Rudin Chapter 3: Cauchy Sequences

Alex L.

August 9, 2025

Definition: (Cauchy Sequences)

A sequence $\{p_n\}$ in a metric space X is said to be a **Cauchy sequences** if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an integer N such that $d(p_n, p_m) < \epsilon$ if $n \ge N$ and $m \ge N$

In other words, if we pick a distance, at some point, all pairs formed with elements after than n and m respectively will have distance less than ϵ between them.

Definition: (Diameter)

Let E be a nonempty subset of a metric space X, and let S be the set of all real numbers of the form d(p,q) with $p \in E$ and $q \in E$. The supremum of S is the diameter of E.

Proposition:

If E_N is the set of all elements of a sequence with indices n > N, then E is a cauchy sequence if and only if

$$\lim_{N\to 0} \operatorname{diam} E_N = 0$$

Theorem:

If E is the closer of a set E in a metric space X, then

$$\operatorname{diam}\bar{E} = \operatorname{diam}E$$

If K_n is a sequence of compact sets K and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{diam} K_n = 0$$

then $\bigcap_{1}^{\infty} K_n$ contains only one point

Proof:

For the first part, we do a proof by contradiction. What if $\operatorname{diam}\bar{E}$ was greater than $\operatorname{diam}E$ (it can't be less since the closure is a superset of E). Then, some limit point p is at least $\operatorname{diam}\bar{E} - \operatorname{diam}E$ from every other point in the set (otherwise that other point could be the new diameter of E). Then, p cannot be a limit point of E since the neighborhood of radius e0 diameded e1 diameded e2 contains no points of E3, hence a contradiction.

For the second part, if the intersection of all these sets contained more than one point, then each set has some nonzero diameter, so the limit of the diameters cannot tend to zero.

Theorem:

- 1. In a metric space X, every convergent sequence is a Cauchy sequence
- 2. If X is a compact metric space and if $\{p_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X, then $\{p_n\}$ converges to some point in X
- 3. In \mathbb{R}^k , every Cauchy sequence converges.

Proof:

- 1. If a sequence $\{p_n\}$ converges, it means that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is an N such that all p_n where n > N are within ϵ of p. Then, suppose we pick two such points, p_n, p_m . We know that $d(p_n, p_m) \leq d(p, p_n) + d(p, p_m) < 2\epsilon$ so this sequence fulfills the Cauchy criterion.
- 2. Let $\{p_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in a compact space X. Let E_N be the subsequences comprised of elements N and above. Since $\{p_n\}$ is Cauchy, $\lim_{N\to\infty} \operatorname{diam} \bar{E_N} = 0$, note the closure. Since $[E_N]$, the closure of E_N , is closed and the subset of a compact space, it is compact. We know that if a series of compact spaces tends to a diameter zero, there must be only one element common among them, which we will call p. Since successive elements of $\{p_n\}$ get closer to p (hence why diam E_N tends to zero), this fulfills the criterion for converging to p.
- 3. Since the sequence $\{p_n\}$ is Cauchy, at some point, diam $E_N < 1$, so it is bounded. The range of $\{p_n\}$ is bounded, and so the closure of the range is a compact subset of the compact set \mathbb{R}^k , so the above applies.

Definition: (Complete Spaces)

A metric space where every Cauchy sequence converges is **complete**.

Definition: (Monotonic Sequences)

A sequence of real numbers **monotonically increases** if every element is greater than or equal to the previous element, and **monotonically decreases** if every element is less than or equal to the previous element.

Theorem:

A monotonic sequence in \mathbb{R} only converges if it is bounded.

Proof:

We do the proof for increasing sequences, but just swap around all the signs and shit for decreasing sequences.

Suppose we had some monotonically increasing sequence $\{s_n\}$. Then, let s be the supremeum of the range of that sequence. For every ϵ , there is some s_n where $s - \epsilon < s_n < s$ otherwise s_n would be the supremum. Therefore, it fulfills the convergence criteria, and it converges.