that $(b^m)^{\frac{1}{n}} = (b^p)^{\frac{1}{q}}$, and hence, $b^r = (b^m)^{\frac{1}{n}}$.
- 2. Prove that $b^{r+s} = b^r b^s$ if r, s are rational.
- 3. Define B(x) to be the set of all b^t for all rational $t \le x$. Show that $b^r = \sup B(r)$, and hence, it is logical to define that $b^x = \sup B(x)$
- 4. Show that $b^{x+y} = b^x b^y$ for all real x, y.

Solution:

1. We first observe that $\frac{m}{n} = \frac{p}{q}$, and when multiplying both sides by both n and p, we get that mq = pn.

Secondly, observe that if a, b are integers, then $(x^a)^b = x^{a*b}$. This is true as $(x^a)^b$ is a product of b number of x^a , and x^a is a product of a number of x, so if we break them apart, we get a*b number of x, which is x^{a*b} .

We now note that $x^{\frac{1}{n}} = y$ implies $x = y^n$. We start with

$$(b^m)^{\frac{1}{n}} = (b^p)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

and hope to transform it into some logically equivalent statement that we know the truth value of. We can say that the above statement is logically equivalent to

$$b^m = ((b^p)^{\frac{1}{q}})^n$$

And this is equivalent to

$$(b^m)^q = (b^p)^n$$

and by the observation above, this means that

$$b^{m*q} = b^{p*n}$$

and since mq = pn, we know that the equality $b^{m*q} = b^{p*n}$ holds, and so the original statement, which is logically equivalent holds.

Likewise, we know that rn = m so by the same logic, we can say that

$$b^r = (b^m)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

2. We let r, s be rational, and b > 1, and define $r = \frac{m}{n}$ and $s = \frac{p}{q}$ where m, n, p, q are integers and n, q > 0. Then,

$$b^{r+s} = b^{\frac{m}{n} + \frac{p}{q}} = b^{\frac{mq+np}{nq}}$$

By the singular root theorem, which states that $x^{\frac{1}{n}} = y$ implies that $x = y^n$, and the previous result, we get that

$$b^{r+s} = (b^{mq+np})^{\frac{1}{nq}}$$

and so

$$(b^{r+s})^{nq} = b^{mq+np}$$

Now observe that $x^{a+b} = x^a x^b$ for integers a, b, since if a or b is greater than zero, then $x^a = x * x * ... * x$ for a number of times, and if either a or b is negative, then it will be (x^{-1}) for a number of times, cancelling out one x for each x^{-1} in the product.

So now we have

$$(b^{r+s})^{nq} = b^{mq}b^{np}$$

and if we use our singular root identity one more time, and the corrolary to it, which states that $(xy)^a = x^a y^a$, we get that

$$b^{r+s} = (b^{mq}b^{np})^{\frac{1}{nq}} = b^{\frac{mq}{nq}}b^{\frac{np}{nq}} = b^{\frac{m}{n}}b^{\frac{p}{q}}$$

3. We first want to show that for x > 1, then a < b implies $x^a < x^b$.

We start with the statement that

$$x^a < x^b$$

By multiplying on both sides by x^-b , we get

$$x^{a-b} < 1$$

Since we know that a < b, we know that a - b < 0, so b - a > 0, so we have

$$\frac{1}{x^{b-a}} < 1$$

Since we know that x^{b-a} is greater than one (since b-a>0 and x>1), the denominator is larger than the numerator, so this fraction is indeed less than one, which is true, making the logically equivalent original statement true.

From here on out, it is simple to see that the supremum of the set B(r) will be the largets b^t possible, which is obtained by picking the largest t possible, which happens to be r, so the supremum of the set B(r) is b^r

4. Let α be in B(x) and β be in B(y). Then, consider the set composed of elements of the form $\alpha * \beta$. The supremum of this set is b^{x+y} , and the supremum of the individual B(x), B(y) are b^x and b^y respectively, so we can conclude that $b^{x+y} = b^x b^y$.