# 24.903 Language & Structure III: Semantics and Pragmatics Spring 2003, 2-151, MW 1-2.30 April 9, 2003

# 1 Quantifiers Denotations

- (1) a. a/the/some/every/no/... student
  - b. the/some/no/many/most/few/more than three/atmost two/... student
  - c. only John

#### What do quantifiers denote?

- (2) a. Only John
  - b. a/some student
  - c. every student
  - d. no student

 $[only John] \neq [John]$ 

## 2 Entailment Patterns

## 2.1 Subset to Superset

- (3) a. John came yesterday morning.
  - b. John came yesterday.
- (4) a. At most one letter came yesterday morning.
  - b. At most one letter came yesterday.
- (5) a.  $\alpha VP_1$ .
  - b.  $\alpha VP_2$ .

$$(\llbracket VP_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket VP_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \rrbracket)$$

- If  $\|\alpha\| \in D_e$ , then (5b) **follows** from (5a).
- If  $[\![\alpha]\!] \notin D_e$ , then (5b) may or may not from (5a).

#### 2.2 Contradiction

- (6) a. Stephin was born in Boston and Stephin was born outside Boston.
  - b. More than two linguists were born in Boston and more than two linguists were born outside Boston.
- (7)  $\alpha VP_1$  and  $\alpha VP_2$ .
  - a. If  $[\![VP_1]\!] \cap [\![VP_2]\!] = \phi$  and  $[\![\alpha]\!] \in D_e$ , then (7) is a contradiction.
  - b. If  $\llbracket VP_1 \rrbracket \cap \llbracket VP_2 \rrbracket = \phi$  and  $\llbracket \alpha \rrbracket \notin D_e$ . then (7) may or may not be a **contradiction**.

2

#### 2.3 Excluded Middle

- (8) a. I am over 30 years old, or I am under 40 years old.
  - Every person in this room is over 30 years old, or every person in this room is under 40 years old.
- (9)  $\alpha VP_1$  or  $\alpha VP_2$ .
  - a. If  $[VP_1] \cup [VP_2] = D_e$  and  $[\alpha] \in D_e$ , then (9) is a tautology.
  - b. If  $||VP_1|| \cup ||VP_2|| = D_e$  and  $||\alpha|| \notin D_e$ , then (9) may or may not be a **tautology**.

# 3 Ambiguity and Structural Reordering

## 3.1 Effects of Structural Reordering

Certain structural reorderings do not affect the truth conditions of DP's that denote individuals, but may affect the truth conditions of other DP's.

- (10) Topicalization
  - a. I answered Problem 4.
  - b. Problem 4, I answered.
- (11) a. Buffy loves Angel.
  - b. Angel is such that Buffy loves him.
  - c. Buffy is such that she loves Angel.
- (12) Topicaliztion
  - a. Almost everybody answered at least one question.
  - b. At least one question, everybody answered.
- (13) a. Nobody saw more than one vampire.
  - b. More than one vampire is such that nobody saw him.
  - c. Nobody is such that he or she saw more than one vampire.

## 3.2 Ambiguity

Quantificational DP's display certain ambiguities that DP's that denote individuals do not

- (14) a. It didn't snow on Christmas Day.
  - b. It didn't snow on more than two of these days.

# 4 Quantifiers as Sets of Individuals?

- (15) a. (Ann left promptly.; Ann left.)
  - b. (Everyone left promptly.; Everyone left.)
  - c. (Someone left promptly.; Someone left.)
  - d. (Most people left promptly.; Most people left.)
  - e. (No one left promptly.; No one left.)
  - f. (Less than two people left promptly.; Less than two people left.)

#### Possible Denotations:

- (16) a.  $[Ann] = \{Ann\}$ 
  - b.  $[Everyone] = D_e$
  - c.  $[No one] = \phi$

#### Problems:

- (17) a. some, most
  - b. no. few. less than two
- $\rightarrow$  Overall, a bad idea.

# 5 Generalized Quantifiers

## 5.1 Everything and Nothing

• Instead of VP applying to the subject, the subject applies to the VP.

(18) a. 
$$[nothing] = \lambda f \in D_{et} \neg \exists x \in D_e. [f(x) = 1]$$

**b.** 
$$[[everything]] = \lambda f \in D_{et}. \forall x \in D_{e}. [f(x) = 1]$$

c. 
$$[something] = \lambda f \in D_{et}.\exists x \in D_{e}.[f(x) = 1]$$

Type of [nothing], [everything], [something] is (et)t.

Handling the absent inference patterns:

- (19) a. Subset to Superset
  - b. Contradiction
  - c. Excluded Middle

#### 5.2 Some, Every, and No

(20) a. 
$$\|\mathbf{no}\| = \lambda f \in D_{et}. |\lambda g \in D_{et}. \neg \exists x \in D_{e}. |f(x) = g(x) = 1|$$

**b.** 
$$[some] = \lambda f \in D_{et}.[\lambda g \in D_{et}.\exists x \in D_{e}.[f(x) = g(x) = 1]]$$

c. 
$$\|\mathbf{every}\| = \lambda f \in D_{et}. |\lambda g \in D_{et}. \forall x \in D_{e}. |f(x) \to g(x)|$$

Type of [no], [every], [some] is (et)(et)t.

## 6 Quantifiers as Relations between Sets

- (21) For any  $A, B \subseteq D$ :
  - a.  $(A, B) \in R_{every}$  iff  $A \subseteq B$
  - **b.**  $(A,B) \in R_{some} \text{ iff } A \cap B \neq \phi$
  - c.  $(A, B) \in R_{ng}$  iff  $A \cap B = \phi$
  - **d.**  $(A, B) \in R_{atleast_2}$  iff  $A \cap B \ge 2$
  - e.  $(A, B) \in R_{most}$  iff  $|A \cap B| > |A B|$
- This relational meaning can be converted to an equivalent functional meaning.

### 6.1 Formal properties of Quantifiers

- (22) a. Reflexivity
  - b. Irreflexivity
  - c. Symmetry
  - d. Antisymmetry
  - e. Transitivity
  - f. Conservativity
  - g. Montonicity

## 6.2 Conservativity

- (23)  $\delta$  is conservative iff for all  $A, B: (A, B) \in R_{\delta}$  iff  $(A, A \cap B) \in R_{\delta}$ .
- Conservativity is a putative universal:
- (24) a. All children sing.  $\iff$  All children are children that sing.
  - b. Most children sing.  $\iff$  Most children are children that sing.
  - c. No children sing.  $\iff$  No children are children that sing.

#### Some exceptions:

- (25) only:
  - a. Only babies cry.
  - b. Only babies are babies that cry.
- (26) a. Many Scandinavians have won the Nobel Prize.
  - b. Many Scandinavians are Scandinavians who have won the Nobel Prize.

## 6.3 Extensionality

• Another putative universal.

Intuition: For the truth of Q(A)(B), all that matters is the contents of A and B. The rest of the world is irrelevant.

(27) Extension:

For all  $A, B \subseteq U$ : if  $Q_U(A, B)$  and  $U \subseteq U'$  then  $Q_{U'}(A, B)$ .

• only is Extensional.

Putative counterexample:

(28) There are many native speakers of Dutch.

## 6.4 Monotonicity

- (29) a.  $\delta$  is left upward monotone: for all A,B,C: if  $A\subseteq B$  and  $(A,C)\in R_{\delta}$  then  $(B,C)\in R_{\delta}.$ 
  - b.  $\delta$  is left downward monotone: for all A,B,C: if  $A\subseteq B$  and  $(B,C)\in R_{\delta}$  then  $(A,C)\in R_{\delta}$ .
  - c.  $\delta$  is right upward monotone: for all A,B,C: if  $A\subseteq B$  and  $(C,A)\in R_{\delta}$  then  $(C,B)\in R_{\delta}$ .
  - d.  $\delta$  is right downward monotone: for all A,B,C: if  $A\subseteq B$  and  $(C,B)\in R_{\delta}$  then  $(C,A)\in R_{\delta}$ .
- Role in licensing the occurrence of Negative Polarity Items (NPIs) like any, ever etc.

## 6.5 Cardinal vs. Proportional Quantifiers

- (30) Q(A)(B)
  - a. Q is cardinal if the truth conditions of Q(A)(B) are based on the extension of  $A\cap B$  alone.
  - b. Q is **proportional** if the truth conditions of Q(A)(B) depend upon the extension of A-B.

Role in Existential Sentences.

Verification Procedures for Quantifers

A difference between some and no.