Math 269B, 2012 Winter, Homework 1 (Solutions)

Professor Joseph Teran Jeffrey Lee Hellrung, Jr.

January 30, 2012

1 Theory

1. (Strikwerda 1.1.3.) Solve the initial value problem for

$$u_t + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2}\cos x}u_x = 0$$

Show that the solution is given by $u(t,x) = u_0(\xi)$, where ξ is the unique solution of

$$\xi + \frac{1}{2}\sin\xi = x + \frac{1}{2}\sin x - t.$$

Solution

We use the method of characteristics, in which we use the change of variables $\tau = \tau(t, x)$, $\xi = \xi(t, x)$, and $\tilde{u}(\tau, \xi) = u(t(\tau, \xi), x(\tau, \xi))$. Then

$$\tilde{u}_{\tau} = u_t t_{\tau} + u_x x_{\tau} = u_t + a(t, x) u_x \equiv 0$$

if

$$t_{\tau} \equiv 1, \quad x_{\tau} = a(t, x),$$

where

$$a(t,x):=\frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{2}\cos x}.$$

We may solve the equation $t_{\tau} \equiv 1$ as $t = \tau$, while the equation for x_{τ} is an ordinary differential equation in $x = x(\tau)$:

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2}\cos x}, \quad x(\tau = 0) = \xi.$$

Via separation of variables, we obtain an implicit relation among $x, \tau = t$, and ξ :

$$x + \frac{1}{2}\sin x - \xi - \frac{1}{2}\sin \xi = \tau = t.$$

For each fixed ξ , we have $\tilde{u}_{\tau} = 0$, hence $\tilde{u}(\tau, \xi) = \tilde{u}(0, \xi) = u(0, \xi) = u_0(\xi)$. It follows that $u(t, x) = u_0(\xi)$, where $\xi = \xi(t, x)$ satisfies

$$\xi + \frac{1}{2}\sin \xi = x + \frac{1}{2}\sin x - t.$$

Note that the mapping $\xi \mapsto \xi + \frac{1}{2}\sin \xi$ is an automorphism on \mathbb{R} (its derivative is uniformly bounded away from 0), hence such a ξ always exists and is unique.

2. Solve the initial value problem

$$u_t + (\sin t) u_x = \frac{1}{1+t^2}, \quad u(0,x) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t > 0.$$

We use the method of characteristics, in which we use the change of variables $\tau = \tau(t, x)$, $\xi = \xi(t, x)$, and $\tilde{u}(\tau, \xi) = u(t(\tau, \xi), x(\tau, \xi))$. Then

$$\tilde{u}_{\tau} = u_t t_{\tau} + u_x x_{\tau} = u_t + a(t, x) u_x = f(t, x)$$

if

$$t_{\tau} \equiv 1, \quad x_{\tau} = a(t, x),$$

where

$$a(t,x) := \sin t, \quad f(t,x) := \frac{1}{1+t^2}.$$

We may solve the equation $t_{\tau} \equiv 1$ as $t = \tau$, while the equation for x_{τ} is an ordinary differential equation in $x = x(\tau)$:

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = \sin t = \sin \tau, \quad x(\tau = 0) = \xi.$$

This easily solves to

$$x(\tau,\xi) = \xi + \int_0^{\tau} \sin \tau' d\tau' = 1 - \cos \tau + \xi.$$

For each fixed ξ , we have

$$\tilde{u}_{\tau} = \frac{1}{1+t^2} = \frac{1}{1+\tau^2}, \quad \tilde{u}(0,\xi) = u(0,\xi) = u_0(\xi),$$

which easily solves to

$$\tilde{u}(\tau,\xi) = \tilde{u}(0,\xi) + \int_0^{\tau} \frac{1}{1 + (\tau')^2} d\tau' = \arctan \tau + u_0(\xi).$$

It follows that

$$u(t, x) = \arctan t + u_0 (\cos t + x + 1)$$
.

3. Consider the first order system of PDEs of the form

$$\vec{u}_t + A\vec{u}_x = 0$$
, $\vec{u}(0, x) = \vec{u}_0(x)$, $x \in [0, 1]$, $t > 0$.

(a) Give the solution to the initial value problem when

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (b) Describe appropriate boundary conditions at x = 0 and/or x = 1, if possible, which make the initial boundary value problem in (a) well-posed. Try to be as general as possible. How should such boundary conditions be presented to put the solution in a simple form?
- (c) Give the solution to the initial value problem when

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(d) Describe appropriate boundary conditions at x = 0 and/or x = 1, if possible, which make the initial boundary value problem in (c) well-posed. Try to be as general as possible. How should such boundary conditions be presented to put the solution in a simple form?

Solution

(a) The eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors of A are

$$\lambda_{\pm} = 2 \pm 1, \quad \vec{u}_{\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \pm 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

leading to the general solution

$$\vec{u}(t,x) = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{u}_{+} & \vec{u}_{-} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{+} (x - \lambda_{+}t) \\ c_{-} (x - \lambda_{-}t) \end{pmatrix},$$

where the scalar functions c_{\pm} are determined by the initial conditions and boundary conditions.

- (b) Since both $\lambda_{\pm} > 0$, boundary conditions must only be specified at the x = 0 boundary. A simple way to present these boundary conditions is to simply specify c_{\pm} when x = 0, i.e., $c_{\pm}(-\lambda_{\pm}t) = g_{\pm}^{0}(t)$ for t > 0.
- (c) A here has the same eigenvectors as in (a) but now with eigenvalues $\lambda_{\pm} = 2 \pm 3$. Otherwise, the solution takes the same general form.
- (d) This time, $\lambda_+ > 0$ but $\lambda_- < 0$, so boundary conditions must be specified at both the x = 0 boundary and the x = 1 boundary. A simple way to present these boundary conditions is to specify c_+ when x = 0 in terms of t and c_- , and vice versa, to specify c_- when x = 1 in terms of t and c_+ :

$$c_{+}(-\lambda_{+}t) = g_{+}^{0}(t, c_{-}(-\lambda_{-}t)),$$

$$c_{-}(1 - \lambda_{-}t) = g_{-}^{1}(t, c_{+}(1 - \lambda_{+}t)),$$

for t > 0.

4. Derive the leading term of the local truncation error for the following finite difference schemes used to approximate solutions to the equation $u_t + au_x = 0$.

(a)
$$\frac{1}{k} \left(v_m^{n+1} - v_m^n \right) + a \frac{1}{2h} \left(v_{m+1}^n - v_{m-1}^n \right) = 0.$$

(b)
$$\frac{1}{k} \left(v_m^{n+1} - \frac{1}{2} \left(v_{m+1}^n + v_{m-1}^n \right) \right) + a \frac{1}{2h} \left(v_{m+1}^n - v_{m-1}^n \right) = 0.$$

Solution

Unless otherwise noted, all functions are evaluated at a common point (t, x).

(a) We use the following Taylor expansions about (t, x):

$$\begin{split} \phi(t+k,x) &= \phi + k\phi_t + \frac{1}{2}k^2\phi_{tt} + O\left(k^3\right); \\ \phi(t,x\pm h) &= \phi \pm h\phi_x + \frac{1}{2}h^2\phi_{xx} \pm \frac{1}{6}h^3\phi_{xxx} + \frac{1}{24}h^4\phi_{xxxx} + O\left(h^5\right). \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$P_{k,h}\phi = \frac{1}{k} \left(\phi(t+k,x) - \phi \right) + a \frac{1}{2h} \left(\phi(t,x+h) - \phi(t,x-h) \right)$$
$$= \phi_t + a\phi_x + \frac{1}{2} k\phi_{tt} + \frac{1}{6} h^2 \phi_{xxx} + O\left(k^2 + h^4\right),$$

which agrees with $P\phi = (\partial_t + a\partial_x) \phi$ up to a truncation error of

$$P_{k,h}\phi - P\phi = \frac{1}{2}k\phi_{tt} + \frac{1}{6}h^2\phi_{xxx} + O(k^2 + h^4).$$

(b) Using the same Taylor expansions as above,

$$P_{k,h}\phi = \frac{1}{k} \left(\phi(t+k,x) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\phi(t,x+h) + \phi(t,x-h) \right) \right) + a \frac{1}{2h} \left(\phi(t,x+h) - \phi(t,x-h) \right)$$
$$= \phi_t + a\phi_x + \frac{1}{2} k\phi_{tt} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{h^2}{k} \phi_{xx} + O\left(k^2 + h^2\right),$$

which agrees with $P\phi$ up to a truncation error of

$$P_{k,h}\phi - P\phi = \frac{1}{2}k\phi_{tt} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{h^2}{k}\phi_{xx} + O(k^2 + h^2).$$

5. Determine the stability region Λ for each of the finite difference schemes in Problem 4.

Solution

(a) The amplification factor g satisfies the equation

$$\frac{1}{k}(g-1) + a\frac{1}{2h}\left(e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta}\right) = 0,$$

so

$$g = 1 - i\frac{ak}{h}\sin\theta,$$

so $|g|^2 = 1 + \frac{a^2 k^2}{h^2} \sin^2 \theta \le 1 + O(k)$ if and only if $k = O(h^2)$.

(b) The amplification factor g satisfies the equation

$$\frac{1}{k}\left(g - \frac{1}{2}\left(e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}\right)\right) + a\frac{1}{2h}\left(e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta}\right) = 0,$$

so

$$g = \cos \theta - i \frac{ak}{h} \sin \theta,$$

so $|g| \le 1$ if and only if $|ak/h| \le 1$.

2 Programming

- 1. Implement the finite difference schemes in Problem 4. in the Theory section for $x \in [0,1]$, $t \in [0,T]$ for some final time T, $u(x,0) = u_0(x)$, and periodic boundary conditions.
- 2. Investigate the convergence of each scheme for a=1 and T=1. Set $k/h=:\lambda$ to be constant, and demonstrate which values of λ cause the scheme to converge and which to diverge. If no such λ gives convergence, find an alternate relation between k and h which does ensure convergence (if possible). Try using both a smooth initial condition (e.g., $u_0(x) = \sin(2\pi x)$); a non-smooth initial condition (e.g., $u_0(x) = 1 2|x 1/2|$); and a discontinuous initial condition (e.g., $u_0(x) = 0$ if |x 1/2| > 1/4 and $u_0(x) = 1$ if |x 1/2| < 1/4). Use the discrete L^2 norm to measure the error between your numerical solution and the true solution:

$$||w||_h = \left(h \sum_m |w_m|^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

[Note: Due to periodicity, be sure to avoid double-counting the contributions at x = 0 and x = 1!] Plot the numerical solutions from each scheme at t = T when h = 1/100. Summarize your results. Which scheme do you think is better and why?