

It is totally up to your Group as to how you want to administer your (Inland ENC) Domain. The only thing to be aware of, particularly in the case of DCB, is that proposals cannot be completed until all members of the DCB have reached agreement (the Registry interface will not allow this to happen otherwise); and in terms of proposals the more SOs that you have the more administrative overhead you will need to think of applying in regard to managing proposals. Again, this is totally up to you.

One thing worth pointing out, however. From my experience as the Register Manager so far it appears that the best option is to have just a single representative as the DCB, and when a proposal is submitted by a SO to the Domain and it is forwarded to the DCB by the Register Manager, the proposal is "discussed" outside the Registry management process by relevant appointed experts within your Domain Group (IEHG). Then a single approve/reject decision is submitted by the appointed DCB representative based on the decision made by this group. This would be consistent with S-99 and with a proposal that has been submitted to the S-100 WG2 meeting to introduce a "true" Concept Register, for which the DCB will consist of only a single member of each of the "Domain" Data Dictionaries. It also gives you a level of flexibility in terms of you controlling the composition, membership and discussion/approval process of your "expert group" outside the Registry process.

Please note, as stated in my previous email, once you have determined who will act as DCB and SO, they will need to apply themselves through the "Join" tab on the Registry Home page.

I hope this helps.
Best Regards,
Jeff.

Jeff Wootton

Technical Standards Support Officer

Organisation hydrographique internationale / International Hydrographic Organisation