

1. Solve the following initial-boundary value problem for the wave equation with a potential term,

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - u_{xx} + u = 0, & 0 < x < \pi, \quad t > 0, \\ u(0, t) = u(\pi, t) = 0, & t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = f(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = 0, & 0 < x < \pi, \end{cases}$$

where

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in (0, \pi/2), \\ \pi - x & \text{if } x \in (\pi/2, \pi). \end{cases}$$

The answer should be given in terms of an infinite series of explicitly given functions.

Applying separation of variables, the solution has the form

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(t) \sin nx.$$

Substituting into the PDE yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum a_n''(t) \sin nx + \sum n^2 a_n(t) \sin nx + a_n(t) \sin nx &= 0 \\ a_n''(t) + (n^2 + 1)a_n(t) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_n(t) = c_n \cos \sqrt{n^2 + 1}t + d_n \sin \sqrt{n^2 + 1}t$. The initial condition $u_t(x, 0) = 0$ determines that $d_n = 0$ for all n . From the other initial condition $u(x, 0) = f(x)$, the c_n are the Fourier series coefficients for f , $f(x) = \sum_n c_n \sin nx$.

$$\begin{aligned} c_n &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi f(x) \sin nx \, dx = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\int_0^{\pi/2} x \sin nx \, dx + \int_{\pi/2}^\pi (\pi - x) \sin nx \, dx \right] \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\left[-\frac{x \cos nx}{n} + \frac{\sin nx}{n^2} \right]_0^{\pi/2} + \pi \left[-\frac{\cos nx}{n} \right]_{\pi/2}^\pi - \left[-\frac{x \cos nx}{n} + \frac{\sin nx}{n^2} \right]_{\pi/2}^\pi \right] \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi} \frac{\sin n \frac{\pi}{2}}{n^2} = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{\pi n^2} (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus the solution is

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{4(-1)^k}{\pi(2k+1)^2} \cos[t\sqrt{(2k+1)^2 + 1}] \sin[x(2k+1)].$$

2. Let $u(x, t)$ be a bounded solution to the Cauchy problem for the heat equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t = a^2 u_{xx}, & t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad a > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = \varphi(x). \end{cases}$$

Here $\varphi(x) \in C(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(x) = b, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \varphi(x) = c.$$

Compute the limit of $u(x, t)$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Justify your argument carefully.

The solution is

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4a^2\pi t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4a^2t}} \varphi(y) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-z^2} \varphi(x + z\sqrt{4a^2t}) dz \quad \text{substitute } z = \frac{y-x}{\sqrt{4a^2t}}, dz = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4a^2t}} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-\epsilon} + \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} + \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \right) e^{-z^2} \varphi(x + z\sqrt{4a^2t}) dz. \end{aligned}$$

The boundary conditions and continuity imply that φ is bounded, let $|\varphi(x)| \leq M$. The integrand is dominated by Me^{-z^2} , so by dominated convergence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{-\epsilon} e^{-z^2} \varphi(x + z\sqrt{4a^2t}) dz + \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} e^{-z^2} \varphi(x + z\sqrt{4a^2t}) dz &\xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{-\epsilon} e^{-z^2} c dz + \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} e^{-z^2} b dz \\ &\xrightarrow{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} c + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} b. \end{aligned}$$

For any t we have

$$\int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} e^{-z^2} \varphi(x + z\sqrt{4a^2t}) dz < \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} 1 \cdot M dz = 2\epsilon M \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \epsilon \downarrow 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} c + 0 + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} b \right) = \frac{b+c}{2}.$$

3. Consider the following damped wave equation,

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u + a(x)u_t = 0, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, \quad u_t|_{t=0} = u_1. \end{cases}$$

Here the damping coefficient $a \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a nonnegative function and $u_0, u_1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Show that the energy of the solution $u(x, t)$ at time t ,

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla_x u|^2 + |u_t|^2)$$

is a decreasing function of t for $t > 0$.

Claim: Fix $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $t_0 > 0$. Let $C = \{(x, t) : 0 \leq t \leq t_0, |x - x_0| \leq |t_0 - t|\}$ be the cone of dependence for point (x_0, t_0) and define $B_\tau = C \cap \{t = \tau\}$. Then $u = u_t = 0$ in B_0 implies $u \equiv 0$ in C .

Proof: . Let $e(\tau) = \int_{B_\tau} \frac{1}{2} (|u_t|^2 + |\nabla u|^2) dx$, then

$$\begin{aligned} e'(\tau) &= \int_{B_\tau} u_t u_{tt} + \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_t \\ &= \int_{B_\tau} u_t u_{tt} - \int_{B_\tau} u_t \Delta u + \int_{\partial B_\tau} u_t \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \quad \text{the third term is zero since } \partial B_\tau = \emptyset \\ &= \int_{B_\tau} u_t (\Delta u - a u_t) - \int_{B_\tau} u_t \Delta u \\ &= - \int_{B_\tau} a u_t^2 \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Also observing that $e(0) = 0$ and $e(\tau) \geq 0$, we have $e(\tau) = 0$ for $\tau \geq 0$. So $u_t = \nabla u = u_{tt} \equiv 0$ in C and hence $u \equiv 0$ in C .

The claim implies that the solution has finite propagation speed: $u(x, t) \equiv 0$ for $d(x, K) > t$, where $K = \text{supp } u_0 \cup \text{supp } u_1$. For any fixed t , there exists an open bounded set K_t such that $u(x, t) \equiv 0$ on K_t^c . Therefore, $E(t)$ is a decreasing function of t ,

$$\begin{aligned} E'(t) &= \int_{K_t} u_t u_{tt} + \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_t \\ &= \int_{K_t} u_t (\Delta u - a u_t) - \int_{K_t} u_t \Delta u + \int_{\partial K_t} \underbrace{u_t \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}}_{=0} \\ &= - \int_{K_t} a u_t^2 \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

4. Prove that each solution (except $x_1 = x_2 = 0$) of the autonomous system

$$\begin{cases} x_1' &= x_2 + x_1(x_1^2 + x_2^2) \\ x_2' &= -x_1 + x_2(x_1^2 + x_2^2) \end{cases}$$

blows up in finite time. What is the blow-up time for the solution which starts at the point $(1, 0)$ when $t = 0$?

Multiplying the first equation by x_1 and the second by x_2 and then adding them,

$$\begin{cases} x_1'x_1 &= x_1x_2 + x_1^2(x_1^2 + x_2^2) \\ x_2'x_2 &= -x_1x_2 + x_2^2(x_1^2 + x_2^2) \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} x_1'x_1 + x_2'x_2 &= x_1^2(x_1^2 + x_2^2) + x_2^2(x_1^2 + x_2^2) \\ \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2)' &= (x_1^2 + x_2^2)^2, \end{cases}$$

yields the one-dimensional equation

$$\frac{1}{2}r' = r^2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{dr}{2r^2} &= \int dt \\ \frac{-1}{2r} &= t + C & \frac{-1}{2r(0)} &= C \\ r(t) &= \frac{-1}{2(t - \frac{1}{2r(0)})}. \end{aligned}$$

With the exception of $x_1(0) = x_2(0) = 0$, the solution blows up at $t = \frac{1}{2(x_1(0)^2 + x_2(0)^2)} < \infty$. The blow-up time from the starting point $(1, 0)$ is $t = \frac{1}{2}$.

5. Let us consider a generalized Volterra-Lotka system in the plane, given by

$$x'(t) = f(x(t)), \quad x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where $f(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x)) = (ax_1 - bx_1x_2 - ex_1^2, -cx_2 + dx_1x_2 - fx_2^2)$, and a, b, c, d, e, f are positive constants. Show that

$$\nabla \cdot (\varphi f) \neq 0, \quad x_1 > 0, \quad x_2 > 0,$$

where $\varphi(x_1, x_2) = 1/(x_1x_2)$. Using this observation, prove that the autonomous system has no closed orbits in the first quadrant.

Indeed, $\nabla \cdot (\varphi f) \neq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot (\varphi f) &= \nabla \varphi \cdot f + \varphi \nabla \cdot f \\ &= -\frac{f_1}{x_1^2 x_2} - \frac{f_2}{x_1 x_2^2} + \frac{1}{x_1 x_2} (ax_1 - bx_1x_2 - ex_1^2 - cx_2 + dx_1x_2 - fx_2^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{x_1 x_2} (-ex_1 - fx_2) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose there is a closed orbit in the first quadrant. Let Ω be a domain with the closed orbit as its boundary. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (\varphi f) &= \int_{\partial \Omega} (\varphi f) \cdot n = \int_{\partial \Omega} \varphi(f_1, f_2) \cdot (-x'_2, x'_1) \\ &= \int_{\partial \Omega} \varphi(x'_1, x'_2) \cdot (-x'_2, x'_1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since φ and f are continuous, this implies that $\nabla \cdot (\varphi f) = 0$ for some $(x_1, x_2) \in \Omega$; contradiction. Therefore, there is no closed orbit in the first quadrant.

6. Let $q \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Prove that the vector field

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{q(y)(x-y)}{|x-y|^3} dy$$

enjoys the following properties:

1. $u(x)$ is conservative
2. $\nabla \cdot u(x) = q(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$
3. $|u(x)| = \mathcal{O}(|x|^{-2})$ for large x .

Furthermore, prove that the properties (1), (2), and (3) above determine the vector field $u(x)$ uniquely.

A fundamental solution of $\Delta v = f$ in three dimensions is $K(x) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}}$. Then $\nabla K(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{(x_1, x_2, x_3)}{(\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2})^3} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{x}{|x|^3}$, so $u = q * \nabla K = \nabla(q * K)$, which implies property (1).

For property (2), compute $\nabla \cdot u = \Delta(q * K) = q * \Delta K = q * \delta = q$.

For property (3), let R be sufficiently large such that $B_R(0) \supset \text{supp } q$, then for $|x| \geq 2R$,

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{B_R(0)} \frac{|q(y)|}{|x-y|^2} dy \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \|q\|_{L^\infty} \int_{B_R(0)} \frac{1}{||x| - R|^2} dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \|q\|_{L^\infty} |B_R(0)| \left(\frac{2}{|x|}\right)^2 \quad \text{since } |x| - R \geq \frac{|x|}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \|q\|_{L^\infty} |B_R(0)| |x|^{-2} = \mathcal{O}(|x|^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the problems

$$\begin{cases} u = \nabla f_1 \\ \nabla \cdot u = q \\ |u| = \mathcal{O}(|x|^{-2}) \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} v = \nabla f_2 \\ \nabla \cdot v = q \\ |v| = \mathcal{O}(|x|^{-2}) \end{cases} \quad \begin{array}{l} f_1, f_2 \in C(\mathbb{R}^3) \\ \text{for large } |x| \end{array}$$

Let $w = u - v$ and $f = f_1 - f_2$, then

$$\begin{cases} w = \nabla f \\ \nabla \cdot w = 0 \\ |w| = \mathcal{O}(|x|^{-2}) \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} \Delta f = 0 \\ |f| = \mathcal{O}(|x|^{-1}) \end{cases} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{(since } w = \nabla f \text{ and } \nabla \cdot w = 0) \\ \text{for large } |x| \end{array}$$

Since f is continuous and $|f| = \mathcal{O}(|x|^{-1})$ for large $|x|$, f is bounded. By Liouville's theorem, bounded harmonic functions must be constant; $f \equiv C$. Then $w = \nabla C = 0$, so u is uniquely determined.

7. Consider the partial differential equation

$$uu_z + u_t + u = 0, \quad (z, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

- Find the particular solution that satisfies the condition $u(0, t) = e^{-2t}$.
- Show that at the point $(z, t) = (1/9, \log 2)$, $u = 1/3$.

Applying the method of characteristics,

$$\begin{array}{lll} z' & = & u \\ z(0) & = & 0 \\ z & = & e^{-2s} - e^{-\tau-2s} \\ e^{-s} & = & \frac{1}{2}(e^{-t} + \sqrt{e^{-2t} + 4z}) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{lll} t' & = & 1 \\ t(0) & = & s \\ t & = & s + \tau \\ \tau & = & t - s \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{lll} u' & = & -u \\ u(0) & = & e^{-2s} \\ u & = & e^{-\tau-2s} \\ u & = & e^{-t}e^{-s} \end{array}$$

we have $u(z, t) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-t}(e^{-t} + \sqrt{e^{-2t} + 4z})$.

At $(z, t) = (1/9, \log 2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \frac{1}{2}e^{-\log 2} \left(e^{-\log 2} + \sqrt{e^{-2\log 2} + \frac{4}{9}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{4}{9}} \right) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{5}{6} \right) = \frac{1}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

8. The function $y(x, t)$ satisfies the partial differential equation

$$xy_x + y_{xt} + 2y = 0,$$

and the boundary conditions

$$y(x, 0) = 1, \quad y(0, t) = e^{-at},$$

where $a \geq 0$. Find the Laplace transform, $\bar{y}(x, s)$, of the solution, and hence derive an expression for $y(x, t)$ in the domain $x \geq 0, t \geq 0$.

In terms of $\bar{y}(x, s)$, the problem is

$$\begin{cases} x\bar{y}_x + s\bar{y}_x - y_x(0) + 2\bar{y} = 0 \\ \bar{y}(0, s) = \frac{1}{s+a} \end{cases}$$

where $y_x(0) = 0$ by the condition $y(x, 0) = 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (x+s)\bar{y}_x + 2\bar{y} &= 0 \\ \int \frac{d\bar{y}}{\bar{y}} &= -2 \int \frac{dx}{x+s} \\ \log \bar{y} &= -2 \log(x+s) + C \\ \bar{y}(x, s) &= \frac{C'}{(x+s)^2} \quad \frac{1}{a+s} = \frac{C'}{s^2} \\ \bar{y}(x, s) &= \frac{s^2}{(a+s)(x+s)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We can now find the solution $y(x, t)$ either using transform pairs ($e^{-\alpha t}u(t) \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{s+\alpha}$, $te^{-\alpha t}u(t) \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{(s+\alpha)^2}$) or using complex contour integration:

$$\begin{aligned} y(x, t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty+1}^{+i\infty+1} \frac{s^2 e^{st}}{(a+s)(x+s)^2} ds \\ &= \text{Res} \left(\frac{s^2 e^{st}}{(a+s)(x+s)^2}; s = -a \right) + \text{Res} \left(\frac{s^2 e^{st}}{(a+s)(x+s)^2}; s = -x \right) \\ &= \frac{a^2 e^{-at}}{(a-x)^2} + \left(\frac{d}{ds} \frac{s^2 e^{st}}{a+s} \right) \Big|_{s=-x} \\ &= \frac{a^2 e^{-at}}{(a-x)^2} + \left(\frac{2se^{st} + s^2 te^{st}}{a+s} - \frac{s^2 e^{st}}{(a+s)^2} \right) \Big|_{s=-x} \\ &= \frac{a^2 e^{-at}}{(a-x)^2} + \frac{-2xe^{-xt} + x^2 te^{-xt}}{a-x} - \frac{x^2 e^{-xt}}{(a-x)^2}. \end{aligned}$$