- 1. (5 Pts.) Consider the fixed point problem x = G(x) with a solution α . Assume that G(x) is two times continuously differentiable and that $G'(\alpha) = 0$ but $G''(\alpha) = K \neq 0$.
 - (a) Show that if the initial iterate x^0 is sufficiently close to α then the fixed point iteration $x^{n+1} = G(x^n)$ converges to α quadratically.
 - (b) Give an estimate of the size of ϵ that ensures the iteration $x^{n+1} = G(x^n)$ converges to α if $x^0 \in [\alpha \epsilon, \alpha + \epsilon]$.

Solution

(a) Denote the error of the n^{th} iterate by $e_n = |x^n - \alpha|$. By Taylor's Theorem,

$$G(x) = G(\alpha) + G'(\alpha)(x - \alpha) + G''(\beta)(x - \alpha)^{2}$$
$$= \alpha + G''(\beta)(x - \alpha)^{2}$$

for some β between x and α . It follows that, for some β_n between x^n and α ,

$$e_{n+1} = |x^{n+1} - \alpha|$$

$$= |G(x^n) - \alpha|$$

$$= |(\alpha + G''(\beta_n)(x^n - \alpha)^2) - \alpha|$$

$$= |G''(\beta_n)|e_n^2,$$

establishing the desired quadratic convergence.

- (b) Choose $\epsilon > 0$ small enough such that
 - $|x \alpha| < \epsilon$ implies $|G''(x) K| < \frac{1}{2}|K|$ (possible by the continuity of G'');
 - $\epsilon < 1$; and
 - $\epsilon < \frac{1}{2|K|}$.

It follows then that if $e_n < \epsilon$,

$$\frac{e_{n+1}}{e_n} = |G''(\beta_n)| e_n < \left(\frac{3}{2}|K|\right) \left(\frac{1}{2|K|}\right) < \frac{3}{4},$$

which also implies that $e_{n+1} < \epsilon$ as well. By induction, if $e_0 < \epsilon$, then $e_n < (3/4)^n \epsilon \to 0$, i.e., $x^n \to \alpha$.

2. (5 Pts.) Let A be a square non-singular matrix and x be the solution to Ax = b. Assume one has an approximate solution z with an associated residual r = b - Az. Give a derivation of the following relation between the norm of the error and the norm of the residual:

$$\frac{\|x - z\|_2}{\|x\|_2} \le \|A\|_2 \|A^{-1}\|_2 \frac{\|r\|_2}{\|b\|_2}.$$

Solution

We have immediately that

$$b = Ax \implies ||b||_2 \le ||A||_2 ||x||_2,$$

and

$$x-z=A^{-1}b-A^{-1}(b-r)=A^{-1}r \Rightarrow \|x-z\|_2 < \|A^{-1}\|_2 \|r\|_2$$

so combining these two inequalities gives

$$||x - z||_2 ||b||_2 \le ||A^{-1}||_2 ||r||_2 ||A||_2 ||x||_2$$

from which the claim follows (assuming $b \neq 0$).

3. (5 Pts.) Given data points (x_i, y_i) for i = 1, ..., N + 1 with distinct ordinates, prove that the interpolating polynomial of degree at most N is unique.

Solution

Let p and q each be such interpolating polynomials, and consider r=p-q. Then r is a polynomial of degree at most N with N+1 distinct roots (each x_i is a root). This implies that the N+1 degree polynomial $\prod_{i=1}^{N+1}(x-x_i)$ divides into r, which is impossible if r has degree at most N, unless $r\equiv 0$. It follows that $p\equiv q$ and the interpolating polynomial is unique.

4. (10 Pts.) Consider the ordinary differential equation

$$y'(t) = f(t, y(t)), y(t_0) = y_0.$$

- (a) Give a derivation of the trapezoidal method in a manner analogous to the derivation of general linear multistep methods.
- (b) Find the leading term of the local truncation error of the trapezoidal method. What is the global error of the method?
- (c) Analyze the linear stability for the trapezoidal method and show that the method is A-stable.

Solution

(a) By Taylor's Theorem,

$$y(t+h) = y(t) + y'(t)h + \frac{1}{2}y''(t)h^2 + \frac{1}{6}y^{(3)}(\alpha_1)h^3$$

$$y(t) = y(t+h) - y'(t+h)h + \frac{1}{2}y''(t+h)h^2 - \frac{1}{6}y^{(3)}(\alpha_2)h^3$$

for some $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in [t, t+h]$. Combining the above two equalities gives

$$y(t+h) = y(t) + \frac{1}{2}(y'(t) + y'(t+h))h + \frac{1}{4}(y''(t) - y''(t+h))h^2 + \frac{1}{12}(y^{(3)}(\alpha_1) + y^{(3)}(\alpha_2))h^3.$$

This suggests the trapezoidal method

$$y_{k+1} = y_k + \frac{1}{2}(f(t, y_k) + f(t+h, y_{k+1}))h.$$

(b) To compute the local truncation error, we suppose $y_k = y(t)$. Then, noting that y'(t) = f(t, y(t)),

$$e = y(t+h) - y_{k+1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (f(t+h, y(t+h)) - f(t+h, y_{k+1}))h$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} (y''(t) - y''(t+h))h^2 + \frac{1}{12} (y^{(3)}(\alpha_1) + y^{(3)}(\alpha_2))h^3.$$

Now by the Mean Value Theorem,

$$f(t+h,y(t+h)) - f(t+h,y_{k+1}) = f_y(t+h,\beta)(y(t+h) - y_{k+1}) = f_y(t+h,\beta)e^{-\frac{t}{2}}$$

for some β between y(t+h) and y_{k+1} . Also by the Mean Value Theorem,

$$y''(t) - y''(t+h) = -y^{(3)}(\alpha_3)h$$

for some $\alpha_3 \in [t, t+h]$. Thus,

$$e = \frac{1}{2} f_y(t+h,\beta) he + \frac{1}{12} \left(y^{(3)}(\alpha_1) + y^{(3)}(\alpha_2) - 3y^{(3)}(\alpha_3) \right) h^3$$

and solving for e yields

$$e = \frac{y^{(3)}(\alpha_1) + y^{(3)}(\alpha_2) - 3y^{(3)}(\alpha_3)}{6(2 - f_y(t+h,\beta)h)} h^3 \in O(h^3).$$

(c) The stability of the method is determined by analyzing the method's behavior when applied to the model problem $y'(t) = f(t, y(t)) = \lambda y(t)$. In this case,

$$y_{k+1} = y_k + \frac{1}{2}(f(t, y_k) + f(t+h, y_{k+1}))h = y_k + \frac{1}{2}\lambda(y_k + y_{k+1})h$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}((2 - \lambda h)y_{k+1} - (2 + \lambda h)y_k) = 0,$$

so the characteristic polynomial is given by

$$\rho(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \left((2 - \lambda h)\theta - (2 + \lambda h) \right)$$

which has the single root

$$\zeta = \frac{2 + \lambda h}{2 - \lambda h}.$$

The stability region is the set of complex λh such that

$$\left| \frac{2 + \lambda h}{2 - \lambda h} \right| < 1.$$

Since this is the case for $\Re(\lambda h) < 0$, the method is A-stable.

5. (10 Pts.) Consider the second order partial differential equation

$$u_{tt} = u_{xx} + u_{yy} + 2bu_{xy}$$

to be solved for $0 \le x, y \le 1$, periodic boundary conditions, and smooth initial data

$$u(x, y, 0) = u_0(x, y)$$

 $u_t(x, y, 0) = u_1(x, y)$

- (a) For which real values of b is this a well-posed problem? Why?
- (b) Set up a second-order accurate convergent finite difference scheme. Justify your answer.

Solution

(a) We first compute the symbol $p(s,\xi,\eta)$ of the differential operator $P=\partial_t^2-\partial_x^2-\partial_y^2-2b\partial_{xy}$:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} p(s,\xi,\eta) & = & P\left(e^{st}e^{i(\xi x + \eta y)}\right) \Big/ \, e^{st}e^{i(\xi x + \eta y)} \\ & = & s^2 + \xi^2 + \eta^2 + 2b\xi\eta. \end{array}$$

The roots of the symbol (as a function of s) are then

$$q_{\pm}(\xi,\eta) = \pm \sqrt{-\xi^2 - \eta^2 - 2b\xi\eta}$$

Well-posedness requires that $\Re(q_{\pm})$ be bounded above for all ξ, η , i.e., in this case, due to homogeneity of q_{\pm} in ξ, η ,

$$\xi^2 + \eta^2 + 2b\xi\eta \ge 0.$$

Considering $\xi = \eta$ gives the requirement $b \ge -1$; considering $\xi = -\eta$ gives the requirement $b \le 1$. We now show that these bounds are not only necessary, but also sufficient. So suppose $-1 \le b \le 1$; then

$$\xi^{2} + \eta^{2} + 2b\xi\eta \ge \xi^{2} + \eta^{2} - 2|\xi\eta|$$

= $(|\xi| - |\eta|)^{2}$
> 0,

as desired. Therefore, the problem is well-posed for $-1 \le b \le 1$.

(b) We consider using centered differences to approximate all derivatives:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} P_{k,h_x,h_y}u^n_{\ell,m} & = & D^2_tu^n_{\ell,m} - D^2_xu^n_{\ell,m} - D^2_yu^n_{\ell,m} - 2bD_{x0}D_{y0}u^n_{\ell,m} \\ \\ & = & \frac{u^{n+1}_{\ell,m} - 2u^n_{\ell,m} + u^{n-1}_{\ell,m}}{k^2} - \frac{u^n_{\ell+1,m} - 2u^n_{\ell,m} + u^n_{\ell-1,m}}{h^2_x} \\ \\ & - & \frac{u^n_{\ell,m+1} - 2u^n_{\ell,m} + u^n_{\ell,m-1}}{h^2_y} - 2b\frac{u^n_{\ell+1,m+1} - u^n_{\ell+1,m-1} - u^n_{\ell-1,m+1} + u^n_{\ell-1,m-1}}{4h_xh_y}; \\ R_{k,h_x,h_y}f^n_{\ell,m} & = & f^n_{\ell,m}. \end{array}$$

The symbols $p_{k,h_x,h_y}(s,\xi,\eta)$ and $r_{k,h_x,h_y}(s,\xi,\eta)$ for these difference operators are

$$\begin{aligned} p_{k,h_x,h_y}(s,\xi,\eta) &=& P_{k,h_x,h_y}\left(e^{snk}e^{i(\xi\ell h_x+\eta mh_y)}\right)\Big/e^{snk}e^{i(\xi\ell h_x+\eta mh_y)} \\ &=& \frac{1}{k^2}\left(e^{sk}-2+e^{-sk}\right) \\ &-& \frac{1}{h_x^2}\left(e^{i\xi h_x}-2+e^{-i\xi h_x}\right) \\ &-& \frac{1}{h_y^2}\left(e^{i\eta h_y}-2+e^{-i\eta h_y}\right) \\ &-& \frac{b}{2h_xh_y}\left(e^{i\xi h_x}-e^{-i\xi h_x}\right)\left(e^{i\eta h_y}-e^{-i\eta h_y}\right) \\ &=& \frac{2}{k^2}(\cosh sk-1) \\ &+& \frac{2}{h_x^2}(1-\cos \xi h_x) \\ &+& \frac{2}{h_y^2}(1-\cos \eta h_y) \\ &+& \frac{2b}{h_xh_y}\sin \xi h_x\sin \eta h_y; \\ r_{k,h_x,h_y}(s,\xi,\eta) &=& R_{k,h_x,h_y}\left(e^{snk}e^{i(\xi mh_x+\eta \ell h_y)}\right)\Big/e^{snk}e^{i(\xi mh_x+\eta \ell h_y)} \\ &-& 1 \end{aligned}$$

Second-order accuracy is quickly verified by noting that $p_{k,h_x,h_y}(s,\xi,\eta) - r_{k,h}(s,\xi,\eta)p(s,\xi,\eta) \in O(k^2) + O(h_x^2) + O(h_y^2)$. This follows easily by applying Taylor's Theorem to each of the terms in

 $p_{k,h_x,h_y}(s,\xi,\eta)$:

$$\frac{2}{k^2}(\cosh sk - 1) = s^2 + O(k^2)$$

$$\frac{2}{h_x^2}(1 - \cos \xi h_x) = \xi^2 + O(h_x^2)$$

$$\frac{2}{h_y^2}(1 - \cos \eta h_y) = \eta^2 + O(h_y^2)$$

$$\frac{2b}{h_x h_y} \sin \xi h_x \sin \eta h_y = 2b\xi \eta + O(h_x^2) + O(h_y^2)$$

and noticing that each term matches with a term in $p(s, \xi, \eta)$.

Convergence will be implied by stability via the Lax-Richtmyer Equivalence Thereom. As such, we replace $g = e^{sk}$ in $p_{k,h_x,h_y}(s,\xi,\eta) = 0$ and solve for g to determine the roots of the amplification polynomial:

$$\frac{2}{k^2} \left(g - 2 + g^{-1} \right) + \frac{2}{h_x^2} (1 - \cos \xi h_x) + \frac{2}{h_y^2} (1 - \cos \eta h_y) + \frac{2b}{h_x h_y} \sin \xi h_x \sin \eta h_y = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow g - 2 + g^{-1} + 2\lambda_x^2 (1 - \cos \theta) + 2\lambda_y^2 (1 - \cos \phi) + 2b\lambda_x \lambda_y \sin \theta \sin \phi = 0.$$

Let $4c = 2\lambda_x^2 \dots \sin \theta \sin \phi$ to simplify the notation. Then

$$g - 2 + g^{-1} + 4c = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(g^{1/2} - g^{-1/2}\right)^2 = -4c$$

$$\Rightarrow g^{1/2} - g^{-1/2} = \pm \sqrt{-4c}$$

$$\Rightarrow g \pm \left(\sqrt{-4c}\right) g^{1/2} - 1 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow g_+^{1/2} = \pm \sqrt{-c} \pm \sqrt{1 - c}.$$

Noting that $|g_{\pm}| \le 1$ if and only if $|g_{\pm}^{1/2}| \le 1$, we see that $|g_{\pm}| \le 1$ if and only if $0 \le c \le 1$ (indeed, in such case $|g_{\pm}| = 1$). The lower bound on c can be established as follows:

$$4c = 2\lambda_x^2(1 - \cos\theta) + 2\lambda_y^2(1 - \cos\phi) + 2b\lambda_x\lambda_y\sin\theta\sin\phi$$

$$= 2(\lambda_x\sin\theta)^2 \frac{1 - \cos\theta}{\sin^2\theta} + 2(\lambda_y\sin\phi)^2 \frac{1 - \cos\phi}{\sin^2\phi} + 2b(\lambda_x\sin\theta)(\lambda_y\sin\phi)$$

$$= (\lambda_x\sin\theta)^2 \frac{2}{1 + \cos\theta} + (\lambda_y\sin\phi)^2 \frac{2}{1 + \cos\phi} + 2b(\lambda_x\sin\theta)(\lambda_y\sin\phi)$$

$$\geq (\lambda_x\sin\theta)^2 + (\lambda_y\sin\phi)^2 + 2b(\lambda_x\sin\theta)(\lambda_y\sin\phi)$$

$$\geq 0$$

if $-1 \le b \le 1$ (same argument as in (a)). We can get the upper bound on c by restricting $\lambda_x + \lambda_y \le 1$, for in this case (remembering that $|b| \le 1$),

$$c = \frac{1}{2} \left(\lambda_x^2 (1 - \cos \theta) + \lambda_y^2 (1 - \cos \phi) + b \lambda_x \lambda_y \sin \theta \sin \phi \right)$$

$$\leq \lambda_x^2 + \lambda_y^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_x \lambda_y$$

$$\leq \lambda_x^2 + \lambda_y^2 + 2 \lambda_x \lambda_y$$

$$= (\lambda_x + \lambda_y)^2$$

$$\leq 1,$$

as desired. It follows that for $-1 \le b \le 1$ and $\lambda_x + \lambda_y \le 1$, the scheme is stable, hence convergent.

6. (10 Pts.) Consider the convection-diffusion equation

$$u_t + au_x = bu_{xx}, \ b > 0, \ a \neq 0 \text{ for } 0 \le x \le 1.$$

- (a) Construct a second-order accurate unconditionally stable scheme.
- (b) Do you think it is uniformly stable in the maximum norm as $b \downarrow 0$? Justify your answers.

Solution

(a) We consider using Crank-Nicolson to approximate u_x and u_{xx} :

$$P_{k,h}u_{m}^{n} = D_{t+}u_{m}^{n} + \frac{1}{2}a\left(D_{x0}u_{m}^{n+1} + D_{x0}u_{m}^{n}\right) - \frac{1}{2}b\left(D_{x}^{2}u_{m}^{n+1} + D_{x}^{2}u_{m}^{n}\right)$$

$$= \frac{u_{m}^{n+1} - u_{m}^{n}}{k} + \frac{1}{2}a\left(\frac{u_{m+1}^{n+1} - u_{m-1}^{n+1}}{2h} + \frac{u_{m+1}^{n} - u_{m-1}^{n}}{2h}\right)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}b\left(\frac{u_{m+1}^{n+1} - 2u_{m}^{n+1} + u_{m-1}^{n+1}}{h^{2}} + \frac{u_{m+1}^{n} - 2u_{m}^{n} + u_{m-1}^{n}}{h^{2}}\right);$$

$$R_{k,h}f_{m}^{n} = \frac{1}{2}\left(f_{m}^{n+1} + f_{m}^{n}\right).$$

The symbols $p_{k,h}(s,\xi)$ and $r_{k,h}(s,\xi)$ for these difference operators are

$$\begin{split} p_{k,h}(s,\xi) &=& P_{k,h} \left(e^{snk} e^{i\xi mh} \right) / e^{snk} e^{i\xi mh} \\ &=& \frac{e^{sk}-1}{k} + \frac{1}{2} a \left(e^{ks} \frac{e^{i\xi h} - e^{-i\xi h}}{2h} + \frac{e^{i\xi h} - e^{-i\xi h}}{2h} \right) \\ &-& \frac{1}{2} b \left(e^{ks} \frac{e^{i\xi h} - 2 + e^{-i\xi h}}{h^2} + \frac{e^{i\xi h} - 2 + e^{-i\xi h}}{h^2} \right) \\ &=& \frac{e^{sk}-1}{k} + i \frac{a}{2h} \left(e^{sk} + 1 \right) \sin \xi h + \frac{b}{h^2} \left(e^{sk} + 1 \right) (1 - \cos \xi h) \, ; \\ r_{k,h}(s,\xi) &=& R_{k,h} \left(e^{snk} e^{i\xi mh} \right) / e^{snk} e^{i\xi mh} \\ &=& \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{sk} + 1 \right) . \end{split}$$

The symbol $p(s,\xi)$ of the differential operator $P = \partial_t + a\partial_x - b\partial_x^2$ is

$$p(s,\xi) = P(e^{st}e^{i\xi x})/e^{st}e^{i\xi x}$$
$$= s + ia\xi + b\xi^{2}.$$

To show second-order accuracy, we verify that $p_{k,h}(s,\xi) - r_{k,h}(s,\xi)p(s,\xi) \in O(k^2) + O(h^2)$:

$$\begin{split} p_{k,h}(s,\xi) - r_{k,h}(s,\xi) p(s,\xi) &= \frac{e^{sk} - 1}{k} + i \frac{a}{2h} \left(e^{sk} + 1 \right) \sin \xi h + \frac{b}{h^2} \left(e^{sk} + 1 \right) \left(1 - \cos \xi h \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{sk} + 1 \right) \left(s + i a \xi + b \xi^2 \right) \\ &= s + \frac{1}{2} s^2 k + O(k^2) \\ &+ i \frac{a}{2h} \left(2 + sk + O(k^2) \right) \left(\xi h + O(h^3) \right) \\ &+ \frac{b}{h^2} \left(2 + sk + O(k^2) \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \xi^2 h^2 + O(h^4) \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left(2 + sk + O(k^2) \right) \left(s + i a \xi + b \xi^2 \right) \\ &= s + \frac{1}{2} s^2 k + O(k^2) \\ &+ i a \xi + \frac{1}{2} i a s \xi k + O(k^2) + O(h^2) \\ &+ b \xi^2 + \frac{1}{2} b s \xi^2 k + O(k^2) + O(h^2) \\ &- \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} s k \right) \left(s + i a \xi + b \xi^2 \right) + O(k^2) + O(h^2) \\ &= O(k^2) + O(h^2), \end{split}$$

as desired.

To show stability, we replace $g = e^{sk}$ in $p_{k,h} = 0$ and solve for g to determine the root of the amplification polynomial:

$$\begin{split} \frac{g-1}{k} + (g+1) \left(i \frac{a}{2h} \sin \xi h + \frac{b}{h^2} (1 - \cos \xi h) \right) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \quad g - 1 + (g+1) \left(i \frac{1}{2} a \lambda \sin \theta + b \mu (1 - \cos \theta) \right) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \quad g(\theta) &= \frac{1 - i \frac{1}{2} a \lambda \sin \theta - b \mu (1 - \cos \theta)}{1 + i \frac{1}{3} a \lambda \sin \theta + b \mu (1 - \cos \theta)} \end{split}$$

Since $|1 + b\mu(1 - \cos\theta)| \ge |1 - b\mu(1 - \cos\theta)|$ for all θ (recall that b > 0), we see that $|g(\theta)| \le 1$ for all θ , hence the scheme is unconditionally stable.

- (b) Yes, since $|g| \uparrow 1$ as $b \downarrow 0$.
- 7. (10 Pts.) Consider the problem

$$-\Delta u + u = f(x, y) \qquad (x, y) \in \Omega,$$

$$u = 1 \qquad (x, y) \in \partial \Omega_1,$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + u = x \qquad (x, y) \in \partial \Omega_2,$$

where

$$\Omega = \{(x,y) \mid x^2 + y^2 < 1\},\$$

$$\partial \Omega_1 = \{(x,y) \mid x^2 + y^2 = 1, x \le 0\},\$$

$$\partial \Omega_2 = \{(x,y) \mid x^2 + y^2 = 1, x > 0\},\$$

and $f \in L^2(\Omega)$.

- (a) Determine an appropriate weak variational formulation.
- (b) Verify conditions on the corresponding linear and bilinear forms needed for existence and uniqueness of the solution.
- (c) Assume that the boundary $\partial\Omega$ is approximated by a polygonal curve. Describe a finite element approximation using P_1 elements. Discuss the form and properties of the stiffness matrix and the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the linear system thus obtained. Give a rate of convergence.

Solution

(a) We set w = u - 1 (so u = w + 1) and reformulate the problem in terms of w to obtain homogeneous boundary conditions:

$$-\Delta w + w = f(x, y) - 1 = g(x, y) \qquad (x, y) \in \Omega,$$

$$w = 0 \qquad (x, y) \in \partial \Omega_1,$$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} + w = x - 1 = h(x, y) \qquad (x, y) \in \partial \Omega_2.$$

Let $V = \{v \in H^1(\Omega) \mid v|_{\partial\Omega_1} \equiv 0\}$ equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$. We determine a weak variational formulation by multiplying the differential equation by $v \in V$, applying integration by parts, and noting that $v|_{\partial\Omega_1} \equiv 0$:

$$\begin{split} &(-\Delta w + w)v = fv \\ &\Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta w + w)v = \int_{\Omega} fv \\ &\Rightarrow -\int_{\partial\Omega} v \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} + \int_{\Omega} \nabla w \cdot \nabla v + \int_{\Omega} wv = \int_{\Omega} fv \\ &\Rightarrow -\int_{\partial\Omega_2} v(h-w) + \int_{\Omega} (\nabla w \cdot \nabla v + wv) = \int_{\Omega} fv \\ &\Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} (\nabla w \cdot \nabla v + wv) + \int_{\partial\Omega_2} wv = \int_{\Omega} fv + \int_{\partial\Omega_2} hv. \end{split}$$

Let

$$\begin{array}{rcl} a(w,v) & = & \displaystyle \int_{\Omega} \left(\nabla w \cdot \nabla v + wv \right) + \int_{\partial \Omega_2} wv \\ \\ Lv & = & \displaystyle \int_{\Omega} fv + \int_{\partial \Omega_2} hv \end{array}$$

such that the weak variational formulation is to find $w \in V$ such that

$$a(w,v) = Lv$$
 for all $v \in V$.

- (b) The Lax-Milgram Lemma provides sufficient conditions the bilinear form a and the linear form L must satisfy for existence and uniqueness of w:
 - a is symmetric. Clearly $a(v_1, v_2) = a(v_2, v_1)$ for $v_1, v_2 \in V$.
 - a is continuous. For $v_1, v_2 \in V$, by the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality,

$$|a(v_1, v_2)| = \left| \int_{\Omega} (\nabla v_1 \cdot \nabla v_2 + v_1 v_2) + \int_{\partial \Omega_2} v_1 v_2 \right|$$

$$\leq ||v_1||_{H^1(\Omega)} ||v_2||_{H^1(\Omega)} + ||v_1||_{L^2(\partial \Omega_2)} ||v_2||_{L^2(\partial \Omega_2)}.$$

But

$$||v_i||_{L^2(\partial\Omega_2)} \le C||v_i||_{H^1(\Omega)}$$

for some C > 0, so, in fact,

$$|a(v_1, v_2) \le (1 + C) ||v_1||_{H^1(\Omega)} ||v_2||_{H^1(\Omega)},$$

and we conclude that a is continuous.

• a is V-elliptic. For $v \in V$,

$$a(v,v) = \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v|^2 + v^2) + \int_{\partial\Omega_2} v^2$$

$$\geq \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v|^2 + v^2)$$

$$= ||v||_{H^1(\Omega)}^2,$$

and so a is indeed V-elliptic.

• L is continuous. For $v \in V$, by the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality,

$$|Lv| = \left| \int_{\Omega} fv + \int_{\partial\Omega_{2}} hv \right|$$

$$\leq ||f||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} ||v||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + ||h||_{L^{2}(\partial\Omega_{2})} ||v||_{L^{2}(\partial\Omega_{2})}$$

$$\leq (||f||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + C||h||_{L^{2}(\partial\Omega_{2})}) ||v||_{H^{1}(\Omega)},$$

hence L is continuous.

Therefore, we have existence and uniqueness of the solution w.

(c) For the finite element approximation, we suppose some triangulation $\{K\}_h$, where h denotes the fineness of the triangulation mesh, with nodes denoted by $\{N_i\}$. Let

$$V_h = \{ v \in V \mid v|_K \in P_1(K) \text{ for each } K \}.$$

The approximate variational formulation then becomes to find $w_h \in V_h$ such that $a(w_h, v) = Lv$ for all $v \in V_h$. By linearity, if $\{\phi_i\}$ is a basis for V_h , this is equivalent to finding $w_h \in V_h$ such that $a(w_h, \phi_i) = L\phi_i$ for all ϕ_i . We take ϕ_i such that $\phi_i(N_j) = \delta_{ij}$. Now we can also express $w_h = \sum_j \xi_j \phi_j$, thus obtaining the linear system

$$\sum_{j} \xi_{j} a(\phi_{j}, \phi_{i}) = L\phi_{i} \implies A\xi = b,$$

where the entries of the stiffness matrix are $A_{ij} = a(\phi_j, \phi_i)$ and the entries of the load vector are $b_i = L\phi_i$. If the enumeration of the N_j 's is done efficiently, A will be sparse (since $a(\phi_j, \phi_i) = 0$ if |i-j| is too large) and banded, allowing for efficient solving of the system. Further, A is positive definite (since a is an inner product), hence is nonsingular, so the system has a unique solution. If w is the solution to the weak variational formulation and w_h is the solution to the approximate variational formulation, then we have the bound $||w-w_h||_a \leq ||w-v||_a$ for any $v \in V_h$, where $||\cdot||_a$ is the norm induced by the inner product $a(\cdot,\cdot)$. In particular, we can take the linear interpolant $\pi_h w \in V_h$ of w, and we know that $||w-\pi_h w||_a \leq C_w h^2$ for some constant C_w (dependent on w but independent of h), from which we obtain the convergence rate estimate $||w-w_h||_a \leq C_w h^2$.