# 3.7: Multiple Eigenvalues

Alex L.

November 15, 2024

## 1 Motivation

What do we do when we encounter repeated eigenvalues and defective eigenvalues?

# 2 Content

### 2.1 Repeated Eigenvalues

Definition: (Repeated Eigenvalues) Whenever there is a repeated root of the characteristic equation

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$$

with multiplicity m, then we have a repeated eigenvalue. m is called the **algebraic multiplicity**. The number of linearly independent eigenvectors corresponding to this eigenvalue is a distinct number, called the **geometric multiplicity**. The geometric multiplicity is also the dimension of the corresponding **eigenspace** (the span of all eigenvectors associated with a corresponding eigenvalue).

The above definition makes sense if you think about it. If we have an eigenvalue with two associated eigenvectors,  $\vec{v}_1$  and  $\vec{v}_2$ , then linear combinations of them also result in eigenvectors, because

$$A(\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2) = A\vec{v}_1 + A\vec{v}_2$$
 (by properties of matrix multiplication)  $= \lambda \vec{v}_1 + \lambda \vec{v}_2 = \lambda(\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2)$ 

And so having multiple linearly independent eigenvectors means you get an eigenspace of that many dimensions.

If we get a matrix with repeated eigenvalues, just assign a unique eigenvector to each term, so for a matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

which has eigenvalues  $\lambda=3$  and eigenvectors  $\begin{bmatrix}1\\0\end{bmatrix},\begin{bmatrix}0\\1\end{bmatrix}$  our result looks like

$$\vec{x} = c_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} e^{3t} + c_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} e^{3t}$$

#### 2.2 Defective Eigenvalues

**Definition:** Sometimes, the eigenvectors for our repeated eigenvalue are not linearly independent. In this case, our geometric multiplicity is less than our algebraic multiplicity, and we call the eigenvalue **defective**. The difference between the two is called the **defect**.

Suppose we have two linearly dependent eigenvalues  $\vec{v}_1$  and  $\vec{v}_2$ . We can't form a general solution out of these, so lets just slap an x on one of them (in this case, its a t as our independent variable but I digress).

We get

$$\vec{x} = \vec{v}_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} + t \vec{v}_2 e^{\lambda_1 t}$$

Lets see if this is actually a solution. Differentiating, we get

$$\vec{x}' = (\lambda_1 \vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2)e^{\lambda_1 t} + \lambda_1 t \vec{v}_2 e^{\lambda_1 t}$$

Our solution must satisfy  $A\vec{x} = \vec{x}'$  so plugging in our original equation, we get

$$\vec{x}' = A\vec{v}_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} + At\vec{v}_2 e^{\lambda_1 t}$$

Matching terms and then rearranging, we get that

$$(A - \lambda I)\vec{v}_1 = \vec{v}_2$$

and

$$(A - 3I)\vec{v}_2 = 0$$

and so our new vector has to satisfy these two equations.