

1. (5 Pts.) For a single panel, Simpson's rule

$$\int_a^{a+2h} f(x)dx \approx \frac{h}{3} (f(a) + 4f(a+h) + f(a+2h))$$

is fifth-order accurate.

- (a) What is the order of accuracy of the composite Simpson's rule?
- (b) I have written a program that implements composite Simpson's rule for integrating functions over the interval $[0, 1]$. In checking the program for correctness, I test the routine on the integral $\int_0^1 x^5 dx$ and I obtain the following results:

M	approximation	error
8	0.24169	0.04169
16	0.22083	0.02083
32	0.21041	0.01041
64	0.20520	0.00520

What is the factor by which the errors should decrease as the number of panels, M , is doubled?

- (c) On the basis of the above computational results, can I conclude that my program is incorrect? Explain your answer.

Solution

- (a) Composite Simpson's rule would be fourth-order accurate.
- (b) The errors should decrease by a factor of $(1/2)^4 = 1/16$ for each doubling of M .
- (c) Yes, the program is probably incorrect. The errors are only decreasing by a factor of $1/2$ for each doubling of M .
2. (5 Pts.) Consider the following iterative method:

$$Ax^{k+1} = Bx^k + c$$

where c is the vector $(1 \ 1)^t$ and A and B are the matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (a) Assume the iteration converges; to what vector x does the iteration converge?
- (b) Does this iteration converge for arbitrary initial vectors x^0 ? Justify your answer.

Solution

- (a) The iteration converging implies that

$$Ax = Bx + c \Rightarrow x = (A - B)^{-1}c = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(b) Since

$$\begin{aligned}A(x^{k+1} - x) &= Bx^k + c - Ax \\&= Bx^k + (A - B)x - Ax \\&= B(x^k - x),\end{aligned}$$

the iteration converges for all initial iterates x^0 if and only if the eigenvalues of $A^{-1}B$ are less than 1 in magnitude. Indeed, we have

$$A^{-1}B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with characteristic equation

$$p(\lambda) = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \lambda - 1 \end{vmatrix} = (\lambda - 1)^2 - \frac{1}{4}$$

whose roots are

$$\lambda_{\pm} = 1 \pm \frac{1}{2}.$$

In particular, then $|\lambda_+| \geq 1$, so the iteration will not converge if, e.g., x^0 is an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_+ .

3. (5 Pts.)

- (a) Give the cubic polynomial that interpolates the function $f(x) = 2^x$ at the points $x = 0$, $x = 1$, $x = 2$, and $x = 3$.
- (b) Give the value of your interpolant at $x = \frac{1}{2}$, and hence derive an approximation to $\sqrt{2} = 2^{1/2}$.

Solution

(a) We use the Lagrange polynomial form:

$$\begin{aligned}p(x) &= \frac{(x-1)(x-2)(x-3)}{(-1)(-2)(-3)}f(0) + \frac{x(x-2)(x-3)}{(1)(-1)(-2)}f(1) \\&+ \frac{x(x-1)(x-3)}{(2)(1)(-1)}f(2) + \frac{x(x-1)(x-2)}{(3)(2)(1)}f(3) \\&= -\frac{1}{6}(x^3 - 6x^2 + 11x - 6)(1) + \frac{1}{2}(x^3 - 5x^2 + 6x)(2) \\&- \frac{1}{2}(x^3 - 4x^2 + 3x)(4) + \frac{1}{6}(x^3 - 3x^2 + 2x)(8) \\&= \frac{1}{6}x^3 + \frac{5}{6}x + 1\end{aligned}$$

(b) We have

$$\begin{aligned}p\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) &= \frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3 + \frac{5}{6}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + 1 \\&= \frac{1}{48} + \frac{5}{12} + 1 \\&= \frac{69}{48}.\end{aligned}$$

4. (10 Pts.)

- (a) Construct a two-stage second-order Runge-Kutta method for the ODE

$$y' = f(y), \quad y(0) = y_0;$$

and find its region of absolute stability.

- (b) Give an equivalent first-order system for the second-order differential equation

$$y'' - 21y' + 20y = 0.$$

- (c) Give the stability time-step restriction if second-order Runge-Kutta is used to compute solutions to the first-order system.

Solution

- (a) We use the method described by

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + hf \left(y_n + \frac{1}{2}hf(y_n) \right).$$

To show second-order accuracy, we use Taylor's Theorem:

$$f \left(y_n + \frac{1}{2}hf(y_n) \right) = f(y_n) + \frac{1}{2}f'(y_n)f(y_n)h + O(h^2)$$

so then, if $y(t_n) = y_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} y_{n+1} &= y_n + f(y_n)h + \frac{1}{2}f'(y_n)f(y_n)h^2 + O(h^3) \\ &= y(t_n + h) + O(h^3). \end{aligned}$$

We find the region of absolute stability by applying the method to the model problem $y' = f(y) = \lambda y$:

$$\begin{aligned} y_{n+1} &= y_n + h\lambda \left(y_n + \frac{1}{2}h\lambda y_n \right) \\ &= \left(1 + \lambda h + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda h)^2 \right) y_n, \end{aligned}$$

giving the characteristic polynomial

$$\rho(\theta) = \theta - \left(1 + \lambda h + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda h)^2 \right)$$

which has the single root

$$\zeta = 1 + \lambda h + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda h)^2.$$

The region of absolute stability is the set of complex λh such that

$$\left| 1 + \lambda h + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda h)^2 \right| < 1.$$

We note that this region contains the interval $(-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$.

(b) We have

$$Y' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -20 & 21 \end{pmatrix} Y = AY$$

where

$$Y(t) = \begin{pmatrix} y(t) \\ y'(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

(c) The characteristic polynomial of A is

$$\begin{aligned} p_A(\lambda) &= \begin{vmatrix} \lambda & -1 \\ 20 & \lambda - 21 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \lambda(\lambda - 21) + 20 \\ &= \lambda^2 - 21\lambda + 20 \end{aligned}$$

which has roots

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{21}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{361},$$

both of which are strictly positive. Thus there is no stability time-step restriction.

5. (10 Pts.) Consider the differential equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^2 \phi - c^2 \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} = 0$$

to be solved for $0 \leq x \leq 1$, $t > 0$; with periodic boundary conditions in x ; and initial data

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x, 0) &= \phi_0(x) \\ \phi_t(x, 0) &= \phi_1(x) \end{aligned}$$

Here $u, c > 0$ are constants. Give a convergent second-order accurate finite difference approximation to this equation. Be sure to justify that your approximation is second-order accurate and convergent.

Solution

We expand the differential equation, obtaining

$$P\phi = \phi_{tt} + 2u\phi_{tx} - (c^2 - u^2)\phi_{xx} = 0.$$

We now rewrite the equation as a system. Introduce

$$\Phi(t, x) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi(x, t) \\ \phi_t(x, t) \\ \phi_x(x, t) \end{pmatrix};$$

then we find that

$$\Phi_t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2u & c^2 - u^2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Phi_x + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Phi.$$

(F04.5)

6. (10 Pts.) Consider the one-dimensional diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \alpha \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2}, \quad \alpha > 0$$

to be solved for $0 \leq x \leq 1$, $t > 0$; with periodic boundary conditions in x ; and initial data

$$v(x, 0) = v_0(x).$$

Assume one uses the Dufort Frankel method:

$$\frac{v_m^{n+1} - v_m^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} - \alpha \left(\frac{v_{m+1}^n - (v_m^{n+1} + v_m^{n-1}) + v_{m-1}^n}{\Delta x^2} \right)$$

as a means of computing approximate solutions to this equation.

- (a) Determine the truncation error associated with this approximation. Under what conditions does the scheme provide a consistent approximation to the diffusion equation? Would the condition required for consistency be difficult to satisfy in a set of computational experiments where Δx is repeatedly halved?
- (b) Surprisingly, this scheme is explicit and unconditionally stable. Show this, and explain why this does not violate the CFL condition.

Solution

- (a) The symbol $p(s, \xi)$ of the differential operator $P = \partial_t - \alpha \partial_x^2$ is

$$\begin{aligned} p(s, \xi) &= P(e^{st} e^{i\xi x}) / e^{st} e^{i\xi x} \\ &= s + \alpha \xi^2, \end{aligned}$$

while the symbol $p_{\Delta t, \Delta x}(s, \xi)$ of the difference operator $P_{\Delta t, \Delta x}$ given by

$$P_{\Delta t, \Delta x} v_m^n = \frac{v_m^{n+1} - v_m^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} - \alpha \left(\frac{v_{m+1}^n - (v_m^{n+1} + v_m^{n-1}) + v_{m-1}^n}{\Delta x^2} \right)$$

is

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\Delta t, \Delta x}(s, \xi) &= P_{\Delta t, \Delta x}(e^{sn\Delta t} e^{i\xi m\Delta x}) / e^{sn\Delta t} e^{i\xi m\Delta x} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\Delta t} (e^{s\Delta t} - e^{-s\Delta t}) - \frac{\alpha}{\Delta x^2} (e^{i\xi\Delta x} - (e^{s\Delta t} + e^{-s\Delta t}) e^{-i\xi\Delta x}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Delta t} \sinh s\Delta t - \frac{2\alpha}{\Delta x^2} (\cos \xi\Delta x - \cosh s\Delta t) \\ &= s + O(\Delta t^2) - \frac{2\alpha}{\Delta x^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\xi^2\Delta x^2 + O(\Delta x^4) - 1 + O(\Delta t^2) \right) \\ &= s + \alpha\xi^2 + O(\Delta x^2) + O\left(\left(\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x}\right)^2\right) \\ &= p(s, \xi) + O(\Delta x^2) + O(\lambda^2), \end{aligned}$$

hence the scheme provides a consistent approximation to the differential equation if $\lambda = \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \rightarrow 0$ as $\Delta t, \Delta x \rightarrow 0$. This isn't any more difficult to satisfy than if we used the simplest explicit scheme and wished to retain stability. For example, if we take $\Delta t = \Delta x^2$ to retain second-order spatial accuracy, then we'd need to decrease Δt by a factor of $\frac{1}{4}$ for each halving of Δx . However, there are certainly more efficient schemes.

- (b) The scheme is explicit since it (ultimately) expresses v_m^{n+1} in terms of v_{m+1}^n , v_{m-1}^n , and v_m^{n-1} .

To analyze stability, we replace $g = e^{s\Delta t}$ in $p_{\Delta t, \Delta x}(s, \xi) = 0$ and solve for g to determine the roots of the amplification polynomial:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2\Delta t} (g - g^{-1}) - \frac{\alpha}{\Delta t^2} (2 \cos \xi \Delta x - (g + g^{-1})) = 0 \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} (g - g^{-1}) - \alpha \mu (2 \cos \theta - (g + g^{-1})) \\
& \Rightarrow (2\alpha\mu + 1)g^2 - 4\alpha\mu \cos \theta g + (2\alpha\mu - 1) = 0 \\
& \Rightarrow g_{\pm} = \frac{4\alpha\mu \cos \theta \pm \sqrt{(4\alpha\mu \cos \theta)^2 - 4(2\alpha\mu + 1)(2\alpha\mu - 1)}}{2(2\alpha\mu + 1)} \\
& \Rightarrow g_{\pm} = \frac{2\alpha\mu \cos \theta \pm \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha^2\mu^2 \sin^2 \theta}}{2\alpha\mu + 1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now if $1 - 4\alpha^2\mu^2 \sin^2 \theta \geq 0$,

$$|g_{\pm}| \leq \frac{2\alpha\mu |\cos \theta| + 1}{2\alpha\mu + 1} \leq 1,$$

while if $1 - 4\alpha^2\mu^2 \sin^2 \theta < 0$,

$$|g_{\pm}|^2 = \frac{4\alpha^2\mu^2 \cos^2 \theta + 4\alpha^2\mu^2 \sin^2 \theta - 1}{4\alpha^2\mu^2 + 4\alpha\mu + 1} = \frac{4\alpha^2\mu^2 - 1}{4\alpha^2\mu^2 + 4\alpha\mu + 1} < 1.$$

It follows that the scheme is unconditionally stable. This does not violate the CFL condition, since consistency (rather than stability) requires that $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ as $\Delta t, \Delta x \rightarrow 0$

7. (10 Pts.)

Solution