We will use the notation  $X \sim \mathcal{B}(n, p)$  to say X is binomially distributed with n trials and chance of success p (on each trial).

- **3.25:** (a): Yes. Each trial is independent and has the same probability of success (and each trial will either be a success or failure).
  - **(b):** Let  $X \sim \mathcal{B}(10, 0.697)$ . We are asked to find the probability P(X = 6).

$$P(X = 6) = {10 \choose 6} (0.697)^6 (1 - 0.697)^4$$
$$= 210 \times 0.697^6 \times 0.303^4$$
$$= \boxed{0.203}$$

(c): This is the same thing as part (b), just worded differently. Each child either did or didn't. If 6 did, then 4 didn't.

(d): Let  $X \sim \mathcal{B}(n = 5, p = 0.697)$ . We can calculate the entire probability mass function.

k	P(X = k) before simplification	P(X=k)
0	$\binom{5}{0}$ 0.697 $^0 \times 0.303^5$	0.00255395
1	$\binom{5}{1}$ 0.697 $^0 \times 0.303^5$	0.02937469
2	$\binom{5}{2}$ 0.697 <sup>2</sup> × 0.303 <sup>3</sup>	0.13514297
3	$\binom{5}{3}$ 0.697 <sup>3</sup> × 0.303 <sup>2</sup>	0.31087342
4	$\binom{5}{4}$ 0.697 <sup>4</sup> × 0.303 <sup>1</sup>	0.35755573
5	$\binom{5}{5}$ 0.697 <sup>5</sup> × 0.303 <sup>0</sup>	0.16449924

We are asked to determine the probability  $P(X \le 2)$ .

$$P(X \le 2) = P(X = 0) + P(X = 1) + P(X = 2)$$

$$= 0.00255 + 0.02937 + 0.13514$$

$$\approx \boxed{0.167}$$

Also, if you are interested... R will evaluate this with a single command:

Also, we can use dbinom(k,n,p) to get the simple probabilities.

> dbinom(seq(0,5), 5, 0.697)

[1] 0.00255395 0.02937469 0.13514297 0.31087342 0.35755573 0.16449924 > pbinom(2, 5, 0.697)

[1] 0.1670716

(e): (Same distribution as (d).) It is easiest to recognize the complement of "at least 1" is "none".

$$P(X \ge 1) = 1 - P(X = 0)$$
$$= 1 - 0.00255$$
$$= \boxed{0.99745}$$

3.26: (a): Yes. Each trial will be "success" or "fail", and each trial has the same probability of "success".

Answers

**(b):** Let  $X \sim \mathcal{B}(100, 0.90)$ . We are asked to calculate the probability P(X = 97).

$$P(X = 97) = {100 \choose 97} (0.9)^{97} (0.1)^3$$
$$= 161700 \times 0.9^{97} \times 0.1^3$$
$$= \boxed{0.005891602}$$

We could also use R.

> dbinom(97,100,0.9)
[1] 0.005891602

(c): This is the same question as (b).

0.00589

(d): Let  $Y \sim \mathcal{B}(10, 0.9)$ , where Y is the number of people who had chickenpox from a random sample of 10 Americans. We recognize "at least one" as the complement of "none".

$$P(Y = 0) = {10 \choose 0} (0.9)^{0} (0.1)^{10}$$
$$= 0.000000001$$

We use the complement rule.

$$P(Y \ge 1) = 1 - P(Y = 0)$$

$$= 1 - 0.000000001$$

$$= 0.9999999999$$

$$\approx \boxed{1}$$

(e): We continue to let  $Y \sim \mathcal{B}(10, 0.9)$ . We rephrase the question in terms of number of people who had chickenpox. We want to find the probability that at least 7 Americans had chickenpox.

$$P(Y \ge 7) = P(Y = 7) + P(Y = 8) + P(Y = 9) + P(Y = 10)$$

$$= \binom{10}{7} (0.9)^7 (0.1)^3 + \binom{10}{8} (0.9)^8 (0.1)^2 + \binom{10}{9} (0.9)^9 (0.1)^1 + \binom{10}{10} (0.9)^{10} (0.1)^0$$

$$\approx \boxed{0.9872}$$

- **3.27:** Let  $X \sim \mathcal{B}(50, 0.70)$ . As a reminder, that means n = 50 and p = 0.7.
  - (a): We can calculate the mean and standard deviation of X using the formulas for binomial distributions.

$$\mu = np = 50 \times 0.70 = \boxed{35}$$

$$\sigma = \sqrt{np(1-p)} = \sqrt{(50)(0.7)(0.3)} = \boxed{3.24}$$

**(b):** Well, 45 would have a pretty high *z* score. Remember, we can often approximate a binomial distribution with a normal distribution.

$$z = \frac{45 - 35}{3.24} = 3.09$$

Yeah. If |z| > 2, we consider it unusual, as it happens less than 5% of the time due to chance. If |z| > 3, we consider it rare, as it happens less than 0.3% of the time due to chance.

(c): Hmm... we can do this a few ways. The first is to use R.

> 1 - pbinom(44, 50, 0.7)
[1] 0.0007228617
> sum(dbinom(seq(45,50), 50, 0.7))
[1] 0.0007228617

We can do this by hand...

k	P(X = k) before simplification	P(X=k)
:	i i	:
45	$\binom{50}{45}(0.7)^{45}(0.3)^5$	0.000551
46	$\binom{50}{46}(0.7)^{46}(0.3)^4$	0.000140
47	$\binom{50}{47}(0.7)^{47}(0.3)^3$	0.000028
48	$\binom{50}{48}(0.7)^{48}(0.3)^2$	0.000004
49	$\binom{50}{49}(0.7)^{49}(0.3)^1$	0.000000
50	$\binom{50}{50}(0.7)^{50}(0.3)^0$	0.000000

We sum the probabilities to get  $P(X \ge 45) = 0.00072$ .

As a third technique, we can use the **normal approximation** with **continuity correction**. Let  $Y \sim \mathcal{N}(35, 3.24)$ .

$$P(X \ge 45) \approx P(Y \ge 44.5)$$

$$= 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{44.5 - 35}{3.24}\right)$$

$$= 0.00168$$

But, notice the normal approximation did not work out great... it was off by a factor of more than 2. **The normal approximation does not work well in the tails**. However, all three techniques agree that the probability is quite low.

**3.28:** (a): Let  $X \sim \mathcal{B}(120, 0.9)$ . To determine the mean and standard deviation, we use the formulas for binomial distributions.

Answers

$$\mu = np = (120)(0.9) = 108$$

$$\sigma = \sqrt{np(1-p)} = \sqrt{(120)(0.9)(0.1)} = 3.286$$

We expect about 108 with a standard deviation of 3.28 people to have had chickenpox.

**(b):** 105 is within 1 standard deviation of 108.

$$z = \frac{105 - 108}{3.28} = -0.914$$

So, this does not seem unusual.

(c): We are asked to calculate  $P(X \le 105)$ . This is easy with a computer.

To do it by hand, we will use a normal approximation with the continuity correction. Let  $Y \sim \mathcal{N}(108, 3.286)$ .

$$P(X \le 105) \approx P(Y \le 105.5)$$

$$= \Phi\left(\frac{105.5 - 108}{3.286}\right)$$

$$= 0.2233872$$

So, either way we get about 22% chance, which agrees with us not being surprised in part (b).

**3.29:** Let  $X \sim \mathcal{B}(2500, 0.7)$ , where X represents the number of students who accept. We will definitely use a normal approximation here. In fact, we are dealing with such a large n we don't even need to make a continuity correction.

We first calculate the mean and standard deviation using the formulas for binomial distributions.

$$\mu = np = 2500 \times 0.7 = 1750$$

$$\sigma = \sqrt{np(1-p)} = \sqrt{2500(0.7)(0.3)} = 22.9$$

Now, let  $Y \sim \mathcal{N}(1750, 22.9)$ . The normal approximation (with continuity correction) can be used.

$$P(X > 1786) \approx P(Y > 1786.5)$$

$$= 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{1786.5 - 1750}{22.9}\right)$$

$$= 0.056$$

Let's use R to calculate it more exactly.

Wow, in this case the normal approximation did a great job!

**3.30:** Let  $X \sim \mathcal{B}(15000, 0.09)$ . Remember, that means n = 15000 and p = 0.09. We want to calculate the probability  $P(X \ge 1500)$ . Using a computer, we can do that easily.

Let's also use a normal approximation. We first calculate the mean and standard deviation.

$$\mu = np = (15000)(0.09) = 1350$$

$$\sigma = \sqrt{np(1-p)} = \sqrt{(15000)(0.09)(0.91)} = 35.04996$$

Now we define a continuous random variable  $Y \sim \mathcal{N}(1350, 35)$ , and we use the normal approximation.

$$P(X \ge 1500) \approx P(Y > 1499.5)$$

$$= 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{1499.5 - 1350}{35}\right)$$

$$= 0.0000097$$

We don't expect the normal approximation to work in the tails. But we know the chance is low!