White Paper iField: A Fieldlinguistics Database which adapts to its user's I-Language

Contents

| 1 | Project Abstract | 1 |
|---|--|----|
| 2 | Statement of Need | 2 |
| 3 | App Description 3.1 Functionality | 3 |
| 1 | Goals & Objectives | 6 |
| 5 | Budget & Timeline | 7 |
| | 5.1 Core Modules | 7 |
| 6 | Evaluation6.1 Data Documentation6.2 Data Management | |
| A | iField Modules | 3 |
| | A.1 Core Modules 1 A.1.1 Collaboration Module 1 A.1.2 Corpus Module 1 A.1.3 Lexicon Module 1 | 4 |
| | A.2 "Dream" Modules | 20 |
| | A.2.6 User Support & Maintenance | |

1 Project Abstract

The FieldLinguists' App is an OpenSource database system that will allow language researchers to securely enter, store, organize, annotate, and share linguistic data. The application will be accessible on any device; as it runs in a HTML5 browser, it will run on laptops (Mac 10.5 and above, Linux, Windows, ChromeBooks) as well as on mobile devices (Android and iPhone/iPad). It will be suitable for both online and offline use. Furthermore, the application will be created with collaborative goals in mind; data will be syncable and sharable with other researchers. Researchers can form teams that contribute to a single corpus, where team members use the application to modify and discuss the data. The system will also have a simple and friendly user interface, allowing users to drag and drop data (audio, video, text), or record audio/video directly into the database when using the Android App. In addition, the application will have import and export capabilities for multiple file types. The application will be designed from the ground up to conform to E-MELD and DataOne data management best practices, an important requirement for any database which will house data funded by granting agencies. Most importantly, the application is designed intuitively and theory free, so it is not necessary to be a field linguist or programmer to figure out how it works. The application will be hosted on cloud servers so that users can use it without knowing how to set up its servers. The application will also have an installation guide for linguistics department server administrators so that they can set up unlimited data usage on their own department servers.

iField is a collaboration between the programming fieldlinguists of iLanguage Lab LTD, Montreal, the Mig'maq Research Group at McGill University and the Prosody Lab at McGill University, Montreal Canada.

2 Statement of Need

The FieldLinguists' App is conceived out of the needs of language researchers doing fieldwork or other large scale data collection. Linguistic fieldwork often requires researchers to travel to places where a stable connection to the internet is not guaranteed. Also, it often involves a group of researchers contributing to building a single database. An ideal linguistic database should therefore work both online and offline as well as make it easy to share and integrate data.

There are existing programs/software used for linguistic fieldwork, however, they fall short in providing features necessary for collaborative fieldwork, while keeping

¹Running offline requires a local database. As of August 2012 it would be possible to use the app offline in Chrome Browsers (Mac, Linux & Windows), ChromeBooks and on Android tablets, in the coming months/years other browsers/systems will support offline databases. Safari and Firefox may also work by the end of 2012.

the data confidential if needed. For example, some web-based databases (e.g. *Karuk Dictionary and Texts* http://linguistics.berkeley.edu/~karuk/links.php, *The Washo Project* http://washo.uchicago.edu/dictionary/dictionary.php) work only online, hence it is impossible for researchers to enter new data or search the database while in the field where the internet is unavailable.

Non-web-based software such as *Toolbox* (http://www.sil.org/computing/toolbox/) and *FLEx/FieldWorks* (http://fieldworks.sil.org/flex/) are excellent in terms of annotating data and organizing data into various formats (corpus, grammar or lexicon). Nonetheless, integration of data taken by individual researchers is not well-automated and takes considerable work by researchers. Moreover, they run only on a single platform (either PC or Linux, but not both). These tools therefore cause further difficulty in data sharing among researchers using different platforms.

General purpose database software such as *FileMaker Pro* can be customized for the purpose of language research. However, it demands researchers to learn the software, and research teams often need to hire a programmer to customize the software for their research purposes. The stand-alone nature of such software makes data sharing and integration difficult as well.

The existing linguistic database programs, although useful, have various shortfalls that would hinder collection and integration of data. Some of them are constrained by the internet accessibility or by the computer platform types. Some others demand extra human work in order to integrate data. Data entry can require hours of a researcher's time. All linguistic database programs surveyed did not provide a good user experience. The number of clicks required and the delay between actions did not meet current software engineering best practices. In addition to core functionalities, a good user experience is necessary to ensure quality data management. The present project grows out of discussion with a number of fieldworkers dissatisfied with currently available options.

3 App Description

The FieldLinguists' App will enable those interested in language research, preservation, and documentation to securely enter, store, organize, annotate, and share linguistic data. Moreover, the application will be easily customizable to fit specific needs. To accomplish these tasks, the database will be equipped with a variety of features. The following requirements are based on a few important considerations where most existing fieldlinguistics/corpus linguistics databases applications fall short.

3.1 Functionality

This application will be able to perform the necessary functions needed by field linguists. The dashboard will be composed of several widgets. The Data Entry widget will be the primary focus, containing four core fields customary for a gloss format (utterance, morpheme-segmentation, gloss, translation). In addition to these fields, researchers will be able to add customized fields, such as phonetic transcription or context for an utterance. Researchers can even upload audio files and link them to the appropriate data. Each data entry will be tagged with session info such as the researcher, date of elicitation, language, dialect and consultant's code.

Furthermore, researchers will be able to add tags for categorization and mark the status of each individual data entry as "Checked" or "To be checked with consultant", which further aids organization and reduces the number of errors that inevitably occur during research. Other functions such as importing data and exporting data into various formats aid efficiency and convenience.

Another widget will be an Activity Feed View displaying the most recent changes. This widget allows researchers to keep up to date on their team's activity. The activity feed will display items such as recent additions to the corpus, comments made on data entries, and recent edits.

Finally, the application will have a powerful search function that will expand into a data list that is contained in its own widget. Data lists can be sorted, saved and can be used for batch operations such as exporting or converting into LaTeX.

This application integrates the best functions from existing fieldwork database programs, while avoiding many of the shortcomings discussed above. The core features are summarized as follows:

Modern

- Simple The system will be designed to replace Word Documents or LaTeX documents which is a very common way field linguists store data because it requires no training, doesn't require a complicated set-up for data categories, and takes no time to add new categories.
- Attractive The system will have a modern design like many of the popular websites such as Google and Twitter. It's layout and background image will be customizable so that the user can change the look and feel of the application to make their eyes comfortable in bright/dark light, or adapt the layout of the widgets to their style of data entry.

• Powerful

- **Smart.** The application will guess what users do most often, and automate the process for them. Most importantly, the system will have semi-automated glossing based on morpheme segmentation.

Searchable. The application will be designed for search as this is one of the
most fundamental tasks a language researcher must be able to do. The search
will go far beyond traditional string matches and database indexes.

• Data-Centric

- Atheoretical. The application will not include categories or linguistic frameworks or theoretical constructs that must be tied to the data. The application will allow data fields and categories to develop organically as data collection proceeds, as opposed to imposing a particular construct upon entry. Researchers will be able to add and change their fields and categories for the data at any point.
- Collaborative. The system will have users and teams, and permissions for corpora. Permissions will ensure that data can be safely shared and edited by multiple users. Moreover, the corpus will be versioned so that users can track changes and revert mistakes.
- Sharable. The application will allow researchers to share their data with anyone interested in their work. The application will be able to import data from ELAN XML, CSV and text file formats, and to export to XML, Latex and WIki formats. These import/export functions will make it easier to exchange data with those who are not using the same application.

• Accessible

- Cross-Platform. The application will be available for any device that has an HTML5 compatible browser. Specifically, the application will run offline/online in Chrome on Mac, Linux, and Windows computers,² as well as online/online on Android tablets and phones. The application will run online only in Safari and Firefox, and online only on iPads and iPhones.
- Portable. Touch tablets are one of the easiest tools to carry and use in the field; they have a long battery life; they can play videos or show images for the consultant to elicit complicated contexts; and they permit recording audio and video without microphones or cameras which distract consultants. Mobile devices also have apps for push button publishing to YouTube or other audio/video hosting solutions which allow for private data like Google Plus. Furthermore, Android tablets are particularly easy to program and integrate the microphone/camera directly into the database (Cook, Marquis and Achim 2011).

²The app will also run on ChromeBooks. ChromeBooks are affordable laptops (\$299) which use the Chrome operating system created by Google. ChromeBooks are currently available in the UK and online at www.google.com/chromebook/. ChromeBooks have very long battery life and automatically backup data, which makes them good laptops for fieldwork.

- Work offline. Running a webapp offline will have considerable consequences for how data is stored, how data is retrieved, and how much data can be used while offline. Most browsers have limits on the amount of data a webapp can store offline. By delivering a version of the application in a Chrome extension, which has permission to have unlimited storage, researchers will be able to have a significant portion of their data at their fingertips, regardless of the location.
- Multiple storage Data will be stored on a CouchDB server, and will be accessible and sharable by multiple users. The installable Chrome extension version of the application allows individual researchers to store a portion of a corpus, or an entire corpus, on their own devices, enabling offline work and quick search.

Open

- OpenData. Corpora often contain sensitive information, consultant stories and other information which must be kept confidential. Having confidential data in plain text in a corpus forces the entire corpus to be kept confidential. Instead, the system will encrypt confidential data and store the data in the corpus encrypted. To access the plain text the user will have to log in and use a password to decrypt the data. This design has important ramifications for exporting data, and for editing the data outside the application. The system will allow the user to export data encrypted or decrypted. If the corpus contains sensitive confidential information the system will warn the users if they choose to export the information in a decrypted fashion.
- OpenSource. Being OpenSource allows departments to install and customize the database application to tailor their specific needs without worry that the company behind the software will disappear or stop maintaining the software. In addition, OpenSourcing the software on GitHub will allow linguists with scripting or programming experience to contribute back to the software to make it more customized to their needs, language typologies, or linguistics research areas. It allows the software to continue to grow and improve without any company which seeks to profit from the software.
- Unicode. Encoding problems and losing data should be behind us in the days of Unicode. However, many existing fieldlinguistics databases were built in programming languages that did not support Unicode, or where unicode support was added as an afterthought, so the Unicode support is dangerously fragile. Javascript and HTML5 (the technologies used in the system) are 100% Unicode.

4 Goals & Objectives

The principal goal of The FieldLinguists' App is to help language researchers collect and organize linguistic data and to facilitate collaborative research work. The main objectives are to provide:

- A self-explanatory, easy-to-use user interface so that researchers can understand and start using the application within seconds of the time the installation is completed.
- Both online and offline functionality so that the fieldwork is not constrained by the internet accessibility.
- Customizable data entry fields to accommodate particular requirements of a research.
- Data sharing, protection and integration functions to facilitate collaboration among researchers and between researchers and language consultants.

Although it is designed primarily for linguists, the application will equally be useful for researchers documenting endangered languages and/or creating dictionaries/grammar books for minority languages, as well as language teachers creating educational materials.

5 Budget & Timeline

The iField App is composed of eight modules and thus the cost is divided into eight major components. In addition, a separate price is given for software architecture and for 1 year of user support and project growth, which is needed to make a longterm viable and useful tool that field linguists can adopt for their labs or for their field methods courses. The cost is calculated using a rate of \$25/hr.³

It is possible to focus on each module separately and simultaneously, thus reducing the time of completion.

³The actual cost should be calculated by estimating the time in hours and multiplying by \$42-58, the average rate of a software consultant company who is capable of doing offline HTML5 apps. The cost could be higher in other regions (California, Boston) or lower if students or full-time programmers can be hired (\$22-\$35).

The estimates include tests (roughly 10% - 20% of the budget) which are functions of code which serve to be sure that the code compiles and runs as is expected. Tests are very important for maintaining software, particularly Javascript which is a very ambiguous language and HTML5 which is a specification developed by WC3. HTML5 implementation began in 2008 and is currently unstable at various levels of implementation by the major web browsers (Firefox, Safari, Chrome, Internet Explorer).

| Module | Weeks | Price |
|----------------------------|-------|-------------|
| Software Architecture | 1.5 | \$1,555.20 |
| Collaboration Module | 7.5 | \$5,728.32 |
| Corpus Module | 12.6 | \$9,201.60 |
| Web Spider Module | 7.5 | \$2,177.28 |
| Lexicon Module | 6.0 | \$7,340.54 |
| Phonological Search Module | 3.0 | \$2,177.28 |
| Dictionary Module | 22.3 | \$18,781.63 |
| Glosser Module | 19.7 | \$17,770.75 |
| Phonetic Aligner Module | 10.2 | \$ 9,787.39 |
| User Support | 21.1 | \$30,246.70 |
| TVS and TPQ | | \$10,833.32 |
| Total | 88 | \$83,176.04 |

Table 1: Project Summary

5.1 Core Modules

The project has three core modules which must be developed prior to additional modules. These are the *collaboration*, *corpus* and *lexicon* modules, briefly outlined in terms of functionality and timeline in the Appendix A. Implementation of the three core modules began on April 20th 2012. The three core modules will be launched on August 1st at CAML in Patzun Guatemala. We estimate the three core modules and the software architecture to take 9.2 weeks to complete with three software developers, and cost roughly \$23,800 before taxes. We will have its final time and costs on August 3rd 2012.

For a complete breakdown of the budget and schedule of the the software by modules see Appendix A.

6 Evaluation

The usefulness and effectiveness of the iField App will be evaluated in two respects: Data Documentation and Data Management. Data documentation will be evaluated following E-MELD Best Practices in Digital Language Documentation,⁴ and data management following DataONE Primer on Data Management.⁵

⁴http://emeld.org/school/what.html

⁵http://www.dataone.org/sites/all/documents/DataONE_BP_Primer_020212.pdf

6.1 Data Documentation

1. Content: Data is annotated and described using consistent terminology.

The application allows users to design their annotation terminology per corpus and attaches these categories to each datum of the corpus, ensuring that all datum are annotated in a consistent fashion. The creation of datum also automatically inserts the gloss units into an ontology which is used for search indexes and can be human curated in Module 5. The Annotations include three types, free text, enumerated grammatical tags, and enumerated datum status (checked with a consultant, to be checked, elicitation error, deleted etc). While all datum are annotated with a consistent terminology, the app is designed to adapt to a particular linguistic framework or theoretical construct, and allows researchers to choose categories for annotation.

2. Format: Data are intelligible regardless of the types of operating system

The application is entirely in Unicode and exports data in a number of plain text human readable non-proprietary formats including .json, .tex, .txt, .csv, and .xml files. The application runs on PC, Linux and Mac OS, as well as on newer platforms such as Android and ChromeBook.

3. Discovery: Data are searchable and discoverable.

Within the application, data are discoverable via keyword and string match search. The search module is capable of performing intersective or union search of the data using any of the annotation fields which are used in the corpus. External to the application the corpora which are public will show up in the OLAC linguistic search engine. ⁶

The system is designed not only for data entry, but also for data retrieval. Data stored in the application, if tagged as public by the researcher, is indexable by search engines and open to public view in principle. However authorized researchers (authors of data) have control over who can see, edit and/or export their data. Confidential data are stored encrypted in the database. The application allows two sorts of export. Export of encrypted output maintains encryption on confidential data, allowing researchers to make their public data public, without concern that confidential data or consultant information will become public. Export of decrypted output keeps the data non-proprietary and viewable outside of the app and must be protected as any other confidential data.

4. Access: Data are accessible.

⁶http://linguistlist.org/olac/index.html

http://www.language-archives.org/documents/implement.html#conventional

As the system is online and hosted by reliable servers, the data is considered accessible, unlike tape-data and noted cards.

5. Citation: Data provide citation information.

Each corpus has a unique URL which can be used for citation. In addition, corpus administrators can add an archive URL and automate their corpus archiving by creating a "Bot" which will archive their data to one of the existing, reputable language archives of the user's choosing. Data are further citable to their primary sources in that all data points are tied to a Session which contains details of data source such as consultant, publication, web page and the time the data are elicited, as well as other metadata controlled by the researcher to ensure that data quality can be traced to its source.

- 6. Preservation: Data are archived in a way that withstands long-term preservation. ⁸ Data are stored in a host server as JSON files. JSON files are human-readable text files, which have replaced XML data stores as a lighter weight, similarly featured markup language. Therefore the information content would not be lost even if the data format becomes obsolete. In addition to a host server, the data is stored in multiple locations. Researchers have a local storage on their own devices if the application is installed as Chrome extension, which could host a significant portion of (or an entire) corpus.
- 7. Rights: Rights of authors of data and of language consultants are respected.

Researchers and language consultants (authors of data) have control over who can see, edit and/or export their data. Data which are confidential, as well as consultant information which is confidential are encrypted prior to storage in the database using the US Federal approved AES encryption standard. This ensures that confidential data cannot be leaked if a corpus is shared or leaked, without the consent of the corpus' author. The terms of use of data will be well documented, and are enforced using AES encryption. As endangered language data may often have a condition of being kept private until its parties have passed away, should the administration member of the corpus die, a policy of obeying his/her heir's decisions with regard to the data shall be put in place and discussed in the terms and conditions.

6.2 Data Management

1. Plan: Plan for data management prior to data collection and revise it as necessary during the project.

⁷http://emeld.org/school/classroom/archives/index.html

⁸http://emeld.org/school/classroom/archives/finding-archives.html

What data will be generated: users can use the iField app to manage their data collection process. They can begin by adding a description to their corpus, as well as adding language consultants and collaborators. When they begin collecting data they are first prompted to enter a Session and encouraged to write the session goals. Users are even able to prepare hypotheses in the form of Data lists containing Datum "To be Checked" to prepare for their data elicitation session with their language consultant. In this way iField helps a researcher in their collection rationale, collection and analysis methods. When the users add fields to datum they can enter a help text which will pop-up over the datum field as users are entering if they need to know what are the conventions for that field, i.e. IPA transcription could be either phonological or phonetic, the corpus administrator can indicate the conventions using the help text.

Repository: data can be stored on cloud servers or on department's own server, or researcher's own device. In addition "Bots" have been included as a feature so that users can automatically archive their data in existing, reputable language data archives as described by E-MELD requirements above. Multiple storage locations means less risk of losing data.

Data organization: Data are stored in a versioned document centered storage solution referred to as "NoSQL." Data is stored in JSON format (exportable to .csv, .xml, .txt, .tex). Unlike SQL databases, NoSQL databases are designed to expand limitlessly and focuses on the ability to provide powerful search of data in context.

Data management: The iField app allows a number of permissions and data administration. All data is versioned and so mistakes can be recovered easily and discussed via the datum comment feeds.

Data description: A datum is produced according to leipzig conventions as linguist examples (transcription, morphemes, gloss, translation). Additional metadata description are configured on a corpus basis by the corpus administrator. The iField app offers a list of commonly used annotation fields to researchers which is generated by the popularity of fields among app users.

Data sharing: Data may be shared with members outside of the iField app via embedding live widgets on their department or lab webpages, or WordPress blogs. Data may also be converted as LaTeX source code to be given directly to members of their data collection team who are not using the iField app. Users can schedule "Bots" to automatically release/publish their data to external web services or language archives.

Data preservation: Data are stored locally on the users' machines if they use an Android or a Chrome App. The data is also stored on a central server of their choosing, either a server hosted in the cloud, or a department server.

Budget: The iField app is free and OpenSouce, it can be installed for free on department servers, or institutional data centres, which may require hiring professionals to maintain the database and ensure that the data is properly backed up. The iField app can also run on the cloud and departments can choose to host their data on a cloud hosting provider. The costs usually range between \$1 and \$10 per month for 1-100 gigabytes of data/data transfer.

2. Collect: Data are collected in such a way to ensure future usability.⁹

The application provides a template for data entry which is flexible and customizable. The four core data fields (transcription, morpheme segmentation, gloss, translation) are usually required for language data and are set as defaults on the template. Researchers can add extra fields (e.g. IPA transcription, semantic context of utterance) relevant to their research. Contents of data fields (default and additional) can be used in a fine grained way to search, and researchers can organize data using the information contained in the fields. The application is non-proprietary and data collected are exportable in various formats (.csv, .xml, .txt, and .tex) to ensure that data are sharable and usable outside the application.

When the user exports their corpus a readme.txt is generated which describes the datum annotation fields (using the conventions help text which the users can customize). In this way, when they share their data they can attach the readme.txt to allow others to know what their conventions were when building their corpus.

3. Assure: The quality of data is assured through checks and inspections.

Datum state (Checked, To be checked, Elicitation Error) and datum comment feeds enables researchers to check, discuss and inspect the data quality. Each datum is also time stamped when entered and modified so that the data history is trackable. Users may also make "Bots" which crawl their data and ensure that it is consistent.

4. Describe: Data are accurately and thoroughly described using the appropriate metadata standard.

Data will be described at three levels in the application:

 Corpus: A corpus is a dataset created for a single language/dialect and for a particular purpose. A corpus has a title and a description that will include general information about the corpus such as what the dataset is about, who contributes to the corpus and the purpose of creating the corpus.

⁹While E-MELD recommends Unicode, DataOne recommends plain text ascii characters for variable names, file names, and data. As ascii is inappropriate for linguistic data we will be following E-MELDs recommendation to ensure that IPA, diacritics, semantic calculations and other are maintained in our users data.

- Session: Each datum in a corpus is tied to a Session which includes metadata such as language, dialect, researcher's name, consultant's code and the goal of the session (e.g. eliciting scope ambiguity).
- Datum: Data fields and data tags serve for parameters/categories to describe data. The application is not restricted to a particular theoretical construct so that researchers can choose and describe categories appropriate to their research.
- 5. Preserve: Data are submitted to an appropriate long-term archive.

Data and associated metadata are stored in a host server for long-term archival purposes. Confidential data and information are stored encrypted using the US Federal approved AES encryption standard to ensure that confidential data cannot be leaked if a corpus is shared or leaked, without the consent of the corpus' author. (See also Data Documentation, Items 1, 5, 6 & 7.)

6. Discover: Data are located and obtained.

The application is accessible through internet search, and data tagged for public view will be discoverable within the application via keyword search. Data permitted for export will be exportable to CSV, text or LaTex formats. (See also 6.1 Data Documentation, Items 3 & 4.)

7. Integrate: Data from disparate sources are combined into one consistent data set.

Sync function helps integrate data collected by multiple researchers into one corpus. Import/export functions enables integration of data from Filemaker Pro (.csv) and ELAN (.xml), making data integrated with programs researchers commonly use to store their data. Data can be made consistent by the creation of "Bots" who crawl the corpus and automate changes.

8. Analyze: Data are analyzed.

The four core data fields include morpheme-segmentation and glossing lines, hence the data will contain primary linguistic analysis at the time of the entry to the database. Customizable data entry fields and data tags, as well as the data list functionality allows researchers to organize data ready for further analysis.

A iField Modules

In this section we discuss in detail the timeline and budget for each of the modules that make up the iField application. The modules are grouped into core modules and dream modules which will be made when the budget becomes available.

A.1 Core Modules

The project has three core modules which must be developed prior to additional modules. These are the *collaboration*, *corpus* and *lexicon* modules, briefly outlined in terms of functionality and timeline in the following sections. Implementation of the three core modules began on April 20th 2012. The three core modules will be launched on August 1st at CAML in Patzun Guatemala. We estimate the three core modules and the software architecture to take 9.2 weeks to complete with three software developers, and cost roughly \$23,800 before taxes. We will have its final time and costs on August 3rd 2012.

A.1.1 Collaboration Module

The collaboration module shown in Table 2 deals with users, teams, permissions, user authentication, as well as allowing users to see changes, modifications, and data verification in the form of an "Activity Feed." An activity feed is a common design pattern which allows users to learn form other users how to use the software, what are popular functions other users are completing in addition to being a central location to update oneself on the activity in the corpus. The system will have special users called "bot" which are scripts or programs which power users can write in Javascript which will crawl their corpus and clean/automate batch actions.

We estimate the total cost of the collaboration module to be around \$5,700 before taxes. The implementation of the collaboration module was begun on April 20th 2012. We will have its final costs on August 3rd 2012.

| Iteration | Hours | Technology |
|-------------------------------------|-------|----------------------|
| Software Architecture Design | 20 | Software Engineering |
| Collaboration API on central server | 30 | Software Engineering |
| Users Model | 15 | Javascript |
| consultant Model | 15 | Javascript |
| Team Model | 15 | Javascript |
| Bot Model | 15 | Javascript |
| User Activity Model | 8 | Javascript |
| Team Feed Widget | 25 | HTML5 |
| User list item Widget | 16 | HTML5 |
| Team Preferences Widget | 8 | HTML5 |
| User Profile Widget | 8 | HTML5 |
| User Tests | 30 | Javascript |
| consultant Tests | 30 | Javascript |
| Team Tests | 30 | Javascript |
| Android Deployment | 15 | Java |
| Chrome Extension Deployment | 20 | Javascript |
| Heroku Deployment | 5 | Integration |

Table 2: The Collaboration Module is used to permit collaboration with teams and users.

A.1.2 Corpus Module

The corpus module shown in Table 3 deals with storing confidential data in the AES US Federal encryption standard, replicating the corpus locally on the users' computers, as well as on a central server hosted either in the cloud, or on a linguistic department's server. The corpus module contains all of the core logic, including data fields, session fields, as well as data lists which are used to curate lists of data for handouts or publication either in linguistic articles or as web widgets embedded in external websites such as linguistic department blogs, or project pages. The corpus module is also where search of datum is implemented.

We estimate the total cost of the corpus module to be around \$9,200 before taxes. The implementation of the corpus module was begun on April 20th 2012. We will know its final costs on August 3rd 2012.

| Iteration | Hours | Technology |
|--|-------|----------------------|
| Software Architecture Design | 20 | Software Engineering |
| Corpus API on corpus server | 20 | Software Engineering |
| Corpus Model | 8 | Javascript |
| Session Model | 8 | Javascript |
| Datum Model | 8 | Javascript |
| Datum status model | 8 | Javascript |
| DataList Model | 8 | Javascript |
| Confidential datum encrypter | 16 | Javascript |
| Audio upload and play logic | 8 | Javascript |
| Corpus DB implementation on Android | 20 | Java |
| Corpus DB implementation on Chrome | 20 | Javascript |
| Corpus DB implementation on Node.js | 20 | Javascript |
| Corpus versioning Logic | 25 | Javascript |
| Corpus Preferences Widget | 6 | HTML5 |
| Session Preferences Widget | 6 | HTML5 |
| Datum Preferences Widget | 20 | HTML5 |
| Datum Status Preferences Widget | 16 | HTML5 |
| DataList Preferences Widget | 6 | HTML5 |
| Corpus sync logic | 10 | Javascript |
| Corpus diff Widget (to show before sync) | 10 | HTML5 |
| Insert Unicode Character Widget | 10 | HTML5 |
| Corpus Details Widget | 6 | HTML5 |
| Session Details Widget | 6 | HTML5 |
| Datum Details Widget | 20 | Javascript |
| DataList Widget | 30 | Javascript |
| Global Search logic | 30 | Javascript |
| Power Search logic | 80 | Javascript |
| Corpus Tests | 5 | Javascript |
| Session Tests | 10 | Javascript |
| Datum Tests | 10 | Javascript |
| Datum Status Tests | 10 | Javascript |
| DataList Tests | 20 | Javascript |
| Heroku Deployment | 5 | Integration |

Table 3: The Corpus Module is used to sync, share, edit, tag, categorize and open data.

A.1.3 Lexicon Module

The lexicon module show in Table 4 is used for search. It is loosely modeled after a mental lexicon, in a network of morphemes, allomorphs, orthographie(s), glosses and translations. It is not a dictionary but rather a connected graph similar to theoretical models of mental lexicons (for a dictionary see the Dictionary Module in § A.2.3). As a connected graph it is the most useful structure to index datum and search for datum real time while data entry is happening.

We estimate the total cost of the lexicon module to be around \$7,300 before taxes. The implementation of the lexicon module was begun on April 20th 2012. We will know its final costs on August 3rd 2012.

| Iteration | Hours | Technology |
|---|-------|----------------------|
| Software Architecture Design | 20 | Software Engineering |
| Lexicon API on Lexicon server | 20 | Software Engineering |
| Lexicon Model | 6 | Javascript |
| Morpheme Model | 6 | Javascript |
| Allomorph Model | 6 | Javascript |
| Gloss Model | 6 | Javascript |
| Orthography Model | 16 | Javascript |
| Lexicon DB implementation on Android | 20 | Java |
| Lexicon DB implementation on Chrome | 20 | Javascript |
| Lexicon DB implementation on Node.js | 20 | Javascript |
| Lexicon versioning Logic | 10 | Javascript |
| Lexicon Preferences Widget | 6 | HTML5 |
| Morpheme Tests | 6 | Javascript |
| Allomorph Tests | 6 | Javascript |
| Gloss Tests | 6 | Javascript |
| Orthography Tests | 8 | Javascript |
| Lexicon Analysis Widget | 10 | HTML5 |
| Lexicon sync logic | 10 | Javascript |
| Lexicon diff Widget (to show before sync) | 10 | HTML5 |
| Lexicon Details Widget | 6 | HTML5 |
| Lexicon Tests | 12 | Javascript |
| Heroku Deployment | 5 | Integration |

Table 4: The Lexicon Module is used to house, and read lexicon entries to be used for the glosser.

A.2 "Dream" Modules

The "dream" modules allow for more features that will save reachers time in their data entry and data anysis, but which are not necessary for simply entering and transcribing data. These modules are on hold until budget becomes available.

A.2.1 Phonological Search Module

The phonological search module shown in Table 5 is used to search for phonological features in context. It consists of a phonology ontology (a general purpose feature geometry/articulatory feature ontology, or a customized ontology created by the users for their language of interest) which lets the user search for potential minimal pairs or phonological features in context to verify with consultants or to prepare psycholinguistic experiments. The phonological search module is used by the *phonetic aligner* module to generate a "dictionary.txt" file containing orthography and phones which is used by the phonetic aligner module.

We estimate the cost of the phonological search module to be roughly \$2,200 before taxes. The Phonological search, or the phonetic aligner module is currently schedule to begin in September 2012, we haven't yet decided which is a priority.

| Iteration | Hours | Technology |
|--|-------|------------|
| Phonology Ontology for phonological search | 60 | Java |
| Lexicon Visualization Widget | 40 | Javascript |
| Lexicon Editing Widget | 20 | Javascript |

Table 5: This module is a subportion of the Lexicon Module.

A.2.2 Phonetic Aligner Module

The phonetic aligner module show in Table 6 makes it possible to use attached audio recordings and the orthographic/utterance lines of datum to create a dictionary unique to the corpus' language, and to run the ProsodyLab Aligner, a machine learning algorithm which uses Hidden Markov Models to predict boundaries between phones and creates a Praat TextGrid with estimated phone boundaries, saving hours of boundary tagging. We also have factored in a bit of sound editing to facilitate the process of creating audio files which correspond closely to the utterance line in the datum's fields.

We estimate the cost of the phonetic aligner module to be roughly \$9,800 before taxes. The phonetic aligner or the phonological search module is currently scheduled to begin in September 2012, we haven't yet decided which is a priority.

| Iteration | Hours | Technology |
|---------------------------------------|-------|----------------------|
| Software Architecture Design | 10 | Software Engineering |
| Aligner API on Lexicon server | 10 | Software Engineering |
| Dictionary Model | 15 | Javascript |
| Aligner DB implementation | 80 | Integration |
| Aligner Machine Learning Integration | 80 | Java |
| Aligner Preferences Widget | 8 | HTML5 |
| Audio Waveform Visualization logic | 30 | Javascript |
| Audio Spectrogram Visualization logic | ? | Javascript |
| Transcription User Interface | 80 | HTML5 |
| TextGrid export | 20 | Javascript |
| Dialect Profile Widget | 8 | HTML5 |
| Orthography Tests | 30 | Javascript |
| Training Tests | 30 | Java |
| Heroku Deployment | 5 | Integration |

Table 6: The Aligner Module is used to create TextGrids from the orthography and the audio files, used for prosody and phonetic analysis.

A.2.3 Dictionary Module

The dictionary module shown in Table 7is used to crawl the corpus to gather citations and examples to build a Wiktionary dictionary for the corpus' language, as required by some grants which focus on endangered/minority languages. The dictionary module is quite complex and the central component of many online fieldlinguistics databases.

We estimate the dictionary module to cost roughly \$ 18,800 before taxes. The dictionary module is not currently scheduled until we have more users who require its functionality.

| Iteration | Hours | Technology |
|------------------------------------|-------|----------------------|
| Software Architecture Design | 40 | Software Engineering |
| Dictionary API on Lexicon server | 30 | Software Engineering |
| Semantic Model | 60 | Javascript |
| Syntactic Model | 60 | Javascript |
| Citation Model | 60 | Javascript |
| Synonyms Model | 60 | Javascript |
| Dictionary DB implementation | 80 | Integration |
| Dictionary Training Logic | 80 | Java |
| Web Spider Training Logic | 100 | Java |
| Dictionary Preferences Widget | 8 | HTML5 |
| Dictionary WordNet Analysis Widget | 120 | HTML6 |
| Dialect Profile Widget | 8 | HTML5 |
| Semantic Tests | 30 | Javascript |
| Syntactic Tests | 30 | Javascript |
| Citation Tests | 30 | Javascript |
| Synonyms Tests | 30 | Javascript |
| Spider Tests | 30 | Java |
| Training Tests | 30 | Java |
| Heroku Deployment | 5 | Integration |

Table 7: The Dictionary Module is used to share the lexicon in the form of a WordNet/Wiktionary dictionary with the language community as required by some grants.

A.2.4 Glosser Module

The glosser module show in Table 8 is designed to make the app "smarter" and to reduce the amount of time spent entering predictable information such as glosses. The glosser can use any existing morphological analysis tool to break down the utterance/orthography line into a probable morphological segmentation using known morphemes in the lexicon, and enters a probable gloss for the morphemes in the glossing line. The glosser module is designed to reduce redundant data entry, not to provide accurate glosses. It is of course crucial that predicted morpheme segmentation and glosses be corrected by users, particularly in languages where morphemes are ambiguous, or where morphemes are short and hence there are more ambiguous morpheme segmentations for words.

We estimate the glosser module to cost roughly \$17,800. The glosser module is not currently scheduled until we have more users who require its functionality.

| Iteration | Hours | Technology |
|--------------------------------|-------|----------------------|
| Software Architecture Design | 40 | Software Engineering |
| Glosser API on Lexicon server | 30 | Software Engineering |
| Morpheme Model | 15 | Javascript |
| Allomorph Model | 15 | Javascript |
| Gloss Model | 15 | Javascript |
| Orthography Model | 30 | Javascript |
| Glosser DB implementation | 80 | Integration |
| Glosser Prediction Logic | 80 | Java |
| Glosser Machine Learning Logic | 80 | Java |
| Glosser Training Logic | 80 | Java |
| Web Spider Training Logic | 80 | Java |
| Glosser Preferences Widget | 8 | HTML5 |
| Morphological Analysis Widget | 40 | HTML6 |
| Dialect Profile Widget | 8 | HTML5 |
| Morpheme Tests | 30 | Javascript |
| Allomorph Tests | 30 | Javascript |
| Gloss Tests | 30 | Javascript |
| Orthography Tests | 30 | Javascript |
| Spider Tests | 30 | Java |
| Training Tests | 30 | Java |
| Heroku Deployment | 5 | Integration |

Table 8: The Glosser Module is used to automatically gloss datum, smarter than the standard lexicon.

A.2.5 Web Spider Module

The Web Spider module shown in Table 9 is a non-crucial module which allows researchers with limited access to consultants to gather data using blogs or forums. The web spider also provides an additional source of context to assist consultants in providing grammaticality judgements, as well as additional contexts where morphemes appear. For example, "ke" is largely considered a postposition by Urdu-consultants with explicit knowledge, however it is often produced as other functional morphemes in everyday spoken contexts. Blog/forum data can be used to discover these additional contexts.

The Web Spider module is currently not a scheduled module. It will not become a priority until we have more users who require its functionality.

| Iteration | Hours | Technology |
|-----------------------------|-------|------------|
| Corpus Visualization Widget | 40 | HTML5 |
| Web Spider Training Logic | 60 | Java |

Table 9: The Spider module allows for collection and annotation of E-Language data.

A.2.6 User Support & Maintenance

The user support & maintenance module shown in Table 10 includes general support, new features, upgrades, and maintenance. User support includes answering user's emails, helping system administrators install the app and its various server apps on their department server, creating video tutorials, screen casts and sample data to help users figure out the application and begin using it immediately, as well as discover some of its advanced/unexpected features.

We estimate the user support & maintenance module to cost roughly \$30,200 if we include unlimited support, or \$13,000 if we do not include email and tech support but only new features, maintenance, video tutorials and user guides.

| Iteration | Hours | Technology |
|---|-------|-------------------|
| Sample data | 30 | Linguistics |
| Integrate software with sample data | 30 | Javascript |
| Screencasts on how to use the app(s) | 24 | Quicktime/YouTube |
| Screencasts on how to modify the code | 40 | Quicktime/YouTube |
| Server maintenance | 20 | Integration |
| Monitor server costs and develop pricing plan | 100 | Business |
| Answer user emails | 250 | Support |
| Read twitter feeds and facebook channels | 100 | Support |
| Help IT/developers install and set up | | |
| the server on their department servers | 50 | Support |
| Upgrade javascript/android libraries | 40 | Javascript |
| Amazon EC2 server CPU+Memory+Bandwidth | | Server |
| Release new versions | 160 | Javascript |

Table 10: User Support includes 1 year of product support and project growth. It is needed to make a longterm viable and useful tool that field linguists can adopt for their labs or for their field methods courses.