

1. (5 Pts.) Let $g(x)$ be a continuously differentiable function and consider the fixed point problem

$$x = g(x).$$

- (a) What conditions on $g(x)$ and α , $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, will guarantee convergence of the iteration

$$\begin{aligned} x^* &= g(x_n) \\ x_{n+1} &= \alpha x^* + (1 - \alpha)x_n \end{aligned}$$

to the solution \bar{x} of the fixed point problem?

- (b) Prove that under the conditions that you derived in (a) the solution \bar{x} of the fixed point problem is unique.

Solution

- (a) By Taylor's Theorem,

$$g(x_n) = g(\bar{x}) + g'(y_n)(x_n - \bar{x}) = \bar{x} + g'(y_n)(x_n - \bar{x})$$

for some y_n between x_n and \bar{x} . Thus, the error in the $(n+1)^{st}$ iteration is related to the error in the n^{th} iteration by

$$\begin{aligned} e_{n+1} &= x_{n+1} - \bar{x} \\ &= \alpha g(x_n) + (1 - \alpha)x_n - \bar{x} \\ &= \alpha(g(x_n) - \bar{x}) + (1 - \alpha)(x_n - \bar{x}) \\ &= \alpha g'(y_n)(x_n - \bar{x}) + (1 - \alpha)(x_n - \bar{x}) \\ &= (1 - \alpha(1 - g'(y_n)))e_n. \end{aligned}$$

Convergence will be guaranteed if

$$|1 - \alpha(1 - g'(y_n))| < 1$$

for all n , i.e.,

$$1 - \frac{2}{\alpha} < g'(y_n) < 1.$$

This is certainly satisfied if

$$1 - \frac{2}{\alpha} < \inf g', \quad \sup g' < 1.$$

- (b) Suppose some $\bar{x}' \neq \bar{x}$ with $g(\bar{x}') = \bar{x}'$. Then by the Mean Value Theorem,

$$g(\bar{x}) - g(\bar{x}') = g'(y)(\bar{x} - \bar{x}')$$

for some y between \bar{x} and \bar{x}' . But this implies $g'(y) = 1$, contradictory to the conditions established in (a). It follows that the solution \bar{x} is unique.

2. (5 Pts.) For a given value of $h > 0$ consider the two approximations to $f'(x)$:

$$D_h f = \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}; \quad D_{2h} f = \frac{f(x+2h) - f(x)}{2h}.$$

Derive the coefficients β_1 and β_2 so that the combination of approximations $\beta_1 D_h f + \beta_2 D_{2h} f$ is a second-order approximation to $f'(x)$.

Solution

By Taylor's Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} D_h f &= f'(x) + \frac{1}{2}f''(x)h + O(h^2); \\ D_{2h} f &= f'(x) + f''(x)h + O(h^2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$2D_h f - D_{2h} f = f'(x) + O(h^2).$$

3. (5 Pts.) Assume the points $\{x_i\}$, for $i = 1, \dots, n+1$, are distinct. Prove that the polynomial of degree less than or equal to n that interpolates the data $\{(x_i, f(x_i))\}$ is unique.

Solution

(W06.3)

4. (10 Pts.) Consider the following two-step numerical method for solving $\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y(t))$:

$$y_{i+2} = y_{i+1} + dt \left(\frac{3}{2}f(t_{i+1}, y_{i+1}) - \frac{1}{2}f(t_i, y_i) \right).$$

- (a) Is this method consistent? Explain.
- (b) What is the order of this method? Show your work.
- (c) Does this method converge? Explain.
- (d) Find a necessary and sufficient condition for the linear stability of the method (show your analysis, but without solving explicitly the obtained set of inequalities in the complex domain).

Solution

- (a) Yes, as shown by the derivation of the order below.
- (b) Assume that $y_i = y(t_i)$ and $y_{i+1} = y(t_{i+1})$. Then by Taylor's Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} y_{i+1} - y_i &= y'(t_{i+1})dt + O(dt^2) \\ &= f(t_{i+1}, y_{i+1})dt + O(dt^2), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} f(t_i, y_i) &= f(t_{i+1}, y_{i+1}) - f_t(t_{i+1}, y_{i+1})dt - f_y(t_{i+1}, y_{i+1})(y_{i+1} - y_i) + O(dt^2) \\ &= f(t_{i+1}, y_{i+1}) - f_t(t_{i+1}, y_{i+1})dt - f_y(t_{i+1}, y_{i+1})f(t_{i+1}, y_{i+1})dt + O(dt^2), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} y_{i+2} &= y_{i+1} + dt \left(\frac{3}{2}f(t_{i+1}, y_{i+1}) - \frac{1}{2}f(t_i, y_i) \right) \\ &= y_{i+1} + f(t_{i+1}, y_{i+1})dt + \frac{1}{2}(f_t(t_{i+1}, y_{i+1}) + f_y(t_{i+1}, y_{i+1})f(t_{i+1}, y_{i+1}))dt^2 + O(dt^3) \\ &= y(t_{i+2}) + O(dt^3), \end{aligned}$$

showing the method to be second-order.

- (c) The method will converge if f is Lipschitz.

(d) We apply the method to the model problem $y'(t) = \lambda y(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} y_{i+2} &= y_{i+1} + dt \left(\frac{3}{2} \lambda y_{i+1} - \frac{1}{2} \lambda y_i \right) \\ \Rightarrow y_{i+2} - \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} \lambda dt \right) y_{i+1} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda dt y_i &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

giving the characteristic polynomial

$$\rho(\theta) = \theta^2 - \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} \lambda dt \right) \theta + \frac{1}{2} \lambda dt$$

with roots

$$\zeta_{\pm} = 1 + \frac{3}{2} \lambda dt \pm \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{3}{2} \lambda dt \right)^2 - 4 \left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda dt \right)}.$$

The stability region are those complex λdt such that $|\zeta_{\pm}| \leq 1$.

5. (10 Pts.) Consider the hyperbolic equation

$$u_t + u_x + 2u_y = 0$$

for $t > 0$, (x, y) in the square $[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$, and initial data

$$u(x, y, 0) = \phi(x, y).$$

- (a) Boundary conditions on u are imposed to be zero on which sides of the square? Why?
- (b) Set up a finite difference approximation which converges to the correct solution. Justify your answer.

Solution

- (a) We should have $u(-1, y) = u(x, -1) = 0$, since the waves will travel in the positive x and positive y direction.
- (b) We consider using Crank-Nicolson:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{k, h_x, h_y} u_{\ell, m}^n &= D_{t+} u_{\ell, m}^n + \frac{1}{2} \left(D_{x0} u_{\ell, m}^{n+1} + D_{x0} u_{\ell, m}^n \right) + 2 \frac{1}{2} \left(D_{y0} u_{\ell, m}^{n+1} + D_{y0} u_{\ell, m}^n \right) \\ &= \frac{u_{\ell, m}^{n+1} - u_{\ell, m}^n}{k} + \frac{u_{\ell+1, m}^{n+1} - u_{\ell-1, m}^{n+1} + u_{\ell+1, m}^n - u_{\ell-1, m}^n}{4h_x} \\ &\quad + \frac{u_{\ell, m+1}^{n+1} - u_{\ell, m-1}^{n+1} + u_{\ell, m+1}^n - u_{\ell, m-1}^n}{2h_y}; \\ R_{k, h_x, h_y} f_{\ell, m}^n &= \frac{f_{\ell, m}^{n+1} + f_{\ell, m}^n}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

The symbols $p_{k,h_x,h_y}(s, \xi, \eta)$ and $r_{k,h_x,h_y}(s, \xi, \eta)$ for these difference operators are

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{k,h_x,h_y}(s, \xi, \eta) &= P \left(e^{skn} e^{i(\xi h_x \ell + \eta h_y m)} \right) / e^{i(\xi h_x \ell + \eta h_y m)} \\
&= \frac{1}{k} (e^{sk} - 1) + \frac{1}{4h_x} (e^{sk} + 1) (e^{i\xi h_x} - e^{-i\xi h_x}) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2h_y} (e^{sk} + 1) (e^{i\eta h_y} - e^{-i\eta h_y}) \\
&= \frac{1}{k} (e^{sk} - 1) + i (e^{sk} + 1) \left(\frac{\sin \xi h_x}{2h_x} + \frac{\sin \eta h_y}{h_y} \right); \\
r_{k,h_x,h_y}(s, \xi, \eta) &= R \left(e^{skn} e^{i(\xi h_x \ell + \eta h_y m)} \right) / e^{i(\xi h_x \ell + \eta h_y m)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (e^{sk} + 1).
\end{aligned}$$

By Taylor's Theorem, these reduce to

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{k,h_x,h_y}(s, \xi, \eta) &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} sk \right) s + i \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} sk \right) (\xi + 2\eta) + O(k^2) + O(h_x^2) + O(h_y^2) \\
r_{k,h_x,h_y}(s, \xi, \eta) &= 1 + \frac{1}{2} sk + O(k^2).
\end{aligned}$$

We now note that the symbol of the differential operator $P = \partial_t + \partial_x + 2\partial_y$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
p(s, \xi, \eta) &= P \left(e^{st} e^{i(\xi x + \eta y)} \right) / e^{st} e^{i(\xi x + \eta y)} \\
&= s + i\xi + 2i\eta,
\end{aligned}$$

and so $p_{k,h_x,h_y}(s, \xi, \eta) - r_{k,h_x,h_y}(s, \xi, \eta)p(s, \xi, \eta) \in O(k^2) + O(h_x^2) + O(h_y^2)$, i.e., second-order accuracy.

We analyze stability by replacing $g = e^{sk}$ in $p_{k,h_x,h_y}(s, \xi, \eta) = 0$ and solve for g to determine the root of the amplification polynomial:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{k}(g - 1) + i(g + 1) \left(\frac{\sin \xi h_x}{2h_x} + \frac{\sin \eta h_y}{h_y} \right) &= 0 \\
\Rightarrow g - 1 + i(g + 1) \left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_x \sin \theta + \lambda_y \sin \phi \right) &= 0 \\
\Rightarrow g = \frac{1 - i \left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_x \sin \theta + \lambda_y \sin \phi \right)}{1 + i \left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_x \sin \theta + \lambda_y \sin \phi \right)},
\end{aligned}$$

and we see that $|g| = 1$ for all combinations of $\lambda_x, \lambda_y, \theta, \phi$, and hence the scheme is unconditionally stable. The Lax-Richtmyer Equivalence Theorem then implies that the scheme is convergent.

6. (10 Pts.) Consider the equation

$$u_t = u_{xx}$$

to be solved for $t > 0$, $x \in [-1, 1]$; with periodic initial data

$$u(x, 0) \equiv \phi(x), \quad \phi(x + 2) \equiv \phi(x);$$

and $u(x, t)$ periodic in x for $t > 0$. Give a fourth or higher order accurate convergent finite difference scheme. Justify your answer.

Solution

We consider using fourth-order Crank-Nicolson:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{k,h}u_m^n &= D_{t+}u_m^n - \frac{1}{2}(D_x^{*2}u_m^{n+1} + D_x^{*2}u_m^n) \\
&= \frac{u_m^{n+1} - u_m^n}{k} - \frac{-u_{m+2}^{n+1} + 16u_{m+1}^{n+1} - 30u_m^{n+1} + 16u_{m-1}^{n+1} - u_{m-2}^{n+1}}{24h^2} \\
&\quad - \frac{-u_{m+2}^n + 16u_{m+1}^n - 30u_m^n + 16u_{m-1}^n - u_{m-2}^n}{24h^2}, \\
R_{k,h}f_m^n &= \frac{f_m^{n+1} + f_m^n}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

The symbols $p_{k,h}(s, \xi)$ and $r_{k,h}(s, \xi)$ for these difference operators are

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{k,h}(s, \xi) &= P(e^{skn}e^{i\xi mh}) / e^{skn}e^{i\xi mh} \\
&= \frac{1}{k}(e^{sk} - 1) - \frac{1}{24h^2}(e^{sk} + 1)(-e^{2i\xi h} + 16e^{i\xi h} - 30 + 16e^{-i\xi h} - e^{-2i\xi h}) \\
&= \frac{1}{k}(e^{sk} - 1) + \frac{1}{24h^2}(e^{sk} + 1)(30 - 32\cos \xi h + 2\cos 2\xi h) \\
&= \frac{1}{k}(e^{sk} - 1) + \frac{1}{12h^2}(e^{sk} + 1)(15 - 16\cos \xi h + 2\cos^2 \xi h - 1) \\
&= \frac{1}{k}(e^{sk} - 1) + \frac{1}{6h^2}(e^{sk} + 1)(\cos \xi h - 7)(\cos \xi h - 1); \\
r_{k,h}(s, \xi) &= R(e^{skn}e^{i\xi mh}) / e^{skn}e^{i\xi mh} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(e^{sk} + 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Using Taylor's Theorem to expand each symbol yields

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{k,h}(s, \xi) &= \frac{1}{k}(e^{sk} - 1) + \frac{1}{6h^2}(e^{sk} + 1)(\cos \xi h - 7)(\cos \xi h - 1) \\
&= \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}sk\right)s + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}sk\right)\frac{1}{3h^2}\left(-6 - \frac{1}{2}\xi^2h^2 + \frac{1}{24}\xi^4h^4 + O(h^6)\right) \\
&\quad \left(-\frac{1}{2}\xi^2h^2 + \frac{1}{24}\xi^4h^4 + O(h^6)\right) + O(k^2) \\
&= \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}sk\right)(s + \xi^2) + O(k^2) + O(h^4); \\
r_{k,h}(s, \xi) &= 1 + \frac{1}{2}sk + O(k^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Now noting that the symbol of the differential operator $P = \partial_t - \partial_x^2$ is $p(s, \xi) = s + \xi^2$, we see immediately that $p_{k,h}(s, \xi) - r_{k,h}(s, \xi)p(s, \xi) \in O(k^2) + O(h^4)$, verifying (2,4) order accuracy.

For the stability analysis, we replace $g = e^{sk}$ in $p_{k,h}(s, \xi) = 0$ and solve for g to determine the roots of the amplification polynomial:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{k}(g - 1) + \frac{1}{6h^2}(g + 1)(\cos \xi h - 7)(\cos \xi h - 1) = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow g - 1 + \frac{1}{6}\mu(g + 1)(\cos \theta - 7)(\cos \theta - 1) = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow g = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{6}\mu(\cos \theta - 7)(\cos \theta - 1)}{1 + \frac{1}{6}\mu(\cos \theta - 7)(\cos \theta - 1)},
\end{aligned}$$

from which we see that $|g| \leq 1$ for all μ, θ , and hence the scheme is unconditionally stable. It follows by the Lax-Richtmyer Equivalence Theorem that the scheme is convergent.

7. (10 Pts.)

- (a)
- (b)
- (c)
- (d)

Solution

- (a)
- (b)
- (c)
- (d)