

Sampling and Sampling Distributions Part I

EDP 613

Week 7

Two Types of Sampling



Nonprobability

Probability

Nonprobability Sampling



- Probability is usually unknown
- Does not rely on numerical data
- Inability to generalize to any populous

Notions



- *You get what you get and you don't throw a fit* method
- Used when you want to say something about a discrete phenomena, a few select cases (people, places, objects, etc)

General Framework



- Nonrandom selection
- Sampling bias is present, and samples are not considered representative of the populations from which they were drawn

Primary Types

- Convenience
- Purposive
- Quota
- Snowball



Convenience Sampling

- Cases are selected based on their availability to the researcher
- Also called **haphazard** or **accidental** sampling
- Ideal for: **Exploratory or preliminary research** when trying to gain an initial sense of attitudes or an idea about a new setting

Purposive Sampling

- Sample elements are selected based on
 - elective criteria that define a unique group
 - targeting knowledgeable individuals (aka *key informants*)
- Ideal for: *Case Study Research*
- Sampling continues until
 - Data are comprehensive: **Completeness**
 - Little or no new knowledge is added: **Saturation**

Snowball Sampling



- Select one member of a population, and after speaking to him/her ask that person to identify others in the population
- Ideal for: *hard to reach populations* (e.g., criminals, homeless, prostitutes, etc.)
- Targeted incentives may be used to ensure diversity in the sample

Quota Sampling



- Available cases are selected according to defined subgroups exhibit certain characteristics of interest
- A slight improvement over those who are simply available since sample proportions match the population on a particular feature
- The sample is not representative of the population by design

Why should I even care?



Because:

- Any choice will limit the type of utilizable quantitative study
- Not everything can be explained quantitatively
- Some studies mandate mixed methods!

Probability Sampling



- Based solely on the idea that a population can be represented by a subset of it given some error: **Random selection!**
 - Example: $45\% \pm 3\%$ agree with...
- Ability to generalize to a certain populous
- Inability to describe individual phenomena at any great depth

Notions

- *You must have enough whatever* method
- Used when you want to say something about a large population (people, places, objects, etc)



General Framework



- Random selection.
- Sampling bias is minimal, and samples are considered representative of the populations from which they were drawn

Primary Types


- **Census**
- **Simple Random Sample (SRS)**
- **Systematic**
- **Stratified**
- **Cluster**



Census



- An official count or survey of a population, typically recording various details of individuals.



Benefits

- *"Easy"* to administer
- Self-Weighting. (i.e. no sample element is worth more than another element)
- No error associated with a result
- Data analysis is simple




Drawbacks

- Extremely expensive
- Time consuming
- Typically infeasible



When to use

- Small sample
- Generalize to an overall populous



Example




- Population: 81 healthcare institutions in a county that perform surgery
- What to do
 - Create a list of all healthcare institutions in the county that perform surgery
 - Number them $1, 2, \dots, N$ where N is the total number of healthcare institutions (So $N = 81$)

Simple Random Sample (SRS)



- Each element of the frame is given an equal probability of selection



Benefits

- *"Easy"* to administer
- Self-Weighting. (i.e. no sample element is worth more than another element)
- Error is easy to calculate
- Data analysis is simple



Drawbacks

- Vulnerable to sampling errors
- Possible underrepresentation of subgroups
- Often tedious, costly, and possibly impractical



When to use

- Large sample
- Complete sampling frame: Known *population*, *needed characteristics* and *setting*
- Generalize to a specific populous
- Not a great deal of information is available about the population
- Data collection can be efficiently performed on randomly distributed items
- Low cost of sampling

Example




- Population: 81 healthcare institutions in a county that perform surgery
- What to do
 - Create a list of all healthcare institutions in the county that perform surgery
 - Number them $1, 2, \dots, N$ where N is the total number of healthcare institutions (So $N = 81$)
 - Use a random method to obtain n (say $n = 51$)

Simple Random Sample (SRS)



- Each element of the frame is given an equal probability of selection



Benefits

- *"Easy"* to administer
- Self-Weighting. (i.e. no sample element is worth more than another element)
- Error is easy to calculate
- Data analysis is simple



Drawbacks

- Vulnerable to sampling errors
- Possible underrepresentation of subgroups
- Often tedious, costly, and possibly impractical



When to use

- Large sample
- Complete sampling frame: Known *population*, *needed characteristics* and *setting*
- Generalize to a specific populous
- Not a great deal of information is available about the population
- Data collection can be efficiently performed on randomly distributed items
- Low cost of sampling

Example




- Population: 81 healthcare institutions in a county that perform surgery
- What to do
 - Create a list of all healthcare institutions in the county that perform surgery
 - Number them $1, 2, \dots, N$ where N is the total number of healthcare institutions (So $N = 81$)
 - Use a random method to obtain n (say $n = 51$)

Systematic Sample



- An arranging of a population according to some ordering pattern and then the selection of elements at regular intervals from that that ordered list



Benefits

- *"Easy"* to administer
- Simple selection process
- Less subjective to selection error than SRS
- Most likely will provide a more robust information set per unit cost than an SRS
- May provide more information about a population than an SRS



Drawbacks

- Vulnerable to periodicities
- Dependence on a previous and next unit



When to use

- Given population are of the same type - aka a **homogeneous population**
- Sample units are uniformly distributed over a population




Example

- Population: 81 healthcare institutions in a county that perform surgery
- What to do
 - Create a list of all healthcare institutions in the county that perform surgery
 - Number them $1, 2, \dots, N$ where N is the total number of healthcare institutions (So $N = 81$)
 - Use a random method to the first unit k (say $k = 3$)
 - Then choose every n units afterwards (say $n = 5$)

Stratified Random Sampling

- Population can be divided and subdivided into distinct *categories* - aka **strata**
- Then simple random sampling or systematic sampling is applied within each stratum



Benefits

- Reduced error and increases precision compared to SRS
- Reduced sampling error
- Less variability than an SRS



Drawbacks

- Can be expensive
- Stratifications must be implicitly defined



When to use

- Strata is mutually exclusive
- Strata are collectively exhaustive




Example

- Population: 81 healthcare institutions in a county that perform surgery
- What to do
 - Create a list of all healthcare institutions in the county that perform surgery.
 - Number them $1, 2, \dots, N$ where N is the total number of healthcare institutions (So $N = 81$)
 - Use a random method to the first unit k (say $k = 3$)
 - Divide them up into distinct M categories and use an SRS or systematic sampling method. (say $M = 8$ and $n = 24$)

Cluster Random Sampling

- Population can be divided and subdivided into distinct *groups* - aka **cluster**
- Then simple random sampling or systematic sampling is applied within each cluster



Benefits

- No need for a sampling frame
- Clusters can be stratified if necessary which results in increased precision
- Cost efficient since clusters are housed close together



Drawbacks

- Requires a larger sample size than SRS
- May not represent diversity within a populous
- May have high error due to sampling



When to use

- Clusters are mutually exclusive
- Clusters are collectively exhaustive
- Census can be administered on all selected clusters
- You do not have a full sampling frame



Example



- Population: 81 healthcare institutions in a county that perform surgery
- What to do
 - Create a list of all healthcare institutions in the county that perform surgery
 - Number them $1, 2, \dots, N$ where N is the total number of healthcare institutions (So $N = 81$)
 - Use a random method to the first unit k (say $k = 3$)
 - Divide them up into distinct M groups and use a census on each. (say $M = 8$ and $n = 24$)

That's it for part I! Let's take a break before moving to pa

