ALE group project

Aggregate feedback

# Aggregate feedback by question

## Question 1

Most groups gave good summaries of the paper.

## Question 2

Most groups did very well on this exercise.

## Question 3

All groups produced a correct histogram. The interpretation was more varied, with some groups doing fairly well and others less so.

## Question 4

Most groups did very well on this question.

## Question 5

Overall, most groups produced graphs similar to those in the paper. Nevertheless, some groups were heavily penalised because their graph did not allow for a discontinuity at 50% of relative income or because their graph was hard to read.

## Question 6

Answers were mostly good. Common reasons for penalties were:

* The RD regression was not well specified
* Interpretation of the wrong coefficients (the only one that is really meaningful in RD is the coefficient on the discontinuity dummy).

Some groups did not include any table with regression estimates. If you did this, you were heavily penalised. You need to show the results you are talking about.

## Question 7

Most proposed tests had the right intuition, but they tested whether there was an aversion to more educated wives. They did not test whether the discontinuity at 0.5 of relative income was driven by the wives’ relative education.

Note that it can be possible that there is a male aversion to pairing up with more educated wives, but that this aversion is completely unrelated to the discontinuity is completely unrelated to the discontinuity in relative income.

Groups that did not present any results were heavily penalised.

# Summary statistics (without applying late penalties)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Average** |
| Q1 | 3.06 |
| Q2 | 13.97 |
| Q3 | 10.89 |
| Q4 | 13.33 |
| Q5 | 10.78 |
| Q6 | 13.94 |
| Q7 | 6.67 |
| Total | 72.64 |
| SD | 9.47 |