

Rudin Chapter 3: Subsequences

Alex L.

August 8, 2025

Definition: (Subsequences)

Given a sequence $\{p_n\}$, if we have another sequence $\{n_i\}$ of strictly increasing positive integers, so $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 \dots$, then the sequence $\{p_{n_i}\}$ is called a $\sim \approx \sim \cong \times$ of $\{p_n\}$. If $\{p_{n_i}\}$ converges, then the number it converges to is called the **subsequential limit** of $\{p_{n_i}\}$

Theorem:

A sequence converges if and only if every subsequence of that sequence converges.

Proof:

Suppose we had a sequence $\{p_n\}$ and a subsequence of $\{p_n\}$ called $\{p_{n_i}\}$.

For the forward proof, we do a proof by contradiction. Suppose $\{p_n\}$ converged to p but $\{p_{n_i}\}$ did not converge. Then, since $\{p_{n_i}\}$ did not converge, there must be some $\epsilon > 0$ where we could not find an I where all $i > I$ had the property that $d(p, p_{n_i}) < \epsilon$, so in other words, there was no element in $\{p_{n_i}\}$ such that all successive elements were less than ϵ away from p . However, since $\{p_n\}$ converges, this property must exist for every ϵ in relation to elements of $\{p_n\}$, and since elements of $\{p_{n_i}\}$ are elements of $\{p_n\}$ this property must exist for them as well. Therefore, we have a contradiction, in the sense that we must be able to find some I for which this property holds since $\{p_n\}$ is convergent, but we can find no such I since $\{p_{n_i}\}$ does not converge.

For the reverse proof, suppose that every $\{p_{n_i}\}$ converges to p . Then, we take some set of subsequences of $\{p_n\}$ where their union is $\{p_n\}$, and for every ϵ , we take the I where the property $i > I$ is $d(p_{n_i}, p) < \epsilon$ holds, and take the maximum of all these I . Then, the same property will hold true for the union, $\{p_n\}$.

Theorem:

If $\{p_n\}$ is a sequence in a compact metric space X , then some subsequence of $\{p_n\}$ must converge.

Every bounded sequence in \mathbb{R}^k contains a convergent subsequence

Proof:

For the first part, we have two scenarios. If the range of $\{p_n\}$ is finite, meaning the sequence hits a finite amount of elements of X , then since the sequence itself is infinite, we must hit some element in the range an infinite number of times, so we can construct a sequence consisting of only hits on that element.

If the range is infinite, then the range is both infinite and compact (it is a subset of a compact space so it is compact with respect to X). This means that it has some limit point p , so we can just pick elements from successively smaller neighborhoods to make our subsequence.

Since every bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^k can be contained within a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^k , the above applies.

Theorem:

The subsequential limits of a sequence $\{p_n\}$ in a metric space X form a closed subset of X .

Proof:

A closed set is a set which contains all of its limit points. Suppose our set of subsequential limits, E , has some limit point

p . We want to show that p is in E . Since p is a limit point of E , there is some x in E so that $d(p, x) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Since x is the point of convergence for some subsequence, there is some p_n where $d(p_n, x) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, so for any ϵ , we can find a p_n less than ϵ from it.